Redevelopment Area #1 Project Plan City of Stoughton, WI

Prepared For:



City of Stoughton Redevelopment Authority 381 East Main Street Stoughton, WI 53589 (608) 873-6677



999 Fourier Drive, Suite 201 Madison, WI 53717 (608) 826-0532

Adopted by the City Council March 25, 2008

Redevelopment District #1

Project Plan Adopted: March 25, 2008

Stoughton City Council

Helen Johnson, Mayor Denise Duranczyk Larry Weiss Sonny Swangstu Paul Lawrence Ron Christianson Dave McKichan Carl Chenoweth Pat Schneider Ross Scovotti Gary Locke Jim Griffin Rollie Odland

Stoughton Plan Commission

Mayor Helen Johnson, Chair Denise Duranczyk Jim Griffin Eric Hohol Todd Krcma Bob McGeever David McKichan Steve Kittelson, Building Inspector & Secretary

Stoughton Redevelopment Authority Ed Bieno Ron Christianson Dan Kittleson Paul Lawrence Constance Mathison Jim Schaefer Steve Sletten

© 2008 Vierbicher Associates

Project Manager – Gary Becker

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Approval Process	1
Inventory of Area	
Municipal Jurisdiction and Property Ownership	2
Existing Land Use & Property Conditions	2
Adjacent Areas	4
Existing Zoning	5
Topography and Natural Features	7
Infrastructure Report	7
S.W.O.T. Analysis	
Main Street Corridor	
Rail Corridor	
Residential Blocks	13
River Corridor	14
Housing Market Analysis	15
Summary of Findings	15
Demographic Data Review and Analysis	15
Supply and Demand Analysis	18
Redevelopment Plans	
Coordinate Redevelopment Efforts	
Facilitate Redevelopment of Blighted Properties in the Redevelopment Area	24
Establish Public/Private Partnership Mechanisms to Foster Redevelopment	25
Eliminate Stigmas and Negative Perceptions Associated With the Area	25
Increase the Number of Housing Units Available in the Redevelopment Area	26
Replace Heavy Industrial uses with Additional Residential, Commercial, and Parks & Open Sp	oace
Uses	
Utilize the Yahara River as a Natural Feature and Amenity	27
Utilize the Railroad Corridor and the River Corridor to Connect to the Existing Trail System	
Increase Commercial Activity along Main St.	30
Implementation & Financing	
Redevelopment Financing Sources	
Relationship to Local Objectives	36
Relocation	
Conclusion	38
Appendix A: Action Plan Matrix	
Appendix B: Property List	41
Appendix C: Maps	47
Map #1: Redevelopment Area Boundary	48
Map #2: Property Conditions	
Map #3: Current Land Uses	50
Map #4: Proposed Land Uses	51
Map #5: Proposed Improvements	52
Map #6: Zoning	
Appendix D: Grant Information	
Appendix E: RDA Meeting Minutes	
Appendix F: Resolutions	

1 Introduction

This redevelopment plan for Redevelopment Area #1 (RA #1) in the City of Stoughton has been prepared in compliance with Wisconsin Statutes Chapter 66.1331(5). The plan establishes a need for the district, the proposed improvements within the district, an estimated time schedule, and an estimated budget. The plan also includes a detailed description of the RA #1 boundaries. The boundary is a Redevelopment Project Area for exercising the power of the Redevelopment Authority (RDA) as defined in 66.1331(3)(h); the Plan for the area is a Redevelopment Plan required to be prepared by an RDA in compliance with 66.1331(3)(Lm).

Approval Process

The Stoughton City Council created the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Stoughton on June 26, 2007. The Mayor then appointed the RDA members. The RDA held their first meeting on August 22, 2007. At that meeting they decided to investigate the rail corridor/Main Street/Yahara River area as a potential redevelopment area. At the September 12th meeting of the RDA a decision was made regarding a boundary Redevelopment Area #1, and to use a previous plan for the area as the foundation for this redevelopment plan. The City of Stoughton RDA is authorized to prepare the plans necessary to carry out a plan of redevelopment within RA #1.

