A computational network perspective on pediatric anxiety symptoms
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Abstract

Background. While taxonomy segregates anxiety symptoms into diagnoses, patients typically present with multiple diagnoses; this poses major challenges, particularly for youth, where mixed presentation is particularly common. Anxiety comorbidity could reflect multivariate, cross-domain interactions insufficiently emphasized in current taxonomy. We utilize network analytic approaches that model these interactions by characterizing pediatric anxiety as involving distinct, inter-connected, symptom domains. Quantifying this network structure could inform views of pediatric anxiety that shape clinical practice and research.

Methods. Participants were 4964 youths (ages 5–17 years) from seven international sites. Participants completed standard symptom inventory assessing severity along distinct domains that follow pediatric anxiety diagnostic categories. We first applied network analytic tools to quantify the anxiety domain network structure. We then examined whether variation in the network structure related to age (3-year longitudinal assessments) and sex, key moderators of pediatric anxiety expression.

Results. The anxiety network featured a highly inter-connected structure; all domains correlated positively but to varying degrees. Anxiety patients and healthy youth differed in severity but demonstrated a comparable network structure. We noted specific sex differences in the network structure; longitudinal data indicated additional structural changes during childhood. Generalized-anxiety and panic symptoms consistently emerged as central domains.

Conclusions. Pediatric anxiety manifests along multiple, inter-connected symptom domains. By quantifying cross-domain associations and related moderation effects, the current study might shape views on the diagnosis, treatment, and study of pediatric anxiety.

Introduction

While psychiatric taxonomy groups pediatric anxiety symptoms into distinct diagnostic entities (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), limited specificity in presentation, pathophysiology, and treatment response (Cummings, Caporino, & Kendall, 2014; Cuthbert & Insel, 2013; Kotov et al., 2017) suggests that pediatric anxiety may involve multiple, interacting symptom domains insufficiently emphasized in current nosology. In particular, a network-theory perspective would view anxiety as involving distinct but inter-connected symptom domains, which can be modeled using computational tools (Borsboom, 2017; Contreras, Nieto, Valiente, Espinosa, & Vazquez, 2019; Fried et al., 2017). The current report utilizes such tools in a large international sample to characterize the network structure of pediatric anxiety and examine moderation by anxiety severity, age, and sex.

Pediatric anxiety is prevalent, impairing, and associated with adverse outcomes (Beesdo, Knappe, & Pine, 2009; Greenberg et al., 1999; Kessler & Wang, 2008; Stein, Scott, de Jonge, & Kessler, 2017). Current nosology groups pediatric anxiety symptoms into distinct diagnoses, such as social anxiety, separation anxiety, and panic disorders. The distinction among these reflects unique clinical features and is supported by behavioral observations and factor analyses (American Psychiatric Association, 2013; Hale, Crocetti, Raaijmakers, & Meeus, 2011).
Nevertheless, affected individuals typically present with symptoms from multiple domains\textsuperscript{†} (Cummings et al., 2014; Merikangas & Swanson, 2010); subsequently, these symptom domains appear to show limited specificity in outcome, familial aggregation, biology, and treatment response (Cuthbert & Insel, 2013; Hofmann & Hayes, 2019; Kotov et al., 2017). This complicates diagnosis, treatment, and clinical research, leading to calls for alternative classification schemes (Cuthbert & Insel, 2013; Kotov et al., 2017; Krueger, 1999; Rutter, 2011). This problem is particularly significant in youth, where treatment studies, unlike in adults, typically target multiple disorders as a group (RUPP, 2001).

To reconcile conflict between views emphasizing distinctness with observed rates of comorbidity, pediatric anxiety may be conceptualized as manifesting within a multivariate network of interconnected symptom domains (Borsboom & Cramer, 2013; Heeren, Bernstein, & McNally, 2018; Hofmann & Hayes, 2019). Thus, this view reconciles such conflict by retaining well-validated diagnostic categories while recognizing comorbidity as inherent to the expression of anxiety. Drawing from graph theory, computational network analytic tools could be used to evaluate this possibility (Borsboom, 2017; Borsboom & Cramer, 2013; Contreras et al., 2019; Fried et al., 2017; Hofmann, Curtiss, & McNally, 2016). Network analysis quantifies a network topology by estimating the magnitude of unique associations (edges) among symptom domains that assess distinct constructs (nodes). Emergent from this topology are also node centrality measures that index the connectivity, and thus importance, of each domain within the network. Finally, network tools provide statistical methods to compare topologies over time or between groups, allowing for the quantification of moderation effects on the network structure.

