Why National Action Plans on Business and Human Rights must integrate and prioritise gender equality and women’s human rights

Women shop owners threatened by relocation from a diamond mine, Zimbabwe, risk losing homes and livelihoods, making adequate compensation essential. [Photo credit: Malou Schueller, Progressio, Sept 2015]
Executive Summary

Violations of women’s and girls’ human rights caused by entrenched gender-based discrimination occur in every country in the world, cutting across economic, social, environmental, political and cultural spheres, from local to global levels. As such, business activities and operations, as well as the trade and investment policies that facilitate them, create heightened risks to women’s rights and impact upon women in gender-specific ways, whether as workers, community members or human rights defenders. Women living in developing countries who are poor are particularly at risk to adverse impacts of business activities. These risks and impacts are enhanced further still when gender intersects with other forms of identity-based discrimination, such as age, ethnicity, caste, sexual orientation, migrant and HIV and AIDS status.

Many states are currently in the process of, or planning to, draft National Action Plans (NAPs) for implementing the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs). This offers a critical opportunity for governments to fully integrate and prioritise gender equality and women’s rights to reflect the prevailing context of gender inequality, and implement their existing commitments under international human rights conventions. NAPs should serve as a framework to significantly strengthen policy coherence between state commitments on women’s rights, the business and human rights agenda, and the rapidly expanding focus on promoting the role of the private sector in development.

Vitally, the full integration and prioritisation of gender equality and women’s rights into NAPs would help ensure that companies as well as the wider trade and investment environment, go beyond ‘do no harm’ to proactively contribute towards the fulfillment of women’s human rights throughout their global supply chains. This requires strong regulatory frameworks, combined with gender sensitive human rights due diligence, and steps to ensure the increased barriers women face in accessing justice are addressed.

This opportunity should not be missed. Not only is urgent further action needed to end women’s rights violations linked to corporate practices, but businesses are demanding specific, coherent and clear regulatory frameworks and guidelines from governments on their corporate responsibility to respect human rights in order to ensure a more level playing field.

This paper by the GADN’s Women’s Economic Justice Group (WEJ), endorsed by the CORE Coalition, details how women are particularly at risk of corporate related human rights violations and face additional barriers to accessing remedy. It offers recommendations to states that are committed to ensure their NAPs deal with the everyday inequality of gender discrimination in order to help urgently redress these issues.

For our key recommendations, please turn to page 15.
Why National Action Plans on Business and Human Rights must integrate and prioritise gender equality and women’s human rights

International commitments on women’s rights
The UNGPs are grounded in recognition of States’ existing obligations to respect, protect and fulfil human rights and fundamental freedoms. This includes legally binding commitments to end discrimination against women and promote gender equality, for instance, as set out in the International Bill of Human Rights,\(^1\) the Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action,\(^2\) and various regional commitments.\(^3\)

Of particular relevance to the business and human rights agenda are CEDAW Articles 11 and 14. Article 11 requires States to take all appropriate measures to eliminate discrimination against women in the field of employment in order to ensure their rights are fulfilled. These include, amongst others, the right to work; the right to equal employment and promotional opportunities; job security and all benefits and conditions of service; the right to equal remuneration, and the right to protection of health and to safety in working conditions, including the safeguarding of reproductive health and rights.

Article 14 is especially relevant for land-intensive industries, as it requires States to take into account the particular problems faced by rural women and the significant roles they play in the economic survival of their families. This includes, amongst other areas, equal treatment of women in land and agrarian reform as well as in land resettlement schemes.

Strengthening policy coherence
While many states have made strong commitments to uphold women’s rights, as outlined above, these commitments are not being translated into the business and human rights agenda. Of the limited number of National Action Plans\(^4\) published to date, all lack a strong gendered analysis of the specific human rights risks and impacts for women\(^5\) - be they workers, community members or human rights defenders - arising from business activities, or the specific measures they will put in place to address them. Gender is either barely mentioned, or else is featured as one of several bases for discrimination, with ‘women’ appearing on a long list of ‘marginalised groups’ – despite the fact that they constitute half the world’s population - who may be more at risk of being impacted negatively by business activity. Such a framing also does not take account of the overlapping forms of discrimination women face based both on their gender and other aspects of their identity.
Where women’s rights are mentioned, it tends to be in relation to women’s economic empowerment or their right to work, but without reference to the specific expectations governments have of business, or measures States will undertake to ensure that women’s increased labor market participation does not amount to increased levels of exploitation and abuse.

