**Engineering biopharmaceutical formulations to improve diabetes management**
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Insulin was first isolated almost a century ago, yet commercial formulations of insulin and its analogs for hormone replacement therapy still fall short of appropriately mimicking endogenous glycemic control. Moreover, the controlled delivery of complementary hormones (such as amylin or glucagon) is complicated by instability of the pharmacologic agents and complexity of maintaining multiple infusions. In this review, we highlight the advantages and limitations of recent advances in drug formulation that improve protein stability and pharmacokinetics, prolong drug delivery, or enable alternative dosing forms for the management of diabetes. With controlled delivery, these formulations could improve closed-loop glycemic control.

**ENGINEERING OPPORTUNITIES TO IMPROVE DIABETES MANAGEMENT**

Over the past century, insulin replacement therapy has been imperative to saving lives and improving diabetes treatment outcomes. The administration of exogenous insulin prevents ketoacidosis, which was once a universally fatal condition. Although insulin has historically been the focus of diabetes management, metabolic signaling from the endocrine pancreas is more complex than solely glucose-mediated insulin secretion. In people without diabetes, insulin, amylin, and glucagon are metabolic hormones that work in concert to maintain glucose homeostasis (Fig. 1A) (1, 2). Insulin and amylin are co-secreted from β cells at a fixed ratio and with similar diurnal patterns, where up-regulation of both hormones occurs at meal-times (2). These two hormones act synergistically at mealtimes: Insulin promotes glucose uptake into the hepatic and peripheral tissues, whereas amylin acts centrally to slow gastric emptying and increase satiety to slow glucose release (1–4). In contrast, glucagon acts as an opposing force to insulin, mobilizing endogenous glucose production through glycogenolysis and gluconeogenesis to prevent hypoglycemia. Both insulin and amylin suppress glucagon secretion at mealtimes, when endogenous glucose production is unnecessary (2, 5).

In type 1 diabetes (T1D), an autoimmune response destroys the pancreatic β cells that produce and secrete insulin and amylin. Glucagon secretion by the α cells is not lost in T1D. In fact, glucagon becomes up-regulated at mealtimes in patients with T1D, compounding mealtimes glucose excursions arising due to the absence of paracrine insulin and amylin signaling (Fig. 1A) (1, 2, 6). As such, approaches to deliver insulin and amylin or their analogs have been developed. Clinical rapid-acting mealtime insulin, long-acting basal insulins, mealtim amylin, and rescue glucagon (used to counteract severe hypoglycemia where oral glucose intake is insufficient) have been developed to maintain glucose homeostasis. However, effective treatment with insulin alone is already highly burdensome and costly—requiring frequent glucose monitoring, mealtime insulin boluses, basal insulin delivery through infusion pumps or long-acting analogs, and routine carbohydrate counting—and still does not truly recapitulate the complexities of metabolic control in nondiabetic individuals (Fig. 1B) (7). The inclusion of additional therapeutics like amylin into routine treatment regimens has been shown to improve glucose management and better mimic endogenous metabolic control, but patient adoption has unfortunately remained low because of the increased patient burden associated with these treatments (8–10). Thus, innovation is needed to engineer the delivery of complementary therapeutics like amylin and glucagon for compatibility with existing treatment regimens, such as infusion pumps, to promote wider patient adoption.

Drug delivery strategies that reduce patient burden, increase patient compliance, and improve access to these critical drugs are crucial to change the diabetes treatment landscape. In this review, we highlight engineering approaches to develop formulations to address current challenges in diabetes management. We focus on how excipients, the “inactive” ingredients of drug formulations, are critical components that determine the pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, and stability of formulations (11, 12). Stabilizing excipients enable shelf-stable formulations of more rapid-acting insulins or liquid glucagon and improve the compatibility of biopharmaceuticals for co-delivery, which can be used in insulin pumps or closed-loop systems. Further, biomaterials can be used to facilitate strategies for sustained delivery to improve basal insulins, glucose-responsive materials for “smart” (i.e., autonomous) delivery systems, and alternative routes of administration. These active areas of research hold tremendous potential to reduce patient burden by decreasing needle use while improving diabetes management.

**STRATEGIES FOR INSULIN STABILITY**

Insulin stability is the foundation for innovative formulation design, especially for pump-compatible insulins, because of the requirement to remain stable over extended periods without refrigeration. Further, improved insulin stability that increases cold chain resilience could improve global access to insulin, especially in hot climates with limited access to refrigeration and temperature-controlled transport. In most formulations, the predominant insulin association state is
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Insulin monomers are most susceptible to aggregation because hydrophobic portions of the insulin chain that are usually protected in the dimeric or hexameric state become exposed after adsorption to the air-water interface and induce aggregation (17, 18). Although there are strategies to increase insulin stability through engineering insulin analogs, excipients that minimize the number of monomers in formulation, or which reduce nucleation events at the interface, can greatly improve formulation stability as well (17, 19).

