Date: June 3, 2020

To: Michael P. Luisi, Chairman, MAMFC

From: Paul J. Rago, Ph.D., Chair, MAFMC Scientific and Statistical Committee

Subject: Report of the May 2020 SSC Meeting

The SSC met via webinar on the 12th and 13th of May, 2020 to address the following topics: (1) election of a new vice Chair of the SSC, (2) review products and presentations from the Illex Working Group, (3) review the 2020 Illex fishing year specifications and make recommendations for 2021 ABC, (4) review climate habitat vulnerability analyses, (5) revise and update changes to OFL CV document; and under Other Business, (6) address internal details for SSC species/topic leads, and discuss the National SSC meeting (Attachment 1).

All 20 of the SSC members participated in the meeting on May 12th and May 13th (Attachment 2). Due to the Covid 19 pandemic the meeting was held entirely via webinar. Support of Council staff was superb and allowed the meeting to proceed smoothly.

The meeting opened with the election of a new vice Chair to replace Tom Miller who had served as vice Chair for more than a decade. Michael Wilberg was nominated by Lee Anderson. No additional nominations were received from the floor. The SSC unanimously approved Mike as the vice Chair. Mike has been a member of the SSC since 2008 and a leader in the development of quantitative methods used by the SSC. Notably these include the methodologies underlying the application of risk policies for setting ABCs.

Tom Miller was thanked for his exemplary service. Gavin Fay, newly appointed to the SSC but unable to attend the March SSC meeting, was recognized. A large number of participants from the Council, Council staff, NEFSC and GARFO staff, industry, and the general public attended the meeting via webinar. Documents referenced in this report and related presentations can be accessed via the SSC’s meeting website (https://www.mafmc.org/ssc-meetings/2020/may-12-13).

I wish to thank Tom Miller, Geret DePiper, and Sarah Gaichas for their meeting notes which greatly facilitated preparation of this report. I also thank Brandon Muffley and Jason Didden for helpful reviews of an earlier draft.
SHORTFIN SQUID

The remainder of the first day of the meeting was devoted entirely to shortfin squid. A total of 21 working papers and related documents were prepared for review by the SSC. All of the working papers were prepared in advance of the meeting and posted on the Council website. In order to efficiently address these working papers and the terms of reference a detailed agenda was followed (Attachment 3). Primary authors of each working paper were allowed between 10 and 15 minutes to highlight the primary conclusions and answer questions from the SSC. Members of the public were also offered the chance to comment and ask questions. Following the individual presentations, a general discussion period occurred prior to SSC discussion of the formal Terms of Reference. I contributed several papers to the Illex Working Group, made presentations and was supported by the Council. I therefore recused myself from the discussions related to determination of the ABC and offered only points of clarification when asked by the SSC. Tom Miller, the SSC lead for Illex, led the review of the working papers and Terms of Reference to the SSC.

Review of Illex Working Papers

The reviews began with an overview of rationale for Working Group and literature/management review. Quotas in 2017 to 2019 limited catches, possibly reflecting a new regime of Illex productivity and motivating a more detailed examination of current catch limits. Short-term goals of the Working Group were to understand the state of the science; medium-term goals include adding environmental drivers into analyses.

From a global perspective, management of squid populations is difficult and/or expensive. Despite substantial investments, assessments have been characterized by high uncertainty owing to the short life span and poorly understood dynamics of squid. The SSC discussed the approaches used for NAFO assessments and the potential applicability of such measures to the US stock area. However, staff concluded that this approach, relying primarily on survey ratios, is unlikely to be useful for the US given the seasonal timing of bottom trawl surveys. Most recent NAFO assessment noted that 2019 biomass levels extremely high, potentially moving to a high productivity state, but quotas have not changed.

Results of the industry-sponsored Illex Summit, (Nov. 25-26, 2019) were presented. The Summit focused as a forum for engaging industry directly in the scientific process and bringing industry, science and policy experts together for constructive dialog. Four members of the SSC participated in the Summit. Industry members were concerned by the inflexibility of management, particularly in the last 3 years and noted that industry perspectives of availability would be useful for guiding science-based management. Uncertainties about the role of oceanographic processes were addressed extensively as was the importance of world squid markets as primary determinants of price, and the utility of cooperative research. Price is a primary driver of fishing effort but processing capacity and vessel type (ice/refrigerated sea water/freezer) are also important factors. Results of the Summit were highly influential for directing efforts of the Illex Working Group.
A review of previous cooperative research efforts since 1995 and initial analyses of LPUE (through 2018) from Vessel Trip Reports (VTR) followed. Biological sampling of landings has increased since 1995 as have cooperative research efforts. A primary challenge for all measures of relative abundance is the distinction between availability and true abundance. Real-time measures of abundance have been proposed as a way of addressing this dilemma, but the presence of an offshore population of unknown size complicates all efforts.