One exception to this to date is Lithuania’s National Action Plan. This puts particular emphasis on promoting gender equality and equal employment and reducing the gender pay gap, while introducing specific research, training and human rights education initiatives to promote non-discrimination and equality between men and women.5

The implementation of the UNGPs is now more urgent than ever given the growing emphasis on the role of the private sector in development. For example, Goal 17 of the newly agreed Sustainable Development Goals7 calls for a global partnership between UN member states and the corporate sector toward their implementation. It urges for trillions of dollars of private resources to be mobilised and channeled through long-term investments, including foreign direct investment, into critical sectors, especially in developing countries.8

In such a context, ensuring that States protect and businesses respect human rights - including women’s human rights - and that there is accountability where rights violations occur, becomes all the more crucial.9

Countries in the process of preparing their NAPs should therefore use these as an opportunity to strengthen policy coherence between their existing international women’s rights commitments, and policies and agreements related to trade and the private sector, by integrating and prioritising gender equality and women’s human rights within their national plans. This would help ensure that companies with global supply chains, as well as wider trade and investment policies, go beyond ‘do no harm’ to actively contribute towards the protection, promotion and fulfilment of women’s human rights.
The impacts of business on women’s human rights

The UNGPs\textsuperscript{10} clearly call for ‘particular attention to the rights and needs of, as well as the challenges faced by, individuals from groups or populations that may be at heightened risk...with due regard to the different risks that may be faced by women and men’.

State guidance to business enterprises on respecting human rights should ‘indicate expected outcomes and help share best practices. It should advise on appropriate methods, including human rights due diligence, and how to consider effectively issues of gender, vulnerability and/or marginalisation, recognising the specific challenges that may be faced by indigenous peoples [and] women’, amongst others.\textsuperscript{11}

In relation to conflict-affected areas, the UNGPs also expect states to provide ‘adequate assistance to business enterprises to assess and address the heightened risk of abuses, paying special attention to both gender-based and sexual violence’.\textsuperscript{12} Companies should also use gender-disaggregated data to track the effectiveness of their response to adverse human rights impacts.\textsuperscript{13}

**Pillars 1 and 2: Protect and Respect**

The UNGPs set out the obligations of states under existing international human rights laws and frameworks to protect against human rights violations by non-state actors, including companies (Pillar 1). This requires taking appropriate steps to prevent, investigate, punish and redress such abuse through effective policies, legislation and adjudication. However, many countries have laws and policies that discriminate against women, or fail to implement regulations due to lack of political will. For example, out of 173 economies, 90\% have at least one law restricting economic equality for women,\textsuperscript{14} while women remain vastly under-represented in government, corporate and societal decision-making, often rendering their specific issues, concerns and perspectives invisible. This then shapes the context in which business practices are structured.\textsuperscript{15}

The responsibility of business to respect human rights (Pillar 2) is partly fulfilled by companies adhering to existing, relevant national laws. It also rests on the expectations society has of business, whereby a company operating with respect for human rights may derive a “social license to operate”. The business responsibility has two aspects to it:
companies should avoid causing or contributing to human rights infringements; and they should exercise “due diligence” in order to anticipate, avoid or mitigate the adverse human rights impacts of their activities, including throughout company supply chains and business relationships overseas.

However, because gender discrimination is so universally entrenched - rendering it largely invisible - there is a high risk that such issues will not be identified unless explicitly addressed by government and businesses, including in corporate due diligence processes, meaning women’s rights will continue to be violated.

Business activities impact a range of human rights, all of which have gender-specific risks and impacts. This includes the right to decent work\textsuperscript{16} and non-discrimination, safety and security of person, the right to food and an adequate standard of living, health and life, the right to development, a clean environment, as well as civil and political rights - especially for women human rights defenders. Again, these gendered risks and impacts can be further exacerbated where women face additional forms of discrimination based on other aspects of their identity.

While gender and women’s rights considerations should be taken into account in every sector and context, they take particular priority in:

- sectors and global supply chains in which large numbers of women are employed, such as apparel, electronics, tourism, health and social care, including domestic work, agriculture and fresh cut flowers;
- industries that deeply affect the local economy, environment and access to land and livelihoods, such as mining and extractive companies;
- conflict affected or fragile states, where women’s rights are at increased risk.

