

UK Government decisions to cut UK Aid are disproportionately falling on women and girls

This briefing was written by CARE International UK in collaboration with IRC UK, Women for Women International, IPPF, Plan International UK, Womankind Worldwide, Gender and Development Network, UK SRHR Network, Gender Action for Peace and Security (GAPS) and the analysis was conducted by Save the Children UK. It is endorsed by ActionAid UK, Frontline AIDS and Islamic Relief.

Introduction - UK Aid cuts, and the way they are being implemented, will have wide-ranging and long-standing impacts on the world's poorest people – in particular, women and girls.

1st round of aid cuts - 2020 saw cuts to programme areas that are critical to women and girls as the Government reduced spending in line with falling gross national income (GNI) to which spending on aid is pegged.

- Family planning (-37%),
- Reproductive healthcare (-48%)
- Women's rights organisations (-10%)
- Education (-30%)
- Water & sanitation (-39%)
- Humanitarian (-18%)

An analysis of two areas: Education and Humanitarian spending, finds that funding which targeted gender equality was cut at a higher rate than that which did not.¹ Between 2019 and 2020, 39% of humanitarian spending targeting gender equality was cut.²

2nd round of aid cuts - In 2021 the government is moving to further reduce its aid budget from 0.7% of gross national income (GNI) to 0.5%, equating to around a £4 billion cut from aid levels in 2020.

No consultation was undertaken to determine these cuts and while the UK Government has advised that a central equalities impact assessment was conducted, to date, there has been no public information provided. Despite a lack of transparency, analysis of estimated funding between 2019 and 2022 compared to 2015 to 2018, indicates that gender equality focused programming is being severely affected, and women and girls will suffer most from reductions in funding to critical sectors. This will result in an estimated 20 million women and girls who won't be reached by programming, made up of:

- 700,000 fewer girls supported by Girl's Education programmes,
- 2 million fewer women supported by humanitarian assistance,
- 8 million fewer women and girls supported by nutrition interventions,
- 9 million fewer women supported to access clean water and sanitation.³

These cuts will put women and girl's lives at risk and threaten to undo progress towards gender equality at a time when the pandemic has rolled back women's rights by a generation.⁴

¹ See Annex, figure 3

² See Annex, figure 3

³ See Annex for analysis with calculations

⁴ World Economic Forum. [Global Gender Gap Report 2021](#), 30 March 2021.

Reality does not match rhetoric and is damaging the UK's global leadership and by extension what that leadership could achieve.

The UK Government's decision to cut aid with gender equality outcomes is in stark opposition to the ambitious commitments laid out in its Strategic Vision for Equality (2018-2030),⁵ its National Action Plan on Women, Peace and Security (2018-2022),⁶ and threatens to undermine the UK's contributions towards the Sustainable Development Goals while exacerbating global inequalities. The estimated 40% cut to the Girl's Education budget,⁷ despite this being a stated priority of the Prime Minister, reveals a worrying contradiction between rhetoric and reality and the sector is extremely worried about the implications for areas which have not been championed politically.

The speed of the Government's decision – without the legal backing of Parliament – coupled with a lack of transparency and consultation with civil society groups, especially implementing partners, has caused tremendous uncertainty in the communities that UK Aid serves. The cuts also reflect a lack of value for money – as programmes that have spent years and millions of pounds in development have been forced to close.

In a year where the UK is hosting both the G7, COP26 and the Global Partnership for Education replenishment summit, the decision to cut ODA spending in this way severely hampers its global reputation and leadership on achieving gender equality and supporting the world's poorest people.

Why cuts to gender equality programming are particularly damaging at this time:

- Gender equality is necessary for all other development goals including ending poverty, reducing conflict and ensuring education and health for all. These cuts make it harder to make progress across all Sustainable Development Goals.
- The COVID-19 pandemic has put gains made in gender equality over the past decades at risk. Since the outbreak of COVID-19, a shadow pandemic of violence against women and girls has grown and intensified. Furthermore, unpaid care work and job losses have fallen predominately on women.
- These cuts also come amongst a growing opposition and rollback on gender equality, especially sexual and reproductive health and rights, globally and threaten to undo progress. US-based anti-abortion conservative organizations are expanding their reach, funding campaigns in Africa, Latin America and the Caribbean.

