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Abstract.

Objective. Nested into slow oscillations (SO) and modulated by their up-states, spindles are electrophysiological hallmarks of N2 sleep stage that present a complex hierarchical architecture. However, most studies have only described spindles in basic statistical terms, which were limited to the spindle itself without analyzing the characteristics of the pre-spindle moments in which the SO are originated. The aim of this study was twofold: (i) to apply spectral and temporal measures to the pre-spindle and spindle periods, as well as analyze the correlation between them, and (ii) to evaluate the potential of these spectral and temporal measures in future automatic detection algorithms.

Approach. An automatic spindle detection algorithm was applied to the overnight electroencephalographic (EEG) recordings of 26 subjects. Ten complementary features (five spectral and five temporal parameters) were computed in the pre-spindle and spindle periods after their segmentation. These features were computed independently in each period and in a time-resolved way (sliding window). After the statistical comparison of both periods, a correlation analysis was used to assess their interrelationships. Finally, a receiver operating-characteristic (ROC) analysis along with a bootstrap procedure was conducted to further evaluate the degree of separability between the pre-spindle and spindle periods.

Main results. The results show important time-varying changes in spectral and temporal parameters. The features calculated in pre-spindle and spindle periods are strongly and significantly correlated, demonstrating the association between the pre-spindle characteristics and the subsequent spindle. The ROC analysis exposes that the typical feature used in automatic spindle detectors, i.e., the power in the sigma band, is outperformed by other features, such as the spectral entropy in this frequency range. Significance. The novel features applied here demonstrate their utility as predictors of spindles that could be incorporated into novel algorithms of automatic spindle detectors, in which the analysis of the pre-spindle period becomes relevant for improving their performance. From the clinical point of view, these features may serve as novel precision therapeutic targets to enhance spindle production with the aim of improving
memory, cognition, and sleep quality in healthy and clinical populations. The results evidence the need for characterizing spindles in terms beyond power and the spindle period itself to more dynamic measures and the pre-spindle period. Physiologically, these findings suggest that spindles are more than simple oscillations, but nonstable oscillatory bursts embedded in the complex pre-spindle dynamics.
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1. Introduction

Human neural activity manifests characteristic and intricate patterns that depend on brain state. This complex structure of neural dynamics is not limited to wakefulness, as demonstrated by several studies focusing on sleep states [1–4]. One of the main properties of neural activity during sleep is the intrinsic macroarchitecture, which characterizes two well-differentiated stages: rapid eye movement (REM) and non-REM (NREM). According to the American Academy of Sleep Medicine (AASM), NREM, in turn, consists of three different stages [5]: N1, N2, and N3. Even within the same sleep stage, a complex microarchitecture exists, which comprises both slow and fast nonstationary phasic burst-like events [6], as well as tonic periods; impacting both the ongoing spectral and temporal patterns of the neural activity. Due to the transitory nature of these phasic events, the use of techniques with a high temporal resolution becomes necessary. For this reason, the electroencephalogram (EEG) is the gold-standard approach to assess neural microarchitecture during sleep.

Distant areas of the brain (e.g., the thalamus and cortex) communicate actively while sleeping. During NREM sleep, this highly structured and coordinated communication occurs in a burst mode, whereby greater functional connectivity as compared to wake is observed in particular networks such as the dorsal attention network [7], while other networks such as the visual, auditory, somatomotor, and default mode networks remain unchanged [7]. Accordingly, different brain waves such as spindles and slow oscillations are generated by rhythmic thalamocortical neuronal firing. These complex interactions contain information that may reflect their function, encoded in their temporal structure. Among them, sleep spindles are sparking growing interest due to the increasing evidence on their role in a number of cognitive processes during sleep [8–11]. Spindles, EEG hallmarks of N2, are recognizable bursts of 11-16 Hz (sigma frequency activity) in the EEG [10]. Spindles are not isolated events. In fact, spindles are generally nested with other oscillations in a distinctive hierarchical manner, in which slow oscillations (SO) coordinate the organization of a triplet of waves: SO themselves, spindles, and ripples [12]. SO are oscillations around 0.75 Hz that facilitate the production of spindles [12]. Spindles are modulated by the up-state of the SO which, in turn, facilitates the production of high frequency bursts around 100 Hz in the hippocampus, called ripples [12,13]. Through the dynamic interaction of these three events, information is exchanged between distributed neocortical and subcortical sites allowing for a variety of cognitive functions, some of them not fully understood yet [12,14].

