ABSTRACT: Despite the ubiquity of branched and network polymers in biological, electronic, and rheological applications, it remains difficult to predict the network structure arising from polymerization of vinyl and multivinyl monomers. While controlled radical polymerization (CRP) techniques afford modularity and control in the synthesis of (hyper)branched polymers, a unifying understanding of network formation providing grounded predictive power is still lacking. A current limitation is the inability to predict the number and weight average molecular weights that arise during the synthesis of (hyper)branched polymers using CRP. This study addresses this literature gap through first building intuition via a growth boundary analysis on how certain environmental cues (concentration, monomer choice, and cross-linker choice) affect the cross-link efficiency during network formation through experimental gel point measurements. We then demonstrate, through experimental gel point normalization, universal scaling behavior of molecular weights in the synthesis of branched polymers corroborated by previous literature experiments. Moreover, the normalization employed in this analysis reveals trends in the macroscopic mechanical properties of networks synthesized using CRP techniques. Gel point normalization employed in this analysis both enables a polymer chemist to target specific number and weight average molecular weights of (hyper)branched polymers using CRP and demonstrates the utility of CRP for gel synthesis.

INTRODUCTION

Beginning with Bakelite, Leo Baekeland’s purely synthetic alternative to the exploding celluloid billiard balls of the late 19th century, the covalent junction of polymeric chains into synthetic networks has simultaneously puzzled polymer scientists and inspired a new generation of revolutionary materials with unprecedented material properties. Complete percolating networks, which have reached gelation, are the cornerstone of separation technologies and afford tunable matrices for applications ranging from wearable electronics to soft contact lenses to cell culture. Similarly, incomplete networks (i.e., soluble branched molecules or cyclized molecules) have been explored as platforms for nanomedicine, diagnostic and imaging tools, commodity plastic processing, and viscosity modifiers. Both incomplete and complete networks are also being explored as electrolytes for electronics. The ubiquity and future potential of these materials are linked to the physical properties afforded by a network and by the availability of modular handles to tune the network.

The molecular weight between cross-link junctions ($M_c$) is a key variable to determine network properties. $M_c$ defines the pore size of complete networks, which in turn affects the diffusion coefficient of macromolecules and resulting mechanical properties. This affords bespoke synthetic procedures to generate gels to separate or release different sized materials or control cell morphology and differentiation. In the case of insoluble, branched networks, $M_c$ defines the degree of branching, which affects rheological and mechanical properties. Moreover, in translational medicine, when incomplete networks are functionalized with bioactive molecules, the average number of primary chains per molecule and degree of branching afford functional handles to control
the number and density of drugs or targeting ligands, affecting therapeutic function.\(^{10}\)

While both step and chain-growth polymerization can be employed to synthesize polymeric networks, chain-growth mechanisms are often used due to the commercial availability of the building blocks and their synthetic ease. In this regard, the copolymerization of vinyl monomers (VM) and multifunctional monomers (MVM) yields polymer networks. However, the free-radical copolymerization of VMs and MVMs often suffers from poor control over network formation. Without the use of chain transfer agents (Strathclyde synthesis), it is nearly impossible to synthesize branched molecules without microscopic or macroscopic gelation.\(^{38,39,44}\) Further, it is difficult to gain insight over both the average size of network’s primary chains and \(M_n\) resulting in convoluted network properties, which are difficult to predict.\(^{40}\)

To address these limitations, polymer chemists have employed more sophisticated chain-growth methods to control sythetic network parameters. Self-condensing vinyl polymerization (SCVP) successfully avoids gelation; however, it lacks both control over the primary chains composing the branched networks and predictive power on the molecular weights of the synthesized networks.\(^{42,43}\) Controlled radical polymerization (CRP) techniques, such as reversible addition fragmentation transfer (RAFT) or atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP), yield low dispersities in primary chain lengths and successful postponement of macroscopic gelation.\(^{44−47}\) Kinetic experiments employing degradable MVMs have demonstrated that primary chains in the CRP of VM and MVM grow in accordance with CRP kinetics and that branching occurs at high conversions.\(^{48−52}\) Altering the ratio of [MVM]:[Chain Transfer Agent] ([CTA]) or [MVM]:[Initiator] allows topological control over the network structure from linear chains to branched networks to gels (Figure 1A).