How the cuts are impacting gender equality and women's and girl's rights programming

FCDO is slowly informing NGOs and other implementing partners what the impact of the aid cuts will mean for their programmes. The sector has examples of programmes being reduced, cut or retracted from across all areas of the Government's Strategic Vision on Gender Equality: Women's Economic Empowerment, Women's Political Leadership, Girl's Education, Violence Against Women and Girls and Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights. Below are examples of areas impacted so far.

Girls' Education – Is the UK Government's commitment a PR exercise to hide huge cuts and roll backs?

Girls' Education is a stated priority of the Prime Minister, and of the Foreign Secretary's foreign aid budget priorities.⁸ The G7 Girl's Education pledge signed last week committed to support 40 million more girls into school, and 20 million more girls to read by the age of 10 by 2026.⁹ Despite this:

⁵ [Strategic Vision on Gender Equality](#)

⁶ [UK national action plan on women, peace and security 2018 to 2022](#)

⁷ Patrick Wintor. [Dominic Raab is challenged to admit 40% cuts to foreign aid for girls' education](#). The Guardian, 27th April 2021.

⁸ Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office and The Rt Hon Dominic Raab MP. ['Targeted aid remains a priority: article by the Foreign Secretary.'](#) Gov. Uk, 25 November 2020.

UK Government decisions to cut UK Aid are disproportionately falling on women and girls

- Aid cuts to education programmes that target gender equality have been higher than those that do not.¹⁰
- The overall budget for Girl's Education is estimated to have been cut by 40% at least in 2021-22 compared to 2019.¹¹
- An estimated 700,000 fewer girls to be supported by UK Aid for education between 2019-2022 compared to 2015-2018.¹²

Girls' education programmes are vital because investing in girls during adolescence has profound effects on their own future well-being, including delayed marriage; reduced risk of HIV/AIDS; increased family income; lower eventual fertility; improved survival rates, health indicators and educational outcomes for future children; increased women's power in the household and political arenas; and lower rates of domestic violence.

Case study of a girls education programme that was cut - Investing in Adolescent Girls in Rwanda, CARE International UK and partners

UK government cancelled funding to an education and life-skills programme supporting adolescents in Rwanda. This four year, £12m project, was due to reach more than 150k girls and 50k boys, including 8000 adolescents with disabilities. A follow-on programme from a pilot that had already proved effective, the programme combined vocational training, access to financing and mentorships with engaging parents, community leaders and government officials to better support adolescent girl's education and needs.

Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights (SRHR) - Why is the UK government choosing to cut funding for SRHR at a disproportionate rate?

- 2020 saw aid cuts, compared to 2019, for family planning (-37%), reproductive healthcare (-48%) and women's rights organisations (-10%).¹³
- The UN Population Fund (UNFPA) has seen an 85% reduction in support from the UK, cutting a flagship supplies programme from £154m to £23m – and core funding from £20m to £8m. This funding would have helped prevent a quarter of a million child and maternal deaths, 14.6 million unintended pregnancies and 4.3 million unsafe abortions.¹⁴
- Gift Malunga, UNFPA's Zambia representative, said she was shocked by the news. "It's really troubling," she said. "We're really concerned that the most vulnerable women and girls will suffer most. We're still trying to assess the impact ... but what is certain is it will have a negative effect on the quality of life for women and girls."¹⁵
- UK Funding to UNAIDS was also cut by 83%, from £15 million (\$20.9 million) to £2.5 million.¹⁶ This will jeopardise the UK's current support and championing of 2021-2026 Global AIDS strategy, which it endorsed in March 2021.

