Dear Stacy,

In the airport, at the gate, very early for the flight leaving Philadelphia to come home to San Francisco. Leaving Philly, where I saw Jena and Laynie. We spoke about you, missing you.

I’m reading, in Hélène Cixous’s Ex-Cities, where he gives a brief yet thorough account of her bio. I’m reflecting just now about the relationship(s) between biography and fiction because of the anthology Laynie is editing, about poets who write novels, poets who also have written a novel. Laynie has just written a novel herself—she’s in New York this weekend for her book party.

I am writing, or will be writing about Emmanuel Hocquard’s AEREA, imagining I need to think more about this palindrome, how it must parallel the text in terms of … characters? narrative? setting, which proliferates and becomes characters? Ah, grammar. AER, air.
What, if anything, does this “AER” have to do with _AEREA_? Is it true that more literal means more abstract?

§

Dear Stacy,

I’m rereading the book as if in a dream, as though haunted by past readings, or rather feelings; at the same time, as though never having read these words before even though recognizing every sentence in its order. Surprised and not, I pluck from the pages of the book a photo I took of Emmanuel Hocquard in my sunroom or living room when he came to visit in 1986 or ’87, not much in the room, a wicker armchair, a small table beyond it—I’d just moved into the house. Sitting in the wicker chair, he looks directly at the camera or at me, his right hand on his forehead, unsmiling, “time frozen in space.”

“Imagine, reader, Ulysses far away from his own people….”

Ulysses. Not Odysseus, the Greek name, but Latin for the character from ancient Greek literature.

§

Dear Stacy,

Remember how he’s reading Lucretius? I mean, all the time? Emmanuel once asked me how that book, _De Rerum Natura_, was translated into English. I said, “On the Nature of Things.” He snorted and said something in French like “you can’t be serious!” When I got home to SF, I xeroxed the cover and sent it. That was of course before you could go online and just send the link.

Emmanuel Hocquard, who writes in poetry, in prose or in between, thinks about the fiction of grammar, works on it. Doesn’t need or use “genre” as his port of call. Reads Wittgenstein, Reznikoff and Chandler, as in Raymond. Always trying to get closer to literality, word = thing, tautology. “The world is a collection of letters.”

There’s a paragraph, a close-up, near the end of _AEREA_, where Hocquard communicates in detail the movement of the fishing line, the fish, the water, the air, the lava rock, “a business concluded by death,” where the literal transforms abruptly into metaphor, as Francis Ponge’s _Savon (Soap)_ (begun in 1942 but not completed until 1965. This pivotal work, in its literality veers with its/his address to the French, to the Germans—during WW2, when Germany had marched into
France and taken over—becomes at once metaphor and metonymy. And yet, “The sound of the leaves is just the sound of the leaves.”

As the photo of the Lucas Cranach painting of “Eve Tempted by the Serpent,” on the covers of both French and American versions, means all the words in the book. “Night has fallen on the trees and on the great plains of the middle of the world. As I listened to the icecubes clink in my glass, I admire the delicate nudity of my smooth-bodied companion, Eve by Cranach the Younger.” On the back cover of the P.O.L edition (1985), c. 1350 is given as the date for that painting, whereas on the back cover of the Lydia Davis translation, published in 1992 by The Marlboro Press, the dates for Lucas Cranach the Elder are 1472-1553. Younger, older, the thirteen hundreds or fifteen hundreds, disparities abound. What is literal?

§

Dear Stacy,

I am writing about Emmanuel Hocquard, whom we both adore and love to think about, about whom we say to one another the next time we’re both in Paris we’ll make a plan, rent a car, drive south and west to the Pyrénées, find that farmhouse where he’s living with Juliette Valéry. I’m writing about Emmanuel Hocquard and “the novel.” And other things.

Along with these musings I’m also thinking about how early in my writing life I knew writing a novel wouldn’t work for me because I believed it would have to skate too close to reality, too familiar and familial. And now, suddenly, coming up to map’s edge, finding purpose in remembrance of things that happened, sliding horizontally into the mental landscape like rocks on a platter, “To be at the same time somewhere and nowhere.”

