Catatonia: short-term response to lorazepam and dopaminergic metabolism
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Abstract Therapeutic response to lorazepam and dopaminergic metabolism were investigated in 18 neurologically naive acute catatonic patients. They were diagnosed as catatonic according to criteria by Lohr and Rosebush and treated exclusively with lorazepam (2–4 mg) during the first 24 h. Dopaminergic metabolism (plasma HVA, plasma MHPG), anxiety (HAMA) and parkinsonic/dyskinetic movements (SEPS, AIMS) were measured under standard conditions before initial treatment with lorazepam (day 0) and 24 h after initial treatment (day 1). On day 0 responders to lorazepam treatment (complete remission of catatonic syndrome after 24 h according to Rosebush and Lohr) showed significantly higher \( P = 0.004 \) plasma HVA \( (130.4 \pm 51.2 \) pmol/ml; means ± SD) than non-responders (no remission of catatonic syndrome after 24 h; \( 73.2 \pm 40.5 \) pmol/ml; means ± SD). On day 1 plasma HVA did not differ any more significantly between both groups. Clinically, responders showed significantly higher HAM-A \( P = 0.025 \) and AIMS \( P = 0.022 \) scores as well as significantly lower SEPS \( P = 0.049 \) scores than non-responders on day 0. Hence catatonic short-term responders and non-responders to lorazepam can be distinguished with regard to plasma HVA, anxiety and dyskinetic/parkinsonic movements.
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Introduction

Kahlbaum introduced the term catatonia by describing a specific disease entity with motor abnormalities like akinesia, posturing, catalepsy, rigidity, negativism, grimacing, waxy flexibility and verbigerations (Kahlbaum 1874). In contrast to Kahlbaum, Kraepelin (1905) and Bleuler (1911) primarily referred to catatonia as a subtype of schizophrenia. Nowadays catatonia is rather considered as a non-specific syndrome which may be associated with either organic or non-organic (e.g. psychiatric) diseases (Gelenberg 1976; Cummings 1985; Editorial 1986; Taylor 1990; Fink et al. 1993). Lorazepam is generally regarded as a standard treatment in catatonia (Salam et al. 1987; Wetzol and Benkert 1988; Menza 1991; Fink et al. 1993). About, 70–80% of all catatonic patients respond dramatically to lorazepam, given orally or intravenously (Rosebush et al. 1990; Ungvari et al. 1994). Catatonic responders to lorazepam showed rather hypokinetically movements (Rosebush et al. 1990) and reported retrospectively often about intense anxiety during the catatonic state (Rosebush et al. 1991; Northoff et al. 1995). Dopaminergic metabolism in catatonia has so far been investigated only by Gjessing and Northoff et al.: urinary and plasma homovanillic acid (HVA) was increased in acute catatonic patients (Gjessing 1974; Northoff et al. 1994). Investigations of plasma HVA in catatonic responders and non-responders to lorazepam are not known. Schizophrenic patients with a good response to neuroleptics show slightly increased plasma HVA, whereas it is slightly decreased in schizophrenic non-responders (Chang et al. 1990; Baker et al. 1991; Davidson et al. 1991).

The present study was conducted to determine the relation between dopaminergic metabolism (plasma HVA), anxiety (HAMA) and associated dyskinetic/parkinsonic movements (AIMS/SEPS) in catatonic responders and non-responders to lorazepam. In order to distinguish between central and peripheral sources of plasma HVA we measured plasma 3-hydroxy-4-methoxy phenyl glycol (MHPG) as an index of peripheral dopaminergic and noradrenergic activity (Amin et al. 1992). Eighteen neurologically
naive acute catatonic patients, diagnosed according to
criteria by Lohr (1987) and Rosebush and Wisniewski
et al. (1990), were investigated before (day 0) and after
(day 1) initial treatment with lorazepam. Similar to
the relation between plasma HVA and neuroleptic
response in schizophrenia, catatonic responders to
lorazepam were expected to show increased levels of
plasma HVA. Catatonic non-responders were expected
to show rather low levels of plasma HVA.

