American investigators have uncovered a deep-rooted corruption scandal at FIFA, soccer's governing body. Here's everything you need to know:

What's being alleged?
Prosecutors say FIFA officials have engaged in "rampant, systemic" corruption over 24 years, essentially selling off the rights to host World Cups and other tournaments for $150 million in bribes and kickbacks. The alleged graft, much of which took place on U.S. soil or through American banks, involved at least 14 FIFA officials; some of the payoffs were hidden in complex financial arrangements, while others were made in cash-stuffed briefcases. "They did this over and over," said U.S. Attorney General Loretta Lynch. "Year after year, tournament after tournament." The scandal has already forced the resignation of the previously untouchable FIFA president, Sepp Blatter, and prompted police in Switzerland, where the organization is based, to launch an investigation into "irregularities" over the awarding of the 2018 and 2022 World Cups to Russia and Qatar.

Why is FIFA so corrupt?
Hosting rights for the World Cup are extremely valuable, providing the winning country with great prestige and billions in development and spending. Every four years, a host nation is chosen in a vote by 24 senior FIFA officials, giving a handful of individuals enormous leverage over the bidders. Bribes and kickbacks naturally follow, especially since in many of the competing nations, paying off powerful officials is commonplace. These same FIFA bigwigs are also responsible for dishing out media rights for regional tournaments — another golden opportunity to have their palms greased.

Why did the U.S. get involved?
The turning point came on December 2, 2010, with the shocking announcement that the 2022 World Cup would be held in Qatar. A Persian Gulf state the size of Connecticut, Qatar has no soccer tradition, and summer temperatures average about 113 degrees — hardly ideal for a sport in which players run almost constantly. The organizers' pledge to build air-conditioned stadiums predictably proved far-fetched, so the tournament was moved to winter — right in the middle of Europe's professional-league seasons. Almost all of Qatar's requisite hotels, stadiums, and other infrastructure are being built from scratch by South Asian immigrants who are essentially slave labor. Working 12-hour days and housed in filthy, overcrowded hostels, these workers are unable to leave their jobs or the country without an "exit permit." Hundreds are believed to have died from heatstroke and in construction-site accidents. For many soccer fans, the Qatar 2022 decision was one corrupt step too far. For the U.S., which finished second in the bidding process, it was personal.

What did the U.S. do?
Federal investigators started looking into the regional FIFA confederation, covering the Caribbean and North and Central America — and quickly discovered widespread, ingrained corruption. At the heart of the graft was Chuck Blazer, a bushy-bearded, 450-pound American
who had worked his way up from low-level soccer administration to the upper echelons of the
FIFA oligarchy. Known as "Mr. 10 Percent," Blazer made a fortune taking a cut from lucrative
media contracts he secured for regional tournaments — and spent another fortune on expenses,
food, and wine. He billed FIFA $24,000 a month for two apartments in New York's Trump
Tower — one for him, one for his office and pet cats — and racked up $29 million over seven
years on his corporate credit card. Blazer's downfall, though, was that he didn't pay his taxes. In
2011, he was confronted by FBI and IRS agents as he drove one of his mobility scooters up Fifth
Avenue in Manhattan. "We can take you away in handcuffs now," they told him, "or you can
cooperate."

What did he do?
Facing years in prison for tax evasion, Blazer became an informer. He admitted receiving bribes
for the 1998 and 2010 World Cup bids, and secretly recorded crooked colleagues with a
microphone hidden in a key fob. That helped uncover a cesspool of corruption that went back
years. Ahead of the bidding process for the 2010 World Cup, for example, Morocco allegedly
offered Blazer's superior and long-time confidant, Jack Warner, $1 million for his vote — only to
be trumped by a $10 million bribe from eventual winner South Africa. Blazer has since ratted on
Warner, who in turn has promised to produce "an avalanche" of revelations about corruption
within FIFA.

Can FIFA clean itself up?
That would seem unlikely. One of the favorites to succeed Blatter is Frenchman Michel Platini, a
long-time FIFA power broker who voted for Qatar's bid. A British newspaper has accused Platini
of receiving a Picasso from Vladimir Putin in return for supporting Russia 2018. (Platini has
fiercely denied the Picasso story.) No matter who succeeds Blatter, many of the organization's
smaller nations will want to preserve the status quo, because FIFA officials have showered them
with funding to secure their support in elections. Much of this money is pocketed, legally and
illegally, by the same local soccer officials who will vote on FIFA reforms. Nevertheless, there is
hope within "the beautiful game" that the indictments will mark a turning point. "This is the
beginning of our effort," said U.S. Attorney Kelly Currie, "not the end."

FIFA's white elephants
Central among FIFA's requirements for World Cup hosts is an emphasis on "legacy." For Qatar
2022, for example, the Persian Gulf state promised to spend an astonishing $200 billion on new
stadiums and other infrastructure, and even pledged to dismantle some of the new soccer venues
afterward and rebuild them in poorer countries. But as with the Olympics, World Cup legacies
often disappoint — as last year's host, Brazil, is now discovering. The country's centerpiece $550
million stadium in capital Brasília is being used as a parking lot for municipal buses. In the
western city of Cuiabá, the newly built stadium was recently inhabited by homeless squatters,
and an $800 million light railway to take fans there still hasn't been completed and is running
twice times over budget. "I don't see any World Cup legacy to Brazil," says Brazilian journalist
José Cruz, "except the debts we have inherited and the problems we now have."

Possible Response Questions:
- What are your thoughts on the scandal? Share.
- How does this scandal compare with scandals in other sports? Explain.
- Select any passage and respond to it.