Physiological Noise in MR Images: An Indicator of the Tissue Response to Ischemia?
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Purpose: To determine whether measuring signal intensity (SI) fluctuations in MRI time series data from acute stroke patients would identify ischemic tissue.

Materials and Methods: Prebolus perfusion-weighted MRI data from 32 acute ischemic stroke patients (N = 32) was analyzed as a time series. Ischemic and normal tissue regions were outlined and compared.

Results: The magnitude of the measured SI fluctuations was significantly lower in ischemic regions relative to normal tissue. Spatial differences in these fluctuations occurred in a manner that was different than other perfusion-based metrics.

Conclusion: Prior studies have shown that SI fluctuations in MRI time series data correspond to the presence of physiological “noise,” which includes vasomotion, an autoregulatory phenomenon that affects the tissue response to ischemia. In this study, SI fluctuations were found to decrease in ischemia, consistent with the notion that small vessels will remain open (fluctuations in vessel diameter will decrease) when there is a challenge to flow. Spatial variation in SI fluctuations appeared to be different from spatial variation seen on other perfusion-based metrics, suggesting that a separate contrast mechanism is responsible, one that might be of diagnostic and prognostic value in acute stroke in which the ability of tissue to withstand ischemia is currently not well visualized.

Key Words: stroke; ischemia; MRI; vasomotion; noise

SPONTANEOUS low frequency oscillations (<0.1 Hz) in regional cerebral blood flow (CBF) and oxygenation have been observed using blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) MRI time series measurements in the so-called “resting state,” i.e., in the absence of any functional task (Fig. 1) (1–6). The frequency of these fluctuations is much lower than those attributed to cardiac motion and respiration (with fundamental frequencies at approximately 1 Hz and 0.2–0.3 Hz, respectively). Together, these three frequency components constitute the small physiological signals, sometimes referred to as physiological “noise,” in MRI time series data, modulating signal intensity (SI). Numerous techniques have been developed to isolate and remove this and other physiological noise components from BOLD data (7–10) and, although the biological basis of the low frequency component of physiological noise is not completely understood, it is believed to correspond to arteriolar vasomotion, an adaptive hemodynamic response that helps regulate blood flow. Indeed, the low-frequency component found in MRI time series data corresponds to the frequency attributed to arteriolar vasomotion and has been found to be synchronized between linked brain regions, suggesting neuronal mediation, and hence a link to neuronal viability.

Vasomotion is the rhythmic oscillation in vascular diameter or tone caused by local changes in vascular smooth muscle contraction and dilation, and differs from oscillations caused by pulse, respiration, and neuronal activity. Vasomotion is thought to occur normally...
and varies in response to the tissue’s demand for oxygen. Thus, one might expect that vasomotion would be altered in ischemia, with the microvasculature maximally dilated. Studies have confirmed that the amplitude of synchronized fluctuations decreases (consistent with the notion that the arterioles remain open) in response to altered brain perfusion due to hypotension, hyperventilation, cerebral artery occlusion, and cerebral vasoconstriction (3,11). Furthermore, fluctuations were found to be absent in severely ischemic brain regions (11). Thus, measurements of vasomotion appear likely to be of potential diagnostic and prognostic value in determining tissue fate in acute stroke.

Currently, imaging in the acute phase of ischemic stroke is used to establish or confirm the diagnosis and to exclude hemorrhage. Much research has focused on utilizing imaging to differentiate between ischemic tissue that is potentially salvageable and tissue that is irreversibly injured. To this end, diffusion-weighted and perfusion-weighted MRI (DWI and PWI, respectively) techniques have shown great utility in acute stroke (12–24), helping to define the abnormally perfused territory and depicting the tissue that is likely to infarct. However, the natural history of abnormally perfused tissue is highly variable and this variability is not fully determined by DWI and PWI parameters. Measuring vasomotion could complement existing MRI techniques used in stroke by providing a much needed window into the ability of tissue to withstand ischemia.