Materials and methods

Subjects

Eighteen neuroleptically naive and psychotropically naive acute
catatonic patients (nine women, nine men; age: 32.4 ± 9.6; means ± SD) participated in the study. All patients were admitted for the first
time to a psychiatric hospital and were selected from all incoming
patients into the psychiatric university clinic of Frankfurt.
Sampling time was from March 1991 to February 1994. Patients
with concomitant Parkinson's disease or other neurological move-
ment disorders were excluded. Catatonic patients with abnormal
dyskinetic movements were not primarily excluded because such
movements may occur in catatonia as well (Lohr and Wisniewski
1987). All investigations were performed in accordance with the
ethical standards laid down in the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

Catatonic syndrome was diagnosed according to criteria by Lohr
and Wisniewski (1987; at least 3 from 11 symptoms) and Rosebush
et al. (1990; at least 4 from 12 the symptoms). All patients had to
fulfil the criteria of a catatonic syndrome developed by both
authors above mentioned. Clinical evaluation was executed by two
independent psychiatrists (G.N.; J.W.) with special experience in
catatonia. Patients on whom they disagreed with regard to diag-
nosis of catatonic syndrome were excluded.

Co-morbid diagnosis were made according to DSM III R (APA
1987) by two independent psychiatrists with a structured clinical
interview at discharge.

Anxiety and movements

Anxiety was rated with HAM-A (Hamilton 1959). Dyskinetic and
parkinsonian movements were evaluated by AIMS (Guy 1976) and
SEPS (Simpson and Angus 1970). General psychopathology was
measured by GAS (Endicott et al. 1976). All psychopathological
ratings were done by G.N. and J.W., who had both completed spe-
cial ratting trainings. Assessment of the interrater and intrarater reli-
abilities for the different scales revealed average intraclass
correlation coefficients between 0.90 and 0.95.

Response to lorazepam

All catatonic patients received lorazepam 2-4x 1-2,5 mg (means:
4.8 mg) either orally (n = 5; all responders) or intravenously
(n = 13; seven responders, six non-responders) in the first 24 h.
According to clinical response to lorazepam in the first 24 h, judged
by the criteria of Lohr and Rosebush, we distinguished between
short-term responders and short-term non-responders. Evaluation
of catatonic syndrome as well as measurements of plasma
HVA/MHPG and psychopathology (HAM-A, AIMS/SEPS) were
done on day 0 before initial treatment with lorazepam and on day
1, 24 h after initial treatment with lorazepam.

Plasma HVA

Influencing factors (Davidson et al. 1987; Amin et al. 1992) like cir-
cadian rhythm (collection of blood samples at 8:20-8:30 a.m. in all
patients) and renal function (exclusion of patients with abnormal
renal function) were controlled. Due to different admission times it
was not possible to collect blood at 8:00-9:00 a.m. in two patients
(one responder and one non-responder; no significant
difference between these two patients and the other ones with regard
to plasma HVA). Due to lack of control of catatonic patients before
admission, we were neither able to account for diet and physical
activity nor for seasonal variations (Amin et al. 1992), because
patients were admitted throughout the whole year. Patients who
drank excessive amounts of alcohol (more than one bottle of beer
or one or two glasses of wine) or took drugs (heroin, cocaine, etc.)
in the days before/on admission were excluded.

One blood sample was obtained from an antecubital vein on day
0 (before initial medication with lorazepam) and day 1 (24 h after
admission). Blood samples were drawn in heparinized tubes, plasma
was prepared by means of a refrigerated centrifuge and stored at
-60°C until measurement of HVA and MHPG.

In order to determine the origin of plasma HVA, either central
or peripheral, we measured plasma MHPG (Amin et al. 1992):
plasma HVA is considered to be a metabolite of peripheral as well
as of central dopamine. Plasma MHPG is regarded as an index
of solely peripheral dopaminergic and noradrenergic activity. Thus
possible increases of plasma HVA might be localized in their source,
either central or peripheral, measuring plasma MHPG in addition.

Plasma homovanilic acid (HVA) concentrations were biochem-
ically determined by using high pressure liquid chromatographic
(HPLC) methods as described by Seiler and Hiemke (Seiler and
Hiemke 1993) and 3-hydroxy-4-methoxy phenylglycol (MHPG) in
accordance with a method described by Sarre et al. (1992). Inter-
and intra-assay coefficients of variation of both procedures were
lower than 3%.

Data analysis

All results were expressed in means and standard deviations.
Deviations from normal distributions were calculated by use of
Kolmogoroff-Smirnov of fit goodness test. Statistical significance
was computed with the chi-square test and the t-test for random
samples. All computations were executed with the SPSS-X statis-
tics software system.

