Whereas the Constitution of the Undergraduate Honor System “has been written and implemented by students in a community of individuals that values academic integrity as a way of life,”

Whereas the student body may propose amendments to the Constitution of the Undergraduate Honor System,

Whereas there is little formal student engagement with the Honor Code following the signing ceremony during orientation,

Whereas the SGA Honor Code Committee recommends the following proposal,

Be it resolved…

That the student body should vote to support the following revision to the Constitution of the Undergraduate Honor System,

“Article IV: Amendment Procedures and Review Committee, section “a” will become the following and the remaining sections re-lettered accordingly:

a. Biennial Student Referendum
   i) Timing and Required Participation
      (a) Every second year (an even year, beginning 2016) in the Spring Term, the Student Government Association shall conduct a student referendum on this Honor Code.
   ii) Voting Procedure
      (a) The ballot will have three choices:
         1. I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Honor Code, and I vote to maintain it in its current form.
         2. I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Honor Code, and I vote to revise it.
         3. I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Honor Code, and I vote to eliminate it.
      (b) Each voter will assign each choice with a value of “1,” “2,” or “3,” in their order of preference of that outcome.
      (c) The result will be determined using the single transferable vote and Droop quota.
   iii) Consequences of Each Option
(a) Maintenance
   1. If the maintain choice prevails, the Honor Code is maintained in its current form.

(b) Revision
   1. If the revise option prevails, then the following occurs:
      a. A two-week revision period begins, during which the current Honor Code remains in effect.
      b. During the revision period the SGA will facilitate a discussion about the Honor Code and set up an online mechanism for students to submit proposed revisions.
      c. The SGA Honor Code Committee will recommend a revised version of the Honor Code to the SGA Senate, which will then select a revised code to put to a second ballot.
      d. A second vote will be held two weeks from the day the polls from the first vote closed.

2. Voting Procedure for Second Vote
   a. The ballot will have three choices:
      i. I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Honor Code, and I vote to maintain the original Honor Code.
      ii. I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Honor Code, and I vote to approve the revised Honor Code.
      iii. I have thoughtfully considered my position on the Honor Code, and I vote to eliminate it.
   b. Each voter will assign each choice with a value of “1,” “2,” or “3,” in their order of preference of that outcome.
   c. The result will be determined using the instant run-off process.

3. Consequences of Each Option
   a. Maintenance
      i. If the maintain choice prevails, the Honor Code is maintained in its current form.
   b. Revision
      i. If the revised option prevails, the revised Honor Code will be sent to Faculty Council for approval. During the interim, the original Honor Code remains in effect.
      ii. If Faculty Council approves the revised Honor Code, then it takes effect the following semester.
      iii. If Faculty Council does not approve the Honor Code, then the original Honor Code remains in effect.
   c. Elimination
i. If the elimination option prevails, the Honor Code ceases to be in effect at the beginning of the following Fall Term.

(c) Elimination
1. If the elimination option prevails, the Honor Code ceases to be in effect at the beginning of the following Fall Term.”

**LEGISLATIVE ACTION**

*Originally Proposed.*

Taylor Custer, President
Cate Costley, Director of Academic Affairs,
Alison Maxwell, Chair of the SGA Honor Code Committee
Ethan Brady, Member of the Honor Code Committee

**February 22, 2015**
Co-Chair Bogin: Motion to end discussion and table S2015-SB5.
President Custer: Seconded.
Ayes: President Custer, Senator Jahan, Senator Gerstenschlager, Senator Gogineni, Senator Toy, Senator Medina, Senator Allis, Proxy Poole (for Senator Sohn), Senator Brook, Senator Vaughan, Senator Hussein, Senator Edwards, Senator Fisher, Senator de Toledo, Co-Chair Bogin, Speaker Brady
Nays: Senator Berlowitz
Abstentions: None
16-1-0

**March 8, 2015**
Senator de Toledo: Motion to end discussion and vote on S2015-SB5.
Senator Jahan: Seconded.
All aye.
Motion passes.

Vote on S2015-SB5
Ayes: President Custer, Senator Jahan, Senator Gerstenschlager, Senator Gogineni, Senator Toy, Senator Medina, Senator Allis, Senator Sohn, Senator Brook, Senator Vaughan, Proxy Sinks (for Senator Hussein), Senator Fisher, Senator de Toledo, Co-Chair Bogin, Speaker Brady
Nays: Senator Berlowitz
Abstentions: None
15-1-0
S2015-SB5 passes.