Despite the synthetic ease and apparent modularity of the CRP-synthesized network polymers, it is not trivial to predict or model the network topology \((M_g, M_w, \text{and } M_n)\) of these materials. While detailed kinetic studies have modeled the synthesis of network polymers and predicted both gel points and molecular radii as a function of conversion\(^{53−55}\) and off lattice and dynamic lattice Monte Carlo simulations have been conducted to predict the gel point\(^{56}\) and molecular weight scaling\(^{57}\) of branched polymers in a network, they have not been explored for polymerizations under broad reaction conditions. The difficulty arising in these modeling approaches is largely driven by the variable ability of MVMs to form effective intermolecular cross-links or ineffective intramolecular loops. In this work, we explore experimental parameters that influence the cross-linking propensity of MVMs and work to quantitatively predict the resulting network structures by utilizing RAFT copolymerization of various VMs and MVMs (Figure 1B). We first develop a set of heuristics, derived from a Flory–Stockmayer analysis, to describe cross-link and loop forming behavior in the CRP of networks. We apply these heuristics within the growth boundary framework, developed by Wang and co-workers, to explore effects of both VM concentration and VM and MVM cross-propagation kinetics on cross-link efficiency (Figure 1C).\(^{58−61}\) Through gel point normalization, we observe a universality in molecular weight scaling over broad reaction conditions (Figure 1D). Moreover, the normalization employed in this analysis reveals trends in the macroscopic mechanical properties of networks synthesized using CRP techniques. The gel point normalization technique developed in this manuscript allows the polymer chemist to target number and weight average molecular weights during the synthesis of (hyper)branched polymers using CRP. Simultaneously, the gel point normalization

---

**Figure 1.** (A) Schematic of network formation with effective branch points (red dots) and primary chains (black chains) pre- and post-gelation. (B) Schematic of RAFT polymerization of vinyl monomers (VM, from left to right, N,N-dimethylacrylamide (DMA), 4-acryloylmorpholine (MORPH), N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM), and N-methoxysopropylacrylamide (MPAM)) and multifunctional monomers (MVM, from left to right, N,N-methylene(bis)acrylamide (MBAM) and 1,4-bis(acryloyl)piperazine (PIPBAM)) used in this analysis. (C) Determination of the critical MVM concentration to reach gelation at full conversion \([\text{MVM}_{cr}]\) using a growth boundary analysis. The R and Z termini arising from RAFT polymerizations bookend the primary chains. (D) Universal scaling behavior arising from knowledge of \([\text{MVM}_{cr}]\) affords molecular weight prediction.
technique demonstrates the utility of CRP techniques for the synthesis of covalent gels.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Flory–Stockmayer Heuristics in Network Formation.

An understanding of how polymerization conditions lead to cross-link or loop formation is necessary to predict network topology. The Flory–Stockmayer model is often used to describe network formation in chain-growth systems, although it can be abstracted to network formation in CRP. In an idealized Flory–Stockmayer gelation model, each available functional moiety on a given monomer will react with its complementary moiety (cross-link formation) without the ability to self-terminate or cyclize (loop formation).

This model predicts macroscopic gelation at 100% conversion during the controlled copolymerization of VMs and MVMs at a 2:1 stoichiometry of primary chains to MVM (\(\frac{[\text{MVM}]_{\text{GP}}}{[\text{CTA}]} = \frac{1}{2} \)). \([\text{MVM}]_{\text{GP}}\) refers to the initial MVM concentration, which results in a gel point at 100% monomer conversion. The subscript ideal refers to a polymerization without loop formation.

The Flory–Stockmayer heuristics were developed to describe effective cross-link and loop forming behaviors. The effective cross-link efficiency (XLE\(_{\text{eff}}\)), calculated using eq 1, describes the tendency of a MVM to form cross-links or loops for a given reaction condition. Moreover, the effective Flory–Stockmayer cross-links per primary chain (FSC\(_{\text{eff}}\)) describes the stoichiometric distance from the gel point (FSC\(_{\text{eff,GP}} = 0.5\)). The purpose of the following section is to implement these heuristics in describing VM concentration effects on cross-link formation while demonstrating their utility in illustrating synthetic control over network formation in controlled radical polymerization.

\[
\text{XLE}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{[\text{CTA}]}{2 \times [\text{MVM}]_{\text{GP}}} \tag{1}
\]

\[
\text{FSC}_{\text{eff}} = \text{XLE}_{\text{eff}} \times \frac{[\text{MVM}]}{[\text{CTA}]} \tag{2}
\]

