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Why do we care?

• Wish to understand the impacts
• Wish to optimize the performance and benefits
• Wish to understand the costs and downsides
• Wish to glean lessons for sustainability in India
• Wish to glean lessons for other countries
First

• The scale and speed of the program is unprecedented
  – Perhaps 2-3 million connections a month in PMUY
  – And many more lakh in “normal” growth among the middle class
  – And regular changes being instituted
  – National energy databases all out of date

• This means that it is nearly impossible to apply many normal research techniques, such as RCTs
On the other hand

- The wide use of IT (digital India) in its operation, potentially allows types of research and analysis never before possible in the household energy arena.
- Starting with the vast OMC websites that document refill rates of every customer in the country.
- And the apparent willingness of the Ministry and OMCs to collaborate, within the limitations imposed by their own needs.
Research can be done

• Not only on the PMUY program, which attempts to push LPG use into poor populations, but also

• On the normal growth among the middle class because data are available for this group as well.
  – What household and other parameters trigger the conversion to LPG?
  – How long is the transition – stacking period?
Enabling Factors

- The digital India revolution – JAM
- National commitment from top to bottom
- Massive use of social media
- Little serious push back, from the media, academics, and other political parties
- Willingness to find ways to cut waste, e.g., ghost connections
- And reduce long-accustomed subsidies to the middle class.
- Something for which “its time has come”
Pahal

• Move subsidy payments to electronic bank accounts
  – Greatly reduce duplications – coordinate among OMCs
  – All LPG sold at market prices
  – Lays the groundwork for everything else
  – But has downsides
Give It Up

• Ask middle class to give up their subsidies
  – 11 million households so far -- direct GIU
  – Perhaps 10 million others did not sign up
• Innovative approach to an old problem of subsidies – they are hard to get rid of
• Massive media campaign at the time
• Depending on the calculation approach, arguably this pays (in terms of subsidies given up) for the entire PMUY program
• Income limits also applied, but hard to enforce
Special conditions in India

- IT revolution – JAM
- Commitment by the PMO on down – Modi too
- Long separation of “connections” into separate marketable item under complete control by OMCs
  - due to history of subsidy
  - Price controls for fuel based on formula that had long been accepted by OMCs
- OMCs that are run as private companies but with majority ownership by the GoI
- LPG not critical to profits of OMCs and with a gentlemen’s agreement to not compete, too much
- Undertaken at a time of low international LPG prices – no longer true
PMUY issues

• First requirement of BPL status did not work well – out of date
  – New criteria are recently applied, not clear what will happen

• Actual costs borne probably too much by OMCs and thus reflected in lower profits, i.e. not directly paid by GoI.
  – As GoI owns OMCs, this works, but is probably not sustainable
  – May need to slow program down to fix this cash flow situation
PMUY issues, cont.

• Separation of “connection” costs, makes provision of access possible and measurable
  – Up front costs being a major hurdle for the poor

• Costs of the stove itself, however, are not covered by most states
  – And provision of a less-expensive “PMUY stove” (still meeting BIS standards) under installments has meant that people who refill at low rates see high costs for refills, a barrier
PMUY issues, cont

• New distributorships not provided significantly different financial conditions to promote them in difficult rural conditions
• Official requirement of 48-hour delivery for refills is not suited to rural areas – promotes misreporting
• No special provisions for second cylinder which is the norm in urban India and addresses refill timing issue
Access is not usage

• In its simplest formulation, the Indian LPG system has found a way to provide access to hundreds of millions, very quickly.

• But, as health scientist well know, providing access to a health-saving technology, is only half the battle.
  – Witness latrines, condoms, bednets, institutional delivery access, TB drugs, etc.