A woman's social and economic status, and her physical health, is inextricably linked to her ability to exercise her reproductive rights. When women and their partners have access to family planning information and services, maternal mortality rates drop, children are healthier and better educated, incomes rise and relationships are stronger.

Case studies of SRHR programmes cut or reduced - UKAid Connect ACCESS programme: led by IPPF. Partners include Frontline AIDS, Internews, the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (LSHTM), The Open University (OU), and the Women's Refugee Commission (WRC).

⁹ '[G7 to boost girls' education and women's employment in recovery from COVID-19 pandemic](#)', Gov.uk, 3rd May 2021

¹⁰ See Annex, figure 3, for analysis

¹¹ Patrick Wintor. [Dominic Raab is challenged to admit 40% cuts to foreign aid for girls' education](#). The Guardian, 27th April 2021.

¹² See Annex, section 3 for analysis

¹³ See Annex, figure 2, for analysis

¹⁴ Liz Ford. '[Devastating for women and girls': UK cuts 85% in aid to UN family planning](#).' The Guardian, 29th April 2021.

¹⁵ Liz Ford. '[Devastating for women and girls': UK cuts 85% in aid to UN family planning](#).' The Guardian, 29th April 2021.

¹⁶ William Worley and Sara Jerving. '[UK cuts funding for UNAIDS by 83%](#).' DEVEX, 29th April 2021.

UK Government decisions to cut UK Aid are disproportionately falling on women and girls

The ACCESS programme was due to be a five-year programme in Lebanon, Mozambique, Nepal, Uganda. It is being forced to close after less than a year, with a loss of funding of £16.7 million over the next four years. The programme has spent two years and £3 million in development.

The programme was due to help women and girls in marginalised and under-served populations in complex and challenging environments to claim and access family planning and sexual health information and services. It includes components that would pilot and build up evidence of what works and strengthen the inclusiveness of services offered in clinic-based and mobile services, making sure they serve a wider range of women and girls. They are also working on the toughest issues in the toughest places such as safe abortion care, HIV/STI integration with family planning, and care for survivors of Sexual and Gender Based Violence.

The programme was intended to build world-leading evidence of how to reach the most marginalised groups with comprehensive SRHR, including to help them adapt and become more resilient in humanitarian crises.

Saving Lives in Sierra Leone: led by International Rescue Committee and partners

The programme is focused on improving access and use of reproductive, maternal, neonatal, child and adolescent health (RMNCAH) services in the country. The country's maternal mortality rate, at 1,360 deaths per 100,000 live births, is the highest in the world. This programme has helped over three million people – a large proportion of whom are women and girls – in a country where one in 17 women die in pregnancy or childbirth.

The IRC has been asked to reduce the programme budget by 60% over the next 12 months. This will particularly impact the objectives to reduce maternal and child mortality. The scale of the cuts means that we will have to reduce our community health outreach work, which is important for helping people in hard-to-reach areas to access healthcare – critical work in the context of a global pandemic and with the threat of an Ebola resurgence.

Women's Integrated Sexual Health (WISH) programme – Consortiums led by MSI and IPPF¹⁷

Ending all funding to the WISH programme, the UK government's flagship programme to scale up its support to integrated SRHR services in 27 countries across Africa and Asia.¹⁸ It combines creating an enabling environment at policy level, strengthening community support, and increasing access to high-quality integrated sexual health services, including HIV testing and contraception.

- Since the WISH programme began in October 2018, it has prevented an estimated 11.7 million unintended pregnancies, 4.3 million unsafe abortions and 34,000 maternal deaths.
- If the current programme continued for another 21 months as it is operating now, the services provided would avert an estimated additional 7.5 million unintended pregnancies, 2.7 million unsafe abortions and 22,000 maternal deaths.
- No further funding has yet been allocated beyond the initial three-year project period. Without additional support, activities will have to be scaled down towards closure within a six month no-cost extension period.

Discontinuation of funding will mean large-scale reductions in delivery of life-saving services in multiple countries for women and girls in some of the world's poorest and most marginalised communities.