Despite the complex nested hierarchy of electrophysiological waves and bursts in which spindles appear to be embedded, most studies only have described spindles in basic statistical terms (i.e., duration of spindles, number of spindles per minute, or evolution of their appearance throughout the night) [12] or, in some cases, by a distinction of spindles (i.e., fast and slow) based on their oscillatory frequency [15,16]. Much less often, other measurements have been used for the characterization of spindles, such as spectral power in the sigma band or coherence [17], and interactions with other oscillatory frequencies [18]. This provides a rather limited insight into the dynamics of spindles. Investigations on the awake state point out the importance of using complementary spectral and temporal features like irregularity (indexed by spectral entropy [19] or sample entropy [20]), spectral distribution (indexed by median frequency [21] or power law exponent [22]), complexity (indexed by Lempel-Ziv [23]), variability (indexed by central tendency measure [24] and trial-to-trial variability [25]) and periodicity (indexed by autocorrelation window [26]), for describing neural activity changes during rest and/or task states. Due to the nature of the spindle as a frequency burst of EEG activity, it is expected that not only the relative power changes during the spindle, but also other characteristics such as those previously mentioned. Most notably, dynamic changes in these features can, in part, be observed in awake state already prior to the onset of the actual stimulus or task [22,25], that is, in the pre-stimulus period. Taking these lessons from awake states, one may raise the question whether the spindle dynamics are characterized by somewhat analogous changes in both pre- and post-spindle intervals. Specifically, one would hypothesize changes in the dynamics of the prespindle period to precede the actual onset of the spindle. That is even more likely given that the long
cycle duration of the slow oscillations, as known to be instrumental in spindle generation [12], may cut across the distinction of pre- and post-spindle periods. Therefore, the characterization of both pre-spindle and post-spindle periods in order to trace their changes over time could serve the purpose to track the dynamics of spindle generation which, as we will raise in the following, may improve spindle detection.

For dimensionality and scalability reasons, most of the spindle-related studies rely on their automatic detection. Any potential bias from these algorithms would transfer to statistical analyses, which could explain the infrequent lack of correlation between spindle features and specific cognitive traits (correlated in other studies) [15]. However, the performance of automatic detectors has been shown to be worse than the performance of manual labeling by both expert and non-expert groups [27]. A possible cause of the underperformance of automatic spindle detectors compared to manual scoring could consist in the lack of considering spindle dynamics by including their changes from pre- to post-spindle period. Including pre- and post-spindle dynamics in automatic algorithms may therefore hold the promise to improve the reliability of spindle detection.

Many detection approaches are based almost exclusively on detecting an increase in power in the sigma frequency band. The particular algorithm behind each method varies significantly, ranging from analyses based on the wavelet transform [28,29] and other transformations, such as Gabor [30], complex demodulation [31] or Fourier transforms [32], to the use of filters and statistical methods [33]. However, the description of the temporal dynamics of spindles should not be restricted to the amplitude of the spindle power itself and should also involve the pre-spindle period as that may, dynamically, modulate time-varying properties during the spindle period, thus, considering the hierarchical complex in which they are embedded. In particular, spindles are modulated by the SO and are nested in those oscillations; SO are normally generated previously to the emergence of a spindle, phase-locked to them. Additionally, other features considering the changes in the dominant frequency, the width of the sigma band or the irregularity of the oscillations could enhance the performance of automatic spindle detectors.

Due to the particular relationship between spindles and SO (generated previously to the spindle onset), we hypothesized that there would be a correlation between the features of the pre-spindle and spindle periods. We also propose different spectral and/or temporal features besides power in the sigma band that can serve as potential therapeutic targets to enhance spindles with the aim of improving cognition. Furthermore, by improving the characterization of spindles, higher performance automatic spindle detectors could be developed, reducing the bias in subsequent analyses. In order to test these hypotheses, the objective of this study is twofold: (i) to apply spectral and temporal measures to the pre-spindle and spindle periods, as well as analyze the correlation between them, and (ii) to evaluate the potential of these spectral and temporal measures in future automatic detection algorithms. For this purpose, EEG signals from overnight polysomnography (PSG) were acquired. Then, after the automatic spindle detection, five spectral and five temporal features were computed for pre-spindle and spindle periods both individually and in a time-resolved way. Finally, in order to assess the feasibility of using these measures in future automatic spindle detectors, the degree of separability between the pre-spindle and spindle periods was assessed using the evaluated measures as a naive classifier.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 EEG recordings and sleep stage labeling

