ABSTRACTS

David Fanning (University of Manchester) and Michelle Assay (Université de Paris, Sorbonne): 'Oh, East is East, and West is West, and never the Twain Shall Meet'

It is not hard to identify a general resistance to Theory among musicians. Also undeniable is a reluctance among Western theoreticians to theorise or analyse in the field of Russian music. And scepticism among Russian theoreticians regarding their Western counterparts is again plain to see.

Why these things should be so is not easy to explain. But this paper, presented in the form of dialogue, will have a go, exploring the special challenges of Russian/Soviet repertoire, what has been done and is being done within various national theoretical traditions, and the pros of cons of various attempts to blend approaches from East and West. Do we work in separate camps? Does it matter if we do? What can we learn from one another?

Ildar Khannanov (Peabody Conservatory, Johns Hopkins University): ‘Yuri Kholopov’s Theoretical Position between the Scylla and Charybdis of Soviet Politics, or How Music Theory Can Shape the Political Discourse’

Yuri Kholopov’s legacy occupied central yet very specific place in the Soviet music theory. Its neo-Platonic foundation satisfied neither Soviet tradition of “analysis of musical work” nor Western musical positivism. Kholopov’s life-long arguments with Leo Mazel and, in the 1980s, with Valentina Konen, placed him in a very interesting category of “patriots-cosmopolitans.” A passionate opponent of Soviet method of the so-called tselostnyi analiz, he still fell short of joining the army of pravozashchitniks and, in the last decade of his life, voiced very patriotic opinions. On a deeper level, his views on the essence of music and method of analysis are inscribed into the centennial dialogue between Russian theorists who were inclined to see music formally (and the musical form as the ultimate object of study) and those who desired to step outside of the box and study the musical work as such. One can argue that the political affair of 1948 (Soviet composers vs. Communist Party) was, to a degree, the product of this lingering opposition in the Russian music theory of the 19th-20th centuries.

Janna Kniazeva (Russian Institute for Art History, St Petersburg): ‘Der Musikhistoriker Jacques Handschin als petersburger Konzertkritiker: zur Geschichte eines Weltatlases’


Marina Lupishko (Le Havre, France): ‘Yakov Druskin (1902-80) as a Musicologist: The Narratological Aspect’

A philosopher, pianist and mathematician by education, a math schoolteacher by occupation, Yakov Semyonovich Druskin (1902-80) was a very versatile figure. His main interest was religious philosophy, and he left a number of treatises that were published only after his death (Druskin 1995, 2000, 2004, etc.). Today Ya. Druskin is primarily known as the key member of the group of avant-garde poets and philosophers called the chinari ("the titled ones"): Vvedensky, Kharms, Lipavsky, Oleynikov, all of whom perished during Stalin's purges. Druskin, as the only survivor, is also credited with preservation of Kharms' and Vvedensky's archives.

During the second half of his life, Druskin was also active as a musicologist: together with his brother, the Soviet music historian Mikhail Druskin, he wrote a brochure on Bach's St. Matthew Passion (1941), translated from German Albert Schweitzer's monograph on Bach (1964), and published (in Ukrainian) the book On the rhetoric principles of J. S. Bach's music (1972). My presentation will focus on this latter work in which Druskin reconstructs the 18th-century theory of Figurenlehre from the point of view of the contemporary linguistics, and investigates the six rhetorical principles of disposition of the musical material listed by Johann Mattheson in Der Vollkommene Kappellmeister (1739). Substantiated with numerous examples from Bach's instrumental works, Druskin's re-interpretation of confutatio (the 4th principle) represents an important contribution to the study of Bach's music in the USSR. My study will also shed some light on the relation between Druskin's musicological and literary writings in order to put them into a wider perspective of today's interdisciplinary interest in narratology.
Ivana Medic (Open University and University of Manchester): ‘“Our Generation’s Responsibility for the Fate of The World”: Alfred Schnittke’s Writings on Luciano Berio’

Luciano Berio’s *Sinfonia* (1968) is usually regarded as the main model for Alfred Schnittke’s seminal First Symphony (completed in 1972). Although Schnittke himself denied being inspired by *Sinfonia* and asserted that the idea of the First Symphony had come to his mind several years before he became familiar with Berio’s works, by the early 1970 Schnittke was already well acquainted with *Sinfonia* and able to write a lengthy analysis of its *Scherzo* movement, entitled ‘The Third Movement of Berio’s *Sinfonia* – Stylistic Counterpoint, Thematic and Formal Unity in Context of Polystylistics, Broadening the Concept of Thematicism’. Aside from this article, Schnittke discussed Berio’s work in further two essays dedicated to various problems of contemporary music: ‘Polystylistic Tendencies in Modern Music,’ and ‘A New Approach to Composition – The Statistical Method’. In these essays, Schnittke consistently refers to Berio as a ‘polystylistic’ composer and he uses his discussions of Berio’s oeuvre as a way of revealing and reaffirming his own creative ideology.