Initial LPUE modeling investigations of the VTR data suggest the importance of year, season and vessel as primary determinants of predicted relative abundance/availability. These basic patterns were affirmed in analyses of study fleet data. Seasonal patterns of catch rates fluctuated among years suggesting that interactions among these factors were important. These changes may also reflect changing geographical patterns across years. The congruence of patterns between study fleet-based measures and VTR is promising and suggests the need for more detailed comparisons. The composition of the overall fishing fleet is changing in recent years with the inclusion of more ice boats and conversions of freezer boats to RSW vessels.

Bottom trawl survey data from NEFSC and NEAMAP partners were combined to develop an overall probability of occurrence spatial map for the Northeast shelf using a software package known as VAST (Vector Autoregressive Spatio-Temporal). Comparison of these maps with estimates of the spatial footprint of the fishery (based on VTR data) revealed a low degree of overlap with the survey area irrespective of the cutoff criterion used for the probability of occurrence. Youden’s J statistic was suggested as an additional measure of spatial overlap for consideration. Because the surveyed areas represent only a fraction of the known distribution of Illex, the results of these analyses suggest substantial opportunity for escapement of squid to unfished areas.

The size of landed squid varies seasonally and annually. Monitoring of body weight has been conducted since the mid 1990’s by both federal port agents and via a cooperative program with industry. In the cooperative program, weekly or near weekly data were collected by industry and transferred to NEFSC for keypunching and analyses. Comparisons of the two data sets reveal substantial differences that may be due to different sampling protocols. Industry-supplied samples were based on individual measurements rather than bulk samples, and were therefore more readily interpretable. Analyses suggested significant differences across years in the rate of change of average weights over weeks. Such changes reflect the combined effects of variable growth, contributions of multiple cohorts, and migrations into and out of the fishing area. In collaboration with industry and the NEFSC, the MAFMC has funded a study to age squid samples that may help disentangle these combined effects.

Following lunch additional papers were summarized related to identification of system state (poor, average, good), estimation of fishing mortality, and in-season detection. Multivariate methods were demonstrated to have value for classifying years including discriminant analyses, tree regressions, and cluster analyses. Variables suggested by industry included average weight of squid, price and vessel capacity appeared to be good predictors. Variations in price within a season were not factored into the analyses but industry had reported that fluctuations within a year were typically small relative to changes between years.
Lesli-Davis depletion models have been used in some assessments worldwide but violations of underlying assumptions suggested that this methodology did not reliably detect the influence of catch on LPUE. Commenters noted that the absence of significant results was an indirect indicator of likely low fishing mortality.

The envelope method, previously utilized by the SSC for analysis of butterfish, reinforced the notion that fishing mortality was likely very low. Survey and catch data were independently used to develop a plausible range of population sizes based on a broad range of assumed fishing and natural mortality rates, gear efficiency and availability. The resulting envelope of population sizes could then be used to derive a range of feasible fishing mortality rates for comparison with reference points. Results suggested that maximum weekly fishing mortality rates of about 0.06 were less than half of proposed reference points based on 40% MSP published in the literature.

Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) data can be filtered by vessel speed and combined with average net widths by permit, to derive swept area estimates of fishing effort spatially. Using data from 2017 to 2019, analyses suggested that fishing activity was highly concentrated in a relatively small number of cells (6.99 nm$^2$ each), but that the overall area swept by the fishery was small (<960 nm$^2$ in 2019). Additional sensitivity analyses suggested that the maximum fishing mortality rate over the entire stock area was less than 0.54 over a 24-week fishing season (or about 0.023 per week). The VMS analyses could be useful for incorporating results from other studies of fishermen behavior (e.g. decisions to move to new fishing areas), estimates of density differences between fished and unfished areas, and potentially, the effects of price on fishing behavior.