A total of twenty-six young adults (26.00 ± 6.69 years, 18 women) free from sleep and neurological disorders were recruited in this study. After obtaining informed written consent from the participants, physiological signals were recorded during an overnight PSG using Embla Titanum PSG systems (Natus, San Carlos, CA). EEG was acquired at a sampling rate of 256 Hz, while keeping the impedances under 5 KΩ. Thirteen gold-plated electrodes were placed according the international 10-20 system (scalp locations: F3, Fz, F4, C3, Cz, C4, P3, Pz, P4, Oz, M1 and M2) and referenced to FPz and AFz for the ground. Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Board at the Institut Universitaire de Gériatrie de Montréal.

Once acquired, EEG signals were re-referenced offline to the average activity of the mastoid derivations (M1 and M2) for sleep stage scoring and subsequent analysis. RemiLogic analysis software (Natus) was used to label the sleep stages (wake, N1, N2, N3, REM) in epochs of 30 seconds and to mark noisy segments (excluded from further analysis) in, as recommended by the AASM [5].

2.2 Automatic spindle detection and segmentation

An automatic spindle detection method implemented in EEGLAB [34] was carried out on the cortical midline electrodes (Fz, Cz and Pz) using a well-established [35,36] and validated method [31]. In brief, the algorithm is based on a complex demodulation method, where a carrier frequency in the center of the spindle range (13.5 Hz) is shifted towards the origin by multiplying the signal, \( x[n] \), by \( e^{-iwn} \) being \( i \) the imaginary unit and \( w \) the angular frequency. The resulting signal, \( y[n] \), is then low-pass filtered (infinite impulse response, 4th order Butterworth filter) in both forward and reverse directions (zero-phase digital filtering), removing the frequency component outside the band of interest [31]. Finally, spindle events were automatically detected when the
amplitude of $y[n]$ on the normalized signal is higher than the 99th percentile [31]. For this study, the spindles with a duration larger than 0.5 and shorter than 2 seconds were selected, since they represent more than the 99% of the total number of spindles. The Matlab code for automatic spindle detection is freely available at: https://github.com/stuartfogel/detect_spindles. Results are reported for slow spindles detected at Fz (11-13.5Hz), fast spindles at Pz (13.5-16Hz) and full-bandwidth spindles at Cz (11-16Hz).

Once the spindles were detected, a band-pass finite impulse response (FIR) filter (Hamming window) between 1 and 30 Hz was applied for increasing the signal-to-noise ratio in a frequency range that sufficiently encompasses SO and spindles. To verify that all 30-second epochs contaminated by artifacts were removed, an adaptive epoch rejection protocol previously validated was applied [37,38]. This algorithm uses a statistical-based thresholding method to remove the noisy epochs following a two-step process. First, the mean and standard deviation (SD) of each channel was computed. Then, those epochs that exceeded mean ± 4 × SD in at least two channels were discarded.

Finally, spindles were segmented from 1 second before to 2 seconds after the spindle onset (time range: [-1 2] seconds). Figure 1 illustrates this segmentation, while showing the power spectral density (Welch method) in the pre-spindle and spindle periods. The validation of preprocessing and spindle detection procedures was tested in a previous work [39].

2.3 EEG processing

With the aim of thoroughly characterizing spindles, both spectral and temporal measures were applied in two different ways: (i) calculation in a time-resolved way using a sliding window (window length of 0.5 seconds, overlap 90%), and (ii) independent calculation during the pre-spindle ([−1 0] seconds) and spindle (0 2 seconds) periods.

The selected ten measures (five spectral and 5 temporal parameters) uncover diverse properties that provide an extensive characterization of neural activity; from the more typical ones employed in previous spindle studies (such as relative power in the sigma band) to measures not applied before in this context (e.g., Lempel-Ziv complexity, entropy and power law exponent). Below, we provide definitions and procedures to compute each of them. Figure 2 depicts the overall workflow followed for the analyses in this study.

2.3.1 Spectral features

Brain dynamics are reflected in the spectral components of the EEG. They can be evaluated using the power spectral density (PSD) of such signals. For that purpose, the Welch method was applied to each of the spindle trials [40]. In particular, we used a Hamming window with 50% overlap and $2^{12}$ as the number of points for the Fourier Transform of each window. Then, the PSD was divided by the sum of its amplitude values, resulting in a normalized PSD ($PSD_n$) that can be interpreted as a probability density function. Finally, five different spectral parameters were computed.