¹⁷ Lot 1 is led by MSI. Partners include: IPPF, DKT, Ipas, THINKPLACE, Options and Leonard Cheshire Disability. Lot 2 is led by IPPF. Partners include: Development Media International (DMI), Humanity and Inclusion UK (HI), International Rescue Committee (IRC), Marie Stopes International (MSI), Options Consultancy Services (Options).

¹⁸ Lot 1: Burkina Faso, Cameroon, Chad, Cote D'Ivoire, Democratic Republic of Congo, Mali, Mauritania, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone Lot 2: Bangladesh, Burundi, Ethiopia, Madagascar, Malawi, Mozambique, Pakistan, Rwanda, Somalia, South Sudan, Sudan, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia, Zimbabwe

UK Government decisions to cut UK Aid are disproportionately falling on women and girls

Women, Peace and Security and Humanitarian action

Women and girls are disproportionately affected by conflict, instability and disasters. During and after a crisis, gender-based violence increases, pregnant women and young mothers have specific health care needs which often go unmet. Women often become solely responsible for their families without the means to support them. Catastrophes may make it impossible for children, and especially girls, to go to school.

- In 2021, the UK is making an estimated 38% cut to humanitarian funding from 2019 levels.¹⁹
- In 2020, humanitarian programmes which focus on gender equality as a primary objective were cut at a higher rate than those that had no gender equality objectives.²⁰ Compared with 2019, 39% of humanitarian spending targeting gender equality was cut.²¹
- An estimated 2 million fewer women and girls will be reached with humanitarian assistance by 2022.²²

Funding has been cut to two of the most acute crisis contexts:

Yemen - 59% overall cut.²³ An estimated 73% of the over 4 million people displaced in Yemen are women and children.²⁴ Levels of domestic violence and sexual harassment of women in Yemen were historically high and had already increased by 63% during the last five years due to the conflict, whilst other forms of violence against women and girls has also risen including early and forced marriage.²⁵ UNFPA warned in March that lack of funding could lead to 100,000 women dying from complications of pregnancy and childbirth. The UK subsequently reduced its contribution to UNFPA by 85% and overall funding to Yemen by 59%.²⁶

Syria – a third overall cut.²⁷ Before the war, one in three Syria women faced domestic violence; this number has risen sharply with violence and displacement.²⁸ 75% of young girls living in camp settings inside Syria do not go to school for fear of sexual violence.²⁹

No impact assessment of the 2021 reductions has been made available, but case studies of cut or reduced programmes illustrate the impact women and girls will face.

Case studies of humanitarian programmes which have been cut or reduced – Syria Resilience Programme led by CARE International UK with partners

This programme will have been cut by 70% since 2019. Beneficiary numbers will reduce from 550,000 to fewer than 100,000 leaving hundreds of thousands of people, particularly women and children without the support they need. Food security inside Syria has reached unprecedented levels and these cuts will critically impact those most vulnerable. From January 2022 all funding will end for protection services, GBV services and the closure of community centres. Six protection centres will shut down, and critical protection services to 45,000 Syrians will stop.

Improving protection and access to legal and specialised services for refugees from Syria and vulnerable populations in Lebanon - IRC led Consortium.

¹⁹ See Annex, figure 1

²⁰ See Annex, figure 3

²¹ See Annex, figure 3

²² Compared with 2015-2018, See Annex, figure 4

²³ Peter Geoghegan. '[UK government accused of 'grotesque betrayal' as full foreign aid cuts revealed.](#)' *Open Democracy*, 5 March 2021.

²⁴ UNFPA. '[Urgent funding needed to provide protection and health services to millions of women in Yemen.](#)' *UNFPA Press Release*, 1st March 2021.

²⁵ Laura Martineau Searle, Michelle Spearing and Noha Yeyha. '[Women leaders COVID-19 response from the grassroots to government: perspectives from Yemen.](#)' *LSE Blogs*, 2nd June 2020.

²⁶ UNFPA. '[Urgent funding needed to protect health and safety of women and girls in Yemen amidst COVID-19.](#)' *UNFPA Press Release*, 6th May 2020.

