Are tobacco taxes increasing smoking ine

Objective

Estimate the differential impact of cigarette taxes on smoking by education.

Background

- Taxes are effective at reducing smoking [1].
- Prior work suggests stronger effects of taxes among disadvantaged [2]:
- Educational inequalities in tobacco smoking are increasing in Canada:



Figure 1: Smoking prevalence by education, 1999-2012

We take advantage of recent changes in provincial cigarette taxes:



Figure 2: Provincial cigarette taxes, 2002-2012

¹Epidemiology, Biostatistics & Occupational Health, McGill University ²Economics, McGill University

Design: Quasi-experiment

- Main problem: endogeneity of smoking.
- We used **changes** in tobacco taxes between 2002 and 2012 across Canadian provinces to identify the effect of taxes on smoking by education:



Bottom Line

Increasing taxes on cigarettes appears insufficient to reduce the education gap in smoking.

Data (2002-2012)

Smoking behaviors:

Canadian Tobacco Use Monitoring Surveys

- Smoking participation: weekly smoker
- Smoking frequency (cigs per week)
- Demographics (age, sex, marital, language)

Policy data:

- Excise taxes and effective dates extracted from Canadian Tax Foundation
- Potential confounders of policy changes and smoking:
- Smoke-free policy laws
- Retail sales tax rates
- Unemployment rates

|--|

S.Harper¹ P.Manivong¹ E.C.Strumpf^{1,2}

Statistical Methods

Smoking participation: logistic model	\$1 tax increased frequency by 2.8 cigs/wk
 Smoking frequency: 2-part model: 	(1.4,4.3) among lowest educated

- initiation \rightarrow logit
- frequency ~> negative binomial

 Model: regression-based difference-in-differences, by education:

 $Y_{ipt} = \alpha + \beta_{pt} T_{pt} E_{ipt} + \gamma_{ipt} Z_{ipt} + \delta_p E_{ipt} + \theta_t E_{ipt}$

- E = individual education
- T = excise tax on 200 cigarettes
- Z = age, gender, language, other policies
- $\delta_p =$ province fixed effects
- $\theta_t = \text{year fixed effects}$

Results: Smoking Participation

\$1 tax increased smoking participation 2.4 (1.3,3.5) points among lowest educated. • Generally null effect on average.



Figure 3: Effect of taxes on being a weekly smoker

Recent cigarette tax increases do not appear effective at reducing average smoking and may contribute to widening inequalities.

W

per

Email: sam.harper@mcgill.ca Web: http://samharper.org Follow: @sbh4th



anadian evidence

Results: Smoking Frequency



Figure 4: Effect of taxes on smoking frequency

Conclusion

References

[1] Chaloupka FJ et al. Effectiveness of tax and price policies in tobacco control. Tobacco Control, 2011;20:235-8.

[2] Goldin J, Homonoff T. Smoke Gets in Your Eyes: Cigarette Tax Salience and Regressivity. American Economic Journal: Economic Policy 2013;5:302-36.

Acknowledgements

SH and ECS were supported by Chercheur Boursier awards from the Fonds de la Recherche du Québec-Santé and the Ministère de la Santé et des Services sociaux du Québec. PM was supported by the Canadian Institutes for Health Research Operating Grant (115214).

Contact Information