On October 12, 2007, the RDA discussed a draft of the plan and reviewed an informational letter to be sent to property owners to publicize a public listening session. A listening session was held by the RDA on November 14, 2007. Fourteen members of the public spoke at the session, with many more attending. The RDA met on December 4, 2007 to discuss future funding sources. The RDA met again on December 12, 2007 and recommended the blight determination be adopted by the Council. A public hearing notice was published in the Stoughton Courier-Hub on January 3rd and January 10th. The RDA met on January 7th to review a revised boundary and blight determination, incorporating the Stoughton school district's bus barn property. The public hearing was held by the RDA on January 22, 2008, after which they adopted a revised boundary resolution and forwarded their recommendation to the City Council. The City Council approved the finding of blight on January 22, 2008 (after a first reading was held on January 8th) and discussed the boundaries of the plan. The Council requested that the RDA consider adding territory to the north, to include the Stoughton Packing Corporation property. The RDA discussed revising the boundaries and related edits to the plan at a February 3, 2008 meeting. The RDA held a public hearing on the revised boundaries on March 25, 2008, and subsequently approved the boundary and plan. The City Council adopted the plan on March 25, 2008.

This is to be used as the official plan that guides redevelopment activities within RA #1. Implementation of the plan and completion of the proposed activities will require a case by case authorization by the Redevelopment Authority and City Council. Public expenditures for projects listed in the plan will be based on the development status of the land and economic conditions existing at the time the project is scheduled for construction. The City Council and Redevelopment Authority are not mandated to make expenditures described in this plan. Changes in boundaries or additional project categories not identified here will require formal amendment to the plan involving public review and City Council approval.

As stated in the City Council resolution approving this plan (see attachments), this Redevelopment Plan conforms to the Comprehensive Plan of the City of Stoughton.



2 Inventory of Area

The Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Area is located in the south east quadrant of the City of Stoughton. The planning area is bounded by the Yahara River to the south, the property lines behind homes facing Lynn St. to the east, 4th St. south of South St., and 5th St. north of South St. to the west, and the northern property boundaries of numerous parcels facing Main St. between 5th St. and Hillside Ave. to the north. Map 1 (in Appendix C) shows the planning area boundaries.

A majority of the structures in the redevelopment area are residential or industrial, and there are a majority of buildings that are deteriorated, obsolete, and some that possess inadequate provision for ventilation, light, air, and sanitation. Many of the residential and industrial building façades show substantial deterioration, and a strong case can be made that the Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Area is in a condition consistent with Wisconsin State Statutory definitions of a blighted area. A collection of photos illustrating property conditions is attached as an appendix to this Plan.

There are a variety of key features in and around the planning area that will impact how the area redevelops:

- The Railroad corridor along the east side of the planning area;
- The Yahara River on the southern border of the planning area;
- The proximity of the planning area to Main St. and the central business district;
- The diverse mix of property uses residential in proximity to industrial within the planning area.

Municipal Jurisdiction and Property Ownership

The Redevelopment Area contains approximately 50 acres of property, excluding right of way. All of the property is located within the municipal limits of the City of Stoughton, and located within the Stoughton Area School District.

Property ownership in the redevelopment area varies widely, with parcels ranging from nearly five acres to less than a tenth of an acre in size. There are a number of owners who maintain numerous properties in the redevelopment area. Appendix B provides an alphabetical list of property owners in the redevelopment area by parcel and can be used with Map 1 as a reference guide to properties in the redevelopment area.

Existing Land Use & Property Conditions

Land uses in the area are predominantly residential, commercial and industrial. Industrial uses are concentrated on the north bank of the Yahara River between the river and South St. and along railroad corridor that runs through the redevelopment area. These uses were originated during a time when freight rail and water-generated power were valuable assets to industrial development. Today, these assets are less valuable for industrial users. Stoughton Trailers, the largest property owner in the planning area, has relocated some of its facilities to an industrial park setting where truck access and building and lot configurations are more conducive to its business today.

Residential uses are concentrated along Dunkirk Ave. and along South St., Jefferson St., and 6th and 7th Streets. Some of the residential uses are intermingled with industrial parcels, creating a situation where a number of residential uses have heavy industrial uses adjacent to both sides of



the homes. The heavy industrial zoning designation for properties along the railroad corridor and the north side of the Yahara River allows for outside storage, and a number of these areas are visible from residential properties.

Commercial uses within the planning area are generally concentrated along Main St. One property south of the Yahara River has been included in the District – the Stoughton School District's "Bus Barn", which suffered a roof collapse due to snow accumulation.