Two papers on in-season detection of fishing status (good, average, poor) were also presented. The challenge is to find statistically significant differences prior to attainment of the quota. Total catch and average body weight were tested as response variables using a Cusum method. The Cusum method is often used in applications of statistical quality control. Detection of such changes in the fishery, particularly if catch rates and body size suggest a better than average year could be used to trigger a change in quota and prevent an early shutdown of the fishery. These response variables were chosen because they are currently being collected and might be feasible to implement in real time with only modest additional investment. The presenter and commenters noted several important areas of refinement including estimation of variances, validation of detection probabilities, and alternative methods for defining seasonal patterns. A potential extension of the algorithm to multiple indicators was also discussed. In terms of actual application of the method, it would be important to define ahead of time, acceptable error rates for false positives and false negatives, as well as critical timing for decision making (e.g., drop dead dates). Commenters noted the value of doing out of sample predictions for the Cusum approach.

Collectively the papers stimulated much discussion within the SSC. Commenters noted that methods used in the Falklands rely on identification of cohorts coming into the fishery using decomposition of polymodal length frequencies. Such methods are doable but are unlikely to be sufficiently timely for practical management especially since growth rates appear to vary annually with temperature regimes. The concept of computing escapement ratios was proposed and the Envelope method was modified to examine historical survey data with the assumption
that 30,000 mt had been caught in each year. Results of the hypothetical scenario suggested that the mid-range of escapement ratios ranged from 0.4 to 0.8 over the period 1967 to 2019.

Public comment

Reports from the Illex Working Group were well received by public commenters who appreciated the extensive incorporation of industry data in the analyses. Several commenters suggested moving directly to 30,000 mt as a quota given the low overlap between the fishery and the resource, and apparent low fishing mortality. It was noted that exclusion of coral zones and the low rate of fishing in Canada also provide substantial escapement opportunity. Expansion of the study fleet was also suggested as a productive future activity. One commenter noted that there may be utility in having additional fine scale information on catch rates and size compositions from fishermen after the fishery had closed officially.

Concerns were expressed that a phased implementation of quota increase, contingent on real-time information as identified in the staff recommendation, could be problematic. Nonetheless industry representatives unanimously pledged to continue supplying information for real-time management. Commenters recognized that many details regarding sample size, risk tolerance, chain of custody for samples, responsibilities for analyses, and reporting to managers. In particular, a time line for approximately 10 weeks after the start of the fishing season would be essential for implementation of a quota change to be economically feasible for industry.

ABC Determination

Following the extended period of discussion of the working papers and public comments, the SSC addressed the Terms of Reference for Shortfin Squid Responses by the SSC to the Terms of Reference (in italics) provided by the MAFMC are as follows:

1. Review the current 2020 Illex Acceptable Biological Catch (ABC) of 26,000 MT and determine if an ABC adjustment is warranted. If so, please specify an adjusted 2020 Illex ABC and provide any rationale and justification for the adjustment. If appropriate, specify any metrics the GARFO could monitor in 2020 to trigger an in-season ABC modification;

The SSC reviewed the material developed by the MAFMC Illex Working Group (WG) and the NEFSC and found clear evidence to support an adjustment of the 2020 ABC (26,000 mt). The WG analyses strengthened SSC contention in its 2017 ABC specification that the stock has been lightly exploited. Analyses conducted by the WG indicated that fishing activity from 2000-2018 occurred in 2-10% of the available shelf habitat occupied by Illex squid (Wright et al. 2020 ms). True values of the availability of squid to the fishery are likely lower given the full distributional range of this species. An analysis of VMS data, together with assumptions regarding gear efficiency, potential depletion thresholds, and the relative densities of squid in fished and unfished areas suggested that credible ranges of seasonal fishing mortality rates on squid that vary by about 30-fold, ranging from $F \approx 0.01–0.3$ with a values $<F=0.1$ being most likely (Rago 2020a; Rago 2020 b). Other methods to estimate $F$ often led to negative estimates, most likely because fishing mortality rates are insufficiently high to provide a clear signal to be reliably estimated in such models (Rago 2020d). A review
of the life history of *Illex* suggested that it is likely highly resilient to low levels of exploitation because of the presence of multiple cohorts, batch spawning and increased fecundity levels resulting from the presence of larger squid in the population than were present when fecundity was estimated originally.

The SSC recommends an ABC for *Illex* squid for 2020 of **30,000 mt**, based on the upper limit of values evaluated in the EA documents currently approved by GARFO. Evidence reviewed by the SSC leads it to believe that harvests in the range of 18,000-30,000 mt are unlikely to result in overfishing of the *Illex* stock. The SSC requested additional analysis from Paul Rago which confirmed that this level of ABC did not materially affect the range of estimates of F in the envelope analysis.