Figure 2. Study on network formation employing RAFT for the copolymerization of DMA and MBAM. (A) The critical ratio at DP\(_{\text{PC}} = 25\) (light blue), 50 (blue), and 100 (dark blue) at [DMA] = 1, 1.75, 2.5, 3.5, and 5 M. The Flory–Stockmayer limit for gelation along with color coded regions describing intramolecular loop and intermolecular cross-link dominating regions are provided with a fit employing an effective molarity analysis for each DP\(_{\text{PC}}\) (regions are determined by the following criteria for \(\frac{[\text{MVM}]}{[\text{CTA}]}\): cross-link dominated < 2 < transition Region < 4 < loop dominated). (B) Molar fraction of unincorporated primary chains at distinct ratios of \(\frac{[\text{MVM}]}{[\text{CTA}]}\) at fixed DP\(_{\text{PC}}\) of 25 (light blue), 50 (blue), and 100 (dark blue) at 3.5 M. The gel point from (A) (3.5 M) is depicted as a function of \(\frac{[\text{MVM}]}{[\text{CTA}]}\). (C) Scaling of the number average (closed symbols) and weight average (open symbols) molecular weights of branched polymers plotted as a function of effective Flory–Stockmayer cross-links per primary chain (FSC\(_{\text{eff}}\)) in the cross-link-dominated zone. The gel point from (A) is depicted as a function of FSC\(_{\text{eff}}\). (D) Size exclusion chromatograms (normalized by area under the curve) of the branched polymers at 3.5 M [DMA] at DP\(_{\text{PC}} = 25\) (light blue), 50 (blue), and 100 (dark blue) at ratios of (i) 0.25, (ii) 0.75, and (iii) 1.25 indicating incorporation of primary chains into the branched polymers.
Seminal work by Armes, Matyjaszewski, and their respective co-workers illustrates that at a fixed primary chain degree of polymerization (DP_{PC}), XLE_{eff} is highly VM concentration dependent.\textsuperscript{55,66} From a steric perspective, these studies demonstrate that primary chains below the overlap concentration (c*) tend toward intramolecular loop formation while primary chains above c* favor intermolecular cross-linking. \textsuperscript{8} Calculations are provided in eq S1, which have been reported previously.\textsuperscript{65,67} However, subsequent studies have indicated that the transition between the cross-link and loop-dominated concentration is not abrupt but gradual.\textsuperscript{64}

To further probe the VM concentration dependence of macroscopic gelation, we copolymerize N,N-dimethylacrylamide (DMA) at fixed DMA molarities with N,N-methylene-(bis)acrylamide (MBAM) at DP_{PC} values of 25, 50, and 100 to full conversion. [MVM]_{GP} was determined by varying MVM-to-CTA ratios for a given DP_{PC} until visible macroscopic gelation; the lowest MVM-to-CTA ratio that resulted in gelation was used to calculate [MVM]_{GP}. Macroscopic gelation is described as the resistance to flow upon vial inversion and the inability to dissolve upon addition of the polymerization solvent. The concentration dependence of (\text{MVM})_{eff} is plotted in Figure 2A, corroborating a gradual shift in XLE_{eff}. We hypothesize that the gradual shift is attributed to kinetic factors in network formation because interchain cross-linking is a bimolecular reaction while intrachain loop formation is a unimolecular reaction. To probe the interplay between the unimolecular and bimolecular kinetics of, respectively, loop unimolecular reaction and cross-link formation, we seek to fit the VM concentration dependence on (\text{MVM})_{eff} through an effective molarity analysis (EM, the ratio of the kinetic rate constant for loop formation to the kinetic rate constant for cross-link formation). We implement this to fit XLE_{eff} in eq 3, where p^* refers to the concentration of living radicals, approximated to the initiator concentration. We use the F–S condition for ideality and assume that each cross-link can either form a primary loop or cross-link. The experimental (MVM)_{eff} values are converted into cross-link efficiencies to determine an effective molarity, as plotted in Figure S1. The effective molarities are between 10 and 200 \text{ µM}, agreeing with previous literature values.\textsuperscript{68} Moreover, for a given cross-link-to-primary chain ratio, EM_{DP_{PC}} > EM_{DP_{50}} > EM_{DP_{100}}. This agrees with the experimental observation of decreasing effective molarities with increasing distance between reactive species.\textsuperscript{69–71} The calculated EM values are used to fit (\text{MVM})_{eff} in Figure 2A, which corroborate the unimolecular and bimolecular nature of loop and cross-link formation.

\[
XLE_{eff} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{\text{EM}}{p^*}[\text{MVM}]} \tag{3}
\]