The first feature is the relative power (RP) in the sigma band. This measure was chosen for being the most extended feature both in characterizing spindles [41,42] and in their automatic detection [31–33]. It can be defined as:

$$RP = \sum_{f=f_1}^{f_2} PSD_n(f),$$

where $f_1$ and $f_2$ are the frequency limits, which were set to 11 and 16 Hz, respectively (i.e., sigma band).

In order to assess not only the power, but also the shape of the PSD function, spectral entropy (SE) was used. SE is a measure of the irregularity of the signal, with values closer to 1 as the signal becomes more irregular, i.e., with a more balanced distribution of spectral components [19]. SE is formally defined as:

$$SE = -\frac{1}{\ln(N)} \sum_{f=f_1}^{f_2} PSD_n(f) \cdot \ln(PSD_n(f)).$$

being $N$ the number of points of the $PSD_n$ (i.e., $2^{12}$).

Since the shape of the PSD function could have relevant information to characterize spindles, $f_1$ and $f_2$ were set to 1 and 30 Hz, respectively, defining the SE for the whole
spectrum. Nonetheless, we also considered important a special focus on the sigma band, since the distribution of the spectral components in that frequency range is relevant and most apparent (see Figure 1). For that reason, the SE was also computed in such frequency band by setting $f_1$ and $f_2$ to 11 and 16 Hz, respectively. It is important to note that the frequency limits of the analyzed signal influence in the final outcome of SE, since it depends on the number of the peaks in the analyzed spectrum, the degree of dominance of such peaks, and their peakedness (relative to the bandwidth of the analyzed spectrum) [43].

The oscillation speed of the spindle trials was assessed by a summary measure termed median frequency (MF). It is defined as the frequency in which the PSD area is divided in two equal halves [44]. The mathematical definition of MF is:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{f_{2}=f_{1}}^{f_{2}} PSD_n(f) = \sum_{f_{0}=f_{1}}^{MF} PSD_n(f).$$

with $f_1$ and $f_2$ set to 1 and 30 Hz, respectively.

The last spectral feature computed in this study was the power law exponent (PLE). The PSD of most of the EEG recordings can be fairly adjusted to the decreasing exponential function, with a similar trend than the pink noise [25]. Thus, the PLE would correspond to the exponent of that function:

$$PSD_n(f) \propto e^{-PLE \cdot f}.$$  

Despite being a spectral measure, it also provides an estimation of the fractal organization of the signal, where the faster (and also weaker) spectral components are nested within the slower (and higher) ones [25]. In simple terms, PLE measures the balance of the high and low frequencies. It is defined as the absolute value of the slope of the PSD regression line when it is represented using a log-log scale (see Figure 1 at the bottom). Higher values of PLE mean strong dominance of the lower frequencies over the higher frequencies [25].

### 2.3.2 Temporal features

Spectral features can be complemented by time-varying and nonlinear dynamics computed over the signal in the time domain. Despite some of these features have proven to be useful in other contexts [45,46], to the best of our knowledge none of them have been previously used for characterizing sleep spindles, with the exception of the trial-to-trial variability (TTV) which has only been recently applied [39].

The temporal measures were calculated after a z-score normalization of each trial, thus minimizing the variability on electrodes impedance. As for the spectral measures (except for the RP and SE in the sigma band), the temporal features were computed in the broadband, thus providing a joint and complementary vision of how neuronal dynamics change due to the generation of spindles in the whole spectrum. The first one was the Lempel-Ziv complexity (LZC), a nonparametric measure of complexity related to the rate of occurrence of distinct subsequences (i.e., patterns) in a given time series [47,48]. Before calculating LZC, the signal, $x(t)$, is binarized into a new sequence ($P$) using a threshold ($T$) applied to the signal broadband, as follows:

$$P = s(1), s(2), ..., s(n)$$

where $s(i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x(i) < T \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

We used a median as the threshold, since it has been commonly applied in previous biomedical studies because its robustness to outliers [49]. The sequence $P$ is then scanned, and a complexity index, $c(m)$, increases every time a new subsequence is found. Finally, LZC is normalized to reflect the arising rate of new patterns in the sequence, as follows [48]:

$$LZC = \frac{c(m)}{m/\log(2m)}.$$  

where $m$ is the length of the time series. Further details of this algorithm are described in the supplementary material.

