The Central African Republic is experiencing a major humanitarian crisis. Widespread internal conflict has displaced more than 600,000 people within CAR and forced another 300,000 to live as refugees in neighboring countries. In the capital, Bangui, tens of thousands of people are living in makeshift camps that are prone to flooding. In rural areas, those who have decided to return home are coming back to destroyed homes and market places. CAR’s minority Muslim communities are under siege and many have decided to flee the country. The international community was unable to prevent a humanitarian catastrophe in CAR. But action can be taken now by the United Nations and major donor governments to stop the crisis from getting worse and assist those who can be reached.

**BACKGROUND**

The current crisis in CAR began when a coalition of Muslim-majority rebel groups from the north of the country, known as Séléka, marched south. Looting villages along the way, the rebels overthrew then-President François Bozizé in March 2013. The Séléka were united in their opposition to the corrupt and centralized regime of President Bozizé, as well as a perceived economic marginalization of the north. Dissatisfaction with the president’s slow implementation of a previously agreed peace accord was also a factor. After taking over the capital, Bangui, the rebels imposed their reign of terror on the rest of the country – attacking villages, killing civilians, and forcing hundreds of thousands of people to flee from their homes. Christian militias, known as anti-balaka (“anti-machete”), fought back, but also attacked civilians from CAR’s minority Muslim community – destroying houses, burning down mosques, and mutilating victims.

In January 2014, the rebel leader who the Séléka installed as president, Michel Djotodia, stepped down due to international pressure, as African Union and French peacekeepers attempted to disarm members of armed groups and mitigate the violence. Since the appointment of an interim president, Catherine Samba-Panza, the political
situation has stabilized somewhat. However, state authority still barely extends beyond the capital. Anti-balaka militiamen, as well as rebels from the now-dismantled Séléka movement, have continued to carry out attacks. There are currently tens of thousands of people living in makeshift camps for internally displaced people (IDPs), many near the airport in Bangui. In early March, aid workers were hopeful that IDPs would begin returning home during a period of relative calm. In recent weeks, however, insecurity in the capital has spiked, preventing people from going home and forcing even more people to seek refuge at makeshift IDP sites. Unfortunately, seasonal rains have begun and many of the camps have started to flood. There are also an untold number of IDPs who fled into the bush when their villages were attacked. Many remain hidden, but others have begun to return home – only to find their homes destroyed and medical clinics ransacked. They are in urgent need of basic support as they begin to rebuild their lives. Additionally, while many of CAR’s Muslims have fled to neighboring countries, there remain several pockets of Muslim IDPs throughout the country who face imminent attack by anti-balaka groups. Many are asking for support from peacekeepers and UN agencies to be relocated to potentially safer areas within CAR. But there are challenges to safely transporting, supporting, and protecting the IDPs in relocation sites, as well as providing documentation to ensure that they can access their property when they return. The displacement crisis is further complicated by worsening insecurity and access constraints in parts of CAR, where many urban IDPs have sought refuge at the Catholic parish and on the grounds of a local school. Instead, they chose to flee into the bush, where they were forced to forage for food and sleep in makeshift shelters.

One man, René, told RI that he now felt it was safe enough to return to his village. Three of his children had died from illness while living in the bush, and his wife and the rest of his children were desperate to return home and restart their lives. Unfortunately, as he said, they were “starting from zero.” Their home was damaged and they did not have seeds or tools to begin planting, let alone the resources to re-open the local school where René had worked as a teacher. Villagers like René can be reached, but if there are not enough funds to respond, aid agencies will not be able to deliver appropriate services. This is unacceptable. According to the Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs’ Financial Tracking Service, as of April 24, the humanitarian aid provided by the European Commission and the United Kingdom has fallen woefully short of the amount they pledged. The United States response has been more robust, having already fulfilled their pledge. However, in addition to providing the necessary funding, donors must be willing to allow for flexible programming and aid implementers. The humanitarian situation in CAR is changing so rapidly that the traditional program funding cycle presents challenges. By the time an organization has conducted an assessment, applied for a grant from a donor, and received the money, the specific needs have often shifted. Those organizations need to be able to reallocate funding as necessary. For example, just as IDP returns were beginning to take place in Bangui in March, a flare-up in fighting led to even more displacement, including that of many returnees who were forced back into camps. Money that was originally budgeted for supporting returns may now need to be used for emergency services in IDP sites. Further, access to areas in the northwest is shifting as the security situation evolves. European Commission and the World Bank, and the African Development Bank pledged a combined $466 million to respond to the crisis. Unfortunately, many of those pledges have yet to be fulfilled.