• Promoting usage is the next challenge.
Known barriers to usage

• Perception
• Reliability
• Money
Perceptual issues

- Awareness of program alone is a barrier
  - Unscrupulous distributors and others charging for access
  - Some cynicism about any GoI programs
- Awareness of health and other benefits
  - Health messaging from LPG distributors is not trusted
  - Usual disconnect between personal experience of risk and actual risk – e.g. smoking
- Ministry’s recent Panchayat program aimed at dealing with this – 100k villages
Reliability

• Delivery of refills is a serious issue
• If cannot expect refill for several days,
  – Slow down usage in anticipation
  – Need something to use while waiting
  – Both promote biomass usage
• Provision of second cylinder eliminates this problem
  – the way urban people deal with it
  – Our pilot work in Junnar Block has shown this for pregnant women – 85% purchase the second cylinder we loan them during pregnancy
  – Also, surprisingly, 84% of newly married women do the same after a free trial
Reliability, cont.

- Also, 65% of women who received a second cylinder were willing to destroy/disable/move their chulha.
- Another approach to this problem is the Smart valve, which accurately detects the remaining fuel in the cylinder and contacts the distributor by cell to refill before it runs out.
  - Customer can pay by the meal, day, or whatever using cell tech.
Money

• This problem can be divided into two components
  – Up-front costs
  – Recurring costs
Up-front costs

• This is the barrier that PMUY addresses
• Problems created, however, by not covering stove or second cylinder in the costs
Recurring costs

- Primarily the cost of fuel, which has two components
  - The mean cost per year
  - The variation (uncertainty) in these costs due to the changes in international price
- The variation is suppressed by the subsidy being set each month to keep the price to the consumer constant and predictable
- The way it is collected, however, requires consumers to put up the full price and receive the difference later in their bank accounts – causes concern among people with low cash availability
Mean cost over time

- Still the biggest constraint to the very poor with easy access to gathered biomass (not everyone has easy access, however)
- Even if better targeted, current subsidy still goes mostly to the middle class – wasted, as they would use LPG anyway
- More needed to better target
  - This means higher subsidies to very poorest,
  - And further elimination among the middle class
  - Studies show that lowering cost to 4% of income of the very poorest, would cause a major shift in usage. And lower net cost to GoI.
Mean cost, cont

• Another approach is to link LPG with other social programs in villages
• Most likely is to link to the village employment scheme which is widespread – perhaps more than 40% of villages
  – Guarantees ~2 hundred Rs per day of work and a minimum number of days per month
  – Would not take too many days extra to be equal to the cost of LPG (about 80 Rs/wk)
• Is a great talking point for village women
Mean cost, cont

• Another option is the Universal Health Insurance scheme being discussed
  – Give discounts on insurance if a LPG user, or vice versa

• Another approach is to target specific health-vulnerable groups better
  – Pregnant women are likely candidates
  – Now 15 million per year in biomass using households
Pregnant Women

• Most vulnerable easily identified group for health effects
• Lives and behaviors are changing
• Receiving many benefits already, including up to thousands of rupees each to deliver in institutions – home deliveries have nearly disappeared
• Free fuel during pregnancy would add not much more (~2k Rs) – start them on the road to a clean kitchen for life
• Already in the system – 1.4 million ASHA workers
• Focus of our research in Junnar Block
Major big data research

- Understand factors leading to usage by analyzing the national LPG databases
- Discover effect on ambient pollution by linking databases with satellite pollution data
- Initiate national cohort/subsample of households joining PMUY, by conducting health assessment before they start and sometime later
- Continue to promote household fuel changes as part of national ambient air pollution control
Other needs

• Understand and accelerate the reduction in kerosene use – major economic benefit to the GoI as well, but linked to electrification
• Understand better the “all Indians cook in one kitchen” -- pushing PNG and electric cooking in one part, gives more access to LPG in others
• And lower ambient pollution for all
• Develop better the growing market in electric technology for water and fodder heating
• Improve efficiency and flexibility of LPG technology
Major strategies

• Continue to work to turn the pejorative term “subsidies”, into the positive term “social investments” with continued research on benefits and better targeting

• Work toward a sub-component of the current program we are calling “Ujjwala Mamta” aimed to pregnant women and in collaboration with the Health sector and Ministry.
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