ABOUNT THE COLLECTIVE’S PEER REVIEW PHILOSOPHY

Peer reviewers for The Collective are selected based on a variety of factors, including contribution to the field of librarianship, individual expertise, reputation, recommendations from librarians, and programming team members’ own knowledge of a reviewer’s performance. Selection of an individual as a reviewer should not be seen as an endorsement of The Collective of any research, products, or services provided by a reviewer or any organization affiliated with that reviewer.

Additionally, agreement by an individual to serve as reviewer should not be seen as an endorsement by any affiliated organization of The Collective; these individuals are volunteering their time and offering their opinions and expertise as individuals, not as official representatives speaking on behalf of any school or organization.

The Collective recognizes that biases exist and, as much as possible, attempts have been made to rule out reviewers who may have an obvious competing interest with a potential presenter, those who may be direct competitors, those who may have a known history of antipathy towards a specific librarian or organization, and those who might profit financially from a specific presentation. To that end, all proposals undergo a blind peer review and all submitters will receive anonymized feedback from that review. Because it is not possible for all such competing interests to be known, we request that reviewers who recognize a potential competing interest inform The Collective organizers and recuse themselves if they feel that are unable to offer an impartial review of a particular proposal.