In his interview with Henri Deluy, Emmanuel Hocquard speaks about how, from the time of his editing (and making with his own hands, i.e., typesetting, etc.), with Raquel, the Orange Export series, from 1969 to 1986, he did not see himself as “part of a generation” or his writing and the writing of others as one genre or another, “poetry” for instance, but as writing: writing as an instrument, a tool for experimentation, to push against the rules of the game. No interest in boundaries. Erasing the circumscription between prose and verse; liminal.

_AEREA_ reads rhythmically but lines up as normative prose in narrative or quasi-narrative little sections, with tiny line drawings or icons between the sections (like these asterisks, but small trees, apples). No lines of verse. A “broken series.”
Dear Stacy,

I’m sitting at a table in Café Fiore, where Samantha and Yedda just came in to have a belated birthday lunch for Yedda; all the May birthdays, hers, Michael’s, yours, mine. When they came in, I was reading a piece by Youssef Ishaghpour in his Archeologie du cinema et memoire du siecle, mostly an interview with Jean-Luc Godard, but at the end, his fine text called “J-L G cineaste de la vie moderne: Le poetique dans l’historique,” a significant reference to Baudelaire’s famous “The Painter of Modern Life.”

I have come to think of AEREA as a suite of scenes, vignettes instead of recits. Actually, Emmanuel Hocquard makes a shift over time from thinking of recit, that elusive French genre, as narrative prose (I’m thinking here of his “Il rien,” where he writes about Treasure Island as a recit, and there is nothing more narrative than that novel) to a paratactic form, with no chronology, no causality—montage, in fact. Emmanuel Hocquard doesn’t care or want to think about genre(s). Unless of course he’s writing a grammar. He and his sometime collaborators, for example Juliette Valéry and Alexandre Delay, work in video, photo/text, sculpture/text, architecture, installations, etc.

Take Allo Freddy? for instance, in which the first half of the book is pages of blank frames or windows with bits of narrative or dialogue in them; the second half, photographs, kind of helter-skelter on the page. You don’t have to but the movement of the narrative tells you to find the photo that “goes with” a particular frame with the bit of text in it. It’s a fictional piece, a “noir” mystery with characters, but with real people in the photographs, including one or two I could swear are Emmanuel seen from behind, his hair, the trench coat, the set of his shoulders, etc.

I always relate Allo Freddy? to Godard’s Lettre à Freddy Buache: à propos d’un courtmétrage sur la ville de Lausanne. There is no quick association between the two, besides having the same name in the title, but there are many deep and pertinent affinities between Hocquard and Godard, as in the foregrounding of the address. Godard’s “Lettre” begins with an address (in remembrance of) to Robert Flaherty and Ernst Lubitsch. I knew Lubitsch but had to look up the other guy. Robert Flaherty (1884-1951) was an American filmmaker who directed and produced the first commercially successful feature length documentary film, Nanook of the North (1922). I knew that title, may have even seen it way in the past, in Canada. Flaherty is the one who pioneered ethnofiction and ethnographic film. In other words, his work was the wellspring from which the ethnographic film work of Jean Rouch et al began. And then Godard, who, addressing Freddy and everyone else (“Dear Reader,” as Emmanuel Hocquard is wont to say; he
addresses texts to Claude, Olivier, Juliette, Oscarine, Norma, Paul, Alexandre and others; his *Grammaire de Tanger* to Juliette de Laroque, his daughter), by detours, points out the signs, the signatures that figure into his vast undertaking, Bonnard, Picasso, Wittgenstein, Baudelaire to name just a few in the first pages of the unpaginated *Lettre*.

Godard is looking at, bringing into focus, things “scientifiquement,” trying to find rhythms in movements of the crowd; finding the origins of “fiction” because the city is a fiction. The sky (blue) and the forest (green) become the “roman,” the novel, which becomes abstraction. Godard states, “C’est juste une note d’intention. Ça ne fait pas une mélodie. Mais Rome ne c’est pas faite en un jour.” (“It’s just a note of intention. That doesn’t make a melody. But Rome wasn’t built in a day.”) The first showing of the film that was to celebrate the 500 years of Lausanne’s founding was at the Swiss cinématèque, 19 October 1981, but the book about its making came out in 2001. No one liked the film.