In this study, we analyzed prebolus PWI MR images from stroke patients as time series data and quantified physiological noise by measuring the shot-to-shot variation in the time series SI, calculated via the standard deviation (SD). PWI is performed routinely in the acute stroke setting to visualize the extent of the ischemic territory and, therefore, is readily available for further analyses. PWI consists of a time series of T2*-weighted images, similar in this sense to BOLD data in which low frequency fluctuations have been observed. In order to avoid confounds due to the contrast bolus, we limited our examination to the prebolus segment. The goal of this pilot study was to determine whether measures of physiological noise in this data would identify ischemic tissue. Specifically, we sought to determine: 1) whether there is any spatial structure to maps that quantify SI fluctuations (a measure of physiological noise) in acute stroke prebolus PWI; 2) whether any such spatial variation corresponds to tissue at risk of infarction on follow-up; and 3) whether this spatial variation is different than the commonly calculated PWI metrics of mean transit time (MTT), CBF, and cerebral blood volume (CBV).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This was a retrospective study of consecutively-acquired patient data sets. To be included in the study, ischemic stroke patients had to have undergone PWI within 12 hours of symptom onset, follow-up T2 imaging a minimum of five days after symptom onset, and no visually appreciable gross head motion on PWI. A total of 32 patients with anterior MCA ischemic stroke were included (17 men and 15 women), with Institutional Review Board approval. The average age of the patients was 67 years. The average time to MRI was 6.5 hours.

MR images were acquired as follows. Axial single-shot echo-planar images were acquired during the first pass of 0.2 mmol/kg of a gadolinium-based contrast agent injected 10 seconds after the start of imaging at a rate of 5 mL/second with use of an MRI-compatible power injector (Medrad, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). The contrast agent was followed by a comparable volume of normal saline injected at the same rate of 5 mL/second. Data-sets consisted of 7–11 slices over 40–80 time points at 1.5 T. A fixed field of view (FOV) of 220 × 220 mm and an acquisition matrix of 128 × 128 pixels were used.
Slice thickness was 5–6 mm and TR/TE = 1500/65–78 msec.

Because of the low number of prebolus images in these PWI series, it was not possible to perform a Fourier transform analysis, filtering for the low frequency component. Instead, MRI SI fluctuations were quantified by calculating the SD of the prebolus perfusion images ($\sigma_{SI}$). The number of images varied from patient to patient, 17 images on average. $\sigma_{SI}^2$ was calculated on a voxel-by-voxel basis to produce maps. The first two images were excluded from the $\sigma_{SI}^2$ calculation because they often showed different SIs due to transient magnetization, leaving approximately 15 images used to generate each patient’s $\sigma_{SI}^2$ map. To avoid introducing artifacts in calculating $\sigma_{SI}^2$, no filtering, data fitting, or motion correction algorithms were used (5).

Concentrations vs. time curves were extrapolated from the perfusion images on a voxel-by-voxel basis. Integrating the curve over time produces values proportional to CBV. CBF was then computed using deconvolution techniques (25). From the central volume theorem, MTT was then calculated as $\text{MTT} = \frac{\text{CBV}}{\text{CBF}}$.

A neuroradiologist blinded to the follow-up images outlined areas of abnormal MTT representing the acute lesion and the outlines were transferred to the $\sigma_{SI}^2$ maps. Lesions were additionally manually subdivided into gray and white matter with the help of the first image in the perfusion series, excluding voxels containing CSF or those from blood vessels. Healthy gray and white matter were also manually outlined. Voxels pertaining to one of the four subgroups (lesion white, lesion gray, normal white, and normal gray) in all slices were pooled for each patient, and statistical tests were done to compare differences between these pools of voxels for each patient. The difference between lesion gray and normal gray matter, as well as lesion white and normal white matter, was calculated for each patient. Student’s t-test was then applied to the gray and white matter $\sigma_{SI}^2$ differences on a patient-by-patient basis to determine whether they were significant ($P = 0.05$). This process was then repeated using CBF and then CBV maps to identify the acute lesion.

Areas of abnormal T2, representing the final infarct, were also outlined for each patient and the outlines transferred to the $\sigma_{SI}^2$ maps. We compared gray and white matter in the regions that were “missed” on acute PWI (i.e., those regions that appeared normal on acute perfusion imaging but went onto infarct on follow-up T2) to normal tissue (i.e., tissue that was normal on acute DWI and PWI as well as on follow-up T2 images).