Most commercially available insulins are injectable liquid formulations and must include toxicity agents (i.e., salts or sugars used to achieve isotonicity with the blood) and antimicrobial preservatives in addition to stabilizing agents (20, 21). In most formulations, zinc acts as the primary stabilizing agent, promoting the hexameter insulin hexamer aggregation state. In addition to their antimicrobial properties, the inclusion of phenol and/or meta-cresol also increases stability by forming hydrogen bonds between insulin dimers that promote the $R_h$ hexamer aggregation state (13, 22). Even the toxicity agents are chosen with stability in mind, whereby glycerol is the most commonly used toxicity agent and has been shown to increase stability of insulin formulations (23).

Surfactants have been used to displace insulin from interfaces and improve stability by reducing the number of insulin-insulin interactions, thus lowering the probability of a nucleation event (17, 20, 21). Insuman U400 (Sanofi Aventis) uses Poloxamer 171 to enable a stable concentrated formulation for use with implantable intraperitoneal pumps. Apidra (insulin glulisine, Sanofi Aventis) is a zinc-free formulation that makes use of polylsate 20 to improve formulation stability. Recent research has identified amphiphilic polyacrylamide-based copolymers as another strategy to displace insulin from interfaces and improve stability (24).

The stable hexamer, which is at equilibrium with a small number of insulin monomers (the biologically active form) and dimers (13, 14). The excipients in current insulin formulations have been carefully chosen to preserve the activity of insulin over the duration of its shelf life. Insulin formulation design is hindered by the propensity for insulin to self-aggregate and form amyloid fibrils, which are insoluble, inactive, and immunogenic (15, 16). Insulin aggregation arises at hydrophobic interfaces, such as the air-water or vial-water interfaces, where monomers partially unfold and expose hydrophobic regions that can nucleate amyloid fibril formation (Fig. 2A) (17, 18).

Insulin monomers are most susceptible to aggregation because hydrophobic portions of the insulin chain that are usually protected in the dimeric or hexameric state become exposed after adsorption to the air-water interface and induce aggregation (17, 18). Although there are strategies to increase insulin stability through engineering insulin analogs, excipients that minimize the number of monomers in formulation, or which reduce nucleation events at the interface, can greatly improve formulation stability as well (17, 19).
with the N-terminal phenylalanine on insulin, presents covalent conjugation that improves insulin stability but leaves the protein unmodified after almost immediate dissociation upon dilution in the body after injection (14, 25, 26). In addition to over 10-fold increased stability, noncovalent insulin modification with CB[7]-PEG demonstrates the ability to modulate pharmacokinetics through alteration of PEG length, increase protein solubility, and co-formulate otherwise incompatible proteins (25).

**INNOVATIONS IN PUMP-COMPATIBLE FORMULATIONS**

Presently, insulin infusion pumps allow for subcutaneous delivery of rapid-acting insulin without the requirement for multiple daily injections. A continuous basal infusion is provided throughout the day, and insulin boluses are used to compensate for carbohydrates consumed at mealtimes and to correct hyperglycemia. Formulations used in pumps must remain stable without refrigeration for days in conventional subcutaneous pumps and months in implanted intraperitoneal pumps.

Automated insulin delivery presents an opportunity to reduce patient burden and provide improved glucose management. Closed-loop systems use data from a continuous glucose monitor (CGM) to modulate delivery of continuously infused subcutaneous insulin using an algorithm. Current hybrid closed-loop devices require users to input carbohydrate quantities at mealtimes and are not ideal for managing glucose variation associated with changes in physiology state (such as illness and exercise). Effective fully autonomous closed-loop control is still elusive. Current attempts are limited by the delayed absorption and extended duration of action of subcutaneously delivered rapid-acting insulin formulations. Delayed insulin absorption kinetics mean that the algorithm cannot rapidly reduce glucose spikes and the extended duration of action can enhance the risks of hypoglycemia as a result of insulin stacking (i.e., the additive effects of residual insulin boluses given close together) (27, 28). Therefore, there is a need for formulation engineering that can better mimic endogenous insulin secretion and allow for more rapid response to changing glucose concentrations.