Unlike *récit*, something called la *dérive* comes into focus, detournement, poetry as drift, as presentation, not representation. Assembling fragments, phrases. Arrange together equals syntax. Not exactly fiction, not exactly non-fiction. Forest, mountain, lake (or sea perhaps). In the fiction of AEREA, memory is everywhere, “empty recollections, which can’t be tied to anything.” Think of Médé and the pears, Médé and the Great Sokko, Médé and the grasshoppers, the coal fire and his smile; of Montalban and his table, on it some fragments of a fresco. The book could be a hymn to Médé, who is or was a real person in EH’s young life in Tangiers, as well as an elegy for Aerea (a fiction?) who says at the book’s close:

> “these words in which honey was tenderly mingled with venom:
> ‘Don't forget me! Don't forget me!’”

§

Dear Stacy,

Looking through another book by Emmanuel Hocquard and Juliette Valéry, *Le Commanditaire: Poème* (a private eye, Thomas Möbius is the “I” in the story, if it is a “story”: “Here, Thomas Möbius, you will not have anything to do with pronouns, just people.”) A noir b/w photo/text work. Flipping pages, there you are, or there is you:
Dear Stacy,

Osip Mandelstam, in his major essay, “Talking about Dante,” “mean(s) to say that a composition is formed not from heaping up of particulars but in consequence of the fact that one detail after another is torn away from the object, leaves it, flutters out, is hacked away from the system…” whereas in his “Addenda to Journey to Armenia” he says, and this is substantively how Hocquard writes about writing, “Prose which corresponds to reality, no matter how expressly and minutely, no matter how efficiently and faithfully, is always a broken series … a broken sign of the unbroken continuum.”

Giorgio Agamben writes in his elegant “Preface” to *Infancy & History*, “Every written work can be regarded as the prologue (or rather, the broken cast) of a work never penned and destined to remain so, because later works, which in
turn will be the prologues or the moulds for other absent works, represent only
sketches or death masks. The absent work, although it is unplaceable in any precise
chronology, thereby constitutes the written works as prolegomena or paralipomena
of an non-existent text; or, in a more general sense, as parerga which find their
true meaning only in the context of an illegible ergon … the counterfeit of a book
which cannot be written.”

In various of his texts (novel, poems, chronicle) Hocquard writes about this
particular absence in terms of his old friend, the archeologist Montalban, who is
forever trying to piece together shards of a fresco that once existed and now exists
in his mind or in the mind's eye. The continuum is not about origins but about
rhyming space/time, as in timelessness—the everpresent.

§

Dear Stacy,

The more I reread AEREA, the more of a dream I find myself in. In each
section, more of a sense of smell, touch. As though touching each letter, as in
Emmanuel Hocquard’s “Comment j’ai écrit une (chronique)” [“How I Wrote One
(chronicle)”]. “I learned how to write with the help of an alphabet whose letters,
cut out of heavy cardboard, were painted with red varnish. From one letter to the
next, the red was not exactly the same. I learned to trace each letter by walking my
index finger over the smooth surface.”

From the same book, “Assis à ma longue table…” (“Sitting at my long table…”),
first published in 1981 as a limited Orange Export chapbook, with an original
watercolor by Raquel. And in the beginning of AEREA, we see him “Sitting today
at my long table…”

As Wittgenstein has written, “We are asleep. Our life is a dream. But we wake up
sometimes, just enough to know that we are dreaming.”

I just saw on Youtube a poem I don't think we've seen from Emmanuel Hocquard,
“Je ne sais pas si Fernando Pessoa a vraiment existé,” read by him on May 10,
2010, in Merilheu. With a photo. “I don't know whether Fernando Pessoa has ever
existed.”

Who has?
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