April 22, 2015, 7:00 PM

Agenda

West L.A Neighborhood Council Office-In WLA Municipal Building
1645 Corinth Avenue
West L.A., CA 90025

The public is requested to fill out a "Speaker Card" to address the Board on any item of the agenda prior to the Board taking action on an item. Comments from the public on Agenda items will be heard only when the respective item is being considered. Comments from the public on other matters not appearing on the Agenda that is within the Board's subject matter jurisdiction will be heard during the Public Comment period. Public forum is limited to 1 minute per speaker, unless waived by the presiding officer of the Board.

The chair may take items out of order. Agenda is posted for public review at: www.wlanc.com, WLA office 1645 Corinth Ave.

As a covered entity under Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the City of Los Angeles does not discriminate on the basis of disability and upon request, will provide reasonable accommodation to ensure equal access to its programs, services, and activities. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability of services, please make your request at least 3 business days (72 hours) prior to the meeting you wish to attend by calling The Department of Neighborhood Empowerment at 213-978-1551. All items on the agenda are possible action items and subject to a C.I.S. filing. In compliance with Government Code 54937.5, non exempt writings that are distributed to a majority or all of the board in advance of a meeting may be viewed at www.wlanc.com, or at the scheduled meeting. Documents related to agenda items can be found at www.wlanc.com/important_docs.php?cid=2

1. Call to Order
2. Public Comment items NOT on the agenda
3. Approval of Minutes Meetings dated March 2015
4. Presentations:
   4.1 Update regarding medical marijuana committee
   4.2 DWP Report- (Watanabe)
   4.3 Public Work Report (Kato)
5. Outreach Committee (Report Greg)
6. Public Safety-Officer Kirk Report
6.1 Neighborhood Prosecutor Victoria De la Cruz
7. Public Safety Committee report: (Jack Fujimoto)
8. By Laws Committee (Donovan)
9. CD 11 - Councilman Bonin - represented by Len Nguyen, Field Deputy
10. Mayor of LA - Garcetti represented by Daniel Tamm- Westside Area
11. Assembly Member Bloom- Represented by Stephanie Cohen
12. Congressman Ted Lieu-represented by Janet Turner
13. Assembly Member Ridley Thomas-represented by Scott Mailsen
14. Stoner Park Report (Jason)
15. Finance and Budget Report (Zana)
   15.1 Review and approve outstanding monthly funding reports
   15.2 Adjust budget categories to reflect current budget
16. PLUM Committee Project Presentation, Reports and/or PLUM Action re Projects:
16.1 Motion to support changes and additions to Preferential Parking District 49
16.2 1854 Sawtelle Boulevard
16.3 1947 Sawtelle Boulevard
16.4 11574 Santa Monica CUB Approval
17. Board Comments (5 Minutes)
18. Adjourn

******************************************************************************
MINUTES OF THE WEST LA SAWTELLE NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL
West L.A Neighborhood Council Office-In WLA Municipal Building
1645 Corinth Avenue  West L.A., CA 90025
March 25, 2015, 7:00 PM

CALL TO ORDER:
Chair Jay Handel called the meeting to order at 7:02 PM.

Council members present: Greg Ericksen, Jay Handal, Al Casas, Grace Tabib, Jean Shigematsu, Rosie Kato, Zana Gilsovic, David Levitus, Jack Fujimoto, Helga Hakimi, Tom Donovan, Jack Fujimoto

PUBLIC COMMENT ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA:
Steve Little - West LA Chamber of Commerce representative: Wednesday breakfast, Ericksen attended. Last Thursday Michael Dukakis spoke at an event. May 6 - Insurance Commissioner Jones will be in attendance at Olympic Collection. Appreciate ongoing relationship with Council.

District 49 parking update - Collected more than 67% of required signatures and has been submitted to DOT. Suggestion by Handal to bring to PLUM April meeting and communicate with Casas.

Carole Nakano - Requesting Ericksen to make website more user friendly and requesting last April PLUM meeting to be posted.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES:
February Minutes - Motion was made (Fujimoto), seconded (Casas). Approved.
Kato corrections to February Minutes- Randy Sakamoto (spelling), Outreach Committee Report - Kato comments that we’re spending too much on Outreach. Buerge Development - Kato speaking about tunnel loading because of noise level, referencing Trader Joes as a success story. Parking - Sawtelle business area cited. Board Comments - Business owners should pay into free parking to the patrons so they should be donating.