Interestingly, varying DP_{PC} had little to no effect on (\text{MVM})_{eff}. Because gelation is expected when FSC_{eff} = 0.5, these results imply that DP_{PC} does not affect XLE_{eff}. Moreover, because XLE_{eff} is unchanged, network formation or the buildup of primary chains into branched molecules remains unchanged. This is depicted in Figure 2B, where the molar fraction of unincorporated primary chains at regular intervals of (\text{MVM})_{eff} is plotted at fixed DP_{PC} values of 25, 50, and 100 at 3.5 M \(p = 0.27 \) to test the null hypothesis that the data sets share the same slope. As the cross-link-to-primary chain ratio is increased toward the critical ratio required for gelation, there is a regular and marked decrease in unincorporated primary chains until the gel point, which when extrapolated to the gel point, is not equal to 0. Representative SEC traces normalized by the area under the curve are presented in Figure 2D to illustrate this phenomenon. The primary chains in the SEC traces for DP_{PC} 25, 50, and 100 are the unresolved peaks at elution times of 20.5, 19, and 17.5 min, respectively.

When XLE_{eff} is unchanged, \( [\text{MVM}]_{[\text{CTA}]} \) is a useful tool when comparing network formation between different polymerization conditions. However, when XLE_{eff} differs between samples, such as when [VM] concentration is altered, similarities in network formation arise when compared as a function of FSC_{eff}. The molecular weights of branched DP_{PC} 50 polymers synthesized at 2.5, 3.5, and 5 M, determined using size exclusion chromatography-multi angle laser light scattering (SEC-MALLS), are plotted in Figure 2C. The molecular weights for branched polymers synthesized at 3.5 M at DP_{PC} 25, 50, and 100 are presented in Figure S5. The number and weight average molecular weights are plotted as a function of FSC_{eff}, where a value of 1 represents the gel point. The similarity of the molecular weight scaling behavior demonstrates the utility of this analysis. XLE_{eff} is a unique descriptor for a polymerization environment. Moreover, it affords a stoichiometric normalization, FSC_{eff} to demonstrate a similarity in network formation. It is important to note that at [VM] \( \equiv \text{1 M} \), the branched synthesis of DMA and MBAM does not scale in this fashion. We presume that \( [\text{MVM}]_{[\text{CTA}]} \) is sufficiently large for the given DP_{PC} that steric and cooperative effects prevent FSC_{eff} = XLE_{eff} \times \frac{[\text{MVM}]}{[\text{CTA}]} . Thus, the following analysis in this manuscript occurs in the cross-link-dominated region \([\text{[VM]} > 2.5 \text{ M}]\).

In this section, we have developed heuristics to describe the complicated process of cross-link and loop formation during CRP of MVM and VM. We demonstrate that macroscopic gelation and network formation are a function of the number of effective cross-links on a primary chain (FSC_{eff}). We further show that FSC_{eff} is a function of the effective cross-link efficiency (XLE_{eff}) and dependent on VM concentration but independent of primary chain length (DP_{PC}). The VM concentration dependence of XLE_{eff} is fit via an effective molarity analysis, taking account of the, respective, unimolecular and bimolecular nature of loop and cross-link formation, reinforcing a gradual relationship between XLE_{eff} and [VM]. However, this analysis is limited to copolymerizations of DMA and MBAM. In the following section we explore a more nuanced understanding of the factors which affect XLE_{eff} through the copolymerization of different VM and MVMs.

Cross-Reactivity Kinetics Affect Effective Cross-link Efficiencies. To understand how VM and MVM selection affects XLE_{eff} we draw from the kinetic growth boundary analysis developed by Wang and co-workers, which affords useful insight into the factors that direct a system to favor loop or cross-link formation.\textsuperscript{58–61,72} The kinetic growth boundary can be visualized as the three-dimensional space a living radical occupies in its active state before becoming dormant; a graphical representation is provided in Figure 3A. For a given
growth boundary, if the stoichiometric ratios of reactive groups remain constant, increasing the VM concentration will increase the number of polymer chains containing pendant vinyl groups inside the growth boundary, increasing XLE<sub>eff</sub>. Similarly, for a given concentration and stoichiometric ratio of reactive groups, increasing the growth boundary’s volume will increase the number of polymer chains in the growth boundary, increasing XLE<sub>eff</sub>. Limiting the lifetime of the active sequence, effectively slowing polymerization kinetics, lowers XLE<sub>eff</sub>.<sup>59</sup> We hypothesize that the cross-reactivity between the living radical and pendant vinyl unit is also a key factor for XLE<sub>eff</sub>. Reducing the cross-reactivity kinetics of a living radical reacting with a pendant vinyl unit (increasing the reactivity ratio) increases the solution volume that a radical needs to sample before it reacts with a pendant vinyl chain, creating a larger effective growth boundary and a larger XLE<sub>eff</sub>. In the following section, we aim to demonstrate the effects of cross-reactivity kinetics by (1) altering the reactivity and radical stability of the VM and (2) altering the steric availability of the MVM.