I will discuss Schnittke’s writings within the context of the general Soviet/Russian reception of Berio, who was compared quite unfavourably to Schnittke by several authors (Hakobian, Aranovskii, Ivashkin, et al.). These critics – all of them trained in the socialist realist context – considered Berio to be the more ‘abstract’, ‘intellectual’, ‘detached’ artist, while in their opinion Schnittke was the ‘ethically concerned’ one. What led them to such a conclusion is a very obvious lack of realist musical gestures in Berio’s work, which they heard as a purely formalist show-off. However, Schnittke identified in Berio’s works various narrative strategies and discursive layers. As I will demonstrate, the ‘programmes’ that Schnittke ascribed to Berio, and the hermeneutical interpretations of the Italian master’s creative decisions, could well serve as the elaborations of Schnittke’s own methodology and ideology. My aim is to show to what extent Schnittke self-identified with Berio, and whether he actually misunderstood/misinterpreted Berio due to projecting his own pressing concerns and artistic goals onto him.

Rebecca Mitchell (Miami University): ‘Prophets of Orpheus: Music Critics in late Imperial Russia, 1905-1917’

In the aftermath of the Revolution of 1905, a distinct vision of music as a potential source of social unity emerged in the Russian Empire. Drawing on the ideas of Friedrich Nietzsche, Arthur Schopenhauer and Vladimir Solov’ev, late Imperial Russian music critics imagined music to offer a powerful means through which to combat what they perceived to be an increasingly fragmented social structure. They argued that, through communal participation in music (both as listeners and as performers) a new, more unified social system might be forged. While the music of composers such as Aleksandr Scriabin, Nikolai Medtner and Sergei Rachmaninoff was central to this vision, it was through the writing and lecturing of contemporary music critics that this semi-mystical image of music as a means of social involvement was disseminated to the educated strata within the Russian Empire. Drawing upon journals and periodicals of the time (including *Muzyka, Muzyka i zhizn’, lizhnyi muzikal’nyi vestnik, Russkaia muzikal’naja gazeta, Muzykal’nyi trudhenik, Vesy, Zolotoe runo, Trudy i dni*), this paper will explore the ways in which these late Imperial music critics envisioned the role of music in transforming and modernizing society itself. Special attention will be given to such figures as Konstantin Eiges, Nikolai Findeizen, Aleksandr Koptiaev, A. Maslov, Evgeni Gunst, lu. Engel’, Leonid Sabaneev, Vl. Derzhanovskii, Emil Medtner and Viacheslav Karatygin. I argue that, despite individual differences in stylistic preferences,
these critics created a shared intellectual space within which music was discussed not merely as an artistic form, but as a means of social engagement in modern life. The image of “Orpheus” was a common symbol through which many of these critics called for the appearance of a new musical genius who would transform both musical and social life. While the initial focus of much of this criticism was on the creation of a “universal” and “modern” musical aesthetic (built upon earlier traditions of European classical music), increasing nationalist tensions surrounding the outbreak of war in 1914 gave rise to calls for a uniquely “Russian” music, distinct from European, particularly Germanic, tradition. Nevertheless, the definition of what constituted genuine “Russian” music remained unresolved as music critics struggled to define the role and nature of nationalism within a multi-ethnic empire.

Makoto Nakamura (St Antony’s College, Oxford): ‘Publication Postponed: The Theoretical Background of Leoš Janáček’s Ethnographic Activities in the 1920s’

The aim of my paper is to examine the theoretical premises of the ethnographic activities of Leoš Janáček (1854-1928) in the 1920s. A close exploration of his research on folksongs in this period, an area that has often been overlooked, offers us a chance to clarify the cultural context of Czech ethnography in the early 20th century, as well as the development of this particular composer’s analytical devices for folksongs.

During the 1920s, when he composed his major works, Janáček was continuing his ethnographic activities on Moravian folksongs. He concentrated on the re-edition of a folksong collection under the title of Moravské milostné písne [Moravian Love Songs]. In the middle of the 1900s, together with his colleagues, he started editing the original version thereof as a volume of Czech folksongs in Moravia and Silesia for the project Das Volkslied in Österreich [The Folksong in Austria]. Yet the compilation process was suspended because of the outbreak of World War I. After the establishment of Státní ústav pro lidovou písen [The State Institute for Folksong] in Prague in 1919, Janáček started to revise the abortive collection, in collaboration with the philologist Pavel Váša (1874-1954), and began also to negotiate with the staff at the State Institute for the publication of their folksong edition. In 1925, their draft was reviewed by the philologist Stanislav Soucek (1870-1935) and the aesthetician Zdenek Nejedlý (1878-1962), both of whom were the members of its publishing committee. Based upon their review, Janáček and Váša continued revising their folksong collection. They had almost completed the first volume in 1928, but in the event it was to be published by the State Institute only after Janáček’s death.