Results

Response to lorazepam

Twelve patients showed a dramatic short-term response
to lorazepam within the first 24 h so that they were
classified as responders whereas six patients were non-
responders. With regard to age and sex, there were no
significant differences (Chi-Quadrat) between respon-
ders (age: 31.2 ± 10.3; sex: five women, seven men) and
non-responders (age: 33.6 ± 8.9; sex: four women, two
men).

Responders and non-responders showed the following
catatonic symptoms (as percentage) according to
Rosebush on day 0 and 1: immobility (responders on
day 0/1: 61/4, non-responders on day 0 and 1: 69/32),
staring (72/2, 67/44), mutism (75/1, 76/52), rigidity
(24/6, 20/20), autism (54/2, 65/40), posturing (62/3,
Table 1 Plasma HVA, anxiety and movements in catatonic responders and non-responders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Responder mean (±SD)</th>
<th>Non-responder mean (±SD)</th>
<th>t-test (P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma HVA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>130.4 (51.2)</td>
<td>72.2 (40.5)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>119.4 (46.6)</td>
<td>118.9 (49.8)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasma MHPG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>15.9 (10.5)</td>
<td>16.8 (12.0)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.6 (10.1)</td>
<td>15.2 (10.1)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.2 (5.5)</td>
<td>11.3 (6.2)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>26.6 (5.9)</td>
<td>15.2 (5.8)</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAM-A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>28.2 (6.3)</td>
<td>17.5 (8.2)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.7 (5.9)</td>
<td>15.2 (6.1)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIMS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.3 (15.2)</td>
<td>6.3 (11.3)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.9 (9.5)</td>
<td>4.0 (8.2)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.6 (4.8)</td>
<td>18.8 (5.9)</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10.2 (4.6)</td>
<td>12.4 (5.1)</td>
<td>n.s.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

61/50), grimacing (36/4, 27/26), negativism (29/7, 33/30), waxy flexibility (44/4, 46/32), echolalia/echopraxia (22/5, 28/20), stereotypies (29/7, 35/30), verbigerations (27/5, 25/18). Catatonic symptoms did not differ significantly between responders and non-responders on day 0 so that with regard to catatonic syndrome they could not be distinguished. On day 1 non-responders showed significantly more catatonic symptoms than responders.

According to Lohr and Wisniewski (1987), we classified six patients as excited catatonia and 12 as retarded catatonia. The response to lorazepam did not differ significantly between patients with excited and retarded catatonia (chi-square).

Responders and non-responders showed the following co-morbid diagnosis according to DSM III R:

- Catatonic schizophrenia (295.2): 4 responders/0 non-responder.
- Paranoid schizophrenia (295.3): 1 responder/0 non-responder.
- Residual schizophrenia (295.6): 1 responder/4 non-responders.
- Major depression (296.3): 2 responders/1 non-responder.
- Bipolar mania (296.4): 1 responder/0 non-responder.
- Brief reactive psychosis (298.8): 1 responder/0 non-responder.
- Dysthymia (300.4): 1 responder/0 non-responder.
- Organic catatonia: 1 responder (AIDS encephalopathy)/1 non-responder (Morbus Hodgkin).

Significant relationships (chi-square) were found between responders and non-residual schizophrenia as well as between non-responders and residual schizophrenia (P < 0.05).

Anxiety and movements

Table 1 shows scores for the different rating scales in responders and non-responders on day 0 and 1. On day 0 responders showed significantly more anxiety (HAM-A) and dyskinesias (AIMS) as well as significantly fewer parkinsonian symptoms (SEPS) than non-responders. On day 1 no significant differences could be found between responders and non-responders (see Table 1). Significant correlations were not found between plasma HVA/MHPG and anxiety scores (HAM-A) or between plasma HVA/MHPG and AIMS/SEPS on days 0 and 1. Moreover, no significant correlations were obtained between HAM-A scores and AIMS/SEPS.

Plasma HVA and MHPG

On day 0 responders showed a significantly higher plasma HVA than non-responders. There were no significant differences on day 1 anymore (see Table 1). Only responders showed a significant difference of plasma HVA between days 0 and 1. Plasma HVA did not differ significantly between patients with excited and retarded catatonia on day 0 and 1 (P < 0.005).

Plasma MHPG, the index of peripheral dopaminergic and noradrenergic metabolic activity, did not differ significantly between responders and non-responders either on day 0 or on day 1. Hence it seems likely that increased plasma HVA in responders on day 0 is derived from central dopaminergic metabolism.