PRESENTATIONS:
Formation of a Homeless Committee (Hakimi) -
Proposing we create a homeless committee that will provide long term housing for homeless people in our district to be modeled after plans that have been implemented in neighboring cities (Culver City, Venice). Not to place homeless into short term shelters but provide with longer term housing and necessary assistance to get them back on their feet. Have estimated that the cost would be $49,000 from Councilman Bonin for the necessary outreach we would need to implement the plan. News indicated that is costs more to keep the homeless on the street than to provide long term housing.

Handal: Committee would interface with local service providers. In our district homelessness has become a big issue - freeway ramps, overpass, behind the library, etc. Now is the time to form a committee so we can steer these agencies and stop using the broom theory of sweeping the homeless between different communities without providing any help. We need to be proactive instead of acting as if they're not here.
Motion to form the committee. Motion was made (Levitus), seconded (Hakimi). Approved.

Kato question: Distinction between veteran and non veteran homeless? Response: No distinction.

Ericksen: Will the committee do outreach to homeless in our neighborhood? Response: Yes.

Levitus: Supports this committee as a good solution to problem.

**Motion to support a grant request from CD11 in the amount of $40,000.00 to hire a part-time outreach person to help homeless get into housing (Hakimi)** -

Motion was made (Hakimi), seconded (Zana). Approved.


Fujimoto: Will Outreach Committee have some say? Response: Yes will interface through the Committee.

**LAFD re Re-organization Plan (Chief Butler)** -

Department new re-organization plan - in January of 2015 Fire Dept reorganized the dept into 4 geographic bureaus exactly like the counterparts in the Police Dept. Goal for West Bureau is to form a public safety committee with either the Alliance of Councils or individual Councils and have monthly or quarterly meetings to discuss fire dept issues that affect the community. Can expect that Chief Butler will interact with Handal. Operations West Bureau encompass Hollywood, West LA all the way to the Coast, LAX, Westchester, Playa Vista and some portions of Mid-City. 25 years with the Dept, 10 years as Chief Officer. This District has a Councilman that's all about public safety.

Fujimoto: Went to fire dept on Olympic and Butler and got no bite in terms of what the Dept wants to do for public safety. Suggesting development of public safety fair, earthquakes, etc.

Donovan: Any changes in response times because of traffic? Response: Absolutely affects. Depends where the call originates. A call in West LA can add an additional minute - impact is coming back into the community because no luxury to light it up to get back home. Recently wrote a policy allowing resources in West LA to use lights to return to their district.

Levitus: How do you foresee how new developments with affect response time? Any mitigation measures being proposed? Response: Recently implemented data to support resource needs and response issues. Fire Captains are attending Fire Stat meeting where date is presented re: response times and providing feedback. First part is to get the data right, putting on dept website and will use to model. More traffic and more congestion will lead to greater response times. Mitigators: increase amount of fire fighters in the communities and decrease the amount of calls they go on.

Casas: Issues with cut through traffic - looking into speed bumps to mitigate. Response: Professional response - it can impact response times however as a community member, would rather have speed bumps to protect his children as long as fire fighters can prepare for them. Fire Dept does not have to sign off on new speed bumps.
Donovan: Environmental impact - is there a special unit to respond? Response: One full time city wide hazardous response and 3 part time staff. Special unit that deals specifically with environmental impacts. There is a unit here - Environmental Unit but HQ is downtown.

Handal: Second responder is 19 that travels down Bundy. Talk of putting a roundabout on Mayfield. How would this affect? Response: There are roundabouts in Venice - do not pose a challenge to us. Benefit is that traffic does not back up which can cause more issue that stopped traffic. Not an issue. Meeting with DOT to drive that area in Mayfield.

With all the new development - 59 does not have a ladder, what's the thought of the response time and having a ladder unit? Backup unit or ladder unit in 59? Response: Ladder trucks are very specialized and everyone on ladder trucks travel with water, very valuable resource. He would recommend if the area keeps expanding. Reality with finance - would be cost/benefit analysis. Lost 318 firefighters, Councilman is on point to getting restoration of resources. 59 has battery powered extraction tools - seeing that equipment is becoming smaller and more compact. 59 does not have the personnel.

Kato: Where is 19? Response: on Bundy and Sunset. Why mitigating traffic onto Mississippi - seems to be endorsing traffic onto Mississippi (question to Casas). Response: Not at all what he said. He wants to make it harder on them.

