1. Welcome & Sign in
   a. Call to order 9:06 pm.

2. Approval of Senate Minutes (October 3rd, 2016)
   a. Approved

3. Cabinet Updates
   a. Tech/Innovations/Student Affairs: made calendar to aggregate all student events; hosted on Google Calendar and will give out more details soon on subscribing
   b. AAA: met on Friday, discussed budget request from BLOC for Halloween party (10/28); decided it would be something to pass on to Exec Board
   c. Campus Improvements: split up into 2 teams - res life and water; will present recommendations for each team in two weeks
   d. Consortium Affairs
      i. PZ – didn’t discuss very much, went through funding requests for clubs
      ii. Mudd – voted against 5C Senate (president felt as if there was no need for the 5C constitution), was a minority that still seemed interested
      iii. Pomona – talked about mental health through two angles, presented data on recent mental health survey; new dean of academic affairs presented and was questioned by Senate; planning on changing student representation on Board of Trustees committees to have at least two students
   e. Environmental Affairs: establishing pesticide-free policy at CMC; want to establish sustainability fund under President’s fund so students can apply for funding for sustainable projects; working with Ath to be more environmentally friendly – water will now be in a pitcher in the center, and desserts will be plated in the center (if any dessert is touched it has to be thrown out), will also work on tea practices (trying to minimize cup use)

4. For Vote: Senate Operating Procedures Revisions
a. Name for Environmental Concerns Committee implies that there are concerns, changing “concerns” to “affairs” implies proactivity and positivity
b. New operating procedures approved with committee name change

5. “It’s on Us” Week of Action with Elaine Sohng
a. Lindsay Burton: she is white house student advisor for California/Hawaii; wants to start a cabinet/presence on campus; reach out to her at lburton19@cmc.edu, this week is Fall Week of Action to raise awareness on sexual assault prevention/awareness and It’s on US, Tuesday – will be consent tabling in North Quad and Audrie and Daisy screening at night, Wednesday – more consent tabling/photos, Thursday – social media campaign and Teal Out (Teal = color for sexual awareness)

b. Felipe: check out It’s on Us website

c. Hosting Secretary of Education and Assistant Secretary of Human Rights tomorrow; closed roundtable discussion with leaders on campus, considering smaller campuses have been left in the dust, will be updated on discussion
   i. Biggest obstacles smaller campuses face?
      1. Representatives from national campaign met in Washington and see that the small campus problems persist from thinking you know everyone, thinks that things don’t happen on this campus but they do regardless of the small size; educating people on the fact that sexual assault still happens

d. Zippy Wilson – running Advocates (CMC Advocates for survivors of Sexual Assault and Violence); getting it off the ground this semester, training is on 21/22 of October (9-5 fri, 10-3 sat), can have as many people at training as possible
   i. Advocates are people who can act as resources for people to go to if they are assaulted/know someone who is assaulted; also will have office hours (contact Zippy at gwilson19@cmc.edu)

6. Board of Trustees Committee Updates
a. Board meets quarterly, first meetings were on day of Roberts Pavilion opening; different committees that students sit on (at least 2 per committee); some committees discuss confidential information but duty of students is to report back and provide information and keep line of communication open; next time trustees meet is in December

b. College Advancement – Tyler Finn, Naina, Cole Mora
   i. For college fundraising, public affairs, being connection between alumni and donors and president’s office (setting strategic vision for college); discussed fundraising (we met $50,000 fundraising goal for last year, completed student Imperative $100 million for financial aid); Cole – another part run through heads of development office (400 building Claremont Boulevard, if anyone has questions definitely reach out to him or Tyler), focused on alumni engagement, senior class gift talk about (idea = after graduation, alums become part of giving community at CMC, as you’re capable of giving more money you do (idea is that you will continue to provide good experiences to
CMCers), talked about trying to get high engagement for the class of 2017, also talked about ways to get people excited about being in the Alumni Network/giving community, came down to having a fund where seniors could pool into during senior year and then take action on during the year, gets people excited about the prospect of giving to their community

ii. Sidd: how big is our alumni/giving staff compared to the rest of the faculty/staff?
   1. 40 staff there, there is a lot less in faculty support; not sure about numbers but can bring up before next meeting