**Ultrafast-acting insulins**

The advent of insulin analogs (e.g., lispro, aspart, and glulisine) provided insulins with kinetics that can more closely mimic endogenous mealtime insulin secretion (12); however, there is still room for improvement. Insulin delivery for the treatment of diabetes remains burdensome, requiring careful attention to timing, dosing, and carbohydrate consumption by the patient. Endogenous insulin secretion from the healthy pancreas is directed immediately to the portal vein, whereas subcutaneous delivery of current rapid-acting insulin formulations results in a delayed onset of action of ~20 to 30 min, peak action at ~60 to 90 min, duration of action of ~3 to 4 hours, and tail out until 6 hours (12, 29). The delayed time to onset observed in current rapid-acting formulations is a result of the mixed association states of the insulin molecules in formulation and the kinetics of subcutaneous administration.

Ultrafast-acting insulins with more rapid onset and reduced duration of action would allow for more rapid adaptation to changing glucose concentrations in closed-loop systems. Traditional approaches have focused on amino acid modification of regular human insulin to more rapidly dissociate the stable insulin hexamer, whereas recent advances focused on increasing subcutaneous absorption rate and isolating the insulin monomer (14, 30–35). However, the balance between speed and stability remains a challenge, and the requirement for formulations to be shelf stable has thus far limited commercial advancements to only incremental increases in kinetics.
Excipients play a critical role in insulin association state, absorption, and stability. Niacinamide (vitamin B3), treprostinil (prostacyclin analog), sodium citrate, and hyaluronidase promote more rapid absorption of insulin after subcutaneous administration (30, 31, 36). Niacinamide increases insulin monomer content, both niacinamide and treprostinil act as vasodilators to improve insulin absorption, and hyaluronidase is an enzyme that acts by depolymerizing hyaluronan in the subcutaneous space to enable increased insulin dispersion and absorption (30, 31). Zinc-free formulations disrupt the insulin hexamer and skew insulin association states toward the insulin monomer. However, phenol and meta-cresol still promote hexamer formation, which ultimately results in similar pharmacokinetics between zinc-free glulisine formulations (Apidra, Sanofi Aventis) and other zinc-containing fast-acting insulin formulations (37). Citric acid has been used in combination with EDTA to mask insulin surface charges and prevent hexamer reassociation after the chelation of zinc ions (32–35). Most recently, the antimicrobial preservative phenoxyethanol has been identified as a potential substitute for meta-cresol and phenol that promotes monomers (70 to 80%) in zinc-free formulations with lispro or aspart (14, 24).

The caveat to a higher fraction of insulin monomers in formulation is that additional excipients are required to attain stable shelf life. In Fiasp (insulin aspart, Novo Nordisk), the higher monomeric content achieved with niacinamide is balanced with the addition of amino acid i-arginine to increase stability (31). BioChaperone Lispro (Adocia) combines oligosaccharides (BioChaperone) modified with anionic charges and amino acid moieties with insulin to promote both rapid absorption and increased stability. Fiasp shows modest improvements over first-generation insulin aspart (Novolog), with a reduction in time to peak action by only 10 min and a reduction in duration of action of about 15 min (38). Unfortunately, small differences in pharmacokinetics do not necessarily translate into improved clinical outcomes, as demonstrated in three different studies of Fiasp use in pumps and closed-loop systems (39–41). Initial clinical trial data from Adocia’s ultrarapid BioChaperone Lispro formulations suggest that this formulation will surpass the improved kinetics of Fiasp (42, 43). Most recently, clinical trials for Ultra Rapid Lispro (URLi, Eli Lilly) demonstrated that a combination of treprostinil and sodium citrate promotes rapid insulin absorption to reduce time to onset by 13 min and peak exposure by 12 min compared to Humalog (36). However, whether these improvements in pharmacokinetics will translate into improved clinical outcomes with current technology remains to be determined.

Recent work has also identified CB[7]-PEG and amphiphilic polyacrylamide–based copolymers as promising polymeric excipients to stabilize monomeric insulin (Fig. 2A) (14, 24). In particular, preclinical studies using amphiphilic polyacrylamide–based copolymers in combination with phenoxyethanol zinc-free lispro have been used to generate an ultrafast absorbing lispro (UFAL) formulation that is more than twice as stable to stressed aging (Fig. 2C) as commercial Humalog and displays absorption kinetics that are almost threefold faster than Humalog in diabetic pigs (24).

Insulin-pramlintide co-formulations

A true hormone replacement therapy for T1D would combine delivery of an insulin and amylin analog (pramlintide). Studies have shown that treatment with insulin and pramlintide at mealtimes results in improved glucose control compared to treatment with insulin alone, observed as a 0.3% decrease in hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) concentrations (8, 9). Pramlintide delivery is also critical to restoring postprandial glucagon suppression, which is not possible using subcutaneously delivered insulin alone (44, 45). Despite the improved glycemic outcomes, pramlintide therapy has not been widely adopted for clinical use because of the burdensome requirement for separate administrations of insulin and pramlintide and symptoms of nausea associated with higher dosages. Pramlintide is not stable at pH ~7, which is the typical pH of insulin formulations (46). Moreover, when delivered separately under current formulation conditions, pramlintide has disparate pharmacokinetics from rapid-acting insulin, which reduces their synergistic effects.

