

## Reviewers

Q1 Thank you for agreeing to take this survey. I am specifically interested in learning about your views and experiences concerning journal reviewing and their place within the larger context of professional peer reviews in which you engage. Since I am trying to compile the most accurate report of reviewing practices, I urge you to consult any records you may have. If you have a recent annual report, for instance, please draw on that document to inform your responses. When I ask questions regarding the "past year" below, please provide a year's worth of information, whether from a calendar or academic year. If you have any questions or concerns about the survey, please contact me -- Paul Djupe at [djupe@denison.edu](mailto:djupe@denison.edu). Other Instructions:DEADLINE: Please complete this survey in the next three weeks. You will receive 2 reminders before the survey closes.To ADVANCE: Use the Next buttons at the bottom of each page to advance through the survey. DO NOT use your browser's back or forward buttons. They will not work properly in the survey.To SAVE: The survey will automatically save your work when you advance from one section to the next by clicking NEXT at the bottom of each page. Be sure to save your work before exiting the survey.To PRINT: You may print individual pages of the survey using your browser's print function. If you would like a hard copy of your final survey, we will be happy to provide you a PDF version upon the completion of your survey.To EXIT: To exit the survey, close the page in your browser. You may exit and return to the survey as often as you need until you submit the survey on the last page or the deadline has passed.

Q2 Have you served as a peer reviewer for a journal, press, university, or granting agency in the past year? Please check all that apply.

- Academic journal (1)
- Book publisher (2)
- University (external review for tenure or promotion) (3)
- Granting organizations/agencies (e.g., NSF) (4)
- I have not engaged in peer review in the past year. (6)
- University (internal review for tenure or promotion) (7)
- I have engaged in another form of peer review in the past year. (If, so what was it? Please enter it below) (11) \_\_\_\_\_

Answer If Have you engaged in peer review for a journal, press, uni... Academic journal Is Selected

Q3 How many article reviews have you conducted for academic journals in the past year? Please check your records to be accurate and then enter a number in the form field.

Answer If Have you engaged in peer review for a journal, press, uni... Book publishers Is Selected

Q4 How many reviews have you conducted for book publishers in the past year? (Note: These are not book reviews for journals, but reviews for the publisher to make internal publication decisions.) Please check your records to be accurate and then enter a number in the form field.

Answer If Have you engaged in peer review for a journal, press, uni... University (for tenure or promotion or departmental review) Is Selected

Q5 How many <u>external</u> reviews have you conducted for colleges and universities in the past year (for individual promotion or tenure)? Please check your records to be accurate and then enter a number in the form field.

Answer If Have you engaged in peer review for a journal, press, uni... University (<u>internal</u> review for tenure or promotion or departmental review) Is Selected

Q6 How many internal reviews have you conducted for colleges and universities in the past year (for individual promotion or tenure)? Please check your records to be accurate and then enter a number in the form field.

Answer If Have you engaged in peer review for a journal, press, uni... Granting agency (e.g., NSF) Is Selected

Q7 How many reviews have you conducted for granting agencies (e.g., NSF or Spencer Foundation) in the past year? Please check your records to be accurate and then enter a number in the form field.

Q8 Whether or not you said yes, how many times, if any, were you invited to engage in any type of peer review in the past year? You may not have records, so please use your best judgment and enter a number in the form field.

Q9 Was this an unusual year for your peer reviewing? Did you review well above or well below your average?

- Well above my average (1)
- Above my normal (2)
- About average (3)
- Below my average (4)
- Well below my average (5)
- This is my first year, so I have no average. (6)

Q10 Over the past 5 years (or since you have obtained your PhD if that was less than 5 years ago), has the number of reviews you do each year increased or decreased?

- Increased a great deal (1)
- Increased (2)
- Increased slightly (3)
- Remained about the same (4)
- Decreased slightly (5)
- Decreased (6)
- Decreased a great deal (7)

Q11 Do you serve on a journal's editorial board and/or are you an editor?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)

Answer If Have you engaged in peer review for a journal, press, uni... Academic journal Is Selected

Q12 Of the  $\{q://QID3/ChoiceTextEntryValue\}$  articles you have reviewed in the past year, approximately what percentage have you suggested the editors accept, allow revision, or reject on the first round? Please make sure the total sums to 100 percent.

\_\_\_\_\_ Accept (1)

\_\_\_\_\_ Revise and resubmit (2)

\_\_\_\_\_ Reject (3)

Q13 How often do you agree to peer review? Please estimate the percentage of requests to review that you agree (or would agree) to complete for journals.

\_\_\_\_\_ Note: You must click in the slider area to record a response (1)

Q14 How often do you agree to peer review for a top 3 journal (as defined in your subfield)? Please estimate the percentage of requests to review that you agree (or would agree) to complete for top 3 journals.