Network analysis could show pediatric anxiety to involve a structure that potentially informs clinical and research conceptualizations (Borsboom & Cramer, 2013; Heeren et al., 2018). The insights arise from the ability of network analysis to map links among specific clinical features and identify central features in the network. For example, if the topology of this network is revealed to be only sparsely-connected, this would provide support for an independent-domain perspective of anxiety (e.g. an individual is expected to be affected only by symptoms of one domain, such as social anxiety). Alternatively, uncovered associations among specific domains would indicate symptom manifestations that are more likely to occur together; this information could aid in diagnosing co-occurring disorders as well as guide future research on common pathophysiology, or it could identify domains with high network centrality as potential targets for process-specific treatment (Fried et al., 2017, 2018; Heeren et al., 2018; Hofmann & Hayes, 2019; Merikangas & Swanson, 2010; Weisz et al., 2012). Moreover, the effects of moderators on symptom expression could be quantified by identifying variations in the network structure. For example, age and sex differences (Beesdo et al., 2009; Van Oort, Greaves-Lord, Verhulst, Ormel, & Huizink, 2009) could impact network structures in ways that potentially inform evaluation, treatment, and research.

To date, a number of studies implement network analytic approaches to provide important insights into anxiety-related symptoms in youth (Contreras et al., 2019; Heeren et al., 2018; Jones, Mair, Riemann, Mugno, & McNally, 2018; McElroy, Fearon, Belsky, Fonagy, & Patalay, 2018; Rouquette et al., 2018). However, these studies only characterize links among individual questionnaire items and rely on parent-report alone; these features may reduce sensitivity, validity, and accuracy in assessing core aspects of internalizing symptoms such as anxiety (see online Supplement) (De Los Reyes & Kazdin, 2005; Epskamp, Borsboom, & Fried, 2018a; Fried & Cramer, 2017). Importantly, no study characterizes pediatric anxiety as a network of higher-level, validated symptom domains that correspond to established classification systems. Research on associations among these domains might prove particularly useful when trying to resolve a conflict between views of anxiety as arising from these unique, validated domains despite the presence of data suggesting extremely tight connections among these purportedly distinct domains (Keeton, Kolos, & Walkup, 2009).

This report examines the network structure of pediatric anxiety in a large youth sample ($N = 4964$; 5–17 years) aggregated from seven international sites. Participants were assessed using the child-reported Screen for Child Anxiety Related Emotional Disorders (SCARED), a standard measure featuring strong psychometric properties for assessing severity of distinct symptom domains that follow pediatric anxiety diagnostic categories (Birmaher et al., 1997, 1999; Hale et al., 2011), i.e. social anxiety, separation anxiety, generalized anxiety, and panic; additionally, school anxiety. The sample presented with a wide range of symptom severity (healthy, non-selected, high-risk, or clinically-anxious youth). We perform a set of analyses to characterize pediatric anxiety as a network of distinct but interconnected domains and relate network variation to diagnostic status and key moderators. Specifically, we first quantify the network topology of pediatric anxiety, including identification of central symptom domains. Given typical co-occurrence of generalized, separation, and social anxiety (Keeton et al., 2009), we hypothesize that these domains would show high connectivity and centrality. Next, we test for variations in the network structure as a function of diagnostic status and sex (Beesdo et al., 2009; Costello, Egger, Copeland, Erkanli, & Angold, 2011), hypothesizing denser networks (greater overall connectivity) in patients and in females due to higher expected symptom severity (Beesdo et al., 2009; Fried et al., 2017; Keeton et al., 2009). Finally, we examine longitudinal changes in the network structure during childhood and adolescence among participants who provided data at two time-points, hypothesizing denser networks in later childhood as symptom severity is expected to increase (Beesdo et al., 2009; Van Oort et al., 2009). Together, this report utilizes network analytical approaches to characterize pediatric anxiety as a network of inter-connected domains and relate network variation to diagnostic status and key moderators.

Methods
Data were aggregated from seven independent, international research sites for the purpose of increasing generalizability of results by forming a large, mixed sample spanning a wide range of symptom presentation (Angold, Costello, & Erkanli, 1999). Several measures were consistent across sites, including the SCARED, age, and sex.

Participants
Data from 4964 participants aged 5–17 years (mean = 11.32 years, s.d. = 2.64; 49.1% females) were collected at the following sites: the
Anxiety symptoms and diagnosis

Anxiety symptoms
Anxiety symptoms were assessed using the SCARED, a child-report measure comprising 41 statements relating to recent anxiety symptoms (past 3 months) rated on a 3-point Likert scale (Birmaher et al., 1997, 1999). Multiple exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis studies (review and meta-analysis in Hale et al., 2011) consistently indicate item groupings corresponding to four symptom domains specified by DSM anxiety disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Specifically, items in the generalized anxiety disorder domain (GAD; nine items) primarily index worry-related cognitions; the separation anxiety disorder domain (SEP; eight items) groups items relating to fear of separation from significant others; the social anxiety disorder domain (SOC; seven items) groups items relating to fear of/in social settings; the panic disorder domain (PAN; 13 items) groups items that index physiological arousal; in addition, a fifth domain assesses school-related fears (SCH; four items). Most items are domain-specific. See online Supplement for item examples. The SCARED subscales therefore follow DSM taxonomy of pediatric anxiety symptoms (past 3 months) rated on a 3-point Likert scale.