Public speaker: Tennessee building is leaking water out of foundation - no sign - tried contacting various resources but no assistance. Response: Keep database of every building with owner information. Website - Zeemus - where to pull up owner information. Apologies on behalf of 59 for not providing information.

**Bilingual Outreach Librarian (Alicia Rodriguez)**
New bilingual librarian for entire Westside. Different services for any community members - citizenship assistance, drivers license booklets in different languages. Story time for kids, teen programs.

Carrie Davies, librarian. Open 7 days a week now. Story time every Wed morning and Thursday afternoon and hoping to expand adult programs. Volunteers opportunities for Friends of the Library - primarily host book sales a few times/year and all money raised help supplement the library and pay for programs. Looking forward to Homeless Committee - would like to connect with the Committee to steer homeless in the right direction. No actual parking lot for the library so would love to have a parking lot. Planning for month of May to create an exhibit in library documenting history of the Sawtelle neighborhood and requesting any additional material or a speaker to present.

Handal: Suggesting parking in the big lot at Corinth.

Ericksen: Suggesting a sign for various city uses.

Nguye: Agreeing that library patrons can use the parking lot.

Handal: Suggesting Davies contact Ericksen to post information.
Online N.C. Voting (Opt in or opt out-Full Stip Sheet)

Gracie Liu: Have until June 1 to take action. Hope to speak with community to discuss. No cost to Council for online voting. Current system is paper ballot on one day for a period of time. Has led to low stakeholder engagement in elections. Online voting would provide ability to look at candidates online, register online, and vote online and by phone. Provides complete language translation. Presentation of History of Online Voting (presentation available online). Reinstating election town halls to see how to fully incorporate online voting into the process.

Levitus: If neighborhood council does not approve online voting would the 2014 system be maintained? Response: Yes. How would in person voting change? Response: Same day registration but would use computers. New component being added on not taking away from in person.

Hakimi: Security wise how will identities be protected? Response: Would highly recommend preregistering voters and doing preregistration drives. Online voting would require a picture ID and secondary documentation of stakeholder status.

Donovan: When this neighborhood Council was formed, we had a situation in which a Church was given a slate and voted in mass and elected one board member who resigned in the first month and had a board that was regarded as dysfunctional - can happen without online voting but more seriously with online voting. How do more votes translate into more civic participation? Response: If you engage your voters in terms of registration it is an education campaign to educate the voters and interact with the voters. Goal is to maintain the relationship with the stakeholders.

Seems like circular reasoning - have found that many people are single issue people. Seems encouraging voting by people who don't care about the neighborhood as a whole. Saying that creating social Darwinism. Requesting metrics for success? Response: Have seen that neighborhoods that have higher voter turnout are operating better. Understand the concern but some people are attracted by one issue and stay for other issues. Solution is not to make voting harder.

Casas: Mirroring comments by Donovan about Church voting year. Believe that voters should have to get up and vote in person. Does not believe in the fundamental definition of stakeholders as applied to transient workers. Response: What you're talking about is a systemic issue. If you don't believe in the definition of stakeholder than that's a totally different issue. Basically saying I want to exclude you because you're not as qualified as a stakeholder as someone who lives here.

Zana: Confused by the numbers that weren't discussed. Response: Provided numbers about how online voting measures up to current voting system. Funding for 50 neighborhood councils to do online and telephone voting. In the contract if more than 50 councils want to do it, would have to find additional funding for it.

Kato: Concerned about integrity of online voting. Does not think it should be an online system. Concern about security issues - if you're submitting documents online, then concern about someone hacking into the system or wifi during transmission. Again concern about people without stakes being able to vote. Response: Statistically youth participation decreasing because youth do everything online. At some point we have to agree to disagree. There's a whole generation of folks that would be fine with online voting. Again, if there's an issue with the definition of stakeholder, than that has to be taken up somewhere else. This is about
engaging more stakeholders. In terms of democratic process, you don't make it harder for someone to vote.

Fujimoto: Seeks clarification of numbers re: how many votes. Will there be an evaluation of the online voting? In favor of this to increase participation. Response: Do not know how many NCs will participate so these are projections. Yes there will be an evaluation.