Co-formulations of insulin and pramlintide offer the possibility for a dual-hormone replacement therapy that more closely mimics hormone secretion from β cells. A pump-compatible co-formulation would enable adoption of pramlintide by pump users without the need to introduce mealtime injections. In closed-loop systems, the action of pramlintide to slow glucose appearance at mealtimes would permit algorithms and insulin additional time to react to mealtime glucose spikes. Initial pump and closed-loop studies delivering fixed ratios of insulin and pramlintide (from separate pumps) demonstrate improved glycemic control after meals (45, 47, 48). The stability of insulin and pramlintide in a single formulation is the foremost challenge to incorporating pramlintide into closed-loop control, yet a formulation with matched insulin and pramlintide pharmacokinetics has the potential to mimic endogenous secretion.

Initial exploratory work has shown that without additional excipients, insulin analogs and pramlintide can be stably co-formulated at pH 5, whereas the inclusion of stabilizing excipients can enable co-formulation at physiological pH (46, 49, 50). Commercial efforts at advancing an insulin-pramlintide co-formulation are ongoing, with phase 1/2 clinical trials of Adocia’s M1Pram underway (50). M1Pram stabilizes insulin and pramlintide together at physiological pH using Adocia’s BioChaperone oligosaccharide system. Recently, simultaneous supramolecular PEGylation of insulin and pramlintide with CB[7]-PEG was shown to enable a stable insulin-pramlintide co-formulation at pH 7 (46). Further, noncovalent PEGylation results in more similar diffusion rates between zinc-free lispro and pramlintide in the co-formulation, which translates to increased pharmacokinetic overlap and a commensurate improvement in postprandial glucagon suppression in diabetic pigs when compared to separate injections of lispro and pramlintide (Fig. 2D). These studies highlight the importance of appropriately tuning the pharmacokinetics of these two hormones to maximize their synergy in diabetes management.

Stable liquid glucagon formulations

Exogenous glucagon is often prescribed as a rescue drug for severe hypoglycemia with the inability to ingest carbohydrates (such as loss of consciousness). This rescue therapy is effective with adequate glycogen stores but remains burdensome because of the instability of glucagon. Glucagon is highly insoluble and unstable, requiring reconstitution from a lyophilized powder in a pH ~2 buffer immediately before administration (51). This formulation is extremely unstable and begins to form amyloid fibrils within hours of reconstitution. This burdensome delivery method adds stress in an emergency situation and can result in delayed treatment (51). Recent developments in stable liquid glucagon formulations include glucagon analogs, solvent changes, changes in formulation pH, and
excipients to improve solubility and reduce aggregation (52–57). This is critical not only for pumps but also to reduce patient burden during emergency glucagon administration. In 2019, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved the first stable liquid glucagon, GVoke, developed by Xeris Pharmaceuticals, which uses dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) as a solvent to reduce glucagon degradation. Initial clinical trials in subcutaneous infusion pumps demonstrated similar pharmacodynamics between the stable liquid glucagon and reconstituted glucagon, showing promise for translation to closed-loop systems (52). However, there is still room for improvement: Patients reported increased pain and erythema at the injection site in these initial pump studies, which may prevent the formulation’s use for chronic infusion (52).

Dual-hormone closed-loop systems that separately deliver insulin and glucagon have potential to improve glucose homeostasis by increasing the aggressiveness of the insulin controller due to the ability to treat hypoglycemia. Glucagon infusions could be used to prevent exercise-associated hypoglycemia, counteract overestimates in insulin delivery, and enable more aggressive insulin dosing at mealtimes (58). In clinical trials, these dual-hormone closed-loop systems have shown reduced incidences of hypoglycemia when used with reconstituted glucagon (58). However, pump-stable liquid glucagon formulations remain the biggest hurdle for the translation of dual-hormone closed-loop pumps. An ideal glucagon formulation for use in pumps would remain stable at 30°C for over 7 days.