Donors must be present in CAR in order to ensure a more rapid response to the evolving crisis and to monitor program effectiveness. Unfortunately, most donor governments closed their embassies due to the growing insecurity over the past year-and-a-half. Except for the European Community Humanitarian Office (ECHO), most major donors do not have a permanent presence on the ground. The U.S. Embassy, for example, was evacuated in December 2012 as Séléka rebels gained territory and advanced toward Bangui. High-level officials from the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) and the State Department have visited CAR recently, but there is no permanent U.S. government presence.

To improve its ability to support the humanitarian response, the U.S. government must re-open its embassy as quickly as possible. Once the embassy is open again, staff from USAID’s Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA) can have a permanent presence on the ground, enhancing direct engagement with partners and beneficiaries and facilitating the adaptation of programming to the changing context.

In December 2013, the principals of the UN Inter-Agency Standing Committee (L3) declared CAR a Level 3 emergency (L3). An L3 declaration signifies that there is inadequate UN capacity and leadership in-country to respond to the crisis. It triggers a system-wide UN response, including, where necessary, the deployment of a senior humanitarian coordinator with empowered leadership to make timely decisions regarding the response even when there is no consensus of the full humanitarian Country Team.

The L3 declaration triggered a deployment of high-level UN coordination staff tasked with leading the various humanitarian clusters. However, this did not correspond with a surge of staff to implement programs. As such, the response became very top-heavy, without the necessary staff to deliver services. This must be corrected.

There is also a need for senior UN staff to remain in CAR beyond short-term deployments. Surge staff may be appropriate for a sudden-onset emergency, but their use is problematic during a prolonged conflict like CAR. In the context of the current L3 declaration, many staff members are deployed only for short periods of time even though the severity of the crisis remains high. Going forward, UN agencies should prioritize hiring senior staff who can remain in the country beyond the temporary three-month periods. This will help to facilitate the post-L3 transition.

BETTER CIVILIAN PROTECTION NEEDED NOW

In April, the UN Security Council voted unanimously to establish a 10,000-strong UN peacekeeping operation in CAR. The deployment of this mission (known as MINUSCA) could be an important step toward bringing peace to CAR, but the mission’s military component will not be deployed until September 15, 2014. Therefore, there are steps that must be taken to improve the African Union peacekeeping mission (MISCA) that is already operating in the country.

There is an urgent need for additional police support for MISCA. CAR’s national and local police service is extremely weak and ineffective. Where there is insecurity in urban areas, there are also anti-balaka attacks beyond the inter-communal attacks on civilians, banditry and criminality are major problems, with armed groups profiting from the lack of law and order.

The EU is preparing to deploy a small peacekeeping force of up to 1,000 personnel to CAR, known as EFUR. The EU should prioritize sending formed police units (FPUs), as well as police advisors who can embed with MISCA soldiers and build the capacity of Central African police units. More FPUs from African countries for MISCA would also be beneficial. Given the global scarcity of such units, an alternative might be the deployment of police advisors who could help MISCA military units operate more effectively in urban environments.

Ultimately, effective Central African police and security forces will be needed to enforce rule of law in the long-term. When RI staff entered Bangui by road from Bossangoua, French peacekeepers were in the process of taking down anti-balaka roadblocks and disarming militia members. The next day, the road blocks were re-established and anti-balaka, вооруженные группировки, а также банды, которые ранее активно участвовали в конфликте. Они продолжают совершать нападения, захватывая города и деревни, где живут диаспоры мусульман и бапака.

В центре конфликта находится столица Банги, где произошли наиболее серьезные столкновения. В результате было разрушено множество сооружений, в том числе медицинские центры и школы. Их работа остановлена, и местные жители лишены доступа к необходимым услугам.

Военные действия ведутся с использованием как артиллерии, так и оружия более высокого класса. Это приводит к увеличению количества жертв и повышению уровня беспорядков. Организации и страны, которые оказывают помощь, столкнулись с рядом проблем, включая нестабильность ситуации и ограничение доступа к необходимым ресурсам.

Во время полномасштабной войны в 2013 году в стране произошли значительные потери, в том числе жертвами стали сотни человек. После прекращения военных действий начались работы по демилитаризации и восстановлению инфраструктуры. Однако, по оценкам специалистов, полная восстановительная работа может занять несколько лет.

Важно помнить, что конфликт в ЦАР происходит в условиях значительного экономического кризиса. Многие предприятия и социально-экономические институты были разрушены или приостановлены, что приводит к росту уровня безработицы и увеличению числа бедных.