To compare the relative magnitude of endorsed symptoms between domains, each subscale sum-score was divided by the number of subscale items, yielding proportioned scores. To account for site differences in age, sex, and symptom subscale scores, network analyses were conducted on residuals from linear-regression analyses predicting subscale scores by dummy variables representing sites. For a sub-sample (n = 1988), both child- and parent-report SCARED data were available, enabling auxiliary analyses on reporter differences.

Anxiety symptoms and diagnosis

Anxiety symptoms
Anxiety symptoms were assessed using the SCARED, a child-report measure comprising 41 statements relating to recent anxiety symptoms (past 3 months) rated on a 3-point Likert scale (Birmaher et al., 1997, 1999). Multiple exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis studies (review and meta-analysis in Hale et al., 2011) consistently indicate item groupings corresponding to four symptom domains specified by DSM anxiety disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Specifically, items in the generalized anxiety disorder domain (GAD; nine items) primarily index worry-related cognitions; the separation anxiety disorder domain (SEP; eight items) groups items relating to fear of separation from significant others; the social anxiety disorder domain (SOC; seven items) groups items relating to fear of/in social settings; the panic disorder domain (PAN; 13 items) groups items that index physiological arousal; in addition, a fifth domain assesses school-related fears (SCH; four items). Most items are domain-specific. See online Supplement for item examples. The reliability and validity of these domains have been established through studies in clinical and community samples across different countries (Birmaher et al., 1997, 1999; Hale, Raaijmakers, Muris, van Hoof, & Meeus, 2008; Hale et al., 2011). The SCARED subscales therefore follow DSM taxonomy of pediatric anxiety disorders; subscale sum-scores provide dimensional symptom severity for these disorders.

To compare the relative magnitude of endorsed symptoms between domains, each subscale sum-score was divided by the number of subscale items, yielding proportioned scores. To account for site differences in age, sex, and symptom subscale scores, network analyses were conducted on residuals from linear-regression analyses predicting subscale scores by dummy variables representing sites. For a sub-sample (n = 1988), both child- and parent-report SCARED data were available, enabling auxiliary analyses on reporter differences.

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of the seven samples contributing data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description/Site</th>
<th>NIMH (n = 1089)</th>
<th>FIU (n = 222)</th>
<th>UFRGS (n = 72)</th>
<th>PSU (n = 209)</th>
<th>UvA (n = 670)</th>
<th>HRC (n = 2204)</th>
<th>Yale (n = 489)</th>
<th>Total (n = 4964)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age [M (S.D.)]</td>
<td>12.52 (2.60)</td>
<td>10.25 (2.77)</td>
<td>8.88 (1.62)</td>
<td>10.87 (0.96)</td>
<td>14.22 (1.81)</td>
<td>10.31 (1.91)</td>
<td>10.06 (3.13)</td>
<td>10.21 (1.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female [%]</td>
<td>550 (50.51)</td>
<td>110 (49.55)</td>
<td>38 (52.78)</td>
<td>94 (45.96)</td>
<td>398 (59.14)</td>
<td>1006 (45.44)</td>
<td>240 (49.69)</td>
<td>1089 (50.51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety disorder diagnosis [%]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAD</td>
<td>455 (41.78)</td>
<td>222 (100)</td>
<td>72 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOC</td>
<td>50 (4.62)</td>
<td>222 (100)</td>
<td>72 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEP</td>
<td>586 (53.90)</td>
<td>222 (100)</td>
<td>72 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>486 (44.78)</td>
<td>222 (100)</td>
<td>72 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCH</td>
<td>486 (44.78)</td>
<td>222 (100)</td>
<td>72 (100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total score</td>
<td>17.30 (12.53)</td>
<td>28.98 (16.28)</td>
<td>32.72 (12.53)</td>
<td>16.63 (12.17)</td>
<td>24.59 (14.40)</td>
<td>14.72 (12.00)</td>
<td>16.63 (12.17)</td>
<td>24.59 (14.40)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Multiple exploratory and confirmatory factor analysis studies (review and meta-analysis in Hale et al., 2011) consistently indicate item groupings corresponding to four symptom domains specified by DSM anxiety disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Specifically, items in the generalized anxiety disorder domain (GAD; nine items) primarily index worry-related cognitions; the separation anxiety disorder domain (SEP; eight items) groups items relating to fear of separation from significant others; the social anxiety disorder domain (SOC; seven items) groups items relating to fear of/in social settings; the panic disorder domain (PAN; 13 items) groups items that index physiological arousal; in addition, a fifth domain assesses school-related fears (SCH; four items). Most items are domain-specific. See online Supplement for item examples. The reliability and validity of these domains have been established through studies in clinical and community samples across different countries (Birmaher et al., 1997, 1999; Hale, Raaijmakers, Muris, van Hoof, & Meeus, 2008; Hale et al., 2011). The SCARED subscales therefore follow DSM taxonomy of pediatric anxiety disorders; subscale sum-scores provide dimensional symptom severity for these disorders.