²⁷ Joe Summerland. '[Devastating blow: UK to cut aid to Syria by one-third.](#)' *The Independent*, 30th March 2021

²⁸ Tamara Alrifai and Rachel Dore-Weeks. '[Here's Why Syrian Women are Integral to Peace.](#)' *UN Women*, 31st July 2018.

²⁹ Tamara Alrifai and Rachel Dore-Weeks. '[Here's Why Syrian Women are Integral to Peace.](#)' *UN Women*, 31st July 2018.

UK Government decisions to cut UK Aid are disproportionately falling on women and girls

This programme provided specialist protection support and connected with a broader range of services, including services that vulnerable women and girls in particular rely on including legal aid, specialised services, Gender Based Violence (GBV), and Child Protection (CP).

Phase II of this project (April 2021 – March 2023), was planning to reach 107,000 people in Lebanon by identifying those at highest risk. Funding to continue this project will not be approved.

Preliminary 2020 data shows that more than 88% of refugees are now living below the extreme poverty line. As families struggle to put food on the table, procure medication, and pay their rent, protection needs – including legal protection and specialised services for persons with disabilities – are increasingly deprioritised.

Women's social and economic empowerment – case studies of two programmes which have been cut
Empowering Marginalised Nigerian Women Through Livelihood Opportunities and Improved Participation - Women for Women International.

This programme planned to bring together 3,600 women displaced by violence and conflict with women from host communities in Bauchi State, Nigeria, to learn about their rights, health, and wellbeing and develop vocational and business skills to improve their livelihoods and increase leadership roles through Women for Women International's 12-month Stronger Women, Stronger Nations training programme.

Despite strong programme results in the first 19 months of a planned 36, it has been cut with immediate effect. This will mean the second cohort of women will not have chance to complete the programme they are over halfway through, and the third cohort of 1,200 women will not get the chance to start the programme.

One participant who was able to complete her training during the first year of this project said, *"All the promises made by our trainers before I was enrolled into the programme were fulfilled. We were given back our confidence through the training on rights. We were taught business and vocational skills to start and manage a profitable business. At the start of the programme, I had no means of earning an income. We learned how to form support networks and now we all have individual bank accounts - something I would never have thought of while living my old life."*

Women for Women International have been asked to submit a complex close-down budget within 2 weeks, for a project that has 17 months left to run.

Conclusion - long term impact of these cuts on changes needed for gender equality, and women's rights organisations and movements

Achieving gender equality requires long-term investments in changing the structural barriers and harmful social norms that women and girls face. This is recognised in FCDO's own Strategic Vision for Gender Equality and its National Action Plan for Women, Peace and Security. However, the apparent bias of the aid cuts towards programmes that support these changes, particularly on sexual and reproductive health and rights and violence against women and girls, will do long term harm to these efforts. The cuts also undermine efforts to address multiple forms of inequality that marginalised women and girls face. For example, they are falling on programmes which would have supported women and girls with disabilities, refugees, women and girls living with HIV and young and adolescent girls.

Women's rights organisations and movements and girl-led groups are proven to be effective at bringing about these changes, alongside providing vital services such as services for gender-based violence. This is recognized in the Generation Equality Forum Action Coalition, co-chaired by the UK government. Women's rights organisations and movements also play a key role in holding their leaders accountable to commitments they make towards gender equality and women's rights and hold the line against rollback of women's rights. Long term, flexible and predictable funding is essential to be able to plan and implement programmes which are most effective at bringing about sustainable change for gender equality. Women's rights organisations particularly call for core funding which allow them to self-determine their visions informed by women and girls they work with and to be more agile to respond to crises and to take

UK Government decisions to cut UK Aid are disproportionately falling on women and girls

emerging advocacy opportunities. In 2020, funding for women's rights organisations, movements and government institutions was cut by 10%. The uncertainty, lack of consultation and transparency, and reductions in programming for gender equality will mean partner organisations are unable to plan for and deliver their work.