The following is a description of various key properties in the redevelopment area. Note that this is not an exhaustive list of properties, but should provide a sense of some of the primary properties in the redevelopment area. A complete list of properties, with assessed values and property conditions, is provided as Appendix B.

Mill Fab / Holley Moulding, Inc.

The site is just over six acres in size and is located along the north bank of the Yahara River between 4th Street and 8th Street. Access to the property comes from a driveway off of South St. There are four green metal buildings used as a hardwood milling facility for trim and molding. The primary building located on the bank of the Yahara River appears to be deteriorated from the outside. Two additional facilities are located north of the primary building and a third smaller building is located directly east of the primary structure. There are also a number of different outside storage areas, and two towers with blowers to remove sawdust from the facility. The blowers create noise that is audible from properties adjacent to the site. There is a three foot retaining wall along the southern border of the property between the site and the Yahara River that is deteriorating. The property was formerly used as a foundry.

Highway Trailer Building

The building is located on the south side of South Street adjacent to the Mill Fab property. The property is owned by Stoughton Trailers, the largest property owner in the planning area. The building is a dilapidated brick structure with boarded windows and an inadequate provision for ventilation, light and air. The building is blighted due to age and deterioration, and is unusable in its current state. At least two developers have approached the property owner and the City of Stoughton to discuss the renovation and reuse of this property for residential purposes.

Stoughton Trailers Property

Stoughton Trailers maintains multiple properties in the redevelopment area. There are green metal sided buildings and a brick building on the east side of the railroad between Main Street and South Street. The industrial building on the east side of the railroad tracks is in good condition and still suitable for its current use. The property on the west side of the railroad is vacant and appears to be a gravel lot utilized for trailer storage. Both of the properties along the railroad corridor also have buildings facing Main St. that are suitable for commercial use.

Two areas located on the north bank of the Yahara River are used for outside storage of equipment and trailers. Each of the properties is adjacent to residential uses.

Whistle Stop Development Site

Located on Main St. on the east side of the railroad tracks, this site is the former home of Stoughton Lumber. The buildings appear to be well-maintained and the property has recently been purchased for use by multiple tenants. Current plans propose commercial uses facing Main St. and industrial uses in the northern part of the property.



Dunkirk Ave.

There are multiple properties located along the west side of Dunkirk Ave. No parcels are located on the east side due to a large retaining wall supporting the railroad tracks. Two pipes move storm water from properties on top of the ridge, underneath the road and into a low lying vacant parcel with a drainage channel that flows into the Yahara River. The City maintains a two acre park along the river with a softball field and playground equipment. Although some of the homes are in good condition, a number of the homes along Dunkirk Ave. are blighted and in a dilapidated state. There are also a number of residential properties that abut heavy industrial uses on one parcel along Dunkirk Ave. The industrial property is used for the outside storage of trailers, and is surrounded by a metal mesh fence.

Central Business District Housing

There are a variety of housing types located between 5th Street and the Stoughton Trailers parcel just west of the railroad tracks. The housing stock is older, and although a number of the homes show blighting conditions, there are also homes that have been well-maintained or renovated.

Melton Motors Used Car Lot

Located along the north side of Main Street, this former gas station is a small concrete block structure that has recently been renovated for use as a used car lot.

Public Holdings

There are three different public entities that own property in the redevelopment area. The Wisconsin DOT maintains ownership of a 50' right of way on each side of the rail line. The City of Stoughton maintains a two acre park on Dunkirk Ave., and also owns property off of 4th St. between the Yahara River and South St. This property is utilized by Stoughton Public Works, with the exception of an old power generation facility located adjacent to the river. The City owns most of the block at Main and Fifth Street to Jefferson and Sixth Streets. A new fire station is being constructed on this block. The Stoughton Area School district also owns a small parcel in the redevelopment area for a purpose yet to be determined.

Adjacent Areas

Mandt Park

Located adjacent to the redevelopment area on the opposite side of the Yahara River, Mandt Park is the largest public park in the City of Stoughton. The park is 29.4 acres, with 8 acres dedicated to Junior Fair use, 15 acres serving as backwater retention for the Yahara River, and 8 acres dedicated to active recreational use. Active recreational uses include a lighted softball diamond, two lighted basketball courts, a picnic shelter, play equipment, a skateboard ramp, a swimming pool, the Mandt Community Center, and parking.