To compare the relative magnitude of endorsed symptoms between domains, each subscale sum-score was divided by the number of subscale items, yielding proportioned scores. To account for site differences in age, sex, and symptom subscale scores, network analyses were conducted on residuals from linear-regression analyses predicting subscale scores by dummy variables representing sites. For a sub-sample (n = 1988), both child- and parent-report SCARED data were available, enabling auxiliary analyses on reporter differences.
Anxiety diagnosis
In some sites (see online Supplement), participants were assessed for the presence of psychiatric disorders through gold-standard semi-structured interviews conducted by trained clinicians, forming the basis for the anxiety patient and HV (no disorder) subsamples (see online Supplement).

Anxiety symptom network
Network theory provides a systems perspective of psychopathology that emerges from the interplay among its symptom constituents (Borsboom, 2017; Borsboom & Cramer, 2013). Operationally, it utilizes computational methods to estimate a symptom network topology: a mapping of all unique associations (edges) between variables such as symptoms or symptom domains (nodes) (Borsboom, 2017; Borsboom & Cramer, 2013; Fried et al., 2017). Here, we examined pediatric anxiety from a network perspective. That is, while psychiatric taxonomy classifies pediatric anxiety symptoms into distinct disorders, such distinct disorders might represent nodes existing within a network structure. Thus, instead of manifesting along single domains, pediatric anxiety symptoms may manifest through multiple symptom domains in a multivariate manner, such that the domains reflect distinct but covarying expressions of anxiety (e.g. fear responses in the form of cognitive worry or physiological arousal, in social or separation settings). This approach therefore retains established DSM categories, but allows them to covary. To test whether symptom domains are inter-related, we utilized network analytic tools to quantify a network structure. Accordingly, we used symptom domains as the constituents of the network, with SCARED subscale sum-scores, as opposed to individual items, as nodes and edges quantifying the unique covariation between these domains by means of partial correlations. In addition to addressing our question on associations among established diagnostic domains, using subscale scores (as opposed to individual items) has several key advantages in this context: it reduces estimation problems due to individual-item overlap and multicollinearity; it improves accuracy of estimated parameters; and it facilitates interpretation of findings (see online Supplement) (Epskamp et al., 2018a; Fried & Cramer, 2017). Furthermore, it allows for dimension reduction based on item groupings created and validated outside of this sample, thus diminishing potential ‘double-dipping’ issues.

Network topology
The R package qgraph was used to estimate edge-weights (unique associations between domain nodes) as regularized partial-correlations based on Gaussian Graphical Models (Epskamp, Cramer, Waldorp, Schmittmann, & Borsboom, 2012). Reported edge values (r) reflect regularized partial-correlation coefficients. The package NetworkComparisonTest (NCT) tested age and sex differences between networks, yielding two metrics of topology invariance: global structure (M statistic) assesses whether network structures differ, and global strength (S statistic) quantifies differences in overall network connectivity (Van Borkulo, 2016) (see online Supplement).

Node centrality
Graph theory computes measures of node centrality: latent factors indexing node connectivity within the network. Here, due to its superior psychometrics, we used node strength, defined as the sum of absolute edge-weights connecting a node to all other nodes (Epskamp et al., 2018a). This importantly complements severity scores by identifying core symptoms that may not necessarily show high severity but instead closely track with other domains (see online Supplement).

Analytic plan
All analyses characterize and compare sub-samples in terms of: (1) mean proportioned symptom domain scores; (2) associations among symptom domains, as estimated through network topology; and (3) symptom domain centrality within the network, as estimated through node strength. Differences in symptom domain scores were tested using linear mixed-effects with subject as a random-effect and site as a covariate to account for site differences. All network analyses were conducted on residual data controlling for effects of sites (see limitations section). Group and longitudinal differences in network topology were tested using the NCT. See online Supplement for all code and correlation matrices to facilitate reproducibility.