\_\_\_\_\_ Note: You must click in the slider area to record a response (1)

Q15 How often do you agree to peer review for a subfield journal? Please estimate the percentage of requests to review that agree (or would agree) to complete for subfield journals.

\_\_\_\_\_ Note: You must click in the slider area to record a response (1)

Q16 How often do you agree to peer review for a journal in another subfield? Please estimate the percentage of requests to review that you agree (or would agree) to complete for journals in another subfield.

\_\_\_\_\_ Note: You must click in the slider area to record a response (1)

Q17 How often do you agree to peer review for a journal in another discipline? Please estimate the percentage of requests to review that you agree (or would agree) to complete for journals in another discipline.

\_\_\_\_\_ Note: You must click in the slider area to record a response (1)

Q18 How much have you published in the past 3 years? Please enter numbers of publications you have had of each type in the form fields below. Please only record the items that have actually appeared in print (thus, do not include forthcoming work).

Academic, peer-reviewed, journal articles (1)

Non-peer reviewed articles or book chapters (2)

Books (3)

Grants received (4)

Peer reviewed book chapters (7)

Answer If How much have you published in the past 3 years? Please m... Academic, peer-reviewed, journal articles Is Greater Than 0

Q19 Have you published an article in one of the top 3 journals (as rated by your subfield) in the past 3 years?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)

Answer If How much have you published in the past 3 years? Please m... Books Is Greater Than 0

Q20 Have you published a book in one of the top 3 presses (as rated by your subfield) in the past 3 years?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)

Q21 Do you often meet the deadlines set by journals for providing a journal peer review? Please estimate the percentage of reviews you return within the journal's deadline. (please click in the slider space to record your response)

\_\_\_\_\_ Percent of Deadlines Met (1)

Q22 How reasonable do you find the following deadlines for returning a journal review to be?

	Very reasonable (1)	Reasonable (2)	Unreasonable (3)	Very unreasonable (4)
3 weeks (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
4 weeks (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
5 weeks (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
6 weeks (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q23 Would you like to be invited to do more peer reviewing for journals?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)

Q24 Now we would like to ask you some questions about the value of peer review for journals, both to you and to your institution. Please tell us whether you agree or disagree with the following statements.

	Strongly Agree (1)	Agree (2)	Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)	Disagree (4)	Strongly Disagree (5)
I believe in the value of peer reviewing. (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I can make a difference in the discipline through peer reviewing. (2)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
When I peer review, I feel recognized for my effort. (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Keeping up to date with the latest research is an important reason to peer review. (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Peer review is rarely worth the time that could be spent in other ways. (5)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I am often asked to review research that I am not qualified to judge. (6)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Gatekeeping research is an important reason to peer review. (7)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Being asked to peer review is a measure of professional stature. (8)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Recognition by journal editors is an important	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

reason to peer review. (9)					
Recognition in the discipline is an important reason to peer review. (10)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Non-blind peer review holds little value. (11)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I favor a single-blind review system, in which the reviewer knows the author. (12)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Peer reviewing <i>*is*</i> an important form of "service" that counts toward tenure/promotion. (13)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Peer reviewing <i>*should*</i> be considered a form of service that counts toward tenure/promotion. (14)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>



Q25 Please consider the following proposal for a common registry of peer reviewing. Journals, and perhaps other entities, would be asked voluntarily to report to a registry who has reviewed for them. Just as journals publish lists of reviewers over a year's span, the data would belong to the public and could be exported by individuals or universities. In this way, individuals would have easily accessible and verifiable evidence that they had reviewed, with what frequency, and for which outlets that could be presented with tenure/promotion/retention dossiers. Please tell us whether you agree or disagree with the following statements about this proposal.

	Strongly Agree (1)	Agree (2)	Neither Agree nor Disagree (3)	Disagree (4)	Strongly Disagree (5)
I approve of this idea. (1)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
I would use this system to report my reviewing to my institution as a form of service. (3)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
This system breaches privacy. (4)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
This system would encourage more people to engage in peer review. (5)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
This system would place undue weight on peer reviewing as service. (6)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
This system would help grant appropriate recognition to peer reviewing. (7)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Q26 If you have any other thoughts you would like to share about this proposal, we would be happy to hear them.



Q27 Lastly, we would like to know for what journals you have reviewed in political science. This is not an exhaustive list -- it is taken from Giles and Garand's (2007) study of journal reputations, augmented to ensure APSA and APSA section journals are represented. Please quickly review the list, checking the box if you have reviewed for that journal in the past 3 years. These listings will be used to generate a network graph of reviewership in the discipline.