Yahara River Trail

Located north of the redevelopment area, the Yahara River Trail begins at N. Division St. and crosses the Yahara River through Bjoin Park and connects to the Stoughton Business Park at Industrial Circle and again at Progress Lane. A future trail segment is proposed from Progress Lane heading north through Bjoin Park, and would connect to the Viking Trail and Amundson Trail on the opposite side of the Yahara River. The trail is a multi-purpose, non-motorized facility.



City Government Complex

City government functions are carried out from several buildings in and adjacent to RA #1. The historic City Hall is located at the corner of Main and Fifth Streets. The public safety building is behind City Hall, along Fourth Street, a new fire station is being built along the former Fifth Street right-of-way (a portion of which has been vacated), an EMS facility is on Fourth Street, and a public works facility is on the east side of Fourth Street.

Downtown Stoughton

Stoughton's Central Business District is located adjacent to the north side of the redevelopment area along Main St. Over the course of the last seven years, significant improvements have been made in the downtown area. A new streetscape design was implemented in 2000, and a façade improvement program has improved the condition of approximately 30 buildings along Main Street.

Yahara River Dam

Located west of the redevelopment area and west of 4th Street, the Yahara River Dam plays a role in the river's course through the City. If changes to the dam occur it is likely the course of the Yahara River would be altered and may impact the planning area.

Heavy Industrial Uses West of 4th St. Adjacent to the Yahara River A number of existing heavy industrial facilities are located on the west side of 4th St. adjacent to the north bank of the Yahara River. Uniroyal maintains a facility on the north bank of the Yahara River on the west side of 4th St. The City's Comprehensive Land Use Plan proposes mixed-use development as a future land use in this area, which could impact the redevelopment area as heavy industrial uses are replaced with mixed-use development.

Existing Zoning

As indicated previously, there are a variety of different zoning designations within the Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Area. Map 6 shows the current zoning designations in the redevelopment area. In total, there are six different zoning districts within the area. The following is a list of those designations and their general location within the redevelopment area.

R-1 Single-Family Residential District

Parcels zoned in this manner are generally located along Dunkirk Ave. There are also two parcels on the south side of South St. with this zoning classification. One of the two parcels along South St. also has a grandfathered Two-Family Residence overlay.

Allowable uses in the R-1 District include single-family dwellings, including attached garages. Allowable accessory uses and dwellings include detached garages, storage sheds, off-street parking facilities, community living arrangements, foster family care, electric transformer stations, utilities, and in-home family child care centers.

I-1 Light Industrial

There is one parcel in the redevelopment area with this zoning designation located adjacent to the east side of the railroad tracks on the south side of South St. The parcel is owned and maintained by DDW Enterprises for Stoughton Trailers.



I-2 Heavy Industrial

There are numerous parcels in the redevelopment area with this zoning designation, including areas on the north bank of the Yahara River from 4th Street to Dunkirk Ave., and on both sides of the railroad from Main Street to South Street.

The I-2 District is intended to provide an area for manufacturing and industrial activities, as well as a variety of uses which require relatively large installations, facilities, land areas, or activities that would create conditions of public or private nuisance, hazard, or other undesirable conditions. Permitted uses include all uses allowable in the I-1 District (with the exception of restaurants and communication structures), industrial or commercial activities, outside storage, railroads, veterinary clinics, and automobile repair shops and services. Other conditional uses include meat packing plants, airports, mining and quarrying, restaurants, and eating and drinking establishments on properties abutting Main St.

Buffer strips of no less than forty feet are required where an industrial district abuts a residential district. Plant materials at least six feet in height are required in the exterior twenty-five feet abutting the residential district to create an effective visual screen when viewed from the residential district. Fencing may be used in lieu of plant screening as long as the fencing effectively screens views from the residential areas.

B-1 Central Business District

The parcels with this zoning classification in the redevelopment area are located between 5th Street and 8th Street between Main Street and South Street. With the exception of parcels facing Main Street, the majority of parcels in this area are maintained for residential uses, and a number of the properties retain a grandfathered Two-Family Residence overlay.

The B-1 District allows primarily commercial uses, but also allows for existing dwelling units, explaining the presence of single and two-family homes in the district.

B-2 Highway Business District

Properties with this zoning classification are located on the north side of Main Street on both sides of the railroad corridor and include the former gas station, the gravel parking lot and the former Stoughton Lumber facility now known as the Whistle Stop Property. The purpose of the district is to provide appropriate areas for highway oriented commercial uses and those requiring large lots for off-street parking and outdoor display or storage of merchandise. Allowable uses include all uses allowed in the B-1 Central Business district, and for a variety of conditional uses as well.