The SSC applauds the continued cooperation among the industry and federal and academic scientists to support exploration of real time management (e.g., Rago 2020e, f). However, the SSC believes that the specifics of the implementation of real time management for *Illex* remain sufficiently poorly identified which prevents implementation in the 2020 fishing year. The SSC strongly supports, as an active, ongoing research recommendation, to continue exploration of options by the *Illex* WG to support real time management of this stock, including factors that would trigger an in-season change in regulations, and the magnitude and direction of such a change.

2) Specify a 2021 *Illex* ABC (in weight) and provide any rationale and justification. If appropriate, specify any metrics the SSC could examine in late 2020 or 2021 to determine if any 2021 ABC modification might be appropriate;

The SSC recommend an ABC for *Illex* squid for 2021 of **30,000 mt**. This value is based the determination that catches in the range of 18,000-30,000 mt are unlikely to result in overfishing.

The SSC recommends that a wide range of catch levels be evaluated for the purposes of NEPA requirements pending results from the *Illex* WG

The SSC has insufficient information to recommend any specific metric that could be used to trigger adjustment of the 2021 ABC. The SSC strongly recommends that the Council continues to support work by the *Illex* WG efforts to identify and evaluate management procedures and control rules that may be used in future years. Such evaluation should seek to identify specific data needs, methods to ensure transparent data custody, and to understand regulatory requirements that would ensure efficient and effective implementation.

3) The most significant sources of scientific uncertainty associated with determination of the ABC;

The SSC notes the following important sources of uncertainty in determining the ABC for *Illex* squid.

1) Lack of an accepted stock assessment model and associated OFL means that data poor approaches are required to establish an ABC.
2) Incomplete understanding of *Illex* squid life history, phenology and distribution limit development of appropriate reference points. This uncertainty includes lack of (i) knowledge of the stock area, (ii) the productivity of the stock within that stock area and (iii) the portion of the stock outside of surveyed areas.

3) Incomplete fishery-independent data covering the distribution of *Illex* in both fished and unfished areas of their distributions.

4) Limited understanding of the factors controlling availability of *Illex* squid to the fishery.

5) Limited understanding of the impact of climate and environmental factors on recruitment, growth and understanding of *Illex* squid dynamics.

6) Interplay of *Illex* availability to the fishery with the global supply of alternative squid product affects the distribution and level of fishing effort.

7) Internal within season feedbacks within the fishery that affect the distribution and level of fishing effort.

8) Impacts of fishery closures on our understanding of *Illex* squid growth and distribution.

4) Provide any research, data, and/or assessment considerations for the 2021 *Illex* research track assessment;

Based on its 2020 deliberations, the SSC recommends the following work, several of which re-emphasize research recommendations the SSC made in its May 2017 report to the Council:

- Evaluate stock assessment methodologies with a sub-annual time step, undertaking cooperative research with the fishing industry. Such assessment methodologies should seek to support in season management.
- Collect demographic information on growth, maturation, mortality, and reproduction by sex, season, and cohort to estimate and evaluate the level and changes in stock productivity.
- Evaluate the potential to collect real time spatial and temporal data on catch and biological characteristics of the catch to support in season management.
- Undertake fishery-independent data covering the distribution of *Illex* in both fished and unfished areas of their distributions.
- Continue work to evaluate factors controlling the availability of *Illex* squid to the fishery.
- Landings time series show evidence of strong autocorrelation. As a result work should evaluate the impact of climate and environmental factors on recruitment, growth and understanding of *Illex* squid dynamics.
- Evaluate the benefits of a post-season, industry run survey to provide additional information on squid growth, distribution and dynamics.
- Explore the influence of market factors, including price, on fleet activity and its relationship to squid abundance.

Beyond the Research Track Assessment, the SSC recommends the *Illex* WG establish, in parallel:
• Protocols that would be required for RTM in 2020 moving forward. This could include developing management scenarios, coincident with revised NEPA bounds of ABC, evaluating and testing the mechanism for expanding or contracting ABCs above an initial year ABC through the use of triggers, and including evaluation of biological and economic risks and benefits of such management scenarios.
• Simulation evaluations of potential in season management procedures to evaluate their potential performance prior to implementation to support implementation of real time management.
  • Alternative in season triggering approaches, including machine learning algorithms and statistical control theory approaches.