As the signal irregularity was previously estimated from the spectral point of view, its quantification in the temporal domain was also considered. Thus, sample entropy (SampEn) was computed for all the time series. SampEn is a nonlinear measure that quantifies the signal irregularity by estimating
the predictability of the time series through the evaluation of the presence of repetitive patterns. The algorithm has two parameters that must be tuned according to the nature of the data: the embedding dimension \((m)\) and the tolerance factor \((r)\). As in previous studies and due to the good statistical reproducibility, we used: \(m = 1\) and \(r = 0.25\) times SD [46,50]. Further details of this algorithm are detailed in the supplementary material and in [51].

It is common to find spindles embedded in SO, which usually show higher amplitude on average than the rest of the EEG activity. Therefore, the quantification of the variability of the signal amplitude over time can provide useful information for the characterization of spindles and their proximities. This can be analyzed using the central tendency measure (CTM). It is computed selecting a circular region of radius \(r\) in a first-order difference plot. Then, the observations that fall inside the region delimited by the circle are summed and divided by the total number of observations [52]. In this study, a radius \(r = 0.1\) was heuristically selected.

It has been reported that the frequency of the spindle is not constant throughout the spindle itself [53], but that the center frequency can vary within the sigma band, usually showing a decelerating pattern (negative chirping) [54]. This is reflected in the recurrence or periodicity of the signal that can be quantified by the autocorrelation window (ACW) [55]. The ACW accounts for the full-width-at-half-maximum of the temporal autocorrelation function, providing an index of the periodicity of power fluctuations.

The last of the temporal measures used in this study was the trial-to-trial variability (TTV). This measure was previously used in the context of spindles, revealing the dependence of the spindle variability with the frequency [39]. Here, TTV was computed in the broadband (i.e., 1-30 Hz) to summarize the spindle variability across trials. It is calculated as the standard deviation across trials normalized by the standard deviation at the spindle onset, as follows:

\[
TTV[i] = \frac{\text{std}(x[i]) - \text{std}(x[0])}{\text{std}(x[0])}
\]

where \(\text{std}(\cdot)\) represents the SD and \(x[0]\) is the EEG signal at the spindle onset. Finally, the root mean square (RMS) of the TTV index was computed to provide a single value per trial accounting for the variability degree, named here as TTV energy:

\[
TTV_{\text{energy}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} TTV[i]^2.
\]

### 2.4 Classification procedure

A receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was conducted to assess the degree of separability between the pre-spindle and spindle periods [56]. It was used along with a bootstrap procedure to accurately estimate the optimum threshold from which each extracted feature maximizes its discriminative ability between pre-spindle and spindle; thus, meaning an initial point to evaluate new detection algorithms hereafter. Accordingly, 1000 bootstrap replicates of the same size as the number of all pre-spindle and spindle epochs were formed for each extracted feature. This number allows for a proper estimation of the 95% confidence interval [57]. A uniform probability was used to select the values from the original dataset and this resampling was conducted with replacement, as indicated by the bootstrap 0.632 method [58]. Therefore, for each replicate, several values were selected more than once, whereas an equal number were not selected. These not selected values were used for the validation of results at each iteration [58].

The threshold maximizing separability in each replicate was obtained as the feature value that reached the closest distance to (1,0) of the ROC curve [56]. The median of the 1000 thresholds obtained for each feature were chosen as the optimum. Additionally, the discriminative ability of the features was evaluated using sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, and area under the ROC curve (AROC). It is well-known, however, that the statistics obtained using only the instances not included in bootstrap replicates are downward estimations of the actual values [58]. Therefore, for each statistic \(t\) at each iteration, its value was computed considering both the instances included and not included in the replicates as follows [58]:

\[
t = 0.632 \cdot t_{\text{ni}} + 0.368 \cdot t_i,
\]

where \(t_i\) and \(t_{\text{ni}}\) are the values of the statistic considered in the instances included and not included in the corresponding replicate, respectively.

### 2.5 Statistical analysis

In a first step, Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Levene tests were used to check for normality and homoscedasticity of the obtained parameters. In the case where parametric assumptions were not met, Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used for pairwise comparisons. The computed \(p\)-values were corrected using the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure for controlling false discovery rate (FDR) [59].

We also analyzed the relationship between pre-spindle and spindle activity. Since we did not have an established hypothesis whether the relationship between the two neural activities would be linear or not, we applied the Spearman’s rho test to estimate the correlation.