Drawing on the first two of these contexts, we explore some of these issues in more detail below. In all of these cases, as well as ‘doing no harm’, business can play a powerful role in promoting women’s economic empowerment within the labour market, protecting and promoting their voice, agency and participation in decision-making, and explicitly challenging gender discrimination.\textsuperscript{17}
Global supply chains and women’s right to decent work

While the expansion of global trade has created opportunities for women in developing countries in export-orientated supply chains, these women are particularly exposed to infringements of their human rights. Discriminatory social norms ascribing what is deemed appropriate work for women and the lesser value placed on this work, means they are over-represented in these typically low paid, precarious jobs, in which they frequently endure poor working conditions, limited social protection and exposure to violence, with little access to redress. International trade and investment agreements that promote the deregulation of labour markets, particularly in export processing zones, coupled with limited political will and resources for enforcing labour standards, are leading to an erosion of labour rights in many contexts, with women particularly at risk.

- **Women make up approximately 80% of the world’s garment workers** and account for most of the work force in export processing zones (EPZs). The young, poorly educated and migrant status of many of these women intersect with their gender to place them at even greater risk of exploitation.

- Despite 171 countries having ratified the ILO Equal Remuneration Convention of 1951, **women still are paid 10%-30% less than men for work of equal value**, while the global gender gaps in wages and labour market participation are worth some US$17 trillion every year.

- Long hours, cramped working conditions, exposure to toxic chemicals, poor building safety, denial of leave to attend ante-natal appointments, and low wages that inhibit women’s ability to pay for medical treatment and sufficient nutritious food, **impact upon their right to physical and mental health, including sexual and reproductive health**. For example, mass faintings have been documented among garment workers in Cambodia, along with high levels of miscarriage. Short term contracts leave pregnant women at risk of being dismissed, which means demand for abortions is reportedly high. Women working in export factories in Central America and the Caribbean have been subjected to forced pregnancy tests, and even forced sterilisation and contraception.

- **Demand for cheap and flexible labour along with rapidly fluctuating demand and ‘just in time’ production models are leading to a proliferation of outsourcing to smaller informal factories and homeworkers**, where conditions and enjoyment of rights, as well as access to remedy, may be even more negligible.
• According to the ILO, more than half of the people in forced labour are women and girls - primarily in commercial sexual exploitation and domestic work, but also in textiles and garment supply chains.

• Women are exposed to high levels of violence, including physical, sexual and psychological abuse. This may be perpetrated by supervisors, colleagues, state or private actors, as well as while journeying to and from work, in staff accommodation or in slum areas in which women are forced to live because of low pay. According to the ITUC, up to half of women experience unwanted sexual advances or harassment at work.

• Women’s disproportionate unpaid care responsibilities, as well as gaps in social protection, such as paid parental leave and early childcare, create major barriers to women’s right to decent work. Investment incentives offered to companies, along with corporate tax avoidance, erode developing countries’ domestic resource base that is needed to fund public services and social protection that could promote women’s access to decent work.

• Women workers’ freedom to collectively organise to defend their rights is also undermined by social norms denigrating women’s voice and limiting their societal participation, including unpaid care responsibilities, as well as the threat of violence. Trade and investment agreements, including in EPZs, can also place limits on workers’ rights to form or join trade unions and to engage in collective bargaining.

Land-intensive investments

The commodification of land for private investment and the increased focus on the extractive sector for economic growth in many developing countries has profound impacts on women’s human rights. The expansion of extractive industries and large-scale commercial agriculture frequently result in physical and economic displacement of rural and indigenous communities from their farm land, undermining women’s rights to food, health, development and a clean environment, amongst others.

A study of 73,000 concessions in eight tropical forested countries, for example, shows that more than 93% of land handed over by governments to the private sector for mining, logging, oil and gas drilling or large-scale agriculture were found to include land inhabited by indigenous peoples and local communities. The impacts of these operations are not gender neutral. Women make up 70-80% of the world’s small scale farmers, most African
economies, for example, are agriculture-based and women smallholder farmers produce about 70% of food crops in developing countries. Land and the crops grown on this land are critical to their survival. As a result of women’s gender roles and the denial of their formal land titles in many contexts, women bear a disproportionate share of the social, economic, and environmental risks and costs when land is lost.

There is also a significant negative correlation between countries that are dependent on extractives and gender inequality, particularly in terms of women’s employment and leadership positions. This is likely to result from the extractive sector being susceptible to high capital intensity, male domination, rent seeking and a declining share of manufacturing in national income.