SUSTAINED DELIVERY STRATEGIES
Extensive efforts have been taken to develop commercial formulations of insulin analogs with a range of pharmacokinetics that allow for effective coverage of mealtime and basal insulin requirements. Long-acting insulin analogs are intended to mimic physiological basal insulin secretion and control blood glucose during fasting periods (59). These formulations provide an alternative to continuous insulin infusion from a pump. Typically, long-acting insulin analogs, such as insulin detemir, detemir, and degludec, are absorbed more slowly with an onset effect in 1.5 to 2 hours that plateaus and remains relatively flat for the duration of action (59, 60). The duration of action varies for different analogs, with a duration of about 12 to 24 hours for insulin detemir, 24 hours for insulin glargine, and greater than 24 hours for insulin degludec (59). The ongoing goal of basal insulin analog delivery is the creation of formulations with a long-acting, peak-less kinetic profile. Advances that further reduce patient burden by combining mealtime and basal action, dynamically deliver insulin in response to glucose load, or more closely mimic natural hormone secretion to improve restoration of normal metabolic function would be valuable tools for improved glucose control.

New approaches to long-acting insulins
Long-acting insulin formulations in current clinical use achieve their prolonged action through a variety of mechanisms, including poorly soluble insulin-proamine [neutral protamine Hagedorn (NPH) insulin], pH-dependent precipitation (insulin glargine), and local albumin binding (insulin detemir). Recent approaches to the prolonged delivery of insulin analogs have aimed to achieve more stable pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profiles, extended duration of action, and reduced day-to-day variability (61). Approaches to long-acting insulins have included covalent and supramolecular PEGylation (25, 62), self-association or aggregation (63, 64), covalent modifications (65), hydrogels (66), and stimuli-responsive materials (67–70) (Fig. 3). Among these approaches, modification of insulin either covalently or noncovalently has shown to be effective in prolonging insulin circulation time owing to the increased hydrodynamic size of the protein after modification. Supramolecular PEGylation demonstrates an opportunity for both increased stability and extended duration of action after a fast-acting mealtime response (Fig. 3A). In diabetic mice, noncovalent PEGylation of insulin with CB[7]-PEG has shown similar time to onset of action compared to insulin alone, but the duration of insulin was tunable with increasing PEG length (25). This technology is now being exploited to develop next-generation supramolecular excipients that enable rapid-acting and long-acting insulin formulations.

Glucose-responsive, prolonged delivery systems are also of great interest in the drug delivery community (67, 71, 72). The ideal glucose-responsive delivery system would approximate an artificial pancreas system, initiating and suspending insulin delivery in response to glucose loads (72). There has been extensive research in developing these systems; however, there are several challenges that have limited advances (71, 72). Material responsiveness, in part due to diffusion-limited materials, poses a challenge because the lag between glucose detection and insulin release limits mealtime responsiveness and can increase risk of hypoglycemia (67). Similarly, most glucose detection moieties have cross-reactivity with fructose and other diols that have lower glycemic indices than glucose and can increase the risk of hypoglycemia from nonspecific insulin release (72). Progress has been made in addressing these challenges, and promising studies include work with glucose-responsive insulin analogs, charge-switchable polymeric complexes, and microneedles (68, 69, 73–75).

Glucose-responsive insulin analogs have demonstrated both long-acting and glucose-responsive behavior in diabetic mice (69, 73). Aliphatic phenylboronic acid (PBA)–modified insulin conjugates demonstrate rapid glucose depletion with a mealtime (oral glucose tolerance test) glucose response comparable to that of a healthy mouse and continued responsiveness over the course of three consecutive challenges (Fig. 3B) (69). The use of an albumin binding chain, similar to detemir, allows for prolonged circulation in the blood, and the direct contact with blood glucose allows for the rapid activation or deactivation of insulin action (69). Another promising approach uses a transdermal insulin patch bearing microneedles loaded with insulin in a glucose-responsive polymeric matrix made of PBA groups (68). This microneedle patch is small and discrete and exploits the faster absorption associated with intradermal delivery to rapidly release glucose. The patch rapidly responded to oral glucose tolerance tests in diabetic mice and pigs and demonstrated continued responsiveness for over 20 hours in diabetic pigs (68). These studies address the need for rapid insulin release in response to glucose stimuli, and the PBA insulin conjugate demonstrates reduced hypoglycemia compared to high doses of insulin alone; however, the lower limit glucose concentrations reported in both works are still unacceptably low for safety when translated to humans. To improve upon these technologies, a suspend threshold must be established closer to the euglycemic range to reduce the risk of hypoglycemia.

Hepato-preferential long-acting insulins
Current long-acting insulins have been effective at restoring basal insulin delivery, but the balance between hepatic and peripheral
insulin uptake remains disproportionate. After secretion from the healthy pancreas, insulin travels directly through the portal vein where about 40 to 80% of the insulin is absorbed into the liver, with the remainder circulating to the peripheral tissues (76, 77). However, when insulin is delivered subcutaneously to treat T1D, this biodistribution ratio is skewed toward the peripheral tissues, resulting in lower hepatic insulin delivery (78). The absence of hepatically delivered insulin results in decreased metabolic flexibility—the switch from glucose oxidation to fat oxidation overnight (61). Moreover, over-delivery of insulin to the peripheral tissues contributes to the risk of hypoglycemia, because the peripheral tissues lack the hepatic mechanisms to clear insulin during low glucose events (78). As such, efforts have been focused on the development and delivery of hepato-preferential insulin analogs to restore normal metabolic function.