To adjust the reactivity and radical stability of the VM, we individually copolymerize four VMs (N,N-dimethylacrylamide (DMA), 4-acryloylmorpholine (MORPH), N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM), and N-methoxymethylacrylamide (MPAM)) with the MVM N,N-methylenebisacrylamide (MBAM) in the intermolecular cross-link-dominated region (2.5 and 3.5 M) at DP<sub>p,CTA</sub> 50. These acrylamides are further classified into secondary (NIPAM and MPAM) and tertiary (DMA and MORPH) acrylamides. The difference in resonance stabilization (Q) and polarity (e) of the radical on tertiary and secondary acrylamides, in accordance with Q-E formalism, results in nonrandom reactivity ratios for their copolymerization.<sup>73</sup> This was validated by measuring the reactivity ratios of NIPAM and DMA (r<sub>N2,3</sub> < r<sub>D3,3</sub>) and DMA and MORPH (r<sub>D3,3</sub> ≈ r<sub>M3,3</sub>) in Figure S4 using a nonlinear least-squares minimization of the integrated copolymer equation.<sup>74,75</sup> We plot XLE<sub>eff</sub> and M<sub>w</sub> in Figure 3B-i,ii to probe the effects of VM radical stability.

Similar to the DMA EM analysis, XLE<sub>eff</sub> of each VM increases with molarity. Further, for a given molarity, the monomers in each acrylamide class (secondary or tertiary) contain identical XLE<sub>eff</sub>. The XLE<sub>eff</sub> of the secondary acrylamides is smaller than those of tertiary acrylamides. The polymerization kinetics (k<sub>p</sub>) at 3.5 M were measured for each monomer (Figure S2) and k<sub>p,MORPH</sub> < k<sub>p,MPAM</sub> < k<sub>p,DMA</sub>) yet XLE<sub>MORPH</sub> ≈ XLE<sub>DMA</sub>. This is likely because focusing on VM kinetics while ignoring cross-reactivity with the MVM affords an incomplete picture of the growth boundary. The increased reactivity ratios of tertiary acrylamides yield increased growth boundaries and XLE<sub>eff</sub> because they are statistically less likely to react with a pendant vinyl unit. Moreover, the reactivity ratio of the propagating VM is a dominant factor over polymerization kinetics in determining the growth boundary. Increased XLE<sub>eff</sub> lead to increasing slopes when M<sub>w</sub> is plotted as a function of [VM] / [CTA] at a given molarity, MORPH (tertiary acrylamide) has a steeper slope than MPAM (secondary acrylamide). Further, both have steeper slopes at 3.5 M when compared to 2.5 M. [VM] / [CTA] is utilized here to reinforce how differences in XLE<sub>eff</sub> affect M<sub>w</sub> scaling as a function of stoichiometry. However, when M<sub>w</sub> is plotted as a function of FSC<sub>eff</sub>, the M<sub>w</sub> scale identically (vide infra).

Many research groups have altered the XLE<sub>eff</sub> through altering the VM.<sup>56,76-79</sup> Specifically, a study by Armes and co-workers demonstrates that a bulky MVM increases cross-
linking efficiency. From our cross-reactivity analysis, a bulky pendent MVM is sterically congested, increasing the reactivity ratio of the growing radical and increasing the XLE\textsubscript{eff}. However, this provides no new insights regarding the hierarchy of electronic and steric effects on XLE\textsubscript{eff}. We probe this hierarchy by copolymerizing 1,4-bis(acryloyl)piperazine (PIP-BAM) as the stericly hindered counterpart to MBAM. PIPBAM, however, is a tertiary acrylamide while MBAM is a secondary acrylamide. We copolymerize PIPBAM with DMA (2.5, 3.5, and 5 M), MPAM (2.5 M), and MORPH (2.5 M) to determine the XLE\textsubscript{eff} (Figure 3C-i) and molecular weight scaling (Figure 3C-ii). Copolymerizations with PIPBAM, as compared to MBAM, yield higher XLE\textsubscript{eff} although PIPBAM has a smaller effect on XLE\textsubscript{eff} when the XLE\textsubscript{eff} with MBAM is sufficiently high.\textsuperscript{68} This suggests that steric hindrance in the MVM imparts a large enough difference on the reactivity ratios to negate the effects from MVM \(Q\) and \(e\) values. In turn, steric of the MVM are more important in determining XLE\textsubscript{eff} than electronics. Moreover, for a given VM concentration (2.5 M), MORPH, MPAM, and DMA all had the same XLE\textsubscript{eff} with PIPBAM despite having different XLE\textsubscript{eff} with MBAM. Similarly, MORPH and MPAM \(M_n\)s scale near identically when copolymerized with PIPBAM despite having different slopes when copolymerized with MBAM. This suggests that the involvement of steric hindrance in the MVM imparts a large enough difference on the reactivity ratios to negate the effects from VM \(Q\) and \(e\) values.