5) The materials considered by the SSC in reaching its recommendations;

• 2020 Staff ABC recommendation to the SSC
• 2020 Illex AP report
• 2020 Illex AP Summary, Dated 2020-05-11
• Hendrickson, L. (2020a ms). Data requested by the MAFMC’s SSC Illex Working Group. MAFMC SSC Illex WG ms
• Hendrickson, L. (2020b) Characterization of body weight data from the landings of northern shortfin squid (Illex illecebrosus) and preliminary annual landings-per-unit-effort for the southern (USA) stock component. MAFMC SSC Illex WG ms
• Jones, A. W., B, L. Wright, J. P Manderson, A. M. Mercer (2020). An investigation of fine-scale CPUE for northern shortfin squid (Illex illecebrosus) using NEFSC study fleet data. MAFMC SSC Illex WG ms
• Rago, P. J. (2020b). Application of envelope method to Illex squid. MAFMC SSC Illex WG ms
• Rago, P. J. (2020c). Identification of indicators of fishery condition and relative abundance for Illex. MAFMC SSC Illex WG ms
• Rago, P. J. (2020d). On the potential use of Leslie Davis depletion model for estimating population size for Illex squid. MAFMC SSC Illex WG ms
• Rago, P. J. (2020 g). Supplement to envelope analysis to evaluate impacts of a 30,000 mt ABC. ms
6) A conclusion that the recommendations provided by the SSC are based on scientific information the SSC believes meets the applicable National Standard guidelines for best scientific information available.

To the best of the SSC's knowledge, these recommendations are based on the best available scientific information.

**Further Comments on the Illex Working Group**

On the second day of the meeting the SSC affirmed its broad support for an increase in the ABC to 30,000 mt and desire to further refine and test real-time monitoring. It also acknowledged the progress of the Illex Working Group. While the methodologies developed by the Working Group collectively establish that the current level of exploitation is low, they do not, at present, establish the potential magnitude of quota adjustments that would be admissible under the Council’s risk policy. SSC members recognized the dilemma of both creating the assessment architecture and reviewing it. These quantities would necessarily be the result of the Research Track Assessment, scheduled for 2021.

The SSC recognized the liabilities of an unfocused data collection program and suggested further work on the details before implementing. Members noted that many technical details need to be worked out regarding procurement, analyses, specific triggers, and timing of decisions for real time management.

Illex was characterized as a resilient species with high fecundity and multiple cohorts per year although the limits of this resiliency are unknown. The implications of maturation patterns and semelparity have been evaluated in the literature with respect to biological reference points but not with respect to resiliency. Hence it is important to characterize what overfishing might look like (e.g., biological attributes) and how it would be measured.

Analyses of the VMS data suggest several avenues for more refined data, particularly the differences in density of Illex in areas with and without intensive fishing. Another potential input from harvesters would be the criteria used for moving from one fishing area to another over the course of a trip. Consideration should also be given to potential behavioral responses of fishermen to the monitoring of catch and probability of in-season increases.

A management strategy evaluation (MSE) was proposed as integrated approach toward focusing the assessment, the data collection programs and approaches for real-time detection, but no details were provided. Machine learning algorithms may also have some utility for identification of system state, but no work on this for Illex has been conducted. Having an ABC closer to the global maximum would be a more useful starting point for in-season adjustments based on triggers.
Future management regulations should consider a wider range of catch options in the Environmental Analyses to allow for greater range of allowable catches. This might also confer a greater opportunity for accelerated rule making with a quota revision by mid August or early September. Regional Office staff noted that regulatory decisions are more difficult when discretionary authority is given in plan. The short life span exempts them from ACL provisions under the MSA, conferring additional flexibility to future management. Having a hardwired change in quota based on well-defined trigger(s) was viewed as an ideal option for rapid decision making.

HABITAT VULNERABILITY REVIEW

The second day of the meeting began with a presentation by Mark Nelson (NOAA Fisheries HQ) on the methods and draft results of the Habitat Climate Vulnerability Assessment (HCVA)

The approach is similar to that used for Northeast Fish Climate Vulnerability Assessment (NEVA)1. It begins with a definition of habitat types (Marine, Estuarine Riverine, etc.) followed by definitions of subclasses within. Each habitat type is assigned a sensitivity level by a range of subject matter experts and the overall score is determined on the basis of sensitivity and exposure. Exposure is based on climate scenarios prepared by the IPCC; for this analysis the RCP 8.5 scenario was used. The regional ocean model from ESRL Boulder was used to overlay exposure projections with the habitat maps from multiple sources.