Basal insulin PEGLispro (BIL) is a long-acting insulin analog where insulin lispro is covalently conjugated with a 20-kDa PEG polymer chain on the B28 lysine, making it substantially larger than native insulin or most insulin analogs. The large hydrodynamic radius of BIL results in a flat pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic profile with duration of action over 24 hours (Fig. 3C) (62, 78–80). BIL demonstrates low-day-to-day variability and provides dosing flexibility, with similar performance when delivered within an 8- to 40-hour window after the last dose (62). During clinical trials, reduced incidences of nocturnal hypoglycemia, lower HbA1c, and reduced glucose variability were observed in patients receiving BIL compared to insulin glargine (62). Importantly, BIL has preferential hepatic action compared to conventional insulin and improves metabolic flexibility by promoting greater lipid metabolism in the post-absorptive period than treatment with insulin glargine (61, 62, 78, 81). Ultimately, Eli Lilly discontinued the development of BIL after their phase 3 trials indicated that further study would be needed to better understand the potential effects of increased liver fat observed with BIL treatment compared with insulin glargine. Regardless, these studies demonstrated the importance of restoring hepatic insulin delivery to holistically enhancing diabetes management. Future research would need to elucidate the cause and effect of increased liver fat content and identify whether alternative delivery approaches could restore the hepatic insulin gradient without negative effects.

**Basal amylin formulations**

In addition to the need for basal insulin, patients with T1D could benefit from basal amylin replacement, which would more closely mimic the diurnal secretion patterns from the healthy pancreas. Unfortunately, the current kinetics of pramlintide (Symlin, AstraZeneca) only support mealtime boluses and are not suited for long-term delivery. A combination insulin-amylin basal formulation could be a powerful strategy to reduce insulin requirements and mediate glucagon-stimulated glycemic excursions for restored metabolic control. One approach to address this challenge was reported by Nascimento et al. (65), describing a novel N-terminal PEGylated human amylin analog, BZ043, and its potential to improve the control of glycemia using lower doses of insulin. The authors demonstrated that BZ043 exhibited a prolonged antihyperglycemic effect and, together with glargine, promoted long-lasting normoglycemia in diabetic rats. These studies indicated that combining BZ043 and glargine in a fixed-ratio co-formulation might conveniently improve diabetes management. There remains a great need for new technologies for delivery of basal amylin formulations with an emphasis on developing approaches to co-deliver insulin and amylin analogs. Future research could include the use of supramolecular excipients or hydrogels to achieve stable co-formulation and delivery of these therapeutic proteins.

**ALTERNATIVE DOSAGE FORMS TO REDUCE PATIENT BURDEN**

Alternative dosage forms present an opportunity to reduce patient burden by reducing or eliminating the injections required for
hormone replacement. These alternative delivery forms include oral, transdermal, and inhalable insulin delivery, which are less invasive than current insulin injections or infusion pumps. Advances in drug delivery have overcome the challenges associated with protein stability and permeability to enable these delivery routes; however, alternative dosage forms still suffer from limitations in dosing options for the precise control required by patients with T1D. Pre-defined doses and inconsistent bioactivity may limit the use of these therapies to applications in basal insulin delivery or insulin replacement in patients with type 2 diabetes (T2D) where less precision is necessary. Here, we discuss the advances in inhalable, oral, and transdermal delivery routes and the prospects of these routes for clinical application.

**Oral delivery**

Oral drug delivery is preferred by patients and has higher compliance rates because of ease of administration (82, 83). However, orally delivered insulin requires stabilization to survive exposure to enzymes and the acidic environment of the stomach (83, 84), and moving insulin past the intestinal epithelial barrier to enter the blood poses a notable challenge (84). Nanocarriers and enterically coated capsules—carrying either insulin itself or mucoadhesive intestinal patches—have been successfully used in preclinical animal models to stabilize insulin and can be used to deliver cargo to the small intestine but can generally only safely achieve low bioavailability (85–87). Recent advances have identified zwitterionic micelles that promote transcellular insulin transport through the intestinal epithelium as well as promising excipients such as ionic liquids (e.g., choline and geranate) and anionic nanoparticles (<100 nm) that can increase the permeabilization of epithelial tight junctions to enhance insulin absorption from the intestine (88–90). Anionic nanoparticles bind to surface receptors on the intestinal wall and temporarily mediate the opening of tight junctions (Fig. 4A). Initial biocompatibility studies have shown that these effects on permeability last about 4 hours, and high–molecular weight species (i.e., bacteria) remain unable to pass through the tight junctions (89).