- Administration and Society (1)
- American Behavioral Scientist (2)
- American Journal of International Law (3)
- American Journal of Political Science (4)
- American Political Science Review (5)
- American Politics Research (6)
- American Review of Public Administration (7)
- Annals of American Academy (8)
- Asian Survey (9)
- Australian Journal of Political Science (10)
- British Journal of Political Science (11)
- Canadian Journal of Political Science (12)
- China Quarterly (13)
- Comparative Political Studies (14)
- Comparative Politics (15)
- Comparative Studies in Society and History (16)
- Conflict Management and Peace Science (17)
- Electoral Studies (18)
- European Journal of International Relations (19)
- European Journal of Political Research (20)
- Europe-Asia Studies (21)
- Government and Opposition (22)
- International Affairs (23)
- International Interactions (24)
- International Organization (25)
- International Political Science Review (26)
- International Security (27)
- International Social Science Journal (28)
- International Studies Quarterly (29)
- Journal of Asian Studies (30)
- Journal of Black Studies (31)
- Journal of Common Market Studies (32)
- Journal of Conflict Resolution (33)
- Journal of Democracy (34)
- Journal of Information Technology and Politics (35)
- Journal of Interdisciplinary History (36)
- Journal of Latin American Studies (37)
- Journal of Law and Economics (38)
- Journal of Law, Economics, and Organization (39)

- Journal of Modern African Studies (40)
- Journal of Peace Research (41)
- Journal of Policy Analysis and Management (42)
- Journal of Political Economy (43)
- Journal of Political Science Education (44)
- Journal of Politics (45)
- Journal of Strategic Studies (46)
- Journal of Theoretical Politics (47)
- Journal of Urban Affairs (48)
- Judicature (49)
- Justice System Journal (50)
- Latin American Research Review (51)
- Law and Society Review (52)
- Legislative Studies Quarterly (53)
- Middle East Journal (54)
- Middle Eastern Studies (55)
- New Political Science (56)
- Party Politics (57)
- Perspectives on Politics (58)
- Policy Sciences (59)
- Policy Studies Journal (60)
- Political Analysis (61)
- Political Behavior (62)
- Political Communication (63)
- Political Geography (64)
- Political Psychology (65)
- Political Quarterly (66)
- Political Research Quarterly (67)
- Political Science (68)
- Political Science Quarterly (69)
- Political Studies (70)
- Political Theory (71)
- Politics and Gender (72)
- Politics and Religion (73)
- Politics and Society (74)
- Politics, Groups, and Interests (75)
- Polity (76)
- Post Soviet Affairs (77)
- PS: Political Science and Politics (78)
- Public Administration Review (79)
- Public Choice (80)
- Public Interest (81)
- Public Opinion Quarterly (82)
- Publius (83)

- Rationality and Society (84)
- Review of International Political Economy (85)
- Review of Policy Research (86)
- Security Studies (87)
- Signs (88)
- Social Science History (89)
- Social Science Journal (90)
- Social Science Quarterly (91)
- State Politics and Policy Quarterly (92)
- Studies in American Political Development (93)
- Studies in Comparative and International Development (94)
- Theory and Society (95)
- Third World Quarterly (96)
- Urban Affairs Review (97)
- Urban Studies (98)
- Women and Politics (99)
- World Development (100)
- World Politics (101)

Q28 On this final page, we need to understand some things about you. Thank you for bearing with us.

Q29 What is your sex?

- Male (1)
- Female (2)

Q30 How would you classify your racial identification? Check all that apply.

- White/caucasian (1)
- African American/ Black (2)
- Latino/Latina (3)
- American Indian/Alaska native (4)
- Asian American, Pacific Islander (5)
- Other (6)

Q31 What is your current academic rank?

- Untenured, not on tenure track (1)
- Visiting Assistant Professor, not on tenure track (2)
- Assistant Professor, on tenure track (3)
- Associate Professor, tenured (4)
- Full Professor, tenured (5)
- PhD, practitioner (6)

Q32 At what sort of institution are you employed (or are you currently unemployed)?

- My institution offers a PhD in political science as its terminal degree (1)
- My institution offers a MA in political science (2)
- My institution offers a BA in political science (3)
- My institution does not offer a bachelor's degree in political science (4)
- I work for a non-academic institution (5)
- I am currently unemployed (6)

Q38 If you are employed, is your institution located in the United States?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)

Q39 Did you receive your PhD from a university in the United States?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)

Q33 Lastly, when did (or when will) you receive your PhD? Please click in the slider area to record your response.

\_\_\_\_\_ (1)

Q37 That completes the survey. Please click "Next" to submit your responses. I plan to submit a report of these results to PS in the near future. If you wish to be notified of its availability or have other questions or concerns, please email Paul Djupe at [djupe@denison.edu](mailto:djupe@denison.edu).