Handal: I really don't care about this since we don't have to pay for it. Our job is to engage more people. What draws people out is single issues but they won't come for every issue. The reality is our goal has to be to make sure we do a better job as a system of getting out to more people. As to board voting structure - we've spoken about this with by-laws. Rosie has a distinct interest in saying an office can vote someone out. That's a parochial interest that can happen - I was voted out because of a church. In item 9 on stip sheet - change should be that everyone who goes to church, passes by a building can only vote for one seat. We need to make that change in the NC by-laws. Security issue - if I was a target, I would worry but not as concerned that they would hack this system. Only concern is about the quality of the stakeholder but it's our job to educate the stakeholders on our issues. NCs are really good if we're effective but we can't be effective if people don't know we're here. Question comes down to who do we represent, are we going to reach out. This is a philosophical issue of how we're going to move forward.

Donovan: Takes exception that if this NC goes against online voting they're making it harder to vote. Had one of the higher vote tallies in the last election - but seems that this system would encourage more politicking. As far as encouraging people for civics but getting them to vote is not the first step, that's the last step once they're already engaged. Increase the risk by online voting. Requesting info from NCs who have used online voting and their accomplishments that could be attributed by online voting. Response: See it differently, would see it as going out and informing the stakeholders of what you do. Suggesting outreach to the local schools, explaining the neighborhood council. Continuous education and building of registered voters that should be ongoing all year long.

Ericksen: Would disagree as a new board member - through campaigning learned more about the community and the community learned about me. Appreciate the position more because he had to earn the vote. From a board member perspective not having online voting was able to learn more about the community. Would not see the increased engagement stemming from online voter participation in the NC.

Hakimi: If you go online, would you still have in person option. Response: Yes, on a computer and only backup ballots if they couldn't use a computer.

Zana: Like this idea because it gives the platform for starting communication with younger people and people who are more comfortable online. We don't have a platform for reaching these people - could lead to forums, social networking with the people. Our neighborhood is young and we should do everything we can to at least take the first step. Response: Great point as first steps. Voting is one aspect and the second aspect is trying to do apps so that stakeholders and NCs could break down City Council files.

Public Comment:
Jay Ross - Thinks people need to show up. Against online voting

Carole: Should have both.
Don: Thinks definition of stakeholder is part of the discussion - unnerved that if someone is an occasional part-time worker that they're considered a stakeholder. Bombarded with electronic information and plan to do the more focused older approach of getting feedback back and forth. When I vote in public elections I only vote about 1/3.

Len: Speaking as Board member on Palms, this Board is replete with single issues and people have stayed past single issues.

Handal: Online voting does not preclude us from walking around and knocking on doors. Our bylaws currently have the definition but we can vote to change the stip sheet. Recommend that we have final comments at next board meeting and be prepared to vote. Owe it to the stakeholders and ourselves to flesh it out and make a decision not as us individually but for the community as a whole.

Thomas: Changing by-laws to show West L.A. - Sawtelle Neighborhood Council. Tomorrow regional ethics and funding training here at 6PM. If we take it tomorrow get credit 2 years from tomorrow.

Donovan: Wants to institute the by-laws that we voted on years ago.

Handal: Reviewed correspondence and the current version on linen isn’t the same one that was online a few weeks ago but still not the correct version. Will send the correct by-laws, with updated verbage to Thomas.

Donovan: Would go along way if the voted on by-laws were up on the website.

**Update Regarding Medical Marijuana Committee (Nathan)** - Main issue about Dept Finance issuing BTRCs - still in Committee and will try to push forward.

**DWP REPORT (Watanabe):**
Absent.

**Public Work Report (Kato):**
Nothing to report.

**OUTREACH COMMITTEE REPORT (Ericksen):**
Motion to allocate up to 500.00 for postcards. $1200 going out with Run for Hope.

Motion was made (Ericksen), seconded (Casas). Approved.

**Motion to allocate up to 600.00 for logo tablecloths.** 3 8ft that can fold down to 6ft with NC logo. Motion was made (Ericksen), seconded (Fujimoto). Kato Against. Approved.

Levitus: Where to purchase? Response: Banner Lab. If you find something better, welcome to look.

**Motion to allocate up to 150.00 for signs at station 59 LAFD re dog code** Motion was
made (Ericksen), seconded (Hakimi). Levitus and Kato against. Approved.
Kato: This is all over the neighborhood not just the fire station. Response: If you have some place, make a motion.

Levitus: Can they afford the signs on their own? Response: No.

**Motion to allocate 500.00 for sponsorship of Sawtelle Japantown event** Motion was made (Ericksen), seconded (Levitus). Kato Abstaining. Approved.