Other studies take a mechanical approach to penetrate the epithelial barrier for insulin absorption, including the use of a self-actuating pill to inject either microneedles into the gastrointestinal lining or a millipore of compressed insulin into the gastric mucosa of the stomach (Fig. 4B) (91, 92). These strategies all show promise in their ability to overcome the barriers of stability and degradation and succeed in moving insulin out of the gastrointestinal tract and into the bloodstream with high bioavailability for protein therapeutics. They will, however, still face challenges of specific dosing and rapid pharmacokinetics, which may limit them to basal applications or use in treatment of T2D.

**Intradermal delivery**

Transdermal insulin delivery is another noninvasive alternative to subcutaneous insulin administration and requires penetrating the stratum corneum—the barrier of the skin that protects against pathogens and moisture loss. Typically, only small lipophilic drugs (<500 Da) are able to pass this layer; however, drug delivery strategies using hydrogels,ionic liquids, and microneedles have been successful in transporting insulin across this barrier to the dermis (93–99). Ionic liquids comprising choline and geranate have been shown to be synergistic permeation enhancers that interact with the lipid barrier of the stratum corneum to mediate the transport of insulin to the dermis (Fig. 4C) (93, 94, 100). Topically applied insulin was active and showed prolonged glucose lowering compared to subcutaneous insulin in healthy rats (93). A challenge of transdermal delivery lies in the poor insulin bioavailability, resulting in the need for high insulin doses and a large surface area for topical application. Similar to oral delivery, transdermal delivery approaches struggle with precise dosing and have prolonged duration of action, which may be better suited for basal delivery or treatment of T2D. Transdermal delivery has the potential to reduce the number of needles required but is not yet a viable solution to replace mealtime insulin injections.

Insulin microneedle patches have also been extensively explored for both traditional and glucose-responsive intradermal insulin delivery (68, 75, 97, 98, 101). Intradermal microneedles increase insulin absorption compared to subcutaneous administration. Beyond insulin, transdermal delivery of glucagon is also being developed. Recently, a glucose-responsive microneedle patch using glucose-sensitive microgels that release glucagon in response to hypoglycemia was reported (Fig. 4D) (99). In the microneedles, PBA moieties can cross-link with glucose, forming secondary cross-links that result in the contraction of the microgels in response to low glucose conditions and commensurate release of glucagon into the dermis (99). In rats, this microneedle patch prevented hypoglycemia for over 1.5 hours after injection of a high dose of insulin (99), demonstrating the potential for this patch to act as protective treatment against hypoglycemia. Although this system suffers from low non-specific cargo release characteristic of PBA-based glucose-responsive materials, unlike insulin delivery systems, low glucagon secretion does not pose a safety concern and is therefore less likely to hinder the translatability of this system.

Concern regarding the safety and long-term effects of microneedles for intradermal delivery has been raised, particularly in light of the requirement for routine use of these technologies for diabetes management (98, 102, 103). In particular, early design strategies for microneedles made from silicon or metal raised concerns about increased risk of infection and risk of needle fragments remaining in the skin (98, 102, 103). More recently, microneedle designs have shifted toward using dissolvable or degradable polymeric materials, which can improve device safety because any material deposited in the skin could be metabolized (103); however, further long-term study of the safety and effects of microneedle use is required before broad commercial translation.

**Inhaled insulin**

Inhaled insulin is the alternative dosage form that has seen the most success in translation to the clinic, but the challenges of this dosage form have resulted in limited commercial success. Some concern has been raised regarding the safety of pulmonary-delivered insulin including treatment-associated cough, insulin antibody production, cancer risk, and long-term consequences on lung function (84, 104). The first generation of inhaled insulins suffered from poor bioavailability, variable kinetics in patient populations, and required bulky inhalers for delivery (84). Exubera (Pfizer), the first FDA-approved inhaled insulin, was released in 2006 but was pulled from the market by 2007 because of poor sales (104). In 2014, inhaled insulin returned to the market with the launch of Afrezza (MannKind, Sanofi). Afrezza is composed of insulin adsorbed onto technosphere particles, which are self-assembled fumaryl diketopiperazine microparticles. These particles (2 to 2.5 μm in diameter)
made improvements with a smaller inhaled design, ultrarapid kinetics, and easier dosing options (104, 106). The inhaled form makes it incompatible with pump use or closed-loop systems. Further, the ultrafast kinetics can be too fast for mixed meals (i.e., balanced of carbohydrates, protein, and fat) and may not extend throughout the entire meal period.