It has been said that the publication of their folksong collection was postponed because Janáček was on bad term with Nejedlý. Yet, when examining Nejedlý’s review of the draft, as well as theoretical essays on folk music by Nejedlý, Janáček and their contemporary Czech ethnographers, we notice that they conducted research on folksongs based upon different concepts of the ‘folksong’. Nejedlý’s review, therefore, should not be regarded as a mere biographical episode, but instead as the consequence of the difference in aesthetic standpoints.

In my paper, I analyse the difference in the theoretical standpoints between Janáček and his contemporary ethnographers by examining not only their theoretical writings, but primary sources which are housed in both the Janáček Archive and the Ethnological Institute, Academy of Science of the Czech Republic.

Anne Marie Weaver (Eastman School of Music, Rochester): ‘César Cui: Russian Music Critic, Cosmopolitan Song Composer’
Today, musicologists remember César Cui (1835-1918) more as a critic than as a composer. Even in his lifetime, he was best known as an influential and opinionated writer, who typically promoted the kuchka’s compositions and reinforced Stasov’s ideas about Russian music. His publications in France and Russia alike set the tone for historical accounts in and outside Russia for a century or more, solidly establishing the nationalist “ghetto” which we are just now beginning to dismantle.

Ironically, few of Cui’s own compositions conform to any standards of musical Russianness. In particular, his many songs are the products of a thoroughly cosmopolitan musical personality. These several hundred settings of Russian, French, and even a handful of Polish and German texts, usually in their original languages, demonstrate a fluid compositional technique and a sincere desire to serve the poetic text that stands apart from considerations of nationalistic style. Although many of these songs are quite effective, conveying a sense of lyricism that is relatively rare in Russian art song before Rachmaninoff, they are rarely performed and studied—most likely because of the apparent disconnect between their musical style (and frequently foreign texts) and Cui’s nationalistic verbal rhetoric.

I believe that the actual disparity lies not between Cui’s songs and his critical writings, but between his ideas about music in general and his aesthetic understanding of the art song genre in particular. I will use his writings on Russian art song, especially his 1896 monograph *The Russian Romance*, to demonstrate that, much like Western European composers such as Schubert, Schumann, and Berlioz, Cui consistently prioritizes a faithful setting of the poem over any overtly nationalistic criteria.

After distilling Cui’s ideas about song composition from his writings, I will apply these criteria to his own songs, addressing both his choice of texts and the musical decisions he made in setting them. Through focusing on two songs, one in Russian (“Tsarskosel’skaya statuya”) and one in French (“Les roses d’Ispahan”), I will show that Cui’s songs are not only consistent with his writings, but in need of general re-evaluation. Moreover, by viewing the larger world of nineteenth-century Russian art song through the eyes of one of its most prolific contributors and astute (if biased) critics, we will begin to glimpse the essentially cosmopolitan motivations underlying the genre as a whole as practiced—if, for ideological reasons, never preached—in Russia.

Patrick Zuk (University of Durham): ‘Vyacheslav Karatigin and the Development of Russian Musical Modernism’

The writings of Vyacheslav Karatigin (1875-1925) have received comparatively little attention in either Russian- or English-language scholarship, although he was one of the foremost figures in Russian musical life of his period and was held in exceptionally high regard as a critic. In no small part, this resulted from his demonization during the Stalinist period, when he was reviled for the role he had supposedly played in fomenting musical ‘formalism’ and ‘cosmopolitanism’. From 1906 until his death, Karatigin contributed over a thousand articles on musical subjects to leading journals such as *Zolotoye runo*, *Rech’* and *Apollon*. In addition, he was one of the founder members of the highly enterprising concert series *Vechera Sovremennoy Muziki* (Evenings of New Music) in St Petersburg, which introduced the work of Debussy, Ravel, Schoenberg and many other contemporary figures to Russian audiences. An ardent propagandist for new music, he inveighed against what he perceived as the hidebound conservatism of many aspects of Russian musical life, proclaiming the need for a sustained assault on the debased and provincial tastes of the listening public. His writings exerted an influence of incalculable importance in forming the aesthetic outlooks of younger composers, amongst them Nikolay Myaskovsky and Sergey...
Prokofiev. This paper will attempt to assess Karatïgin’s significance in the development of Russian musical modernism and will conclude by reflecting on the ways in which his writings have continuing potential to illuminate the dominant intellectual and artistic concerns in Russian composition at the period.
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