Correlations between plasma HVA and MHPG were significant only for non-responders (P = 0.0001). Responders did not show significant correlations between plasma HVA and plasma MHPG. This underlines the central origin of increased plasma HVA in responders on day 0. There were no significant correlations between plasma HVA and plasma MHPG in both groups on day 1.

Discussion

High plasma HVA was obtained in neuroleptically-naive patients suffering from paranoid schizophrenia who responded well to neuroleptic treatment (Pickar et al. 1984). In contrast, residual schizophrenic patients showing a bad neuroleptic response had low plasma HVA levels (Davidson and Davis 1988; et al. Davidson 1991; Chang et al. 1990). Hence it is generally agreed that rather than absolute baseline values (Baker et al. 1991), different levels of plasma HVA associated with different responses to neuroleptic treatment may distinguish between paranoid and residual schizophrenia (Chang et al. 1990, Baker et al. 1991; Davidson et al. 1991). Dopaminergic metabolism in catatonia has so far only been investigated by Gjessing (1974) and Northoff et al. (1994). Both authors found an increase in either urinary (Gjessing 1974) or plasma (Northoff et al. 1994) HVA in acute neuroleptically
naive catatonic patients. The relation between catatonic syndrome and response to lorazepam may be similar to the one between schizophrenia and neuroleptic response: plasma HVA was higher in short-term responders and lower in short-term non-responders. In contrast to Gjessing, we determined plasma MHPG, as a marker of peripheral dopaminergic and noradrenergic metabolic activity, as well. Thus due to the non-significant correlation between plasma HVA and plasma MHPG in both groups, it is likely that increased plasma HVA in responders is of central origin.

Catatonic short-term responders not only showed high plasma HVA but, additionally, high anxiety scores. In the present study there were no significant correlations between anxiety scores and plasma HVA/MHPG. Thus it is unlikely that high plasma HVA in short-term responders may simply be an epiphenomenon of higher anxiety. As shown by Rosebush et al. (1990), we were able to demonstrate a relationship between anxiety and response to lorazepam in catatonic syndrome. This is underlined by investigation of subjective experiences in patients who retrospectively report predominantly about intense anxieties during the acute catatonic state (Northoff et al. 1995). Nevertheless, the relation between response to lorazepam, anxiety, and high plasma HVA remains unclear.

It could be imagined that plasma HVA in catatonic syndrome may be related with dopaminergic metabolism of associated movements: short-term responders showed more dyskinesias whereas short-term non-responders rather exhibited parkinsonic movements. Due to lack of significant correlations between plasma HVA and AIMS/SEPS, it is rather improbable that dopaminergic metabolism may account for associated movements in catatonic syndrome. Similar to our results in catatonic syndrome, acute schizophrenic patients with neuroleptic response showed dyskinesias whereas residual schizophrenic patients with neuroleptic non-response rather exhibited parkinsonic movements (Mortimer et al. 1990; McKenna et al. 1991). Such an association of schizophrenic type with dyskinesic/parkinsonic movements can be shown in catatonic syndrome as well: short-term responders with increased dyskinesias were either diagnosed as acute schizophrenic or as non-schizophrenic. Short-term non-responders with parkinsonic movements showed, in contrast, a diagnosis of residual schizophrenia.

Considering some methodological shortcomings in our study, interpretation should be made cautiously: we were not able to account for all factors (diet, physical activity, seasonal variation) influencing plasma HVA activity because there was no control of catatonic patients before admission. The number of investigated patients is quite small in the present study because neuroleptically naive catatonic patients are few. Comorbid diagnosis of schizophrenia is overrepresented in our study group (*n* = 10) which may in particular influence results of dopaminergic metabolism. Moreover, there are problems investigating acute catatonic patients because they are often mute. Therefore we did not investigate other psychopathological scales like BPRS etc. However even in the ones we used, evaluation was sometimes difficult due to abnormal catatonic behaviour.

With regard to these methodological constraints, conclusions which can be drawn from our study may be limited. Nevertheless, our results indicate that catatonic short-term responders and non-responders may not only differ in their response to lorazepam but also with regard to dopaminergic metabolism, anxiety, associated movements and co-morbid diagnosis. Further investigations may show whether catatonic short-term responders and non-responders to lorazepam may represent two distinct catatonic subtypes.
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