C-R Conservation and Recreation

There are two parcels in the redevelopment area with this zoning classification; both of which are maintained by the City. The first is Dunkirk Park, a two acre park along Dunkirk Ave. The second is a small triangular parcel between Dunkirk Ave., South Ave. and the west side of the railroad that is not suitable for development.

The district is intended to preserve the natural state of scenic areas in the City and help to discourage intensive development of marginal lands to prevent hazards to public and private property and areas owned and/or used exclusively by governments or public agencies for public purposes. Allowable uses include crop harvesting, forestry and forestry management, wildlife preserves, fishing, public and private parks, hiking and biking trails, and scenic preservation areas.



Topography and Natural Features

The Railroad Corridor Planning Area possesses a highly unique topography, providing an attractive setting with numerous vistas and attractive land features. Although the planning area is heavily developed, there are numerous natural features that influence land uses. The most dominant natural feature within the planning area is the Yahara River. The river winds through the entire City of Stoughton. The river bends to the east near W. South St. and forms the southern boundary of the planning area. Some areas of the riverbank are in need of stabilization/improvement.

The 100 year flood plain along the Yahara River should not impact development in the study area. The Flood Insurance Rate Map shows a 100-year flood elevation of 835 feet east of Fourth Street. The contour maps of the area show this elevation to be in close proximity to the banks of the river.

Another key topographical feature is the elevated railroad grade along the east side of Dunkirk Ave. The railroad grade is approximately 60 feet in height, making the east side of Dunkirk Ave. undevelopable. There is a stormwater outlet that brings water from the top of the ridge under Dunkirk Ave. and into a low-lying undeveloped parcel with a channel that drains into the Yahara River.

Infrastructure Report

Water Distribution System

The entire planning area is served by city water. The City water system is managed by Stoughton Utilities, a city-owned utility that manages water, sewer and electric service in the City of Stoughton. The existing water distribution system is adequate to serve customers located within the redevelopment area. The area maintains adequate water pressure for fire protection. Stoughton Utilities maintains a computer model of the system that is analyzed as improvements and upgrades are completed.

Existing water main pipes range in size from 4-inch to 10-inch diameter. There is a considerable amount of 4-inch diameter water main within the study area, which is small by today's standards. These water mains do not cause many problems, largely because the system is well connected and looped throughout. There are very few water main breaks in the study area, and little maintenance is required by Stoughton Utilities. Many of the existing water services in the area consist of lead pipe. However, this is not a problem or health issue as the hardness of the water means no special treatment is required to correct for the lead. Any potential upgrades in this area are low priority and are not expected to be needed for another 20-30 years.

Sanitary Sewer System

Like the water distribution system, the sanitary sewer collection system is also adequate to serve the existing customers within the area. The area is served by 6-inch diameter sanitary collection sewers. There is an 18-inch interceptor sewer on South Street and Dunkirk Avenue which flows under the Yahara River through an inverted siphon. The sewer collection system (including the inverted siphon) requires little maintenance by Stoughton Utilities. There is also an existing lift station located on the south end of Eighth Street. This lift station is adequate to handle existing flows and serves only a few homes and one small apartment building. Like the water distribution system, any potential upgrades in this area are low priority and are not expected to be needed for another 20-30 years.



Storm Sewer System

The only stormwater problem identified in the study area is on Main Street at the railroad tracks. The existing storm sewer in this area was identified as undersized in the Stormwater Master Plan completed for the City in May 2000. This area receives a large volume of storm water that occasionally floods the street. Additionally, during freeze/thaw periods, there is a substantial ice buildup along the south parking lane of Main Street. Modeling results indicate that the primary causes are substantially undersized storm sewer pipes in the area and some stormwater collection problems. The size of the storm sewer and the number of inlets on the west side of the railroad tracks should both be increased to provide adequate collection of the storm sewer should also be extended west to the Sixth Street intersection to collect runoff and reduce the amount of ponding on at that intersection.

