

# Healthy Birth Practice #5: Avoid Giving Birth on Your Back and Follow Your Body's Urge to Push

Joyce T. DiFranco, RN, BSN, LCCE, FACCE  
Marilyn Curl, RNC, CNM, LCCE, FACCE

## ABSTRACT

Women in the U.S. are still giving birth in the supine position and are restricted in how long they can push and are encouraged to push forcefully by their caregivers. Research does not support these activities. There is discussion about current research and suggestions on how to improve the quality of the birth experience. This article is an updated evidence-based review of the “Lamaze International Care Practices that Promote Normal Birth, Care Practice #5: Spontaneous Pushing in Upright or Gravity-Neutral Positions,” published in *The Journal of Perinatal Education*, 16(3), 2007.

---

*The Journal of Perinatal Education*, 23(4)

**Keywords:** second stage labor, confidence, labor support, position, open-glottis pushing, closed-glottis pushing, spontaneous pushing, urge to push, length of second stage, current ACOG recommendations, optimal birth

Women today have limited experience with physiologic birth, largely due to the technological approach favored in hospitals. This approach left a generation of women with birth memories that were affected by the widespread use of general anesthesia, which was eventually abandoned in favor of the regional block anesthesia widely used today. Women are no longer unconscious during the final phase of childbearing, but often lose the sensations that facilitate the bearing down efforts needed to move the infant through the birth canal and into their waiting arms.

Current issues surrounding the second stage of labor are multifaceted and complex. A growing body of research confirms that an understanding of the normal processes of birth is essential to the management of the second stage of labor. Historically, women have recognized and instinctively utilized the natural laws of gravity and selective positioning without the constraints that often accompany the medical model of birth. Research today indicates that the majority of women give birth in a supine position using a directed style of pushing, despite a growing body of knowledge that confirms that this has disadvantages for both mother and baby. Additionally, the use of epidural analgesia/anesthesia appears to have altered the anticipated norms of second stage labor in ways that are not fully understood. Many hospitals have policies that dictate how long the second stage of labor should be allowed to continue before surgical intervention is indicated, even when there are no identifiable risks to either mother or baby. Acquiring information that is both unbiased and reliable is a challenge that remains for women who seek to have a safe, healthy birth, and for the providers who support them.

## Positioning for Birth: A Historical Perspective

Throughout history, images have depicted women actively birthing in positions that use gravity to facilitate the downward movement of the unborn child, a strategy that is likely to improve efficiency and reduce maternal fatigue. Until doctors began using forceps in the 17th century, women were shown giving birth standing, sitting, and squatting (Gupta, 2012). With the support of family members and community midwives, laboring women were creative in their solutions and have been depicted using stationary posts, slung hammocks, birthing stools, and ropes to gain leverage during this final stage of labor.

Sequential data collected by the *Listening to Mothers* surveys (I, II, III) indicate that very few women are utilizing alternative positions in the United States, with the vast majority (68%) reporting that birth occurred in the supine position or lithotomy position with semi-reclining the most commonly reported (23%) upright position (Declercq, 2013). Less than 10% reported giving birth in the more traditional positions of squatting, standing, or side-lying. More than three decades of research confirms that giving birth in a supine position has distinct disadvantages with no demonstrable benefits to either mother or infant. By comparing the data in earlier surveys to the most recent version (Declercq, 2013), it appears that the number of women giving birth in any position *but* supine is decreasing.

### Upright Positioning

Standing, kneeling, and squatting take advantage of gravity to help the baby move down into the pelvis. Additionally, squatting increases the size of the pelvis (Johnson, 1991; Ancheta, 2011), providing more room for the baby to maneuver and descend. Squatting, even with its acknowledged benefits, is the most exhausting position and is frequently combined with side-lying, semi-sitting, and kneeling, with resting between contractions strongly encouraged.

Penny Simkin, the well-known physical therapist and birth expert, suggests that it may be helpful for others to support the woman by holding her under the arms so that there is minimal weight on her feet and legs. Such a strategy helps conserve maternal energy and creates more space for the infant by lengthening the trunk of the body (Ancheta, 2011).

Even though positions such as side-lying, hands-and-knees, and semi-reclining lose the advantages associated with gravity, other benefits include heightened relaxation and the opportunity to rest more effectively between contractions. Birthing in the side-lying position has been shown to reduce perineal tearing by allowing the presenting part to descend more slowly (Shorten, 2002). Like squatting and standing, the dimensions of the pelvis can be maximized by the hands-and-knees position that is often used to relieve the back pain that may occur when the infant remains in a persistent occiput posterior presentation (Stremler, 2005).

Throughout the course of labor, including the second stage, women benefit from frequent position changes and ideally, should be free to select or reject them at will. The use of regional block analgesia frequently limits the ability of the laboring woman to change position without assistance, increasing reliance on caregivers and family to intervene. In many hospitals, policies are in place that require women to remain in bed following placement of the block to prevent injury due to accidental falls. Even distribution of the pain medication given through the epidural catheter is best achieved when the woman remains supine or semi-reclined, positions which are sometimes associated with reduced blood flow to the baby due to compression of major blood vessels located posterior to the uterus (Hanson, 2007). Maternal movement is also complicated by the need for intravenous hydration, continuous monitoring of the fetal heart, and the use of indwelling urinary catheters to prevent bladder distension. These common practices do not prevent women from using a variety of positions during labor and birth but may not be achievable without a great deal of assistance.

