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Description: Develop a collaborative robotic system to aid skilled workers in sanding composite aircraft panels. Automation will reduce workers’ hazardous exposure, increase product quality, & reduce labor hours per unit. Project will greatly reduce automation cost for large & small manufacturers.

Enables: Empower US workers to compete more effectively against low wage countries by multiplying the productivity and yield of the American worker. Achieved by lowering technical, operational, and cost barriers so that any size US manufacturer can implement surface finishing automation.

Team Partners:
- Spirit AeroSystems: Joe Marshall,(PI), Greg Balandran, Curtis Richardson
- WSU: Dr. John Tomblin (PI), Brian Brown, Michael Wescott
- SwRI: Matt Robinson (PI), Jorge Nicho, Tyler Marr

Milestones/Schedule:

Key Events:
- TRL 5 Achieved with Full System 9/11:
  - Camera Registration of part
  - Path Planning via ROS
  - Force Controlled Execution of paths
  - Scan of rework markings and re-sand touchup areas
- Final Testing Complete 10/9:
  - TRL 6 Tests on production part
  - Final Presentation and Report Compilation

Technology Transition & Deliverables
- Deliverables: Interim & Final Reports of findings, Roadblocks, MRL & TRL status, CAD data, Program Files, Updated software modules & libraries
- Significantly reduce cost while empowering American workers to be competitive on a global scale.
- Easily adoptable and scalable by other USA manufacturing markets (automotive, industrial equipment, boating, consumer goods, ect...)
- All CDIP will be delivered to ARM & its members.
- Tech transfer to ARM members forecasts implementation into production environments in 2021
Collaborative Robotic Sanding Benefits

- Greatly reduced cost compared to a traditional robotic sanding solution
- Rapid deployment into production environment
- Rapid adaptability to new work statement
- Increased productivity
  - ~50% reduction in labor
- 80% reduction in ergonomic issues
- No traditional NC programming
- Maintain operator in the loop - touchup, inspection, rework identification

Currently available large robot sanding
$1.5M+

Collaborative robotic sanding
~$250K
Collaborative Robotic Sanding Cell
Concept of Operation

1. Part Moved into Existing Manual Sanding Booth
2. Part Recognition/Simulation
   - Vision-based part feature recognition
   - Frame transformation
   - Path planning simulation / operator validation
3. Sanding Operation
   - Rail movement as required
   - Collaborative sanding media replacement as required
   - System alerts operator of completion
4. Inspection
   - Manually inspect robot sanding results
   - Mark parts for touch-up as required
5. Vision Recognition of Operator Mark-Ups
6. Automated Robot Path Re-Planning / Validation
7. Manual Touch-Up Sanding
   (if/as required)
8. Process Completion / Part Unload

REPEAT AS NEEDED

Human Interaction  Automated Process
Application Modules

• Online Application Tasks:
  • Scanning (with D435 Framos Camera)
    • Collect multiple 3D point clouds of the scene in order to observe the part in the workcell
  • Registration
    • Locates the part in the workcell and updates the toolpath locations
  • Motion Planning
    • Computes the robot motions needed to follow the sanding toolpaths and free space moves needed to collect scans for registration
  • Process Executions
    • Stages and dispatches the motions plans produced by motion planning.
  • Force Feedback Execution
    • Allows for the robot to apply and maintain commanded force on surfaces while following the desired rasters paths.
    • Makes it possible to achieve desired sanding finish.
• Rework Region Selection
  • Detects human-made closed-region markings using advanced perception method.
  • These regions are then used to select a sub section of the original raster path set for rework.
  • Those rework regions are sanded as needed.
Implementation Diagram

CRS Main Application
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- Registration Manager
- Motion Planning Manager
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- Execution Manager

ROS 2
- Framos Node
- Part Registration Node
- Motion Planning Node
- Gazebo Simulator
  - Sensor Interface
  - Robot Controller Interface
- HMI
  - Part Database
  - Region Selection
  - State Machine Commander
- Real Robot Interface