All the signal processing, classification and statistical analysis were applied using in-house MATLAB (The MathWorks, 2017b) scripts and the EEGLAB toolbox [34].

### 3. Results

#### 3.1 Spindle characterization

The temporal evolution of the frequency and time domain features was estimated for the three channels over the midline...
Remarkably, the spectral features show practically no changes during the pre-spindle period (Figure 3), except for the PLE measure, which reveals a clear increase in its value followed by a decrease just before the start of the spindle; the latter being probably due to the beginning of the SO that is not detected by the other spectral measures. On the other hand, all the temporal characteristics show, to a greater or lesser extent, a pronounced change just before the spindle appears. It is particularly interesting that these variations are almost as noticeable as those produced during the spindle period. As expected, spectral and temporal features vary during the spindle period following the neural dynamics produced by the spindle burst. As the Figure 3 shows, the most noticeable changes occur in the first second after the spindle onset. In order to assess whether this is due to most of the spindles lasting 1 second or less [39], or due to other effect, the same analysis was repeated only with the spindles longer than 1 second. Since the changes in the features are not only restricted to the first second after the spindle onset (see Figure S1 in the supplementary material), this suggests that the duration of the changes in the features is directly related to the spindle duration [39]. For that reason, and to remove the bias introduced by non-spindle-related activity, the spindle period was limited to the time interval [0 1] seconds for the subsequent analyses.

One can question whether the change between the pre-spindle and spindle periods is statistically significant for each of the characteristics or, on the contrary, these changes can be considered negligible. Figure 4 addresses this issue by subtracting the values of the features computed in the spindle period by those computed during the pre-spindle interval. As Figure 4 shows, all the features achieve different degrees of

![Figure 3. Evolution over time of the spectral and temporal features.](image)

![Figure 4. Changes in the neural activity following spindle generation.](image)
statistical significance in all the channels. In terms of percentage changes (see Figure 4 on the left), RP in sigma band is the feature with the highest changes, followed by both spectral and temporal features such as MF and TTV energy.

In view of the statistical differences in most of the features between pre-spindle and spindle periods, we focused on the study of the possible association between them. Thus, without a priori hypothesis of the nature of the possible association, Spearman’s rho test was applied for the correlation of the spectral (Figure 5) and temporal (Figure 6) features. In general terms, despite overtly different pre-spindle and spindle periods (Figure 3), statistically significant ($p < 0.001$) and strong ($R^2 > 0.7$) correlations were found for eight features (four spectral and four temporal features). Only the TTV did not obtain a significant correlation at Cz. These results highlight a strong association between the pre-spindle and the spindle periods. This high degree of correlation does not necessarily indicate a high degree of separability between the two states, for which a classification analysis becomes necessary.

3.2 Separability between prespindle and spindle periods

Figure 5. Correlations of the pre-spindle and spindle spectral features. From left to right, correlations were performed for relative power (RP), spectral entropy (SE) in the sigma band, SE in the broadband, median frequency (MF) and power law exponent (PLE). All the spectral features show statistically significant relationships between pre-spindle and spindle periods. It accounts for a more complex model than a merely burst in the sigma band, i.e., spindles. Spearman’s rank correlation: * $p<0.05$ ** $p<0.001$.

Figure 6. Correlations of the pre-spindle and spindle temporal features. From left to right, correlations were performed for Lempel-Ziv complexity (LZC), sample entropy (SampEn), central tendency measure (CTM), autocorrelation window (ACW) and trial-to-trial variability (TTV). All the temporal features show significant relationships between pre-spindle and spindle periods in most of the electrodes. The correlation coefficients are even higher that those of the spectral features. It reinforces the idea of the state dependence of the spindle on the neural dynamics previous the spindle onset. Spearman’s rank correlation: * $p<0.05$ ** $p<0.001$. 
Table 1. Assessment of the degree of separability between the pre-spindle and spindle periods for each feature. For each statistic, median and interquartile range were provided. The highest values for each statistic have been marked in bold.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spectral features</th>
<th>Temporal features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RP sigma</td>
<td>SE sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (%)</td>
<td>(65.77, 76.90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (%)</td>
<td>(75.77, 67.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>(70.77, 75.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AROC</td>
<td>(0.77, 0.81)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although the correlation analysis provides us with useful information on the degree of association between the analyzed brain states, it only offers indirect information on the degree of separability between them. To further analyze this aspect, a ROC curve for each feature was calculated by means of a bootstrap approach for full-bandwidth spindles at Cz. Statistical parameters independently computed for each feature are shown in Table 1. Curiously, SE in the sigma band is the feature with the highest statistics in sensitivity (76.90%), accuracy (75.74%) and AROC (0.81). RP in sigma band was the feature with highest specificity (75.77%). The performance of the temporal features is similar to the spectral ones, with TTV reaching a performance higher than 70% in all the statistics. These results are in line with those performed in Fz and Pz channels (see Table S1 and S2 in the supplementary material for these results), suggesting that the predictive capability of the pre-spindle period could be generalizable to other regions of the cortex where slow and fast spindles predominate, respectively.