### Optimizing Outcomes

More than 30 years ago, researchers began to question the practice of directed pushing that was initiated when the cervix reached full dilation without taking into consideration individual variances and maternal feedback (Caldeyro-Barcia, 1979). Since that time, multiple studies have confirmed the efficacy of patient-directed pushing (Hanson, 2007; Albers, 2006; Prins, 2011) when evaluating both maternal and fetal outcomes. In spite of these findings, directed pushing remains

the norm according to the second version of the *Listening to Mothers* survey (Declercq, 2006), when 79% of the participants reported that nurses and health-care providers directed their pushing efforts.

Women who are encouraged to push in coordination with a self-perceived urge consistently limit efforts to short bursts of 5 to 7 seconds and often grunt, groan, or moan, releasing air through an open glottis. This practice improves oxygenation through synchronized efforts of the uterus and respiratory systems (Osborne, 2014). Research does not support the widespread practice of directed pushing, which has been shown to stress the maternal cardiovascular system, reduce circulating oxygen, and trigger changes in the fetal heart rate. Goer and Romano (2012) found evidence to demonstrate that directed, forceful pushing had the potential to increase pressure on the baby and the umbilical cord, and the tissues of the perineum, resulting in more tears and a weaker pelvic floor musculature which can result in urinary incontinence.

One study (Bloom, 2006) showed that directed pushing shortened the second stage of labor by an average of 13 minutes, which is not considered a significant difference. Given the potential for untoward outcomes associated with directed pushing, the practice should be carefully considered by caregivers who believe that a shortened second stage is a beneficial goal.

### **Clinical Controversies in Second Stage Management**

The optimal duration of the second stage of labor remains an unknown entity, but a growing body of research supports a re-evaluation of long-held beliefs. Physiologically, there is often a period of time after full dilation is achieved when contractions slow down, allowing the woman a period of rest while the infant continues to passively descend. During this time the woman may report little or no urge to assist with spontaneous bearing down efforts. Historically, in 1954 the American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommended that 2 hours be considered the normal length of time from complete dilation to birth for nulliparous women and 1 hour less for the multipara. A recent study by Cheng (2014) suggests that second stage can take as long as 5 hours for a nulliparous women to complete when epidural analgesia is used. In February 2014, ACOG issued a joint statement with the Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (SMFM) relative to current research. They concluded in the “Safe Prevention of Primary Cesarean Delivery” that the risks of increasing the anticipated length of the second stage of labor appear to be “low and incremental.” There was no mention of the use of positioning to facilitate rotation and descent and no acknowledgement that spontaneous pushing might be preferred over prolonged directed pushing. The report did recognize that the continuous presence of support personnel, “such as a doula,” could be one of the most effective tools available to improve labor and delivery outcomes.

### **Closing the Gap Between Research and Practice**

Conflicting beliefs and a resistance to the incorporation of research findings in the clinical setting continue to impact the management of the second stage of labor. Despite irrefutable evidence that prolonged, directed pushing is of limited value and may, in fact, have negative consequences for both mothers and babies, it remains the standard of care in many hospitals. Midwives have generally been more open to the recommended changes than physicians and nurses, who often choose to continue doing what they have always done.

Childbirth educators should continue to teach families about the benefits of approaching birth physiologically and should help them understand how the process is enhanced by an evidence-based approach that includes the following:

- Self- determined positioning throughout the second stage of labor
- Recognition that the length of the second stage is variable and may be prolonged without adverse effects
- Willingness to delay active pushing efforts until the body’s natural urge is recognized
- Continuous labor support provided family members and professional caregivers

Nearly a decade ago, Lamaze International recommended that women opt for upright positioning and spontaneous, rather than directed, pushing efforts. In the intervening years, not a single study has refuted this approach to second stage management. Changing the culture of birth will not be easy but appears inevitable as evidence-based care becomes the expectation throughout health care. The care practices will continue to provide a framework for safe, healthy birth.