Gendered impacts include:

- **Women are most affected when land or water is polluted or their access to it is lost**, as they are mostly responsible for subsistence activities and providing and caring for their families and communities. This impacts negatively on women’s food security, health and income.

- **Women are rarely able to fully and meaningfully participate when access to land, compensation, or benefits are being negotiated** prior to and during extractive and other infrastructure projects, meaning their priorities are rarely addressed. In many contexts, women will not speak publicly in the presence of men, and compensation and other payments are often directed to men on behalf of their families.

- **Access to information is essential for participation** in dialogue, but information is rarely translated into indigenous languages. This has a disproportionate impact on women from such groups, who have lower levels of educational attainment than men due to discrimination in access to education.

- **Many of the benefits of extractive dependent economies seem to accrue to men.** For example, in high extractive dependent countries, the average unemployment rate for women is 15% and 8% for men, while the ratio between unemployed female to male with tertiary education is 4 to 1. In low extractive dependent countries, the unemployment rates are 8% for women and 7% for men, and the ratio between unemployed female to male with tertiary education is 1.3 to 1. Generally it is mainly men who benefit from formal employment in the extractive sector.
• **Mining in Africa has a non-reversible, negative effect on women's labour market participation.** Many women shift from agriculture into the service sector linked to mining operations, but the jobs created are not equal in number to those lost.\(^{39}\)

• **Extractives projects can lead to increased levels of sexual violence against women** due to the militarisation that can accompany such activities, along with an influx of male migrant workers. This can include rape, including of women mine workers, and forced prostitution.\(^{40}\) Men who previously worked the land can be left with no choice but to seek work elsewhere, eroding community and livelihood structures and leaving women in a more vulnerable position.

• **In agricultural supply chains, women tend to be in the worst paid and most insecure jobs,** if they get jobs at all. For example, a recent analysis of 24 large-scale agricultural investments, which is the model being promoted by the G8 New Alliance for Food Security and Nutrition,\(^{41}\) found a gender bias in employment in all investments studied: women constituted only 1.5% of outgrowers and a mere third of agricultural employees.\(^{42}\)

  The points above are confirmed by a World Bank study, which finds that the oil and gas industry exacerbates existing gender inequalities, as women bear the brunt of the risks while men largely capture any local benefits.\(^{43}\) A major grievance expressed by women was barriers to economic opportunities. The research concludes that many of the perceived gendered inequalities of opportunity and risk would be less profound if they were counteracted by efforts to promote women’s economic empowerment. But this will only happen with careful, gender-sensitive policies and planning that ensures women's voices are heard.

  The human rights risks posed to women by business activities and operations are magnified in situations of crisis or fragility, particularly with respect to extractive industries. Such contexts can disproportionately impact on women’s safety and wellbeing due to the displacement of communities, a breakdown in the rule of law, or the presence of authoritarian, highly militarised governments.

**Pillar III: Access to Remedy**

The UN Guiding Principles set out the responsibility of States and business to provide access to effective remedy (Pillar Three). According to the Principles: ‘states should take appropriate steps to ensure the effectiveness of domestic judicial mechanisms’;\(^{44}\) ‘provide
effective and appropriate non-judicial grievance mechanisms’; and finally, ‘should consider ways to facilitate access to effective non-State grievance mechanisms for business related human rights abuses.’

Redress can range from an apology and guarantees that the abuse will not happen again, through to financial compensation and commitments to carry out environmental clean-ups. Ideally, affected workers and communities would be able to access remedy within their home countries. However, all too often, this is not the case and barriers to accessing justice remain substantial, whether at home or in the countries where corporations are based. For instance, the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the associated complaints mechanism (the main non-judicial mechanism used by OECD countries) has been heavily criticised for failing to provide effective access to remedy.

**Challenges for women in accessing justice**

The marginalisation of women stemming from deeply entrenched gender-based power asymmetries means that their barriers to accessing justice are further augmented. This is particularly the case for women living in the global South, whether workers, members of affected communities, or human rights defenders.

Gender specific barriers faced by women seeking remedy include:

- **Gender norms denigrating women’s leadership and agency, along with their disproportionate unpaid care responsibilities, undermine women’s voice, time and freedom of movement to participate in remedial processes.** Women’s exclusion from such processes can also give rise to a lack of trust between them and all other parties involved, leading to additional barriers and further social conflict.