The modeling of impacts on habitat employed used approaches that may be useful for future analyses by the SSC for the State of the Ecosystem (SOE) including
- A “logic model” for scoring attributes against 4 thresholds: low, moderate, high, and very high.
- Sophisticated visual integration technique to compare habitat distribution with projections.
- Bootstrapping to show uncertainty in rankings.

Discussions focused on the linkages to the SOE report, the EAFM risk assessment, links to fish vulnerability assessments and other products that benefit from integration of spatial information. Following the previous day’s emphasis on the pelagic Illex species it was noted that most of the work focuses on the bottom habitat rather than water column which may be considered as dynamic habitat. Presenters noted that this topic had been discussed extensively during the development phase but that all water column habitats had low vulnerability. Commenters noted that the assumed persistence of these traits in the future may be an important consequence of climate change. Although not strictly a consequence of climate change, it was noted that in the shorter term ongoing human activities (dredging, hypoxia, red tides, fishing effort) are likely to exacerbate the effects of climate change.

Several commenters noted the need to link the habitat vulnerability analyses with species vulnerability. For example, species with very different life histories sometimes have equal scores but the habitat drivers may be entirely different. It was acknowledged that a link with the NEVA process was planned. One member suggested the use of life table approaches as a mechanism for such research. Vulnerability of habitats to invasive species was also raised as a potential effect by commenters. Presenters requested some suggestions on appropriate species for more in-depth analyses.

Finally, it was noted that it may be useful to consider the risk-reward tradeoffs of human activity in the analyses. Presenters commented that this was beyond the current research tasks but could be incorporated in the future. Public comments also included questions on how non-climate factors, such as wind energy development could be incorporated. Habitat sensitivity did include consideration of offshore wind farms, shoreline hardening and so forth; these factors will be included in the narratives when the final report is written.

The session concluded by noting the HCVA approach is a novel extension of the Hare et al. methodology and provides a foundation for future research as well as providing linkages to other issues (wind). Questions remain regarding the ability of the methodology to capture fine scale spatial and temporal events such as ocean fronts and their utility for oceanic species such as Illex. Much depends on the stationarity of such features in the future. Another key area for further development is the interaction with other anthropogenic affects.

**REVIEW OF OFL CV GUIDANCE DOCUMENT**

The guidance document for the definition and application of the uncertainty of OFL estimates continues to evolve. The SSC discussed a set of changes proposed since the September 2019 SSC meeting. The changes related to technical or interpretive issues were resolved fairly quickly. For example, the implications of retrospective analyses and adjustments for bias for determination of an appropriate CV level were addressed. No clear consensus emerged but numerous individuals supported classification based on Mohn’s rho estimates. Incorporation of ecosystem considerations also led to several suggested improvements, including Habitat Vulnerability information reviewed earlier in the day. Minor wording changes were also suggested for consideration of trends in recruitment.

Changes related to philosophical issues generated considerable discussion. The primary topic was the proposed implementation of a small working group to develop a draft decision matrix and narrative for the CV determination. The criteria for filling out the matrix are highly technical and need to be done by individuals with deep knowledge of the overall assessment and underlying technical papers. Summoning such information extemporaneously while in plenary session is both difficult and inefficient. Hence it was recognized that having a working draft for discussion ahead of an SSC meeting was efficient and would ensure greater factual accuracy in the summary. On the other hand, the absence of open discussion during the preparation of this document could be construed as violating transparency principles and a product of subjective biases.

After much discussion it was agreed that the OFL CV matrix and narrative was to be a product of the SSC but noted that it would be valuable to consult with the lead assessment scientists to
ensure accuracy. It was further agreed that no draft determination of the overall CV would be made prior to the plenary meeting of the SSC but that a template of accurate information relevant to the criteria was essential for efficient operation of the meeting. It is anticipated that the timing for development of information prior to the meeting would be difficult under the best of circumstances but that the process should get easier after a few assessment iterations.

A compromise position was reached in which the species lead from the SSC would work with the Council staff lead for the stock and the chief scientist, the chair and vice-chair of the SSC, and consult as necessary with the assessment lead to develop a draft OFL CV matrix and narrative for consideration by the full SSC in open session. Importantly, the factual information in the matrix would not be assigned to bins nor would the narrative arrive at a summary conclusion for the appropriate OFL CV level.