Discussion

In the present study, we investigated the dynamics of the brain processes involved in spindle generation and its manifestation. The classical definition of a spindle provided by the AASM manual [5] considers them as bursts in the sigma band with a very specific physiological context (i.e., the sleep stage). However, this definition does not describe other important electrophysiological characteristics (related with the spatiotemporal dynamics) that could be important in their identification. Previous studies already provided some details on these dynamics, such as the characteristic negative chirping of the spindles [54] or the synchronization and modulation between slow waves and spindles [60]. We here explored new properties taking advantage of the complementary vision of different characteristics (complexity, regularity, variability, etc.) and comparing them to the classical feature for spindle characterization, i.e., RP.

Results showed important changes in various features evolving even before the spindle onset, and flattening 1 second after the beginning of the spindle (see Figure 3) and probably linked with the mean duration of the spindles [39]. These changes in the brain dynamics showed significant differences both in spectral and in temporal features (Figure 4). We then analyzed the correlation between the features computed on the pre-spindle and those computed on the spindle periods. We found high correlations both for the spectral and the temporal measures (see Figures 5 and 6). Future studies should investigate on the causes for this high degree of association, despite overtly different pre-spindle and spindle periods (Figure 3). Finally, we assessed the degree of separability between the pre-spindle and spindle periods. Results showed that features other than power in the sigma band, such as SE in sigma, could be jointed as plausible features for automatically detecting sleep spindles, or potential therapeutic or experimental targets that could be leveraged to enhance spindle activity in healthy and clinical populations.

4.1 Methodological implications – Usefulness in sleep spindles detectors

Until now, the definition of the spindle according to the AASM is based solely on the visually apparent increase of the EEG power in the sigma band, which results in an oscillation or burst at such a frequency. [5]. The sigma band partially overlaps with the alpha band (8-13 Hz), common at rest with eyes closed [61]. Therefore, alpha oscillations at, for example, 12 Hz are indistinguishable from slow spindles by an automatic algorithm based solely on power. This is a problem in all the automatic spindle detectors, but becomes particularly problematic in clinical population with alpha intrusion during sleep, or during micro-arousals due to e.g., sleep apnea, insomnia, or movement disorders [62]. An option to solve this issue is to use heuristic techniques to differentiate between the alpha band in resting awake and a spindle event. For instance, Yon and colleagues implemented an algorithm that corrects for ‘alpha effects’ by removing slow spindles of frequency lower than 13 Hz when the power in parietal electrodes is higher than the power in the central ones [33]. However, these algorithms can lead to falsely rejecting slow spindles. We here propose an alternative procedure for automatic spindle detection, which would be based not only on the power in the sigma band but on the combination of different spectral and temporal features. The results show a greater ability of spectral entropy to distinguish between periods in which spindles are absent (pre-spindle period) and periods with spindles, as...
compared to the ability of the relative power. Therefore, it is expected that a classifier combining both characteristics will achieve greater performance than the traditional detectors based on relative power alone. On the basis of the previous ideas, this automatic detection could be addressed through the set of complementary characteristics in methodologies based on machine learning or through algorithms that combine the information of different measures.