## TRANSLATIONAL MODELS FOR FORMULATION ENGINEERING

Several diabetes animal models exist, including autoimmune models [nonobese diabetic (NOD) mice and BioBreeding (BB) rat], non–insulin-dependent diabetes models (Zucker Diabetic Fatty rats, Goto-Kakizaki, and Ossabaw pigs), and chemically induced insulin-deficient models (107). Individual rodent models have been reviewed in detail, and their use depends on the application and goal of the study (108). For formulation development, especially for insulin delivery, chemically induced diabetes presents the most straightforward option. Streptozotocin (STZ) and alloxan are both used to induce an insulin-deficient phenotype as the result of selective β cell destruction. STZ-induced type 1–like models of diabetes are well established in mice, rats, and pigs (107, 109).

Mouse models present challenges for pharmacokinetics experiments because the low blood volume in mice limits the number and volume of samples that can be taken. Moreover, mice often experience stress-related glucose spikes with injection that can skew blood glucose measurements at early time points. In contrast, rats can be trained to receive subcutaneous injections and will cooperate for blood collection with minimal stress. The larger blood volume of the rat also makes it possible to obtain high-resolution pharmacokinetic data.

A challenge faced by rodent models includes difficulty conducting a meal challenge, because rodents are nocturnal and graze on food constantly during their waking hours (108). Oral or intraperitoneal glucose tolerance tests can be used to simulate a meal challenge (107). Another challenge with rodent models is that size often necessitates dilution of formulations to facilitate accurate dosing. For insulin, dilution favors the monomeric and dimeric forms of the insulin instead of the insulin hexamer, which can mask differences in absorption kinetics between formulations. Further, rodents have loose
that increases the available surface area for more rapid intradermal absorption. Rodent model studies have shown consistent time to peak onset between rapid-acting insulin analogs and regular insulin, which is in contrast to human studies where rapid-acting insulin analogs can decrease time to onset by about half (110).

Pigs present an important translational model because they have skin that closely resembles human skin and thus exhibit many similarities with regard to pharmacokinetics of compounds delivered by subcutaneous administration (111). The larger size of the pigs also allows for the delivery of neat (undiluted) commercial insulin (100 U/mL), which is important to preserve the solution equilibrium of insulin multimers to accurately assess pharmacokinetics. Moreover, pigs can be fed discrete meals, which enables the researcher to probe the efficacy of formulations or treatments in improving meal-time glucose management. Overall, pigs represent a translational model that is most scalable to humans; however, insulin pharmacokinetics remain roughly twice as fast in pigs as those observed in humans.

**FUTURE DRUG DELIVERY OPPORTUNITIES IN DIABETES MANAGEMENT**

In normal physiology, insulin is released into the portal circulation along with carefully titrated quantities of amylin and glucagon. Current state-of-the-art commercial closed-loop technology uses interstitial glucose sensing and slow subcutaneous insulin delivery tied together with control strategies originally intended for industrial processes. Without technological breakthroughs, it is unlikely that these commercial systems can match the innate biological control systems that have been finely tuned by billions of years of evolution. Multiple converging technologies are required to overcome the limits of the insulin-only approach to glucose control for management of diabetes. Computational approaches to automated insulin delivery can refine current systems, but they rely on outside information or assumptions about individual behaviors. Formulation and excipient design to develop an insulin that reduces blood sugar instantaneously without residual hypoglycemic effect would allow for the simplest of control strategies. Combination therapies with amylin to slow and reduce glycemic excursions or glucagon to allow hypoglycemia rescue are possible but currently cumbersome with amylin to slow and reduce glycemic excursions or glucagon to allow hypoglycemia rescue are possible but currently cumbersome. Engineered polymeric excipients.

Formulations: and technologies, when used optimally, allow sufficient glycemic control to avoid more prominent microvascular complications. Unfortunately, these tools and their optimal use are a luxury afforded primarily to the socioeconomically privileged and those engaged in disease management (i.e., clinicians and diabetes technology developers). Using advances in formulation engineering and drug delivery technology to develop accessible and easy-to-use formulations and delivery platforms for diabetes management would improve outcomes and reduce burden for many patients. The development of glucose-responsive delivery strategies with increased safety profiles could be an attractive alternative to closed-loop control. Moreover, mealtime alternative dosage forms, like an oral insulin suited for prandial glucose coverage, could reduce patient burden and improve patient compliance. By fostering accessible technologies that allow for insulin delivery that more closely mimics endogenous delivery, people with diabetes could live safe from complications with much reduced effort.
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