- **Gender discrimination in access to education means women have lower levels of literacy and awareness of rights, laws and mechanisms for redress, whether judicial or non-judicial, including how to access them.**

- **A lack of gender sensitivity within judicial, non-judicial and corporate based grievance mechanisms, and among the state or corporate actors overseeing them, mean that women’s specific immediate and longer-term concerns and claims are often overlooked.**

- **Financial and livelihood costs of participating in consultations or grievance processes, and lack of legal aid, can be particularly prohibitive for women given their economic marginalisation and care roles.**
• Women within marginalised groups can encounter additional legal and structural barriers in accessing judicial mechanisms, such as migrant women, displaced or indigenous women. For instance, indigenous women frequently only speak their native language.

• Non-existent or insecure work contracts can mean that women who pursue redress put their jobs and livelihoods at risk.

• Threats of gender-based violence perpetrated by business or state actors, as well as the shame and stigma associated with sexual violence, can deter women from seeking redress, and see them blamed and punished when they do. For instance, a study in Mexico found that of the 46% of women in the formal economy reportedly suffering sexual harassment, around a quarter were dismissed and 40% were forced to leave their jobs.51

• Remedial packages arrived at through company-based grievance mechanisms sometimes contain an agreement that a claimant will not pursue or participate in any legal action against the company.52 Such waivers bring to question the criteria of equitability and predictability,53 'by rendering the victim's right to redress in the form of a civil judicial action something which a more powerful party can negotiate away.'54 This power imbalance is particularly noticeable in cases of gender-based violence.

Women human rights defenders
Women can face violence, harassment and detention at the hands of state and corporate actors when they stand up to, and seek accountability and remediation for, human rights violations linked to corporate activities or state-supported expansion of land-intensive industries. Women human rights defenders are targeted both because they are women and because they are standing up to powerful actors.55 The ways in which they are targeted are often gendered in both their nature and in their impacts. For instance the use of sexual violence has repercussions for women's immediate, short and long term physical, sexual and psychological health and well-being.56 It is vital that governments support and implement coordinated protection mechanisms, in line with the UN Resolution on Women Human Rights Defenders and the EU Guidelines on Human Rights Defenders,57 to ensure women facing such threats are safeguarded and supported to access redress.
**Conclusion: Going beyond ‘doing no harm’**

Women are disproportionately impacted in many ways by business activity, reflecting and reinforcing the wider, multiple discriminations they face based on their gender. However, the business and human rights agenda to date has largely failed to take this into account.

Therefore the preparation of National Action Plans presents governments across the world with an important opportunity to redress this failure by integrating and prioritising women’s rights and gender equality. Greater policy coherence across these two areas is critical given the increasing emphasis that is being placed on the private sector in delivering development outcomes, including for women. For inclusive and sustainable development cannot be achieved if half the world’s population continue to have their rights systematically violated.

To this end, national action plans can provide a framework for States and businesses to go beyond ‘do no harm’ and instigate positive measures to proactively promote women’s human rights and help redress gender inequality in the economic sphere. For instance, at least 12 countries have introduced mandatory minimal quotas for women on boards, in line with General Recommendation No. 28 under Article 2 of CEDAW. This highlights obligations not to cause discrimination against women through acts or omissions, and to react actively to discrimination against women, whether carried out by the State or private actors.

Voluntary approaches have a role to play in enabling progressive companies to lead the way. However, in order to ensure a level playing field between all companies and to effectively tackle the issue of gender inequality, standards are required.

Ultimately, any approach to tackling the issue needs to be underpinned by clear regulatory frameworks that are aligned with the governments’ international legal obligations to protect women’s human rights, including from the harmful activities of corporations, and to ensure their access to remedy.
Gender-sensitive human rights due diligence – what would it look like?

Gender sensitive human rights due diligence would be based upon an explicit recognition of the unequal power relations between women and men, whether as workers, community members or human rights defenders, and an understanding of the ways in which these are institutionalised and normalised within social, economic, political and cultural practices and institutions. Gender sensitive due diligence should identify, mitigate and address all forms of gender-based discrimination along with other gender specific human risks and impacts.

This would include:

- Conducting **human rights and gender impact assessments** of existing and planned operations and/or supply chains, taking account of institutionalised forms of gender inequalities (such as the gender pay gap for women workers) and, in the case of land investments, ensuring access to land and water resources for women without discrimination.61

- **Developing and implementing detailed gender-sensitive policies, and management and operational plans** in order to mitigate and address the negative social and economic impacts identified.