iii. How are parents a part of the network? Can you speak to high turnover in development office?
   1. Any parent can be a part of the network even if they aren’t alumni, can get involved with the Parent Network Board, can get involved with policy or parent panels or parents weekend, etc. (they can reach out to the office); attrition – last year Carol Stevens was hired as VP of development, Andy Serrilo is also promoted, VP of communications/VP of development left, seems like a spike in attrition last year in terms of dissenting opinions esp due to movement last year, other than that spike it’s been a normal turnover rate; there were a number of people who left but in terms of other colleges being able to take our people away there hasn’t been any of that (the people who want to be in the office are still there)
   2. Naina meeting with someone next week (direct any important questions to her)
   3. Participation was open to student input (maybe more so than most); staff does like meeting students; students also work for the office

c. Academic Affairs – mostly them receiving info from other people, was a presentation from SVP director on updates they’ve been making to increase enrollment; presentations from student researchers on how student-faculty research happens, but most of what they deal with happens through Dean Uvin’s office (not committee itself) (Sidd)
d. Buildings and Grounds – (and public art subcommittee); Public Art talked about piece in front of Roberts and obelisk that will be introduced in front of Collins (ahead of schedule, should arrive January); next fall will be dedication for the two art pieces; discussion about new art in admissions/Kravis (current art is on loan); Buildings and Grounds students were shut out, did feel that there were some points that could have used student input, a lot of meeting consisted of finance and how buildings/future renovations are being financed; did like Career Services center, wanted to talk about building renovations and how to pay for future renovations without taking too much out of operating budget; discussion about attributing buildings to specific donors (Connor/Sam)
e. Student Affairs – updates similar to Academic Affairs, talked about admissions and financial aid (Georgette and someone else), Dean
Basso/Greer/Dianna Graves updated student affairs, athletics talked about through Roberts; trustees used opportunities to hear what’s been going on on campus (Felipe/Tony)

f. Any questions contact people who spoke; next meetings are off campus

g. Sam: why are students on committees if they don’t participate
   i. Felipe will be in contact and seeing if there’s any way to increase student participation; has been expressed by more than just students; have to take into account that there is a lot of info to disseminate whereas next meetings could involve more student input

7. Discussion on Sex Positivity – Elaine Sohng
   a. Can reach out at esohng17@cmc.edu, she is presidential advisor relating to Sex Positivity (sex positivity = when the environment allows people who want to engage in sexual activity); wants to cultivate campus culture where we aren’t just talking about negatives of not having sex positive culture, where people can abstain comfortably and talk about sex comfortably, also want to talk about intersectionality (students of color, or who don’t identify with gender binary) and how that affects how we talk about sex
   b. With It’s on Us, trying to have a lot of student orgs and people get involved, Student Athletic Advisory Committee is helping in North Quad tomorrow, class of 2020 will be there, 2019 will be helping Wednesday with the RAs, Women’s Forum helping with Audrie and Daisy screening
   c. Wanted to open discussion up about hookup culture at CMC and talk about things people want to preserve or change moving forward
   d. Dina: something noticed at Toga, due to small space, people were essentially on top of each other and made it nearly impossible to have a conversation with someone/not touch anyone, thought it was a product of the fences
      i. Elaine: have been having discussions about how the physical spaces affect parties; used to have parties in study lounges; Toga is specifically unique and wasn’t as ideal or sturdy as it should have been; traditionally haven’t had event at Bauer, didn’t expect that many people and will be taken into consideration later; fencing is required for security reasons, not an option not to have it but there can be discussion on fencing lines (5C/7C consortium discussion)
      ii. Russell: might be good idea (to events staff) to look into formalizing maps and physical space, to decide for x amount of people, what size/shape would be best for each social event; sees each event as coming and going and people decide space on what looks and feels good, but could be designated ahead of time for more streamlining, also need to have student input on other aspects
         1. Would also encourage student input and interest in events; could send out footprint of event space
      iii. Josh: on the layout, no matter the event, the issue is that people push to the front, naturally will create a cluster if the event space is narrow
   e. How is CMC’s culture different than other 5Cs?
      i. Regarding sex positivity – freshmen using what’s goodly (app that links to questions people post and people can answer them anonymously);
sees stereotype of people wanting to be single when in reality a majority of people want to be in relationships; talking about how to encourage people to not only be positive individuals acting individually but also acting positively as romantic partners and in couples

1. Elaine: sometimes sex positivity can be focused on hookup culture when there is more push for relationships, what is normal?
   a. People get told that no one is in a relationship (on prospie visits); is it true?
   b. Unsure if this is unique to CMC, though (something about college that promotes hooking up over relationships?)

ii. Edgar: unsure how this fits, but also idea of hickeys and walk of shame, doesn’t support sex positivity, changing walk of shame into “stride of pride” would be more positive

1. Elaine: two years ago, series of articles on the Forum about sex, trying to normalize things that people may see as not normal sexually/are usually ashamed of things; need to focus on how we talk about things with others

iii. Alejandra: how (for underclassmen) do you think that the size affects sex positivity?