Transportation: Street, Bicycle, and Pedestrian Networks

The existing street network in the study area is adequate to serve the existing development. Main Street was recently reconstructed with new concrete pavement west of the Fifth Street intersection. Like many areas throughout the city, maintenance is required at varying degrees to keep the streets functional. Sidewalk is located on both sides on each street in the area. South Street and Dunkirk Avenue are in good shape and have been improved within the last ten years. East Jefferson Street was pulverized and resurfaced with new asphalt in 2006. Sixth Street and Seventh Street are in poor shape. These streets will require new curb and gutter and new pavement in the near future to maintain their integrity. Sixth Street has a very steep terrace on the east side.

A plan discussing a pedestrian river crossing of the Yahara River and bike trail options was completed in 2006. The Plan used Dane County BUILD funds in conjunction with a DNR River Planning Grant to detail improvements to the Yahara River as it winds through the City and also address bike path and river crossing options. The Plan recommended a bridge crossing from Mandt park across to Dunkirk Park on the west side of the river. The bridge would provide a bicycle link from Mandt park, through Dunkirk Park, across the railroad tracks, and north on the existing street network to an existing bike/pedestrian bridge across the Yahara River that is just northeast of River Bluff Middle School. For further details, please refer to the City's "Pedestrian River Crossing and Bike Trail Options" document.

Electric Distribution System

The existing electric system consists of overhead and underground facilities. Much of the electric distribution system in the area has recently been upgraded. A considerable amount of money has been invested in the system to make these upgrades. Eighth Street and Dunkirk Avenue are the only areas that have not been recently upgraded. There is 3-phase service located within the study area. The existing electric users in this area have adequate service for their needs. In addition to the public infrastructure, there is also private infrastructure (substation, lines, and electric conductors) with in the study area, owned by Stoughton Trailers and Mill Fab.

Redevelopment Activity Impacts on Existing Infrastructure

As indicated above, the existing infrastructure is sufficient to meet the needs of the current property owners. As redevelopment activities occur, however, those activities will alter current infrastructure needs. Our analysis suggests that the existing infrastructure can support additional housing and small commercial redevelopment in higher densities than currently exist without requiring major infrastructure improvements. The extent of additional housing and



commercial activities that can be supported with the existing infrastructure system will vary based on the type and location of each redevelopment activity and will likely require modeling to fully understand the impact on the water system. However, an initial analysis of sewer capacity shows the area is able to support up to 1,600 additional residential units, which is well beyond a likely redevelopment density for this area.



3 S.W.O.T. Analysis

Vierbicher Associates Inc. and the Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Committee conducted a Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats (S.W.O.T.) assessment of the redevelopment area. Four separate assessments were conducted to analyze issues associated with the Main St. Corridor, the Railroad Corridor, the River Corridor, and Existing Housing in the area.

While each of the four areas assessed maintain their own unique set of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities and threats, some common themes can be identified throughout the assessments. In terms of strengths, the area's natural amenities and unique topography were identified as strengths in all four assessments. Additionally, the area's proximity to downtown, the success of previous redevelopment efforts in the downtown area, and current redevelopment activities in the area were all common strengths. The relatively large parcels under single ownership that are suitable for redevelopment is another key strength, as this reduces the need to assemble various properties to establish a parcel suitable for redevelopment purposes.

As far as weaknesses were concerned, the most common weakness identified appears to involve people's perception of the area. The redevelopment area is not considered a part of downtown, in spite of the fact that the northern part of the redevelopment area is along Main St. Previous land uses along the corridor also create a perceived risk for redevelopment. This could be addressed through an environmental assessment of properties suitable for redevelopment, and would allow potential developers to understand any environmental risks that may exist in the area.

Perhaps the most common theme that came out of the opportunities assessment was the potential to develop a bike and pedestrian corridor along the Yahara River and through the Railroad corridor that would connect to the Yahara River Trail north of the redevelopment area. This was seen as an opportunity that would take advantage of the river as a natural amenity and would further utilize the railroad corridor to connect the Yahara River in the southern part of the redevelopment area to existing trails north of the area.

Another key opportunity involves the potential for redevelopment. All four of the assessments identified prospective areas suitable for redevelopment activities, and none of the prospects were in conflict.

Threats identified in the area were minimal, and the few that were identified can be effectively addressed through the implementation of the redevelopment plan. Below is a summary of each of the S.W.O.T. analyses performed by the Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Steering Committee with maps showing each of the respective areas.



