Associated Students of Claremont McKenna College  
Senate  
November 21st, 2016

Agenda:
1. Welcome & Sign in
2. Approval of Senate Minutes (November 14, 2016)
3. Cabinet Updates
4. Reminder of ASCMC Survey (Open for one week more)
5. AAA Funding Request:
   a. Alternatives Anonymous Request
6. For Discussion: ASCC Constitution
7. Open Forum
8. Closing Remarks

1. Welcome & Sign in
   a. Call to order 9:08 pm

2. Approval of Senate Minutes (November 14, 2016)
   a. Approved

3. Cabinet Updates
   a. Technology
      i. Want to start using private channel for people to vote through Slack, link is in email about Senate
   b. Consortium Affairs
      i. HMC: went over budget requests and a new club, as well as ASCC
      ii. PZ: talked about ASCC
   c. Environmental Affairs
      i. Proposal for environmental awareness a part of orientation approve
      ii. Food Committee in the works of being revived as a faculty/college committee (currently Food Service Committee)
   d. AAA
      i. One more funding request today

4. Reminder of ASCMC Survey (Open for one week more)
   a. Will close next week around 8PM to announce gift card winners in next week’s senate
   b. Filling out puts in your name to potentially win gift card to Eureka

5. AAA Funding Request:
   a. Alternatives Anonymous Request
      i. Asking for $2,000
      ii. Recently created within past three years to have live music available to CMC students
      iii. Concerts are open to everyone and are in Marks Basement
iv. Is substance free (except for one event), thus Camp Sec not necessary
v. Current budget: $11,655 prior to funding requests
vi. Has been doing active marketing
vii. Attempting to comprehensively tally attendance (challenging due to multiple entrances to events)
viii. Typical attendance 60-100 people minimum
ix. Motion to fund recommended $1600; seconded
   1. 15 yes 0 no, 2 abstain, motion passes

6. For Discussion: ASCC Constitution
   a. Discussed in a meeting last week
      i. Difference between regular meetings and emergency meetings
      ii. Selection of liaison left up to each school
      iii. PO voted to ratify on Friday, their liaison will be presidential aide (Meredith Simpson)
      iv. SAS (Scripps ratified), liaison will be the president
      v. Need unanimous vote to approve amendments
      vi. ASCC cannot enforce, create, or change campus policy
   b. Goal = to have discussions (no student government can make campus policy, can only serve in advisory form)
      i. Could succinctly express student opinions to greater policy-making body
   c. Alec: grammatical error
   d. Amendment process – each individual student government must approve amendments, different than ratifying
   e. Potential of requiring liaison to consult with Exec Board before voting on decisions; could include this in an appendix or another part of ASCMC things (could put in Senate bylaws after being checked by Exec Board)
   f. Discussion on liaison?
      i. Thomas: could potentially be the Consortium Affairs chair; should not be a voting member of Exec Board
   g. Change also discussed – each liaison has up to seven days to garner two thirds vote from student government to override vote of liaison
   h. Meeting location not determined yet

7. Open Forum
   a. Dina: freshman class 5C representative, met to talk about campus climate
      i. Discussed reporting systems for sexual assault
      ii. PO uses Calypso to report sexual assault (sounds like a good program that CMC could adopt)
      iii. Nicky: Calypso/Lighthouse project are essentially same system as CMC Listens (is the resource for sexual assault and any other complaints); isn’t substantively different
      iv. Dina: we should advertise CMC Listens as that forum, because many are unaware that filing reports is its purpose
b. Alec: has noticed difference between the way that campuses (notably, PZ vs CMC) address hate speech; believes that Pres. Chodosh airs more on the side of upholding first amendment
   i. Thomas: aren’t there still forms of speech that are characterized as hate speech?
   ii. Alec: Pres. Chodosh didn’t make it seem like any hate speech was prohibited (had discussed in terms of anti-Semitism)

c. Jack: believes PO/maybe other colleges released statement about being sanctuary campuses for DACA students
   i. Nicky: colleges around the country have been stating that they will be similar to sanctuary cities, but ultimately more of a statement of support than any legal argument (individuals can still be subpoenaed)
   ii. Statement that Sharon Basso sent out – essentially same thing without title
   iii. Dina: despite legal consequences, doesn’t it send a message of inclusivity?
      1. Thomas: Basso’s email states that CMC will not permit CIS to conduct things or voluntarily produce information on immigration status (essentially same thing)

8. Closing Remarks
   a. Next meeting: vote on ASCC
   b. Any recommendations – send to Felipe
   c. Will be treats on Dec 5!!
   d. Adjourned 10:01 pm

Respectfully Submitted,

Maddie Lee
Secretary of the Senate