В этой ситуации нужна активная роль международного сообщества, в том числе ООН, ЕС и других стран. Они должны поддерживать усилия по упрощению ситуации и обеспечению безопасности для гражданских лиц.

Важно также упомянуть, что в ЦАР существуют значительные проблемы с правовой системой и законностью. Многие области не имеют надлежащего законодательного регулирования, что создает предпосылки для совершения различных преступлений и нарушений порядка.

Важно подчеркнуть, что конфликт в ЦАР – это сложная и динамичная ситуация, которая требует постоянного внимания и активной поддержки международного сообщества. Более того, важным является создание условий, при которых можно будет говорить о стабилизации ситуации и начале процесса восстановления и развития страны.
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To improve its ability to support the humanitarian response, the U.S. government must re-open its embassy as quickly as possible. Once the embassy is open again, staff from USAID’s Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance (OFDA) can have a permanent presence on the ground, enhancing direct engagement with partners and beneficiaries and facilitating the adaptation of programming to the changing context.

**MORE IMPLEMENTATION, LESS COORDINATION**

In December 2013, the principals of the UN Inter-Agency Security Committee endorsed CAR a Level 3 emergency (L3). An L3 declaration signifies that there is inadequate UN capacity and leadership in-country to respond to the crisis. It triggers a system-wide UN response, including, where necessary, the deployment of a senior humanitarian coordinator with empowered leadership to make timely decisions regarding the response even when there is no consensus of the full Humanitarian Country Team.

Further, surge staff for all agencies can be deployed using funding from the Inter-Agency Rapid Response Mechanism. An L3 also requires the UN Humanitarian Coordinator to rapidly develop a strategic statement and a flash appeal, which can involve the use of a Multi-Cluster Initial Rapid Assessment. The L3 designation lasts for three months. In CAR, the L3 declaration was extended for an additional three months, through mid-June. But the crisis will not be over by then, so there must be longer-term solutions to improve the response.

The L3 declaration triggered a deployment of high-level UN coordination staff tasked with leading the various humanitarian clusters. However, this did not correspond to the response they receive now would carry over. Ultimately, effective Central African police and security forces will be needed to enforce rule of law in the long-term. When RI staff entered Bangui by road from Bossangoa, French peacekeepers were in the process of taking down anti-balaka roadblocks and disarmming militia members. The next day, the road blocks were re-established and anti-
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**BACKGROUND**

The current crisis in CAR began when a coalition of Muslim-majority rebel groups from the north of the country, known as Séléka, marched south. Looting villages along the way, the rebels overthrew then-President François Bozizé in March 2013. The Séléka were united in their opposition to the corrupt and centralized regime of President Bozizé, as well as a perceived economic marginalization of the north. Dissatisfaction with the president’s slow implementation of a previously agreed peace accord was also a factor.

After taking over the capital, Bangui, the rebels imposed their reign of terror on the rest of the country – attacking villages, killing civilians, and forcing hundreds of thousands of people to flee from their homes. Christian militias, known as anti-balaka (“anti-machete”), fought back, but also attacked civilians from CAR’s minority Muslim community – destroying houses, burning down mosques, and mutilating victims.

In January 2014, the rebel leader who the Séléka installed as president, Michel Djotodia, stepped down due to international pressure, as African Union and French peacekeepers attempted to disarm members of armed groups and mitigate the violence. Since the appointment of an interim president, Catherine Samba-Panza, the political transition has been chaotic, and many economic sectors have ground to a halt.

**POLICY RECOMMENDATIONS**

- Donor governments must honor their funding pledges in Central African Republic and provide financing for flexible programming that enables humanitarian agencies to respond to the rapidly changing levels of access to populations in need.
- The United States must re-open its embassy in Bangui to enable staff from the U.S. Agency for International Development’s Office of U.S. Foreign Disaster Assistance to engage more directly and frequently with implementing partners and beneficiaries.
- The United Nations must deploy additional program staff who can work in-country beyond short-term surge deployments.
- The planned European Union Force in CAR (EUFOR) must urgently deploy police advisors who can both embed with the Africa Union peacekeeping mission (MISCA) and train CAR’s local police.
- The U.S. and European governments must increase their funding and logistical support for MISCA, including providing vehicles and airlift capacity.
- Though the military component of the UN peacekeeping mission in CAR (MINUSCA) will not be established until September 2014, the UN should fast-track the deployment of MINUSCA’s civilian staff, including protection of civilians advisors, human rights officers, and the establishment of a community liaison unit.

Mark Yarnell traveled to the Central African Republic in March 2014 to assess the situation of displaced people in the country.