- **Ensuring women’s ongoing full, equal and meaningful participation** - including local women’s organisations so that their specific concerns and priorities are taken into account, and - in the case of land-related developments - that their **free, prior and informed consent** is obtained before operations begin.62

- **Facilitating separate spaces for women, including distinct spaces for women from marginalised groups**, and providing access to information and independent technical advice, especially where there is a legal duty to do so.63

- **Transparent reporting undertaken against gender sensitive indicators.**

- **Ensuring women benefit equitably in compensation payments or other forms of restitution**, including fair, adequate compensation to women as prior users of acquired land.64

- **Carrying out due diligence prior to resettlement of communities** to ensure that displacement is unavoidable. If it is unavoidable, ensuring that gender considerations are cross-cutting and explicit throughout the process, with women fully and meaningfully involved at all stages. Resettlement should allow women to continue their livelihoods which are often centred in the domestic domain and frequently overlooked.
Recommendations for the Development of Gender-Sensitive National Action Plans on Business and Human Rights

Governments have an important role to play in protecting and promoting women’s human rights in relation to the activities of companies listed, registered or operating in their countries, including guarding against potential harmful impacts of trade and investment deals and policies. In this regard, the GADN Women’s Economic Justice Group calls on Governments to consider the following recommendations when developing their national action plans:

**The State Duty to Protect**

In upholding their duty to protect human rights governments should:

- **Cite CEDAW or the Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action as key human rights frameworks in the NAP’s introductory section**, in order to ground NAPs in an explicit recognition of the heightened, gender-specific risks and impacts for women’s human rights from business activities, whether as workers, community members or human rights defenders. This should include recognition of how these risks intersect with other forms of identity-based discrimination.

- **Guide and encourage all large and listed companies to undertake gender-sensitive human rights due diligence on global supply chains** and to take steps to identify, prevent and mitigate rights violations affecting women, including how access to remedy has been ensured. For EU member states, the transposition of the EU Non-Financial Reporting Directive presents a particular opportunity in this regard.

- **Ensure company reports are available in the public domain, with accessibility for affected women workers and/or community members**. In cases of resettlement and land loss, this should include **reporting on the development outcomes of meaningful participation of women at all stages and fair, gender equitable compensation**. Reports should include gender disaggregated data and steps companies are taking to mitigate and redress rights infringements.

- **Require that businesses in receipt of public funds**, including financing and investment facilities supported by government departments and export credit agencies, banks and pension funds, or involved in government procurement, **undertake mandatory gender sensitive human rights due diligence**. Such companies should also be required to demonstrate how they will positively contribute to the
fulfilment of women’s human rights, including the creation of decent jobs for women, and, where relevant, and restitution of their livelihoods/income where they are lost as a result of company activities in land intensive sectors, as a condition of funding. In addition, NAPs should include a provision for all companies to report on their human rights due diligence with a specific gender focus.

• Include mandatory provisions for gender-sensitive benefit-sharing with affected communities in national mining legislation and Business and Human Rights Action Plans.

• Invest the revenues from oil, gas and minerals in closing the gender gap which these sectors have contributed to creating in the first place, particularly in relation to employment, livelihoods, and women’s political leadership.

• G7 states should take a gendered approach to the implementation of their commitments on global supply chains, which reaffirms the important role for G7 countries in promoting labour rights and decent work, including by increasing transparency and identification and prevention of human rights risks, and strengthening redress mechanisms, in line with the UNGPs.

• Provide the requisite technical and financial support to the ILO and labour ministries and institutions in developing countries to support their enforcement of labour laws and standards, with an emphasis on promoting women’s right to decent work and eradicating all forms of gender-based discrimination. Support the proposed ILO Convention on gender-based violence in the workplace.

• Ensure all government funded programming to support the implementation of UNGPs takes a gendered approach and addresses women’s rights. Where relevant, this should include applying and reporting against the OECD Gender Equality Policy Marker and forthcoming OECD DAC (Development Assistance Committee) Violence against Women and Girls (VAWG) code.

• Ensure that agreements facilitating overseas investment incorporate the business responsibility to respect human rights, including gender-sensitive human rights due diligence, and do not undermine the host country’s ability to meet its international human rights obligations by, for instance, weakening environmental and social regulations imposed on foreign investors.

• Introduce a mandatory minimum quota of 30% for women on boards of FTSE 350 companies and as executive directors.
• Design a **gender-sensitive, time-bound monitoring framework for the implementation of NAPs.** Progress towards set outcomes should be regularly assessed by cross ministerial steering groups, ensuring gender issues are addressed.