In this section, we introduced the nuance of cross-reactivity kinetics into the growth boundary analysis, illustrating its effects on XLE\textsubscript{eff}. We test this hypothesis by polymerizing VMs with different kinetics but similar reactivity ratios and measure the resulting XLE\textsubscript{eff}. We similarly adapt the effects of steric hindrance into a cross-reactivity kinetics argument and test this hypothesis by polymerizing VMs with a normal and sterically impaired MVM, demonstrating that the sterically impaired MVM increases the XLE\textsubscript{eff}. Moreover, we reinforce the VM concentration effects of the previous section, demonstrating increased XLE\textsubscript{eff} for each VM and MVM pair when the VM molarity is increased.

Universal Scaling Behavior in Network Polymers. We observe a universal scaling of molecular weights arising from the copolymerizations of VM and MVM when plotted as a function of FSC\textsubscript{eff}. To illustrate this behavior, we plot the number and weight average primary chains per molecule. These values were determined by dividing \(M_n\) and \(M_w\) by \(M_p\) (peak molecular weight of the primary chain peak) for each polymerization in the cross-link-dominated region. These values are plotted in Figure 4 and follow a power law relationship. A similar power law relationship is revealed when the data is plotted as a function of the relative extent of reaction (\(e\)) in Figure S6. A table of all polymerization reactions implemented is provided in Table S4. We incorporate an ATRP copolymerization of methacrylates\textsuperscript{65} and a RAFT copolymerization of acrylates\textsuperscript{49} in this analysis. The use of ATRP for the branched copolymerization of methacrylates by Armes and co-workers shows good agreement for the 3 M and 5 M cases for \(M_n\) and \(M_w\).\textsuperscript{65} At first, it is surprising that their RAFT polymerizations from this same study do not fit this relationship (data not shown), given that the current analysis is derived from RAFT polymerization. However, the RAFT polymerizations of methacrylates were taken to approximately 95% conversion while their ATRP syntheses were taken to more than 99% conversion. It has been demonstrated that high molecular weight species evolve at high conversions. This was confirmed in a kinetic study that monitored the build up of high molecular weight species in a polymerization while maintaining traditional controlled growth of the primary chains (Figure S3). Because all pendent MVM groups have not reacted, it is unreasonable to expect the molecular weights in polymerizations at approximately 95% conversion to scale in a manner identical to copolymerizations that reached a full conversion. The RAFT copolymerization of acrylates by Armes and co-workers in a different study where \(p \approx 1\) agrees with our findings.\textsuperscript{49}

The experimental power law relationship is described in eq 4. The power law scaling exponents (\(\gamma\)) are measured as 0.84 and 1.7, respectively, for the number and weight average primary chains per branched copolymer molecule (\(\frac{M_n}{M_p}\) and \(\frac{M_w}{M_p}\)). The universal power law relationship implies that once \([\text{MVM}]_{\text{GP}}\) is known for a VM and MVM pair (at a given VM concentration), a branched copolymer can be designed with specified \(\frac{M_n}{M_p}\) and \(\frac{M_w}{M_p}\).

\[
\frac{M_{n,w}}{M_p} = \left(\frac{[\text{MVM}]_{\text{GP}}}{[\text{MVM}]_{\text{GP}}-\text{[MVM]}}\right)^\gamma \tag{4}
\]

Network Formation beyond Gelation. The affine and phantom network theories dictate that the shear modulus (\(G'\)) of a network scales linearly with \([\text{MVM}]\) (\(G' \propto [\text{MVM}]\)); however, recent synthetic and theoretical treatments have shown measurable deviation.\textsuperscript{80-82} These deviations in the free-radical polymerization of VMs and MVMs arise from the
variable loop forming propensities and yield shear moduli that are difficult to predict a priori. However, Rosselgong and Armes have provided evidence that the measured XLE is independent of the MVM concentration. Because of these observations, we hypothesize that the gel point normalization technique employed in the previous section provide a functional analytical tool to study the macroscopic mechanical properties of covalent CRP gels.