The anxiety symptom network in the full sample
We characterized associations among anxiety domains using the full dataset (N = 4964).

The anxiety symptom network in pathological anxiety
To test whether the presence vs. absence of pathological anxiety manifested in distinct patterns of domain associations, we compared networks between the anxiety patient (n = 1089) and HV (n = 634) sub-samples.

The anxiety symptom network and sex
To test for sex differences in domain associations (Beesdo et al., 2009), we compared symptom networks between females (n = 2436) and males (n = 2528) using the full sample.

Changes in the anxiety symptom network with age
Finally, we tested longitudinal (within-subject) changes in symptom networks in a sub-sample of youths (n = 1664, HRC site) who provided data at two time-points approximately 3 years apart (T1, T2; online Supplementary Table S1). We focused on longitudinal changes during childhood and during adolescence, two critical developmental periods involving changes in anxiety symptoms (Beesdo et al., 2009; Van Oort et al., 2009). Accordingly, this sub-sample was divided into child cohort (participants aged 5.8-9.9 years at T1; n = 793) and adolescent cohort (participants aged 10.0-14.3 years at T1; n = 871).

Additional analyses
We compared anxiety networks between child- and parent-report data (Behrens, Swettlitz, Pine, & Pagliaccio, 2018) in a sub-sample that had data for both informants (n = 1988).

All hypotheses were two-sided and assumed a significance level of 0.05.

Results
The anxiety symptom network in the full sample
In the full sample, the SOC symptom domain demonstrated the highest mean proportioned severity score (Fig. 1, top), followed by GAD and SEP symptoms; panic/somatic (PAN) symptoms showed the lowest severity (see online Supplement). The anxiety symptom domain network (Fig. 1, center) was estimated with
high accuracy (online Supplementary Fig. S1), and indicated positive unique associations among all domains, with variation in the strength of connections between domains. The strongest edges were GAD–PAN symptoms and GAD–SOC symptoms (partial-correlations: \( r = 0.39 \) and \( r = 0.31 \), respectively). This suggests that excessive worry relates particularly tightly to somatic symptoms and social distress; the SOC–PAN edge was estimated as weakest \( (r = 0.04) \); see online Supplementary Table S3 for partial-correlations). Finally, GAD and PAN symptoms demonstrated the highest connectivity within the network (Fig. 1, bottom), as indexed by node strength; SOC, SEP, and SCH symptoms demonstrated low strength (see online Supplement).

To examine generalizability, network structure and centrality indices were also estimated separately within each site; analyses yielded similar cross-site network features, supporting generalizability, alongside site-specific features (see online Supplement). An additional supplemental analysis modeled domain factor-scores (based on confirmatory factor-analysis) rather than sum-scores. Factor- and sum-scores were highly correlated \((0.91 < r < 0.99)\), as were the network adjacency matrices estimated by them \((r = 0.90)\), but some network differences were also observed (see online Supplement).

The anxiety symptom network in pathological anxiety

Anxiety patients endorsed significantly greater symptom severity relative to healthy participants across all domains \((ps < 0.001)\); see online Supplement), but the samples featured a similar pattern of relative symptom domain severity \((SOC > GAD > SEP > SCH > PAN; Fig. 2, top)\). The estimated networks of both samples \((Fig. 2, center; accuracy metrics in online Supplementary Fig. S4)\) featured varying positive associations among all symptom domains. GAD–PAN and GAD–SOC were the strongest edges in the HV network \((rs = 0.33)\); GAD–PAN was the strongest edge in the patient network \((r = 0.42)\) (see online Supplementary Table S5). Despite significant group differences in symptom severity, the HV and patient network featured comparable global network structure, \(M = 0.12, p = 0.14\), and global strength \((i.e. \text{absolute sum of edges}), S = 0.07, p = 0.15\). Finally, networks in patients and healthy subjects featured high relative strength of GAD and PAN symptoms \((Fig. 2, bottom; see online Supplement); in the healthy relative to the patient network, SEP symptoms showed higher relative strength and SCH showed relative lower strength.

The anxiety symptom network and sex

Mean symptom domain severity varied as a function of sex \((\text{domain} \times \text{sex interaction}), F(4,20,369) = 10.28, p < 0.0001\). Follow-up analyses indicated that females endorsed higher severity than males on all domains, \(ps < 0.0001\), but both groups exhibited relatively similar patterns of symptom domain severity \((Fig. 3, top)\). In both estimated networks \((Fig. 3, center; online Supplementary Fig. S5 for accuracy)\), symptom pairs were positively associated, with GAD–PAN being the strongest edge \((\text{females}: r = 0.40, \text{males}: r = 0.38)\) (see online Supplementary Table S6). A significant sex difference in the global structure was noted, \(M = 0.09, p = 0.038\); follow-up tests indicated that, among females, the GAD–SCH edge was significantly stronger, \(p = 0.005\). Global strength did not differ between groups, \(S = 0.04, p = 0.13\). In both samples, GAD and PAN symptoms featured high relative strength; SCH symptoms featured higher relative strength in the female relative to male network \((Fig. 3, bottom)\).