• **Constructively engage with** the ongoing work of the open-ended intergovernmental working group to **work towards developing a Binding Treaty on Business and Human Rights,** which should guarantee and ensure that women’s human rights are fulfilled.

**The Corporate Responsibility to Protect**

In promoting the corporate responsibility to respect human rights, governments should:

• **Increase sensitisation for companies** on the particular risks to women workers, farmers, community members and human rights defenders, particularly women from marginalised groups and in contexts of conflict and fragility

• **Work with companies, trade unions and civil society to develop sector-specific guidance on human rights risks and impacts for women,** and on undertaking **gender-sensitive human rights due diligence** (see text box above).

• **Support** sector-wide initiatives on the payment of living wages in global supply chains, along with upholding respect for collective bargaining rights, as a means to maximise collective corporate leverage in mitigating and redressing rights infringements in this area, including the revision of purchasing models to reduce pressure on wages and reverse the trend towards informalisation of supply chains.70

• **Companies should demonstrate gender-sensitive human rights due diligence as a condition for any state investment or support, including for export activities and overseas development.** A key component of this should be an analysis of their impact on women’s rights which should be included in social and environmental impact studies and reported on under the human rights section of the Companies Act.
Access to Remedy

In promoting access to effective remedy for women impacted by the activities of businesses operating in developing countries, governments should:

- **Explicitly recognise the barriers women face in accessing remedy in their action plans**

- **Urgently review and redress the barriers to accessing justice in both home and host country courts. Provide adequate legal, technical and financial resources to ensure women’s access to effective judicial and non-judicial mechanisms; carry out civil and criminal investigations of companies where human rights allegations have been made, sanctioning them where appropriate.**

- **Strengthen mechanisms to support and protect women human rights defenders; ensure companies respect and promote the rights of women workers’ to organise and engage in collective bargaining; promote the protection of civil society space for all.**

- **Ensure that state-based judicial and non-judicial mechanisms – notably National Contact Points (NCPs) for the OECD Guidelines on Multinational Corporations - disaggregate and highlight cases that concern violations of women's rights. As many impacts on women will not be reported, NCPs and other State based remedial mechanisms should aim to make gendered impacts a cross-cutting issue when investigating and dealing with cases.**

- **Encourage and guide companies to review their existing grievance procedures to ensure they are gender-sensitive,** fair, transparent, understandable, well-publicised and accessible by all.

In addition to the above recommendations, NAPs should clearly outline what the consequences for business may be if they fail to comply.
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ENDNOTES

1 This includes the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, and the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights.
2 See http://www.un.org/womenwatch/daw/beijing/platform/
3 These include the Protocol to the African Charter on Human and Peoples’ Rights on the Rights of Women in Africa (Maputo Protocol), the Council of Europe Convention on Preventing and Combating Violence Against Women and Domestic Violence (Istanbul Convention), and the Inter-American Convention on the Prevention, Punishment and Eradication of Violence Against Women (Convention of Belém Do Pará). In its new framework for Gender Equality and Women’s Empowerment ’Transforming the Lives of Girls and Women through EU External Relations (2016-2020)‘, the EU has also made specific commitments to women’s economic and social empowerment (adopted 21st September 2015).
4 Only 7 National Action Plans have been published to date, while 27 are in progress (see http://business-humanrights.org/en/un-guiding-principles/implementation-tools-examples/implementation-by-governments/by-type-of-initiative/national-action-plans/)
5 We acknowledge that men also face specific gender-related issues. However, since the overall statistics for women in the areas highlighted in this paper are worse than for men, we will focus exclusively on women here.
7 See http://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/globalpartnerships/
9 In a letter submitted to the lead negotiators of the Post-2015 Sustainable Development Agenda, the UN Working Group on Business and Human Rights stresses the importance of coupling a recognition of the increased role of the private sector with adequate accountability mechanisms and agreed standards, in recognition of the danger that business activities can pose to human rights if not properly regulated and a lack of private sector-related human rights commitments in the SDGs.
11 Ibid., Principle 3 Commentary
12 Ibid., Principle 7 (b)
13 Ibid., Principle 20 Commentary
16 For the purpose of this briefing, we draw upon the ILO definition of decent work which covers promoting job creation and sustainable livelihoods; guaranteeing rights at work; extending social protection; and promoting social dialogue. See: http://www.ilo.org/global/about-the-ilo/decent-work-agenda/lang--en/index.htm
17 Progressive businesses must balance endorsing gender equality in their engagement with communities and respecting a community’s cultural and traditional standards, which may be inconsistent with the company’s gender standards. However, it should be noted that the African Women’s Charter for example, makes it clear that tradition and culture may not impair or be used to impair women’s human rights (see Articles 2(2) and 21(1) of the African Women’s Charter). Businesses are therefore in the position to change, over time, a society’s gender norms and standards – an opportunity not to be missed.
26 Ibid.
27 Rachel Noble, ActionAidUK (2014) In-country research, Cambodia (unpublished)
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Additional impacts are discussed under the Access to Justice Section.