We explore this hypothesis through the copolymerization of DMA and MBAM at two different DMA molarities (3.5 and 5 M) at a fixed DP$_{PC}$. The shear storage and loss modulus of the synthesized networks were determined by a frequency sweep test using an oscillatory shear rheometer. An example range of oscillatory storage modulus measurements for covalent networks of DMA (3.5 M) is presented in Figure S7. We determine full consumption of DMA by measuring the shear storage and loss modulus at cures of 24, 48, and 72 h (Figure S9) and with $^1$H NMR spectroscopy (Figure S10). The shear storage moduli determined at 1 rad s$^{-1}$ for each of the networks synthesized are presented in Figure 5. To employ gel point normalization, the storage modulus is plotted as a function of both FSC$_{\rm eff}$ and [MVM]$_{GP}$. The expected elastic modulus of soluble networks should be orders of magnitude lower than that of the network post-gelation. The dynamic range of this analysis is limited to ranges of [MVM] where near full conversion of multivinyl monomers is still possible, and we hypothesize a plateau region for G’ at higher [MVM] concentration.

It is surprising that we observe similar shear storage moduli for networks of DMA synthesized at 3.5 and 5 M. In the affine network model, the storage modulus is a function of entropic elasticity and derivative of the concentration of active strands and the molecular weight between cross-links. In this analysis, we assume that a cross-link can form either a primary loop or an effective cross-link. This approach predicts that at a fixed FSC$_{\rm eff}$ the 3.5 and 5 M contain the same molecular weight between active cross-links yet different strand concentrations and the 5 M networks should have a larger storage modulus at a given FSC$_{\rm eff}$ than the 3.5 M network. However, this is not the case. While the formation of higher order loops is not detrimental to the prediction of $\frac{M_{\rm f}}{M_{\rm t}}$, the higher order loops maintain some degree of elasticity and affect the resulting mechanical properties of the network. We do notice, however, that increasing DP$_{PC}$ for a given [VM] and $\frac{[MVM]}{[MVM]_{GP}}$ lowers the shear storage modulus (Figure S8). The shear storage modulus for a 3.5 M DMA covalent gel ($\frac{[MVM]}{[MVM]_{GP}} = 2$) at DP$_{PC}$ 100 is approximately 60% of the value of the shear storage modulus for DP$_{PC}$ 50 at the same [VM] and normalized distance from the gel point. While the linear scaling of storage modulus when utilizing gel point normalization demonstrates the efficacy of this analysis, a full study that seeks to model and engineer these relationships requires future study.
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**Figure 5.** (A) Illustration of network formation comprising effective branch points (red dots) and primary chains (black chains) pre and post gelation. (B) Shear storage modulus ($\omega = 1$ rad s$^{-1}$, $\epsilon = 0.01$) of DMA gels polymerized at (1.2, 1.5, 1.75, and 2) × FSC$_{\rm eff}$ (5 M, DP$_{PC}$ 50) and (1.1, 1.5, 1.75 and 2) × FSC$_{\rm eff}$ (3.5 M, DP$_{PC}$ 50).

### CONCLUSIONS

Through gel point normalization, we describe a universality in the network formation of branched polymeric species using CRP. Specifically, knowledge of an experimental gel point for a VM and MVM combination at a given VM concentration allows the polymer chemist to predict the number and weight average molecular weight. Literature analysis of suitable data (accurate gel point determination and absolute molecular weight determination using light scattering) demonstrates the utility of this analysis for describing numerous distinct monomer classes and polymerization chemistries (e.g., ATRP and RAFT polymerization). The experimentally derived fitting parameter of this analysis, $\frac{[MVM]_{GP}}{[MVM]_{GP}}$, has been explored as a function of reactivity ratios, kinetics, steric, primary chain length, and concentration, providing useful insights regarding synthetic parameters. Last, through the realization of linear scaling trends, we demonstrate that gel point normalization is a promising tool to study the mechanical properties of CRP gels prepared through the copolymerization of VMs and MVMs.

### EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

**Materials.** 2-Cyano-2-propyl dodecyl trithiocarbonate (2-CPDT, Strem, >97%), HPLC grade N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, Alfa Aesar, >99.7%), CDCl$_3$ (Acros, >99.8%), and N,N-methylenbis(acrylamide) (MBAM, Sigma-Aldrich, 99%) were used as received. Vinyl monomers N,N-dimethylacrylamide (DMA, Sigma-Aldrich, 99%), N-(3-methoxypropyl)acrylamide (MPAM, Sigma-Aldrich, 98%), and 4-acyloxyacrylamide (MORPH, TCI, >98%) were filtered with basic alumina before use. Vinyl monomer N-isopropylacrylamide (NIPAM, Acros, stabilized >99%) was recrystallized from hexanes (Fisher, certified ACS >99.9%) and dried under vacuum. MVM 1,4-diacrylylpiperazine (PIPBAM, CarboSynth, >98.5%) was dissolved in 2,2′-Azobis(2-methylpropionitrile) (AIBN, Sigma, >98%) was recrystallized from...
Typical Synthesis of Branched Polymers. A typical procedure to synthesize a 5 M DMA-co-MBAM branched polymer targeting a MVM/CTA ratio of 1:1 and a VM/CTA ratio of 50 is as follows. Apart from VM and MVM selection and chosen molarity and DPPC, the procedure is identical for other VM and MVM combinations. DMA (495 μg, 10 mmol, 50 equiv), MBAM (64.75 μg, 0.42 mmol, 2.1 equiv), 2-CPDT (69.2 μg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv), and AIBN (33 μg, 0.02 mmol, 0.2 equiv) were added to an 8 mL scintillation vial equipped with a PTFE septa and diluted to a final solution volume of 1 mL with DMF. The reaction mixture was sparged with nitrogen gas for 60 min and heated for 24 h at 60 °C. Monomer conversion was determined by °H NMR spectroscopy and the Mw and dispersity were obtained by SEC-MALLS.

Synthesis of Covalent Gels. A typical procedure to synthesize a 5 M DMA-co-MBAM covalent gel targeting a MVM/CTA ratio of 2:1 and a VM/CTA ratio of 50 is as follows. DMA (990 μg, 10 mmol, 50 equiv), MBAM (64.75 μg, 0.42 mmol, 2.1 equiv), 2-CPDT (69.2 μg, 0.2 mmol, 1 equiv), and AIBN (33 μg, 0.02 mmol, 0.2 equiv) were added to an 8 mL scintillation vial equipped with a PTFE septa and diluted to a final solution volume of 2 mL with DMF. The reaction mixture was sparged with nitrogen gas for 10 min. Two overlapping glass microscope slides (Fisherbrand, Superfrost Plus) separated by 1 mm PDMS (McMaster-Carr, High-Temperature Silicone Rubber Sheets) were fixed to a hot plate and covered with a Pyrex crystallizing dish wrapped with Parafilm to create a seal. The environment was heated to 60 °C and purged with nitrogen gas for 60 min. The reaction mixture was transferred with a purged syringe and injected between the two glass slides under the crystallization dish and heated at 60 °C for 24 h. A representation of the setup is depicted in Figure S11. The reaction was brought to room temperature and the glass slides were removed from the crystallization dish. Upon removal of the glass slide, rheology samples were prepared using a 10 mm biopsy punch (Robbins Instruments, True-Cut Disposable Biopsy Punch). Monomer conversion was determined by swelling a section of gel in punch (Robbins Instruments, True-Cut Disposable Biopsy Punch). of a glass slide, rheology samples were prepared using a 10 mm biopsy glass slides were removed from the crystalization dish. Upon removal of the glass slide, rheology samples were prepared using a 10 mm biopsy punch (Robbins Instruments, True-Cut Disposable Biopsy Punch). Monomer conversion was determined by °H NMR spectroscopy and the Mw and dispersity were obtained in the ASTRA software package (Wyatt Technology Corporation) by batch injection of four samples of known concentrations into an Optilab T-rEX refractive index detector operating at 658 nm and a TREOS II light scattering detector (Wyatt Technology Corporation) operating at 659 nm. Detection consisted of an Optilab T-rEX (Wyatt Technology Corporation) refractive index detector operating at 658 nm and a TREOS II light scattering detector (Wyatt Technology Corporation) operating at 659 nm. The AUC of the rightmost peak (primary chain) from the baseline to its apex (1/2 of the peak) and multiplying this value by 2. The molar percentage of unincorporated primary chains is calculated by dividing the AUC of the rightmost peak (primary chain) from the baseline to its apex (1/2 of the peak) and multiplying this value by 2. The molar percentage of unincorporated primary chains is calculated by dividing the AUC of the rightmost peak (primary chain) from the baseline to its apex (1/2 of the peak) and multiplying this value by 2. The molar percentage of unincorporated primary chains is calculated by dividing the AUC of the rightmost peak (primary chain) from the baseline to its apex (1/2 of the peak) and multiplying this value by 2.
Hyperbranched Poly(Glycerol-Protein Conjugates). *Biomacromolecules* 2012, 13, 1161–1171.