One would think that, due to the similarity between alpha oscillations and slow spindles, other features different from the power in sigma band may also be unable to discern between both types of waves. However, the negative chirping pattern in spindle events reported by other studies [54,63] suggests that, while the power in the sigma band would not be able to find this slowing pattern, other characteristics can do so. Features, such as those related to the oscillation speed (MF) or the change of periodicity (ACW), help to support this hypothesis (see Figure 3). By applying a time-resolved procedure, MF shows a decrease as the spindle fades, which is in line with the shift toward slower frequencies. This is also supported by the ACW. When the sleep spindle is generated, the central lobe of the autocorrelation function narrows, decreasing the ACW. Once the negative chirping occurs, the periodicity of the signal changes, resulting in an increase in ACW. In fact, many of these measurements help to recognize the type of spindle (fast or slow) detected. Thus, the evolution curves of Figure 3 show a characteristic pattern in which the Cz channel is between the Fz and Pz channels. This is because spindles in the Cz channel were detected in the broadband, while slow and fast spindles were detected in Fz and Pz, respectively. Together, these results show that the spindles show different qualitative attributes (not only restricted to amplitude changes). These additional features could help in distinguishing the oscillatory patterns of alpha waves (or other brain activity, for that matter), as well as sleep spindles and their subtypes, thus, helping future spindle detectors to obtain higher performance. Further work is however necessary before confirming the utility of specific features for novel automatic spindle detectors.

The proposed features not only showed a likely utility in ruling out alpha waves, but also a similar ability (compared with RP in the sigma band) to discriminate between pre-spindle and spindle periods (e.g., TTV) or even showing higher classification statistics (e.g., SE in the sigma band), as shown in Table 1. In fact, the conventional feature used in automatic spindle detectors (i.e., the RP in sigma band) only shows the highest statistics in specificity. Thus, SE is proposed as a higher-performance candidate than RP for the detection of sleep spindles.

Except for PLE, the spectral features showed similar patterns of temporal evolution, with a flat trend in the pre-spindle and an increase for approximately 1 second after the spindle onset (see Figure 3). On the contrary, both PLE and all temporal characteristics showed varied patterns in their trends that affected both the pre-spindle and spindle periods. This suggests that these features provide different information to that given by RP and SE. Therefore, although they have not reached a classification performance as high as RP or SE, it is well known that complementary features help in predictions leading to increased precision [64]. Not only that, but even the features that are presumably redundant with respect to RP could improve the prediction, since they would reduce the noise getting consequently better inter-class separation [64].

Taken together, the results suggest that automatic spindle detectors could benefit from characterization through complementary measures both to discard alpha, and other oscillations and to increase algorithm sensitivity.

4.2 Limitations and future work

New ideas and suggestions for future spindle detectors were presented here. We demonstrate their potential utility by means of correlation between pre-spindle and spindle features, as well as by a classification procedure using ROCs. However, these ideas, although valid, are an indirect evidence, being the development of a new spindle detector based on the joint characterization of spectral and temporal measurements a more direct way to verify these findings. Therefore, future work is necessary in this regard to test the accuracy improvement due to each particular feature.

Apart from methodological suggestions for future detectors, clinical implications related to possible targets for boosting spindles were revealed. Nonetheless, these findings are limited at the cortical structures of the brain, since their activation was assessed by EEG signals. The typical spatial resolution of overnight EEG recordings, usually from PSGs, limit a source-level analysis. Simultaneous fMRI-EEG recordings could partially solve this problem, which would extend our findings about the strong state-dependence to the spindle generators as the thalamus. Although the recordings probably could not be overnight due to the discomfort of the fMRI equipment, this is a potentially promising priority to pursue it in future work.

Finally, we want to note that all the results of the present study are subject to the reliability and idiosyncrasies of the spindle detector employed here. In particular, the parameters associated with the detector, such as the threshold to consider the spindle onset, could slightly affect to the exact moment in which the spindle beginning is set. However, as previously mentioned, this automatic spindle detector has been widely validated by experts and non-experts, as well as compared to other approaches (e.g., [31,35,36,74,75]). Therefore, we are reasonably confident that the results are generalizable regardless of the spindle detection method.

5. Conclusion
The current definition of spindles is only based on power in the sigma band manifestation during the spindle period itself. However, the hierarchical complexity in which spindles are nested begins even before they are generated and makes necessary to characterize spindles in terms beyond power and beyond the spindle period itself. By means of a correlation analysis and a classification procedure, the features computed in this study to characterize brain dynamics demonstrated their potential utility as predictors of spindles that could be applied to develop new automatic detectors and novel therapeutic targets aimed at improving memory, cognition and sleep quality in healthy, older, and clinical populations. In this regard, the spectral entropy calculated on the sigma band showed better accuracy and AROC than the power in this band, suggesting that, physiologically speaking, the temporal dynamics of the spindles are more complex than a simple stable oscillatory burst.
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