ROS 1
- ROS1 Bridge
- Real Robot Driver
Perception

• Registration
  • The point clouds obtained from scanning are stitched together in order to create a single cloud that contains the full part model
  • Cropping is done in order to remove noise or parts of the workcell seen by the camera.
  • The part’s location is then estimated using a feature matching algorithm.
  • The location transform is also applied to the toolpaths associated with the part.
Motion Planning

- **Input:**
  - Sanding Toolpath
  - Start and end positions
  - Part Model (collision)
  - Desired Tool speed
  - Approach and retreat distances

- **Output:**
  - Surface Robot trajectories
  - Configuration Change free-space motions
  - Simulation preview of paths for operator
Motion Planning

- Process:
  1. Determine reachable points and split toolpath (rasters) accordingly
  2. Add approach and retreat trajectories to ends of each reachable raster
  3. Add additional splits based on desired tool speed and joint velocity limits
  4. Add additional splits for sandpaper tool changes
  5. Run final preplan process to determine optimal joint states at each point
  6. Run preplan through trajectory optimization for motion smoothing and detailed collision checking
  7. Generate free-space plans between the start and end of adjacent rasters
  8. Optimize free-space plans to generate smooth trajectories
Rework Region Detection

- IR&D work funded by SwRI
- Applies OpenCV filters and PCL (Point Cloud Library) methods to identified human-marked regions
- Integrated into CRS application
  - Human Operator draws closed-regions on the part with a sharpie.
  - Application scans the part to collect images and point clouds
  - The region detection node identifies the human-made regions from the scan data.
  - Detected Regions are used to “crop” original toolpaths for sanding rework purposes
  - Cropped toolpaths are then processed for motion planning and execution.
Rework Region Detection

- OpenCV filtering steps
  - Converts to grayscale
  - Applies threshold
  - Dilates image
  - Canny Edge detection method
  - Extraction of contours in pixel coordinates
Rework Region Detection

• **PCL Processing Steps**
  • Converts pixel space coordinates from OpenCV to XYZ points
  • Applies various filters
    • Outlier removal
    • Statistical removal
    • Downsampling
  • Joins separate adjacent segments
  • Organizes them into closed and open regions
  • Computes normal vectors
Force Controlled Trajectory Execution

Robot Control Methodology

- **Forward Dynamics Compliance Control (FDCC)**
  - Impedance, admittance, and force control combined
  - Use dynamics simulations to directly control manipulator with virtual and measured forces

- Use measured, desired, and predicted forces to predict how robot should move and then implement appropriate motion
Force Controlled Trajectory Execution

• Strategy
  • Chases Virtual target waypoint
Demo Video- Generic Contour Part

https://youtu.be/Pj_NsO22Bws
Part Registration: Testing

- Successfully registered multiple parts, even out of position and angles
Force Graph of 8lb Test @ 100mm/sec

- Target 36N (8lb)
- Average (over 18N): 37.7N (8.5 lb)
Markup Recognition and Re-sanding

- Successfully recognizes closed shapes on part drawn with marker
- Re-sanding successful – over 90% sanded area
Improvement Areas / Next Steps

- Is UR10e the best robot for your application?
  - Longer reach? (eliminate rail?)
  - Higher payload?
  - Collaboration Modes?
- Cable Management- Use off-the-shelf solution?
- Singularity Avoidance:
  - Robot Mounting on rail at angle away from part
  - Larger cell size
  - Better ROS handling of Singularity Zone
- ROS Software Improvements
  - ROS2 Robot Driver
  - GUI improvements: usability and navigation
  - Fault recovery improvements
- Human Factors Improvements
- Efficiency Improvements:
  - Cell Mounted Cameras vs. Robot camera
  - Sandpaper Changer vs. Head Swap
  - Automatic Rail Movements
    - Collaborative rail or pneumatic movements
  - Faster Processing Capability- ROS processor optimization
  - Pre-packaged routines/paths- reduce path planning load
  - Speed of Sanding Increase
Questions?