Changes in the anxiety symptom network with development

Longitudinal analyses in the child cohort indicated significant decreases with reassessment after 3 years for SOC, SEP, PAN, and SCH symptoms \((Fig. 4a, top)\); \(ps < 0.001\), but not in GAD levels, \(p = 0.51\). Edge-weight matrices were significantly correlated over time, \(r = 0.82, p = 0.004\), indicating acceptable reliability. Nevertheless, the network structure significantly changed over
time in this cohort, $M = .15$, $p = 0.019$ (Fig. 4a, center; online Supplementary Figs. S6, S7 for accuracy). Follow-up tests indicated a significant decrease in GAD–PAN association over time, $p < 0.001$. Global network strength did not change, $S = 0.04$, $p = 0.37$. Finally, the centrality of GAD symptoms decreased with time, whereas the centrality of PAN symptoms increased (Fig. 4a, bottom).

Longitudinal analyses in the adolescent cohort indicated significant decreases with reassessment in SOC, SEP, PAN, and SCH severity (Fig. 4b, top), $ps < 0.001$; GAD severity did not significantly change, $p = 0.35$. Edge-weight matrices were correlated over time, $r = 0.79$, $p = 0.006$, again, indicating acceptable reliability. Neither network structure nor strength (Fig. 4b, center) significantly changed across adolescence, $ps > 0.27$. Continuing the pattern observed in the child cohort, the centrality of GAD symptoms decreased with time, whereas PAN centrality increased (Fig. 4b, bottom). See online Supplementary Table S7 for all edge coefficients.

**Additional analyses**

Additional analyses examined reporter differences (child- v. parent-report in dyads) in anxiety symptom networks. The global network structure significantly differed as a function of the reporter; follow-up analyses indicated that children reported weaker GAD–SEP association, but stronger GAD–PAN and SOC–SEP associations. Furthermore, the child-report network highlighted the centrality of both GAD and PAN domains, whereas in the parent-report network, GAD symptoms featured higher centrality relative to all other domains. Complete details are provided in online Supplement.

**Discussion**

A network analytic approach reveals several novel insights into pediatric anxiety. First, anxiety symptom domains manifest an
inter-connected network structure, with GAD and PAN symptoms constituting central nodes. Second, sex differences were noted in terms of both symptom severity and symptom network structure. Finally, longitudinal data find strong overall within-subject reliability in the anxiety symptom network structure with some notable changes during childhood for specific edges. Together, results suggest that pediatric anxiety manifests along multiple, inter-connected domains, and identify moderators of this network structure.

Network analysis quantified the unique associations among distinct pediatric anxiety symptom domains. SOC symptoms showed the greatest severity and correlated most strongly with worry-related (GAD) symptoms, and only weakly with PAN symptoms. Within the network, worry and panic/somatic symptoms tracked most closely together, as well as cumulatively with the other domains in the network (i.e. high centrality). Anxiety patients and healthy youth differed in symptom severity, but not in the magnitude or pattern of inter-domain associations, supporting a dimensional view of anxiety severity as discussed below.

Age and sex have been identified as important moderators of anxiety symptom presentation. Pediatric anxiety symptoms change as children age, although the reported nature of these changes may differ across studies (Beesdo et al., 2009; Costello, Copeland, & Angold, 2011; Hale et al., 2008; Van Oort et al., 2009). Our longitudinal data indicate that symptom networks show high within-subject stability across development. These data further demonstrate early developmental changes in the relations among anxiety domains. This change manifested most prominently as decreasing association between worry-related and panic/somatic symptoms, potentially reflecting maturation in ability to distinguish internal states of fear-evoked worry and physiological arousal, possibly due to maturation and developmental differentiation in neural circuitry supporting such changes.
functions (Nook, Sasse, Lambert, McLaughlin, & Somerville, 2017; Smidt & Suvak, 2015). Greater severity of symptoms among females relative to males echoes prior findings (Beesdo et al., 2009; Hale et al., 2011). Our findings extend such reports by showing that sex differences also manifest in a symptom network configuration, primarily in associations between worry and school- and social-related fears.

