



1 DOWNEY BRAND LLP  
 2 MICHAEL N. MILLS (Bar No. 191762)  
 3 WENDY LEE BOGDAN (Bar No. 196940)  
 4 RYAN C. WOOD (Bar No. 232267)  
 5 555 Capitol Mall, Tenth Floor  
 6 Sacramento, CA 95814-4686  
 7 Telephone: (916) 444-1000  
 8 Facsimile: (916) 444-2100

**FILED**  
 ALAMEDA COUNTY

MAY 16 2008

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

By Vicki Daybell JS

9 Attorneys for Petitioner  
 10 COALITION TO SUPPORT PLASTIC BAG  
 11 RECYCLING

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

10

11 COALITION TO SUPPORT PLASTIC  
 12 BAG RECYCLING, an unincorporated  
 13 association,  
 14 Petitioner,  
 15 v.  
 16 CITY OF OAKLAND, a municipal  
 17 corporation, CITY COUNCIL OF THE  
 18 CITY OF OAKLAND, collectively and in  
 19 their official capacities, and DOES 1  
 20 through 20 inclusive,  
 21 Respondents.

CASE NO. RG07339097

~~PROPOSED~~ JUDGMENT GRANTING  
 PEREMPTORY WRIT OF MANDATE

(Public Resources Code § 21168.9)

ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSED TO:  
 JUDGE: FRANK ROESCH  
 DEPARTMENT: 31

**BY FAX**

22 The Petition of Coalition to Support Plastic Bag Recycling for Writ of Mandate came on  
 23 regularly for hearing on January 29, 2008 in Department 31 of this Court, Judge Frank Roesch,  
 24 Presiding. On April 17, 2008, this Court issued a Tentative Decision, to which no party objected.  
 25 Thus, the Tentative Decision has become this Court's final Statement of Decision in this action.

26 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ADJUDGED, DECREED AND ORDERED  
 27 THAT:

- 28 1. Judgment granting a writ of mandate be entered in favor of Petitioner in this  
 proceeding. Judgment is so entered because the Court finds that Respondents committed a  
 prejudicial abuse of discretion and did not comply with the California Environmental Quality Act,

1 Public Resources Code sections 21000, *et seq.* ("CEQA"). The basis for the Judgment is set forth  
2 in the Court's Statement of Decision in this action, filed April 17, 2008.

3 2. A peremptory writ of mandate shall issue under the seal of this Court directing  
4 Respondents City of Oakland and the City Council of the City of Oakland to do all of the  
5 following:

6 a. Immediately upon service of this writ of mandate, Respondents and their  
7 agents shall suspend implementation and enforcement of Ordinance No. 12818 (the "Ordinance").

8 b. Within 120 days from service of the writ of mandate, Respondents shall  
9 vacate, rescind and set aside the Ordinance.

10 c. Respondents shall not re-enact the Ordinance unless and until Respondents  
11 have complied with CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines.

12 d. Respondents shall file a return to the peremptory writ of mandate within  
13 120 days of service. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over Respondents' aforementioned  
14 proceedings by way of the return to the peremptory writ of mandate.

15 3. Under Public Resources Code section 21168.9(c), this Court does not direct  
16 Respondents to exercise their lawful discretion in any particular way.

17 4. Petitioner, as the prevailing party in this action, shall recover costs in this  
18 proceeding ~~in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ (exclusive of attorneys' fees).~~

19 IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.

20 DATED: 5/15, 2008

21 

22 HONORABLE FRANK ROESCH  
23 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

24 Approved as to form:

25 DATED: May 7, 2008

26   
27 KEVIN D. SIEGEL, Deputy City Attorney