Kato: What will it be used for? Why doesn't anyone ever offer estimates?

Ericksen: I gave the specifications. You'll see what it's spent on after the fact. Would it affect how you're going to vote? You know what it's in general going for - it's going to a good event.

**Motion to Allocate up to 500.00 for candy and supplies for Easter Egg hunt @ Stoner** Motion was made (Ericksen), seconded (Casas). Also in conjunction with the United Methodist Church and Stoner Park. Something we do annually. Approved.

**Motion to allocate from F/Y 2015-16 4500.00 for outdoor movie series @ Stoner** Motion was made (Ericksen), seconded (Zana).

Kato: Estimate on how the money will be spent? Requesting estimate to be voted on intelligently.


**Motion to be a sponsor of the Outdoor Concert series Produced by Touch Vinyl (No Fiscal impact)** PULLED.

Ericksen: In addition to Sawtelle Japantown - will be tabling at Easter egg hunt, Run for Hope, and West LA Chamber of Commerce at Health and Business Expo.

Casas: Requesting dates.

**PUBLIC SAFETY:**

**Officer Gonzales Report,** Two incidents of indecent exposure on March 12th and 19th - 2 different suspects. Collected good descriptions. Two burglaries one on Westgate one on and Granville. Lock your doors and windows. Suggests leaving on lights, TV, radio. Increase in car thefts, older model Toyota and Hondas. Do not leave valuables in plain view. Homelessness in this area and the city is out of control - supports the formation of Homeless Committee.

Handal: One of Sawtelle leaders release - getting hit hard with tagging. Requesting someone from Gang contact us. Response: Around Stoner Park, old Sawtellers have moved but still claim this area.

Kato: Are the burglaries at night or during the day? Response: 90% of the time during the day when no one is home. Car windows being smashed? Response: Happens everywhere.
Fujimoto: Update on triangle issue of homeless? Response: Nothing to report - Kirk will discuss next time.

**Neighborhood Prosecutor Victoria De la Cruz,** Absent.

**Public Safety Committee report (Fujimoto),** Nothing to report.

**BY LAWS COMMITTEE (DONOVAN):**
Will get voted upon bylaws up.

**CD 11 - COUNCILMAN BONIN (REPRESENTED BY LEN NGUYEN, FIELD DEPUTY):**
Street services came through the neighborhood and will continue to do it on a regular basis. Councilman wrote letter in support of enacting a livable wage to $15.25 by 2019, which differs from majors proposal of $13. CD11 small business survey found that 55% of small businesses in the area supporting. Two upcoming events in the neighborhood, Saturday a hike with Councilman. Sunday Sawtelle Japantown celebration at noon. Media will be represented. A lot of support for Sawtelle Japantown.

**MAYOR OF LA - GARCETTI (REPRESENTED BY DANIEL TAMM -WESTSIDE AREA):**
Absent.

**ASSEMBLY MEMBER BLOOM - (REPRESENTED BY STEPHANIE COHEN):**
Covers half of West LA area that the Council covers. Brought pamphlets with services contact information. Office moved 2800 28th Street Suite 105. Holding a poster contest for students in grade 1-12 in honor of Earth Day. Deadline to April 9. Legislation - has introduced entire legislation package for the year - homeless youth education system reform. Last two years has been trying to release redevelopment funds, trying again this year, last year vetoed. Funding for water crisis. Homelessness - definitely support Homeless Committee and would like to join the Committee if permitted. PATH facility on Cotner until March 15 was for short term housing for people who are situationally homeless, PATH signed contract with VA so now for chronically homeless veterans. Suggests PATH to be a good ally for this community. People in that home were all redistributed into other PATH homes.

**CONGRESSMAN TED LIEU - (REPORESENTED BY JANET TURNER):**
Absent.

**ASSEMBLY MEMBER RIDLEY THOMAS - (REPRESENTED BY SCOTT MAILSEN):**
Absent.

**STONE PARK REPORT (JASON LISS):**
Absent.

**FINANCE AND BUDGET REPORT (ZANA):**
Review and approve outstanding monthly funding reports, Refuse to fill out the forms because they are password protected and cannot be changed by Zana. Did not have a card for awhile. Spent $342 - nothing out of ordinary, regular monthly charges. Motion was made (Zana), seconded (Ericksen). Approved.