In addition to quantifying the magnitude of inter-domain associations, network analysis delineates several broader characteristics of pediatric anxiety. First, correlations among all symptom domains indicate that anxiety typically manifests along multiple symptom domains, consistent with homotypic comorbidity (Hammerness et al., 2008; Merikangas & Swanson, 2010; Wittchen, Lecrubier, Beesdo, & Nocon, 2003). A highly-connected network structure may point to a potential core perturbation. This perturbation may not necessarily constitute a diagnostic symptom in the network but still may manifest to varying degrees through constituents of the network (Caspi & Moffitt, 2018; Cramer, Waldorp, van der Maas, & Borsboom, 2010; McNally et al., 2015). For example, a tendency for exaggerated neural response to threat could influence fear responses to stressors in social, school, and separation settings. Biology (e.g. sex, age) and social context (e.g. parent/peer behavior) may influence networks in ways that generate individual differences in eventual symptom presentation (Lahey, Van Hulle, Singh, Waldman, & Rathouz, 2011; Lebowitz, Leckman, Silverman, & Feldman, 2016). Alternatively, symptoms might not arise from a single perturbation, but rather show dynamic causal interactions (Borsboom, 2017; Caspi & Moffitt, 2018); for example, greater social anxiety severity might lead to increased worry. Our findings highlight particularly strong putative inter-domain links; continued research using repeated measurements of symptoms over multiple time points could further elucidate the dynamics of this network (Epskamp et al., 2018b).

Of note, network structure did not differ between normative and pathological anxiety samples, extending reports of homotypic comorbidity. Such findings support a dimensional view of anxiety severity and inform the broader ongoing debate on the qualitative nature of psychopathology (Beesdo et al., 2009; Cuthbert & Insel, 2013; Hofmann et al., 2016; Kotov et al., 2017; Rutter, 2011). In particular, these findings are in line with efforts to generate classification systems based on dimensions of neurobiology and

---

Fig. 4. Longitudinal changes in anxiety symptoms (n = 1664). Data presented reflect longitudinal changes (from Time 1 to Time 2) in anxiety symptoms across two 3-year periods: (a) childhood (age 5.8–9.9 years at Time 1; n = 793), and (b) adolescence (age 10.0–14.3 years at Time 1; n = 871). Top: mean domain severity score for the five anxiety symptom domains. Center: anxiety symptom network topology. All edges are positive; thicker edges represent stronger associations. Bottom: strength of each domain node in the anxiety network (Z-scored). GAD, generalized anxiety disorder symptoms; SEP, separation anxiety disorder symptoms; SOC, social anxiety disorder symptoms; PAN, panic disorder/somatic symptoms; SCH, school phobia symptoms.

Note: Symptom domain severity score was calculated as a subscale score divided by the number of subscale items. Error bars indicate one standard error of the mean.
behavior (e.g. Research Domain Criteria; Cuthbert and Insel, 2013).

Network analysis consistently revealed the centrality of the GAD and PAN domains within the network. The GAD domain indexes general worry-related cognitions, while the PAN domain indexes acute, context-free arousal responses. In contrast, the SOC, SEP, and SCH domains may reflect specific contexts in which fear responses are evoked. High connectivity of GAD and PAN symptoms with other domains suggests that worry and somatic-arousal symptoms may ‘cut across’ specific domains, constituting the cognitive and physiological manifestations of anxiety in response to threat elicited in different specific contexts (e.g. social settings). Indeed, it has been suggested that worry and panic/somatic symptoms are common to all anxiety disorders (Barlow, 2002; Shear, Bjelland, Beesdo, Gloster, & Wittchen, 2007). For example, the expression of anxiety may vary as a function of threat proximity, ranging from anxious worry when a threat is distal and uncertain to panic symptoms that facilitate defensive responding when the threat is immediate (Craske, 1999; Fanselow, Lester, Bolles, & Beecher, 1988; Shear et al., 2007). Importantly, these inferences are uniquely provided through network analysis; domain severity scores do not reveal patterns of associations and connectivity between domains (e.g. compare high centrality vis-à-vis low severity of PAN symptoms).

Standard psychiatric nosology classifies pediatric anxiety symptoms into distinct domains; this distinction is supported by factor analyses (Hale et al., 2011). However, typical presentation of symptoms of multiple domains complicates diagnosis, treatment, and clinical research (Cummings et al., 2014; Cuthbert & Insel, 2013; Hofmann & Hayes, 2019; Kotov et al., 2017; Krueger, 1999; Rapee, Schniering, & Hudson, 2009). A network perspective offers a complementary perspective of pediatric anxiety. This perspective provides quantitative information which could address some of these challenges and potentially, through continued research, inform clinical care and study, while maintaining existing classification schemes.

