Using a Biosolids Management System (BMS) to Advance Quality Practices
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San Francisco’s Land Application Program
San Francisco’s Biosolids Program

- Class B biosolids
- SFPUC is committed to recycling 100% of its biosolids
- 80,000 wet tons/year
- Four reuse locations
  - ~55% to landfill beneficial use
  - ~40% to land application
  - ~5% to Class A compost
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History of Biosolids Beneficial Use

- Prior to 2000 biosolids disposed or reused (alternative daily cover) in Bay Area landfills
- Limited quantities land applied in Solano County in 2000; subsequent expansion
- In 2002 increased community complaints threatened program
- SFPUC engaged in multi-pronged effort to support land application program
Biosolids Management System

• In 2003 SFPUC signed letter of understanding with NBP
• Key outcomes dovetailed with SFPUC vision:
  • Regulatory compliance
  • Quality management
  • Improved stakeholder relations
  • Environmental performance
• Gap analysis by NBP contractors
• Efforts suspended when SFPUC undertook large capital planning effort
Why Revive the BMS?
Why Revive the BMS?

• Tool for best practices, supports future capital improvement
• Supports knowledge capture
• Continual improvement model for transition to Class A program
• High risk, high visibility program
• Succession planning
Reviving the BMS

• Significant changes in management and organization
• BMS aligned with new strategic business planning
• Parallel effort in collection system division (ISO 14001)
• In 2011 management approved a new BMS manual
• SFPUC Commissioners approved new BMS policy statement
• Policy and manual dovetails with capital planning
Implementing the BMS
Implementing the BMS

• NBP’s program based on plan-do-check-act model

• BMS elements
  • Policy
  • Planning
  • Implementation
  • Measurement and Corrective Action
  • Management Review
BMS Elements

- BMS manual
- Biosolids management policy
- Biosolids program goals and objectives
- Legal and other requirements
- Public participation in planning
- Roles and responsibilities
- Training
- Biosolids critical control points

- Communication
- Operational control
- Monitoring and measurement
- Preventative and corrective action
- Biosolids management program report
- Internal EMS audit
- Management review
- Emergency preparedness
- Documentation and document control

Most Room for Improvement
Critical Control Points

LEGEND
- Liquid Stream
- Solids Stream
- Biogas
- Chemical Injection
- Heat
- Recycled Stream
- Critical Control Point
- Critical Control Process
- Trucked Waste

ABBREVIATIONS
- S Sample Point
- PS Primary Sludge
- RAS Return Activated Sludge
- WAS Waste Activated Sludge
- TWAS Thickened WAS
Critical Control Point: SEP Anaerobic Digestion

- Occasional low detention times, temperatures and VSR recorded
- Addition of heated storage digesters
- Adjust active volume annually for grit volume and cover position
- Pump rates during power curtailment – setting operational boundaries per adjusted active volume
- Digester cleaning program invigorated
- Training on temperature readings
- Diversion from land application if Class B not met
Loss of active volume due to grit accumulation
Critical Control Point: Land Application

- Ensuring compliance
- Third party contractors are responsible for load out, trucking and land application
  - Contractual obligation to support BMS
  - Regular meetings with haulers
  - Requirements for driver training and equipment for spills
  - SFPUC performs inspections of land application sites
  - Contractual penalties for failure to follow BMPs, regulatory violations, etc.
Emergency Preparedness

• Spill control packet developed for contract hauler

• Training for key SFPUC personnel: operations, communications, regulatory

• Routine meetings for land applier, hauler, and key SFPUC staff members to address field practices
Document Control – SOPs

- At the OSP, processes had changed significantly – no documentation for these changes
- Manuals and SOPs support consistent operation
- Procedures assist with troubleshooting
- Procedures assist with operator training
Continual Improvement
Focus on Other BMS Elements

- Training: CCPs for operations and engineering annually
- Document Control: Logsheets and SOPs
- Reporting mechanisms for Commission and SFPUC management to maintain awareness of program
Internal Audit

- Personnel selected from each major division
- Helps raises BMS awareness
- Introduces more objectivity from those outside the program
- Weekly meetings over three months
Third-Party Audit

- General awareness – credit cards of CCPs
- Training
- Posters for control room
- Teaser posters for entire plant
- Outreach to other BMS stakeholders
The Future of the BMS

• Third party scheduled for 2015 – Silver Level Recognition
• Once certified – Gold Level Recognition
• Plan to do annual audits and review to work towards Platinum Level Recognition
Summary of Lessons Learned

• Seems like big hurdle—but you are doing most of this already
• Management support critical
• Internal champion key
• Even good programs can improve
• Benefits outweigh efforts
• Contracting with third party auditors (planning and contracting rules)
Questions?

nsierra@brwncald.com