Public commenters noted that the industry appreciated the openness of the process and discussions but would continue to watch the process closely.

At their June meeting the Council will need to approve the recommended changes.

OTHER BUSINESS

National Scientific Coordination Subcommittee (SCS): Every two years the Council Coordination Committee (CCC) organizes a theme-oriented meeting of all the Council’s SSCs. The purpose of the meetings is to allow for the exchange of ideas and approaches across council SSCs as well as to address themes of national significance. Concerns about spread of the COVID 19 pandemic led the Steering Committee to recommend postponement of the 2020 meeting that the North Pacific Council had planned to host in Sitka, Alaska, August 4-6, 2020 until the summer of 2021. The SSC noted that the planned theme, application of ecosystem indicators into stock assessments, consideration of interacting species, and the assessment of species exhibiting distributional changes, will be applicable to work of the SSC in 2021.

Species Leads. The SSC assigns members (one biologist and one social scientist) to serve as species leads for each stock managed stock and for special topics such as ecosystem-based fishery management. Species leads are responsible for maintaining an in-depth knowledge of the stock’s fishery and assessment, as well as leading discussions when the SSC sets ABCs for the species. Follow circulation of the list of current species leads, several changes were made at the meeting. Alexei Sharov will become the new species lead for golden tilefish, complementing his role as a member of the South Atlantic Fisheries Management Council’s SSC. John Boreman will become the species lead for scup. Sarah Gaichas will continue to serve as the ecosystems topic lead but will be assisted by Rob Latour when Sarah is presenting the State of the Ecosystem report. In addition, a new topic lead on Energy development/wind farms was added. Dave Secor will serve as the biological lead (a socio-economic lead has not yet been assigned). The revised list of species and topic leads can be found on the Council’s SSC webpage at: https://www.mafmc.org/ssc).

NRCC Meeting and Joint Council-SSC Meeting . The SSC was informed that the NRCC would be meeting on May 14 and considering the postponement of the Atlantic mackerel Management Track Assessment review because of the unavailability of Canadian data for 2019. The NRCC
will also be making recommendations for the 2025 Research Track Assessments. Following a similar meeting in 2019, Council would like a joint meeting with the SSC in October in Riverhead, NY. The SSC will consider and identify potential topics to address during the joint meeting later in the year.
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AGENDA

Tuesday, May 12, 2020

10:00 Welcome/Overview of meeting agenda (P. Rago)
10:05 Election of SSC Vice-Chair
10:10 Review of Illex Workgroup products (J. Didden/ P. Rago)
12:00 Lunch
1:00 Continue review of Illex Workgroup products
3:00 Review and potential change to 2020 Illex ABC specifications and set 2021 Illex ABC
   • Review of staff memo and 2020 - 2021 ABC recommendations (J. Didden)
   • SSC 2020 – 2021 Illex ABC recommendations (T. Miller)
5:30 Adjourn

Wednesday, May 13, 2020

9:00 Northeast Climate Habitat Vulnerability Assessment (E. Farr, NMFS)
10:00 Review/follow-up of Illex discussion, if necessary
10:30  Review updates and changes to OFL CV Guidance Document

11:30  Other business
   • National SSC meeting
   • SSC species/topic leads for 2020

12:30  Adjourn

Note: agenda topic times are approximate and subject to change
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<tr>
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</tr>
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<td>Paul Rago (SSC Chairman)</td>
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<td>University of Maryland – CBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ed Houde</td>
<td>University of Maryland – CBL (emeritus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Secor</td>
<td>University of Maryland – CBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Boreman</td>
<td>NOAA Fisheries (retired)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geret DePiper</td>
<td>NOAA Fisheries NEFSC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Anderson</td>
<td>University of Delaware (emeritus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jorge Holzer</td>
<td>University of Maryland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yan Jiao</td>
<td>Virginia Tech University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rob Latour</td>
<td>VIMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Rothschild</td>
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<td>MAFMC staff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brandon Muffley</td>
<td>MAFMC staff</td>
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<th>Duration</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Presenter</th>
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<td>0:10</td>
<td>Opening remarks, description of review process</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20 AM</td>
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<td>1:00</td>
<td>SSC Discussion and Recommendations</td>
<td>Miller</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5:30 PM</td>
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