Despite the fact that women comprise the majority of farmers in Africa, they feature little in New Alliance documents.

In some cases, the remuneration they received was so low that it was difficult to say that their employment had a positive impact on their lives. Women are also predominantly employed in very poor working conditions in agribusiness sectors such as the flower industry in Colombia.

World Bank (2013) Extracting Lessons on Gender in the Oil and Gas Sector, see https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/16299


Ibid., Principle 27

Ibid., Principle 28

See: http://corporate-responsibility.org/issues/access-to-justice/


Mining Watch Canada & RAID UK : see case of Porgera mine, Barrick Gold


Ibid.


See: http://www.catalyst.org/legal-board-diversity

For instance, while the introduction of a voluntary 25% target for women on FTSE 100 company boards in the UK led to a significant increase in numbers of women, they constitute just 8.6% of executive directors that are part of the executive management team.

This is work in progress. A legal analysis of what gender-sensitive due diligence mechanisms could look like is forthcoming in 2016.

CEDAW Committee, quoted in Dovey, Kathryn (2009) Putting gender on the business and human rights agenda, p. 14

Making this a mandatory requirement would go a step further than, for example, the statement in the current UK NAP that the UK government expects UK companies to ‘consult people who may potentially be affected at all stages of project design and implementation, in a manner that ensures free and informed participation, and takes into account barriers to effective engagement, paying particular attention to indigenous peoples and other groups, including women and girls.’

For example, ILO Convention 169 requires that indigenous and tribal peoples engage in free, prior and informed participation in policy and development processes that affect them.
To be based on the real value of assets, land, crops, trees and important resources over their productive lifespan and included in company compensation frameworks and national policies.

For example, the EU has adopted new procurement directives which state that the contracting authorities or entities in their contracts can require suppliers, in the performance of the contract, to comply in substance with the provisions of the basic International Labour Organisation (ILO) Conventions. Such conditions could favour the implementation of measures for the promotion of equality of women and men at work, the increased participation of women in the labour market and the reconciliation of work and private life than are presently required under national legislation.

This would be in line with the principle of ‘additionality.’ One of the requirements for using public resources to support the private sector is that they should contribute to development outcomes. This is also supported by the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights, which require states to take additional steps to protect against human rights abuses by business enterprises that [...] receive substantial support and services from state agencies such as export credit agencies and official investment insurance or guarantee agencies, including, where appropriate, by requiring human rights due diligence.

Companies could, for example, dedicate a certain percentage of shares to community development and women’s economic empowerment, with an emphasis on sustainable livelihoods and enterprise development and support, in cases where access to land/livelihoods is lost.

Leaders Declaration G7 Summit, Germany (7–8 June 2015) see: https://www.bundesregierung.de/Content/EN/_Anlagen/G7/2015-06-08-g7-abschluss-eng_en.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=3

The OECD DAC Gender Equality Marker (GEM) is intended to measure the extent to which program budgets contribute to advance gender equality and women’s empowerment or reduce discrimination and inequalities based on sex. In order to receive a “principal” or “significant” rating, gender equality must be explicitly promoted in activity documentation through specific measures which:

a) Reduce social, economic or political power inequalities between women and men, girls and boys, ensure that women benefit equally with men from the activity, or compensate for past discrimination; or b) Develop or strengthen gender equality or anti-discrimination policies, legislation or institutions, see http://www.oecd.org/investment/stats/37461060.pdf for more information.

See Creditor Reporting System (CRS) Code 15180, pages 1 & 9, stating that a new VAWG code will be introduced from 2016.

http://www.oecd.org/dac/stats/documentupload/Creditor%20Reporting%20System%20CRS%20Aid%20Activities%20database%202017%20reporting%20on%202016%20flows.pdf

For example, see Living Wages in Global Supply Chains – A New Agenda for Business

See http://corporate-responsibility.org/about-core/what-we-do-2/