Now more than ever global solidarity is needed to defeat COVID-19 and other global challenges such as climate change and growing inequalities. The UK Government has an opportunity to play a key role at the global stage and remain a true champion of Gender Equality and Women's Rights. Without Gender Equality at the center of global development key commitments such as the SDGs and Beijing Declaration and Platform for Action will not be achieved.

Annex - Quantitative assessment of the gendered impact of FCDO aid cuts

Analysis conducted by Save the Children UK

Section 1 - Analysis of sectors and sub sectors of significant relevance to Women and Girls

- 2020 saw a reduction in UK aid due to drop in economic output and a reprioritisation of aid towards fighting the immediate impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic. This meant funding to education, water & sanitation and humanitarian assistance, sectors of significant relevance to Women and Girls, were cut by 30%, 39% and 18% respectively (see figure 1).
- In 2021 the government is moving to further reduce its aid budget from 0.7% of gross national income (GNI) to 0.5%, which will equate to around a £4 billion cut from aid levels in 2020. Figure 1 shows that the 2021-22 budget for education, water and sanitation and humanitarian assistance is set to fall further as a result.

Figure 1 – changes in aid funding to education, water & sanitation, and humanitarian assistance, 2019 to 2021

£ million (current prices)		2019	2020	2021	% change 2019 to 2021	% change 2020 to 2021	Notes
DFID's former portfolio of education funding	Calendar year disbursements	705.8	492.2		-32%	-2%	
	Fiscal year budget	734.3	567.0	482.5	-34%	-15%	2021 figure based on Girls' education theme budget allocation, https://questions-statements.parliament.uk/written-statements/detail/2021-04-21/hcws935
FCDO Water and sanitation funding	Calendar year disbursements	182.1	112.0		-64%	-41%	
	Fiscal year budget	180.6	145.5	65.6	-64%	-55%	2021 figure based on leaked cut of 64%, https://www.wateraid.org/uk/WaterAid-statement-on-cuts-to-UK-Aid-for-WASH
FCDO humanitarian aid	Calendar year disbursements	1541.9	1264.8		-41%	-28%	
	Fiscal year budget	1458.3	1212.4	906.0	-38%	-25%	2021 figure based on Humanitarian preparedness and response theme budget allocation, https://questions-statements.parliament.uk/written-statements/detail/2021-04-21/hcws935

UK Government decisions to cut UK Aid are disproportionately falling on women and girls

Source: [FCDO reporting to the International Aid Transparency Initiative](#), accessed May 4th 2021. For other sources see notes in the table.

Notes: Fiscal year is April to March and year corresponds to the start of the fiscal year (e.g. 2020 is the fiscal year April 2020 to March 2021)

- 2020 also saw aid cuts to other purposes of high relevance to women and girls compared to 2019, such as family planning (-37%), reproductive healthcare (-48%) and women’s rights organisations (-10%) (see figure 2).
- Although FCDO aid allocations for 2021-22 are still being finalised, the recent announcement by UNFPA that the UK is reducing funding for its family planning programme by 85% shows that funding at best will continue to be significantly lower in this area than it was in 2019 (see figure 2).

Figure 2 – 2020 saw aid cuts to areas with a significant relevance to women and girls

£ million (current prices)	2019 spend	2020 spend	2021 budget	% change 2019 to 2020	Notes
Family planning	254	161	194	-37%	2021 budget figure based current activities and the leaked 85% cut to UNFPA funding, https://news.un.org/en/story/2021/04/1090892
Human rights	52	27		-48%	
Reproductive health care	143	110		-23%	
Women's rights organisations and movements, and government institutions	24	21		-10%	

Source: [FCDO reporting to the International Aid Transparency Initiative](#), accessed May 4th 2021. For other sources see notes in the table.