## References

- Aasheim, V., Nilsen, A.B., Lukasse, M., & Reinar, I.M. (2011). *Cochrane Database System Review*. Dec7;(12):CD006672. Doi: 10:100w/14651858. CD006672.pub2.
- Albers L.A., Sedler K. D., Bedrick E.J., Teaf D. & Peralta, P. (2006). Factors related to genital tract trauma in normal spontaneous vaginal births. *Birth*, 33(2), 94-100.
- Albers, L.L., Sedler, K.D., Bedrick, E.J., Teaf, D., & Peralta, P. (2005). Midwifery care measures in the second stage of labor and reduction of genital tract trauma at birth: a randomized trial. *Journal of Midwifery Womens Health*, 50(5), 365-372.
- Bloom, S., Casey, B., Schaffer, J., McIntire, D., & Leveno, K. (2006). A randomized trial of coached versus uncoached maternal pushing during the second stage of labor. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 194(1), 10-13.
- Buckley, S. J. (2002). Ecstatic birth the hormonal blueprint of labor. *Mothering Magazine*, March-April, 1-10.
- Caldeyro-Barcia, R. (1979). The influence of maternal bearing down efforts during second-stage on fetal well-being. *Birth*, 6, 17-21.
- Cheng, Y.W., Shaffer, B.L., Nicholson, J. M., & Caughey A. B. (2014). Second stage of labor and epidural use: a larger effect than previously suggested. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 123(3):527-35.
- Declercq, E.R., Sakala, C., Corry, M.P., & Applebaum, S. (2006). *Listening to mothers II: Report of the second national U.S. survey of women's childbearing experiences*. New York: Childbirth Connection.
- Declercq, E.R., Sakala, C., Corry, M. P., Applebaum, S., & Herrlich, A. (2013). *Listening to Mothers III Pregnancy and Birth: Report of the third national U.S. survey of women's childbearing experiences*. New York: Childbirth Connection.
- Goer, H., & Romano, A. (2012). *Optimal care in childbirth the case of a physiologic approach*, Section VI. Seattle, WA: Classic Day Publishing.
- Gupta, J.K., Hofmeyr, G. J., & Shehmar, M. (2012). Position in the second stage of labour for women without epidural anaesthesia. *Cochrane Data Base of Systematic Reviews 2012*, Issue 5. Art. No.: CD002006. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002006.pub3
- Hodnett, E.D., Gates, S., Hofmeyr, G., Sakala, C. (2013). Continuous support for women during childbirth. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2013*, Issue7, Art. No. CD003766.
- Jain, L., & Eaton, D.C. (2006). Physiology of fetal lung fluid clearance and the effect on labor. *Seminars in Perinatology*, 30(1):34-43.
- Janni W., Schiessl, B., Peschers, U., Huber, S., Stobl, B., Hantschmann, P., et al. (2002). The prognostic impact of a prolonged second stage of labor on maternal and fetal outcome. *Acta Obstetrica et Gynecologica Scandinavica*, 81(3), 214-221.
- Johnson, N., Johnson, V., & Gupta, J. (1991). Maternal positions during labor. *Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey*, 46(7), 428-434.
- Mayberry, L.J., Wood, S.H., Strange, L.B., Lee, L., Heisler, D.R., & Nielsen-Smith, K. (2000). *Second-stage management: promotion of evidence-based practice and a collaborative approach to patient care*. Washington, DC: Association of Women's Health, Obstetric and Neonatal Nurses (AWHONN).

Osborne, K. &. (2014). Labor Down or Bear Down: a strategy to translate second-stage labor evidence to perinatal practice. *Journal of Perinatal and Neonatal Nursing*, 28(2), 117-126.

Prins, M., Boem, J., Lucas, C., Hutton, E. (2011). Effect of spontaneous pushing versus Valsalva pushing in the second stage of labor on mother and fetus: a systematic review of randomized trials. *British Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 118(6), 662-70.doi:10.1111/j.1471-0528.2011.02910.x.Epub 2011 Mar.10.

Roberts, J., & Hanson, L. (2007). Best practices in second stage labor care: Maternal bearing down and positioning. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 53(3), 238-245.

Safe prevention of the primary cesarean delivery. (2014). Obstetric Care Consensus No. 1. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. *Obstet. Gynecol*, 123, 693-711.

Schaffer, J., Bloom, S., Casey, B., McIntire, D., Nihira, M., & Leveno, K. (2006). A randomized trial of the effects of coached vs. un-coached maternal pushing during the second stage of labor on postpartum pelvic floor structure and function. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 192(5), 1692-1696.

Shorten, A., Donsante, J., & Shorten, B. (2002) Birth position, accoucheur, and perineal outcomes: Informing women about choices for vaginal birth. *Birth*, 29(1), 18-27.

Simkin, P., & Ancheta, R. (2011). *The labor progress handbook: Early interventions to prevent and treat dystocia*. Iowa: Wiley-Blackwell.

Stremler, R., Hodnett E., Petryshen, P., Stevens, B., Weston, J., & Willan, A.R. (2005). Randomized controlled trial of hands-and-knees positioning for occipitoposterior position in labor. *Birth*, 32(4), 243-251.

Tuuli, M.G., Frey, H.S., Odibo, A.O., Marrcones, G.A., & Cahill, A.G. (2012). Immediate compared with delayed pushing in second stage labor: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* Sept. 120(3), 660-668.

## AUTHOR BIOS

Joyce T. DiFranco has been a Lamaze Certified Childbirth Educator for 30 years and a teacher trainer for 25 years. She is currently retired.

Marilyn Curl has been a member of Lamaze since 1979. She is a past president, member of the Certification Council and Chair of Accreditation. She is currently working as an interim nurse manager in a rural hospital in eastern Washington State.

Correspondence regarding this article should be directed to Joyce Thomas Di Franco, RN, BSN, LCCE, FACCE, 6926 Maycroft Dr., Rancho Palos Verdes, CA 90275. E-mail: [LittlePeg@aol.com](mailto:LittlePeg@aol.com)