For example, a diagnostic process should expect a multi-dimensional presentation of pediatric anxiety symptoms as indicated here; thus, knowledge about strongly-correlated domains could aid diagnosis by guiding clinicians towards anxiety subtypes that are more likely to co-occur. Moreover, the dimensional nature of domain associations could facilitate identification of at-risk youth presenting with sub-threshold symptoms (Angold, Costello, Farmer, Burns, & Erkanli, 1999; Beesdo et al., 2009). Additionally, group-level network characteristics (e.g. global connectivity) have been suggested to predict later clinical outcomes (Boschloo, Schoevers, van Borkulo, Borsboom, & Oldehinkel, 2016; van Borkulo et al., 2015); it remains to be investigated whether such effects translate to individual-level predictions (Fried et al., 2017). Treatment may likewise potentially benefit from information revealed by network analysis. A central symptom domain could be specifically targeted by an intervention, potentially affecting other domains; for a similar reason, such a domain could be recognized as a potential vulnerability for relapse (Bringmann et al., 2013; Fried et al., 2018; Fried, Epskamp, Nesse, Tuerlinckx, & Borsboom, 2016; Heeren et al., 2018; Hofmann et al., 2016; McNally et al., 2015; Robinaugh, Millner, & McNally, 2016; Rodebaugh et al., 2018). Along these lines, particularly strong domain associations (e.g. SOC–GAD) could reflect specific processes that significantly contribute to anxiety manifestation and which should therefore be targeted by interventions (Hofmann & Hayes, 2019; Hofmann et al., 2016; Weisz et al., 2012). Such process-specific treatments have been recently advocated (Hofmann & Hayes, 2019; Weisz et al., 2012). Finally, patterns of domain associations may inform research on shared v. unique pathophysiology underlying the expression of symptoms. For example, symptom domains showing stronger unique associations may show more closely-linked correlates than weakly-associated domains, and potentially inform on common pathophysiology.

Nevertheless, it is important to emphasize that potential clinical implications derived from our findings are currently limited due to the cross-sectional nature of most of our available data. Thus, while we identify the effects of potential clinical relevance, additional research is required to translate findings into clinical implications. In particular, longitudinal clinical research that follows patients across age, ideally using many data-collection time-points, could extend the current findings, and test whether insights into domain links and centrality provide clinical benefit, as well as identify causal dynamics among domains, potentially informing diagnosis and treatment (Fried et al., 2017). The advent of mobile technology facilitating collection of time-series symptom and behavioral data (Epskamp et al., 2018b), in combination with treatment trials, offers novel opportunities to test such clinical venues informed by network theory.

Several strengths of the study design should be noted. First, multi-site collaboration yielded a sample considerably larger and more heterogeneous than in previous work, thereby increasing statistical power and generalizability of findings. Second, longitudinal data allowed us to examine changes during key developmental periods. Third, anxiety networks were derived from symptom domain indices shown to: (1) be psychometrically robust across international samples (Hale et al., 2011) and (2) map onto DSM diagnostic criteria (Birmaher et al., 1997, 1999; Hale et al., 2011), thereby meaningfully linking current classification systems with data on continuous symptom dimensions. Important limitations and future research considerations should also be noted, relating primarily to challenges in combining multi-site data. First, multi-site data create a nested structure which optimally should be analyzed using multi-level analytic approaches. To the best of our knowledge, no such established approaches are available for network analysis; thus, we attempted to diminish site confounds by conducting analyses on data that regress out variance explained by sites. Developing multi-level analytic approaches would greatly benefit the field by directly addressing this need, particularly as multi-site collaborations are becoming more common. Second, sites studied different populations and used similar but not always identical inclusion/exclusion criteria; this may have led to additional heterogeneity. Third, comparing network models of healthy and anxious participants may potentially introduce Berkson’s bias; however, as diagnostic status was not derived from symptom data used in modeling, we expect this bias to be negligible. Finally, measurement across sites of additional, related psychopathology (e.g. depressive symptoms) would have enabled us to control for co-occurring psychopathology in analyses.

In conclusion, in the current report we use a network analytic approach in a large, heterogeneous sample to demonstrate that pediatric anxiety symptoms manifest along multiple, interconnected domains. By quantifying the anxiety symptom network structure, this approach provides novel insights into normative and pathological pediatric anxiety across development and sex. These insights inform our clinical conceptualization of pediatric anxiety and can guide continuing efforts to improve diagnostic accuracy and treatment.
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Notes

1 In the manuscript, we use the term ‘symptom domain’ to reflect a dimensional continuum indexing the severity of symptoms of a specific pediatric anxiety disorder. For example, we refer to ‘social anxiety’ as a dimensional domain featuring low to high severity of aggregated symptoms that together characterize social anxiety, rather than a categorical diagnosis.
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