1. Chances are, people know who others are, what they’re doing and who they do it with

2. One specific thing – feels like Consortium specifically gives off vibe between CMC-Scripps relationship, a lot of ideas about Scripps girls, sees it as function of not having enough non-party interaction between campuses, because we don’t see people in academic settings or non-drunk/dancing settings, it results in automatic objectification (people are just faces seen at a party), sees it as a function of small school and the most 5C interaction happens at parties
   a. Elaine: stereotype exists almost exclusively about CMC guys

f. Anna Zimmerman: also to think about, Scripps students’ perceptions are shaped by how they see us at parties, that shapes people’s opinions and stereotypes, think about context in which you interact with everyone

i. Cole – did 5C mixer, engagement from CMCers did seem low though (especially compared to SCR/HMC), could be point of emphasis though to determine information on sex positivity; has a cousin at Scripps and agrees with the portrayal of men at CMC, but knowing CMC’s campus it is problematic that the lack of strong women at CMC is perpetuated

ii. Class presidents do meet often and can have discussions about this

i. Jess: fact that CMC participation in 5C clubs/orgs is very low, we don’t take advantage of it and could do so especially to increase interactions past simply party scene
h. Sidd: due to small campus, needs to be a higher standard for relationships with each other, can’t escape people here; basic decency is not hard to do but hard to find in sexual relationships
   i. Elaine: cost-benefit analysis: people come back and recruit, if you’re not nice, interactions will be made very awkward
i. Andrew: thinks that sex positivity/climate should be talked about; should have longer discussions
   i. Felipe: There are a lot of forums to talk about these issues (Empower Center has had lower CMC turnout), encourages people to attend discussions; as Senators this is an ongoing conversation that we should take outside of Senate, report out to other members of the community about things
j. People bringing cigarettes into parties, problem with safety and hazards (people getting burned?)
k. Lena: for freshmen, hookup culture and college is pretty shocking, more conversations could be useful
   i. Alejandra: would you just want to have a freshmen conversation or with upperclassmen?
      1. Potential panel with upperclassmen, not forced, but people would benefit from hearing about it
      2. Andrew: FYGs tried to have conversations, but hard to impart on incoming students (could have a discussion later on in the year as FYGs?)
         a. Could do so but also need to get out of comfort zone with people you don’t know
   3. Ellie: more accountability with FYGs, would people actually come to a panel?
4. Josh: felt as if conversations with FYGs were forced, and thus not very engaging, if someone just reads off prompts about sex positivity then it won’t be very effective; the same people interacting doesn’t promote diversity of thought and doesn’t include larger community; could be better to just open it up to the community and have a really informal discussion without leaders and simply with a space would be more beneficial, go to a certain point where too many scripted conversations were bad
      a. Alejandra: trying to work on providing more open discussion spaces for people to talk
      b. Felipe: a nice thing about Senate is that it is open; could also talk about it with friends
5. Jake: voluntary vs forced groups, does agree it’s often the same group of people participating due to self-selection (certain people want to be part of the discussion); can be tiring to go through forced conversation but feels like it’s the only way to remove self-selection; some sort of mandatory thing/open forum could be useful (grade-specific) so that a lot of people are included
6. Biniyam: hard to get people to block out time for discussions, but also hard to commit time for discussions, likes that it was brought up impromptu at Senate; could bring out casual event for freshmen and bring it up out of the blue
   a. Elaine: surprise condom fairies went out a couple of years ago, sparked more organic conversations (will not be announced)
7. Indira: concerned about how it seems like the freshmen care about the issue; concerned that they don’t want to section out time to talk about it
   a. Jake: we are students though, there isn’t a time where we have free time
   b. Elaine: 80% of people who are sexually assaulted consider their assaulter a friend; even if you don’t want to go to the events, talk to your friends about it organically, don’t need to go to established discussions; important because people are having problems with people who are their friends
   c. Indira: also concerned about how springing a discussion would feel to survivors, not fair to them to not know about discussions
8. Not sure forum is best way to engage discussion, best way is either to organize people who don’t know each other, if it was all the freshmen it would most likely just be people who always talk

8. Open forum
   a. No senate next week!
   b. Next senate, room draw, res life, retention (bring friends!)

9. Closing Remarks
   a. Adjourned 10:20 pm.

Respectfully Submitted,

Maddie Lee
Secretary of the Senate