Notes: 2021 in the table refers to the fiscal year April 2021 to March 2022

Section 2 - Analysis of gender equality gender marker within sectors and sub sectors of significant relevance to Women and Girls

- Alongside the cuts to areas outlined above, there is evidence that projects that have been marked as advancing gender equality have seen deeper cuts than those not, such as within domestic revenue mobilisation and education (see figure 3). In both cases projects where gender equality is not targeted increased in 2020 compared to 2019, in comparison to cuts both in overall terms and in projects where gender equality is a project objective.
- This trend is set to continue with FCDO’s 2021-22 budget. Figure 3 shows that education projects not targeting gender equality will increase compared to 2020, whilst funding for gender will remain at levels seen in 2020, and still significantly lower than in 2019.

UK Government decisions to cut UK Aid are disproportionately falling on women and girls

Figure 3 – aid cuts to education and domestic revenue mobilisation has been highest in projects where gender equality is a key objective

£ million (current prices)		2019 spend	2020 spend	2021 budget	% change 2019 to 2020
FCDO aid for domestic revenue mobilisation	Project does not target gender equality	16.0	18.3		14%
	Gender equality is an important and deliberate objective of project	8.2	2.3		-72%
	Gender equality is the main objective of the project	3.9	2.0		-48%
FCDO aid to education	Project does not target gender equality	70.6	77.3	82.5	9%
	Gender equality is an important and deliberate objective of project	591.6	397.1	457.6	-33%
	Gender equality is the main objective of the project	98.6	60.7		-38%
FCDO humanitarian aid	Project does not target gender equality	575.6	392.2		-32%
	Gender equality is an important and deliberate objective of project	950.0	861.8		-9%
	Gender equality is the main objective of the project	10.8	6.6		-39%

Source: [FCDO reporting to the International Aid Transparency Initiative](https://www.parliament.uk/written-statements/detail/2021-04-21/hcws935), accessed May 4th 2021. 2021 figure based on Girls' education theme budget allocation, <https://questions-statements.parliament.uk/written-statements/detail/2021-04-21/hcws935>.

Notes: 2021 in the table refers to the fiscal year April 2021 to March 2022

Section 3 - Analysis of gender equality gender marker within sectors and sub sectors of significant relevance to Women and Girls

- Based on the current funding landscape it is possible to estimate the change in the numbers of people that can be supported, based on the DFID's funding data from 2015 to 2018 and the number of people reached. Figure 4 shows that for education the estimated funding between 2019 to 2022 will mean 700,000 less girls supported, 2 million less women supported by humanitarian assistance, 8 million less women and girls support by nutrition interventions and 9 million less women support to access clean water and sanitation.

UK Government decisions to cut UK Aid are disproportionately falling on women and girls

- Despite cuts to family planning in 2020 and 2021, the estimated funding between 2019-22 will still be higher than 2015-18 because of an increased investment in this area in recent years, meaning more women and girls could potentially be supported than previously, although still less than if the cuts had not taken place.

Figure 4 – Estimated change in the numbers of women and girls supported due to the change in UK aid funding in 5 specific areas

	DFID bilateral funding 2015-18 (£ mns)	Number supported 2015-18 (mns)	Funding per capita supported (£)	Estimated funding 2019-22 (£ mns)	Estimated number supported 2019-22 (mns)	Difference in numbers supported (mns)	% split in difference in girls/women supported
Education (DFID's portfolio)	2563.0	12.3	209.1	2266.2	10.8	-1.4	50%
Family planning	508.6	93.7	5.4	801.8	147.7	54.0	100%
Humanitarian assistance	5229.7	32.6	160.4	4618.7	28.8	-3.8	55%
Nutrition	2862.3	49.7	57.6	2265.7	39.3	-10.4	79%
Water and sanitation	728.8	44.4	16.4	425.3	25.9	-18.5	49%

Source: [FCDO reporting to the International Aid Transparency Initiative](#), accessed May 4th 2021. OECD Credit Reporting System (CRS). [DFID Estimated result 2015-20](#)

Notes: Funding for 2022 is estimated to be the same as 2021, given the move to 0.5% of GNI on aid will likely carry over into this year.