**RESULTS**

**Normal Variation**

We observed variations in the $\sigma_{SI}^2$ maps that generally corresponded to a spatial pattern. In normal sections, this spatial pattern corresponded to gray-white contrast. For 31 of 32 patients, normal white matter voxels had significantly lower average $\sigma_{SI}^2$ than voxels of normal gray matter ($P = 0.05, Table 1$). This difference, as high as 20% in some cases, was $11.4 \pm 4.3\%$ on average. An example of a normal brain slice, showing the difference in $\sigma_{SI}^2$ between white and gray matter, is shown in Fig. 2. For the remaining patient, the average $\sigma_{SI}^2$ in voxels of normal white matter was not statistically different than the average $\sigma_{SI}^2$ in voxels of normal gray matter.

![Figure 2. An example of a normal brain slice from a stroke patient who showed decreased $\sigma_{SI}^2$ in normal white matter relative to normal gray matter. The $\sigma_{SI}^2$ map was maximally windowed to best show the differences between white and gray matter. Also shown are acute DWI, MTT, CBF, CBV, and follow-up T2 images. SI vs. time curves for a normal gray matter voxel and a normal white matter voxel are plotted. These clearly show decreased fluctuations normally found in white matter relative to gray.](image-url)
**Ischemic Differences**

Figure 3 is an example of a brain slice with a lesion, showing the difference in $\sigma_{S1}^2$ between normal tissue and the lesion. There were often no obvious boundaries on the $\sigma_{S1}^2$ maps between normal tissue and the lesion. We therefore used MTT, CBF, and CBV maps to select regions of interest in order to assess whether $\sigma_{S1}^2$ reflects ischemia. Table 2 summarizes the differences in $\sigma_{S1}^2$ between voxels in the lesion as identified on acute MTT maps and voxels identified as normal tissue. Because of the differences in $\sigma_{S1}^2$ seen normally between gray and white matter, we analyzed these two tissue types separately. We found that for gray matter, MTT lesion $\sigma_{S1}^2$ was lower than normal in most patients (20/32) by approximately 6.4% on average. We found that for white matter, the average $\sigma_{S1}^2$ in lesion voxels was often lower than average $\sigma_{S1}^2$ in normal voxels (11 patients), but, equally often, not significantly different than normal (11 patients).

Table 3 summarizes the findings for the average $\sigma_{S1}^2$ in lesion voxels compared to normal voxels when CBF was used to define the acute lesion. For gray matter, average $\sigma_{S1}^2$ was lower than normal for most (25 of 32) cases (Table 3). For white matter, average $\sigma_{S1}^2$ was not clearly distinguishable from the average $\sigma_{S1}^2$ in normal voxels as often as it was lower than in normal voxels (lower average $\sigma_{S1}^2$ in 13 patients, similar in 19 patients). Table 4 summarizes the findings for $\sigma_{S1}^2$ lesion compared to normal when CBV was used to define the acute lesion. For gray matter, average $\sigma_{S1}^2$ in lesion voxels was lower than average $\sigma_{S1}^2$ in normal voxels for only half of the cases (15/30).

In addition, we examined $\sigma_{S1}^2$ maps for their ability to detect ischemia in regions that appeared normal on all acute PWI maps but went onto infarct on follow-up T2 (termed “missed” regions). For gray matter, $\sigma_{S1}^2$ was able to reflect abnormalities consistent with ischemia in missed regions in at least half of the cases (Table 5) for MTT, CBF, and CBV, demonstrating that $\sigma_{S1}^2$ contains information beyond that seen on acute PWI. These findings were not observed in white matter (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

