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Abstract

Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) and similarly lead groups make up a vast amount of groups in both America and around the world. They are led in a laissez fair manner and are often open groups where anybody is allowed to attend. First the functioning of groups is looked at though the lens of an individual case study of an AA group meeting. This functioning of groups has three things that are looked into; (1) evidence based practice, (2) group dynamics and (3) group composition. Then the process of an AA group meeting is also discussed. Finally a personal reaction to the AA group meeting and facilitation type is addressed.
Alcoholics Anonymous: Group Facilitation as a Non-Professional Group Leader

AA Group Dynamics and Composition

Group work is a very important topic for social workers. The majority of social workers will lead or be a part of at least some sort of a group during their career. While it is important for a worker to know how to lead a group, it is also vital that they know how to evaluate a group and systematically look at the various aspects of groups. To look at how this can be done a simple case study of Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) will be used. It must be noted that although AA has specific guidelines to how meetings are ran, they are not ran by paid professionals and the facilitation varies across the network.

The aspects that the worker should be able to look at systematically can be divided into three categories: evidence based practice, group dynamics, and composition. Evidence based practice deals with looking into the theoretical foundations of the group and the research that has been done on the group. Helliker (2006) describes AA as the following:

It is hardly scandalous that A.A. hasn't undergone the most rigorous of scientific testing. Evidence-based medicine is designed to root out false marketing claims and unnecessary costs. A.A. makes no marketing claims and charges no fees. Instead of being handed scientific literature, newcomers to A.A. hear existing members tell how they used the program to get sober. Evidence-based medicine is also designed to compare treatments. (¶ 7)

It is this same format that allows them to be run non-professionally. Smart and Mann (2000) say that it is the member’s testimony that is a key factor in the acceptance of various self-help strategies. Whatever the case, there is evidence showing that groups such as AA can be effective, but it does not work for everybody. Looking at the evidence based practice of groups is just the first step in assessing their effectiveness.
Group dynamics deals with structure of the group. For example, there are both AA groups that are open and ones that are closed. An open group is one that anybody is allowed to attend, and group membership is not required. Due to ethical considerations, the AA group meeting that was studied was an open group. At the session there was 12 attendees (not counting myself and my fellow social work student). The members seemed to be familiar with each other, greeting each other by first names and making assuming comments about group attendance (“oh… there is Jesse”). Many of the members during there sharing time talked about their group attendance. One of the members mentioned that they were attending four or five groups a week, but was not as active now.

Closely associated with dynamics is the composition of a group. Group composition deals with the types of members that are attending. Although the group was an open group, all the attendees are alcoholics (other then the outside observers). This was shown again when each member would have their time to talk. The dialog would go something similar to as follows;

Sharing member: “Hi, my name is Bob and I’m an alcoholic.”

Group: “Hi Bob.”

Sharing member: “Well, I can really relate to both topics…”

Composition also deals with the demographics of the members. For example, it can be valuable to look at the sex of the members. During the attended session there was only one female group attendee. For some groups there is a screening process. For open AA groups there is none of this. Before the group started, a sheet of paper was passed around and members who desired to speak were asked to write their name down and if they had any specific topics they wished to be discussed. Age is another aspect of composition that should be looked at. All of the group
members seemed to be late middle aged (40 and up). There were two members that talked about being more or less retired.

**Group Process and Facilitation**

The group is informally facilitated by a group member. To prepare for the group they passed out a few responsibilities to the group members. First he asked if there was anyone who was there after recently drinking. Second he asked if there were any outside visitors (me and my fellow studier). Third he arranged for a group member to read through the goals and policies of AA. Fourth, another group member read through a document that described what how a person can best be helped by the program. The group leader then told the group that they would be taking a moment of silence and praying the serenity prayer, if members wanted to participate. As cited by Online AA Recovery Resources (2007) serenity prayer is as follows:

**Serenity Prayer**

God, grant me the serenity
to accept the things I cannot change,
Courage to change the things I can, and
Wisdom to know the difference.

After these more formal procedures were followed by the group leader, he opened up the floor for any of the members to discuss the topics that were written down on the paper. The topics for the night were laziness and being a workaholic. When nobody seemed willing to open up the discussion, the group facilitator quickly jumped in and shared his experience with both topics. Then he opened the floor for everybody else to speak again. After a little hesitation two other members stepped up and talked. When the group members were more reluctant to share, the facilitator went down the list of names and asked each member if they wanted to share. Each member shared at varying lengths, all of them talked about both topics. After each of the members shared, he specifically asked me and my group mate if we wanted to share. We both
declined. To close the meeting the facilitator invited anybody who wanted to pray the Lords Prayer to join in a circle and hold hands. Spirit Heart Sanctuary (2005) states the Lords prayer as follows:

Our father, who art in Heaven, Hallowed be thy name;
Thy kingdom come, Thy will be done.
On earth, as it is in heaven.
Give us this day our daily bread;
And forgive us our debts, as we have forgiven our debtors;
And lead us not into temptation, but deliver us from evil.
For thine is the kingdom, and the power, and the glory, forever.

At the end of the prayer each member in unison quoted “keep coming back, it works if you work it and your worth it.” The group then dissipated into small groups who stood around chatting and drinking coffee. One of the members stood around with me and my group member and asked us a few questions about our schooling and why we came. We answered honestly and then left the group.

**Personal Reaction to Group Meeting**

Going to the AA meeting was very powerful. From listening to all of the testimonies of the group members, every one of them said that the program had helped them. I really think that it is a powerful acknowledgement of how important relationships and accountability are in people’s lives. The fact that a lay person could facilitate groups such as AA meetings and be effective was encouraging to me as a potential trained group leader. It took away some of the fears about actually leading a group. It seems as if there are many benefits to AA group meetings, but I also wondered if it is the most effective way to help these people. Helliker (2006) tells about AA financial reports for 2005. As a nonprofit they reported revenue of $13.2 million. Their acknowledged expenses were only $12.9 million. That is to say that there is a lot
of money going into this treatment. Smart and Mann (2000) quote that there were already
92,000 AA groups in 1990 and these numbers are going up. There are also many groups that are
similar in concept to AA. With this being such a common form of treatment, I wonder if there is
a better way.

I was also very surprised to hear how often some of the members have group. One
member, as I previously stated, mentioned at one point going to four or five groups. I guess it
goes to show that people will do what ever it takes to change if they want change badly enough.
In looking at the facilitation of the group, I do not think that I would choose to lead a group as
AA does. I feel that time can often be better spent purposely working towards a specific goal.
My leadership style is defiantly not laissez fair.
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