**PLUM COMMITTEE PROJECT PRESENTATION, REPORTS AND/OR PLUM ACTION RE PROJECTS:**
Donovan recusing himself.

**Motion from PLUM to Approve Small Lot Sub Division 1852 Stoner Ave.**
Subdividing the property into 4 small lots. Lined up along the driveway. Properties are subdivided and individually owned. Trying to keep the mass of the building as sensitive as possible relative to the neighborhood.

**Public Comments:**
Jay Ross: This could be so much better but they're doing the minimum. Advocate taking a neutral stance. Would suggest making it a three story rather than 4. Point of setback is to provide an area for children to play. Could make a smaller project if they really care about the neighborhood. An important thing is natural surveillance. If living is on second floor, can't survey. Stairwell provides dead space.

Carole: Asking for knocking off top floor and making more green space in the back.

**Board Comments:**
Zana: Is that what's been approved based on rendering. I came from Europe, can't point to any building that they like. No creativity anymore - reminds me of typical Communist buildings. Sometimes as a board we have to compromise but this is replacing all the houses in the neighborhood with these buildings. Response: Yes. The space we put beyond the building is above and beyond what most people do in this situation.

Kato: April 2013 - motion to put moratorium on small lot subdivisions. This is not affordable housing - need to have living quarters on main level. Don't understand the galvanized steel - may reflect heat onto neighboring building. Next door homes is not going to see light of day. Destroying the whole neighborhood. Response: City did not put a moratorium but we can request.

Casas: Approved the project next door. 40 feet 2 inches tall. Got other building to go down to 39 feet. Recall having a little house and the neighbors complained that if they build next door they won't have any light next door. Like the idea of small lot subdivision but have issue with the height because next door was able to come down. Would like to see it comedown so it's not taller than next door. And next door will probably do the same thing so it's inevitable that they'll do it next door. Property rights issue - man owns the house so he can build something. If he's building something that people will own supports small lot, but would like to bring the height down. Zoned for small lot subdivisions.

Don: Doesn't like materials - wood, metal siding. 3 story would be much more acceptable without top floor. Maxing out sq footage to sell for more money. About getting the most sq footage for the most money.


Ericksen: Doesn't like that we're losing all our single family homes. Sad to see how the community is changing. Would not want to see this happen so we can retain the single family homes.

Fujimoto: If we approve this the whole block will be the same. Would like more explanation of
sight view. Also set back. Response: Code is 5 feet, so within code.

Handal: We all hate these buildings and what's happening to the neighborhood but we can't stop it. Ask for things, good developer works with us - some will and some won't. What I'm hearing is the metal isn't wonderful, the wood is an aesthetic issue, entitled to the height and actually more height. As much as we hate it we have to focus on the issue. Our job is to tell them what we really like by asking for a moratorium and unanimously passed that went to Mike Bonin and there's not a moratorium. We have codes and zones we have to deal with so we can look at metal and ask to drop a foot. Response: Getting sense that the design is controversial. Changed materials to give it a better feel you may not like the wood if you prefer the whole thing to be stucco, that would be a lot cheaper. Metal is an intelligent material because it's light and reduces the amount of structure needed at the upper levels. Issue of Disney Concert Hall and reflectivity - that portion of the building was mirror finished stainless steel - what they did was sanded it down to make it standard metal finish. This will not be reflective metal will be dull matte.

Kato: What was motivation in design? To maximize everything or did you take the community into account? This could be rented out and could be transient. Response: This is not maximized. Any building could have renters.

Zana: Buildings in Santa Monica that I don’t like but then get information on how green they are - no information given on that. Response: These buildings designed to work without AC. Gaps allowing air to flow.

Kato: Saying if there’s dead air or no draft, no ventilation. Can you come back with another design that blends in with the neighborhood more? Response: This is the only design, was not asked to come back.

Motion was made to approve the building at max height of 39 feet with non reflective metal (Handal), seconded (Casas). Fujimoto abstain. Ericksen and Kato, Against. Approved.

**Update 2011 Barry (Casas)** - Another contentious project will subdivide a 14000 lot into 2 lots. Have rejected at PLUM 4 times, going to Zoning Administrator on April 1 before next PLUM meeting. He's going to get it and he knows it.

**BOARD COMMENTS:**

Levitus: Objecting against apartment residents being transient.

Casas: Sawtelle Overlay committee came up with guidelines.

Kato: Still think we need a moratorium on small lot subdivisions need to approach CD11.

**ADJOURN:**

Meeting adjourned at 10:38PM.