In conclusion, we report a novel contrast mechanism to visualize brain ischemia. SD ($\sigma_{S1}^2$) maps of MRI time series data, which quantify noise, including noise from physiological sources, appear to contain spatial structure, vary with tissue type and pathology, and differ from PWI metrics calculated from, in part, the same underlying imaging data. To our knowledge, this is the first report of an investigation into the utility of measuring physiological noise in MRI data in stroke. Our work supports earlier reports of low frequency signal fluctuations consistent with physiologic vasomotion in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Comparison Using MTT</th>
<th>Table 3 Comparison Using CBF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gray matter</strong></td>
<td><strong>Gray matter</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>No. of patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average difference in $\sigma_{S1}^2$</td>
<td>Average difference in $\sigma_{S1}^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion &lt; normal</td>
<td>Lesion &lt; normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-6.4 \pm 6.2%$</td>
<td>$-5.4 \pm 5.0%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion = normal</td>
<td>Lesion = normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/S</td>
<td>N/S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesion &gt; normal</td>
<td>Lesion &gt; normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4.3 \pm 2.7%$</td>
<td>$3.2%$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/S = not significant</td>
<td>N/S = not significant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N/S = not significant.
In extending this type of analysis to patients with acute ischemic stroke, we report that $\sigma_{SI}^2$ appears to be a novel contrast mechanism to visualize ischemic tissue. We found that $\sigma_{SI}^2$ maps of prebolus perfusion images show spatial variation both between normal gray and white matter, and also between ischemic and normal tissue. Because thermal and scanner noise do not typically correspond to any particular spatial distribution, we speculate that the regional differences seen on the $\sigma_{SI}^2$ maps are due to physiological noise. Furthermore, because we do not expect to find differences from bulk cardiac (26) and/or respiratory motion in ischemic regions relative to normal, we propose that the spatial differences we observed in $\sigma_{SI}^2$ are due to the vasomotion component of physiological noise.

We expect that, while related, $\sigma_{SI}^2$ maps should provide different information than CBF since one may be more sensitive to the microvasculature while the other may be more sensitive to the macrovasculature. If this is not the case, then $\sigma_{SI}^2$ may provide a nonexogenous-contrast method of measuring CBF. We found that $\sigma_{SI}^2$ indicated the same threatened tissue as CBF in most (25/32), but not all, patients (7/32). In addition, $\sigma_{SI}^2$ was sensitive to tissue at risk “missed” on CBF in seven out of 12 patients in whom “missed” regions were observed. While these findings need to be confirmed in a larger cohort, this suggests that $\sigma_{SI}^2$ and CBF provide different information.

One limitation to our pilot study is its retrospective nature. In addition to the unknown biases that can arise from retrospective studies, a shortcoming is the frequency of the prebolus PWI images was not sufficiently high (i.e., the TR was 1.5 seconds) to avoid aliasing of the cardiac peak (approximately 1 Hz), and the limited number of prebolus images made it unfeasible to perform more sophisticated analyses (i.e., spectral filtering methods) to isolate the low frequency component of the signal (as performed for the subject shown in Fig. 1). With this relatively slow sampling rate and limited number, the power spectrum of the noise is not well populated. Thus, we cannot state with certainty that the $\sigma_{SI}^2$ differences we see in the brain are due to processes occurring at the 0.1 Hz rate that has been speculated to correspond to vasomotion. While vasomotion differences could easily be responsible for the $\sigma_{SI}^2$ variation we observed, a higher sampling rate (to avoid aliasing of the cardiac signal) and more images (to improve the signal-to-noise ratio [SNR]) are required to confirm this. It should also be noted that there are other physiological processes besides vasomotion that have been measured as occurring at or near 0.1 Hz. For instance, low-frequency oscillations in blood pressure occur in the vicinity of 0.1 Hz. Regardless of the precise physiological processes responsible for the spatial variation in our “noise” measurements, the fact that this variation appears to correspond to pathology confirms that such measurements have potential clinical utility and therefore warrant further investigation. Our results, therefore, point clearly to a need for further studies but also provide the motivation for such studies.

Newer techniques that purportedly investigate the status of the microvasculature, such as spin echo, dual echo interleaved spin echo, and gradient echo acquisitions, may help further isolate the vasomotion contribution to physiological noise. Recently, postprocessing techniques to develop CBF maps based on multiple localized arterial input functions were developed (27,28), which may additionally improve our ability to visualize the status of the microvasculature. Furthermore, improved acquisition techniques such as the use of eight-channel receivers and 3T (or 7T) imaging, which may result in less machine noise, will likely improve sensitivity to physiological noise (29,30).
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