Main Street Corridor

Strengths:

- Downtown revitalization efforts to the west of the project area have been successful.
- Redevelopment in the area already has momentum (Tobacco Junction, Laz Bristo, Rail Depot, etc.).
- Properties along the corridor are suitable for redevelopment and some are available for purchase.
- Area maintains aesthetic character and charm.
- Certain parcels in the area have irregular shapes and unique topography.

Weaknesses:

- This section of Main St. is not perceived to be part of downtown.
- Stormwater issues were identified in the infrastructure analysis near RR tracks.
- Past uses (fuel, lumber, rail, manufacturing) create stigma and perceived risk for redevelopment.
- Any Street reconstruction or improvements will require relocating traffic from Main St.

Opportunities:

- Properties are suitable for redevelopment, and many owners have envisioned redevelopment or selling property for redevelopment.
- City has demonstrated success in establishing public/private partnerships along Main St.
- Existing historic structures are unique in character.

Threats:

• Challenges to downtown from commercial and retail growth on the west side of the community – there is a finite amount of consumer spending in the City's trade area.





Rail Corridor

Strengths:

- Large parcels with few owners are potentially available and suitable for housing and commercial development.
- Rail corridor connects the Yahara River in the southern part of the redevelopment area to trails and natural areas on the north side of downtown.

Weaknesses:

- Train traffic occasionally disrupts car and pedestrian traffic near downtown.
- Noise from train traffic can be disruptive for some.
- Parcels along the corridor are somewhat narrow and awkwardly configured.
- Parcels along the corridor have limited access.

Opportunities:

- Commuter rail to Madison, and potentially to Milwaukee and Chicago, create opportunities for transit oriented development.
- Trail in the existing right-of-way could connect the Yahara River to the south to existing trails, natural areas and school on the north side of downtown.
- Parcels along the corridor are suitable for housing and commercial development.

Threats:

• Potential for increased train traffic will reduce auto and pedestrian access to downtown from the east side of the City.





Residential Blocks

Strengths:

- Natural amenities such as the river and Mandt Park make the area attractive for housing.
- Proximity to downtown makes shopping and social options convenient.
- Neighborhood is walkable, with unique topography and street configuration.
- Older structures in the area retain character and are attractive to certain household demographics.

Weaknesses:

- Many of the homes in the area are blighted and in need of repair.
- Older housing stock continues to deteriorate.
- Inconsistent land uses result in housing adjacent to heavy industrial uses and outdoor storage with limited screening.

Opportunities:

- Assets within the neighborhood present opportunities for investment in existing housing stock.
- Increasing housing stock through conversion of certain buildings and parcels can increase tax base with minimal infrastructure improvements.
- Proximity to downtown and the river make the area an attractive location for housing.

Threats:

- Housing stock in the area may continue to deteriorate without investment.
- Environmental issues may exist in certain parts of the redevelopment area.





River Corridor

Strengths

- River is a natural amenity that draws people.
- River corridor connects to existing trails, downtown, natural areas and to Lake Kegonsa State Park to the west and the Rock River and rural areas to the east.
- Corridor provides means for active and passive recreation and serves as a natural wildlife corridor.
- River does not have a significant floodplain where it flows through the redevelopment area.
- Large Parcels owned by single parties exist along the River.

Weaknesses

- Existing structures and land uses along the river limit pedestrian access and the advantages of the river.
- The river and existing land uses serve as a barrier preventing access to Mandt Park.
- Current land uses do not utilize the river as a natural amenity.

Opportunities:

- River walkway could connect the river corridor in the redevelopment area to the Yahara River Trail.
- Pedestrian Bridge could connect the area with Mandt Park, providing pedestrian access between the park and downtown.
- Canoe launch could increase community's use of the river.
- Land uses that take advantage of the river as a natural asset can result in higher property values.
- Potential exists to expand central city park space.

Threats:

- Environmental issues may exist along the corridor.
- Views along the corridor include the wastewater treatment plant.
- Incompatible land uses lower property values.
- Dam removal could change character of the river.





4 Housing Market Analysis

The purpose of this section is to understand whether additional housing is an appropriate strategy for the area and what rate of development can be expected. This analysis of the Railroad Corridor housing market was performed in late 2004 as part of the Rail Corridor Redevelopment Plan; it includes a demographic data review and analysis of the City of Stoughton and identification of appropriate housing types for the Redevelopment Area. Some projections only run through 2009/2010.

Over the past few years conditions in Downtown Stoughton (west of the project area) have improved dramatically through implementation of public/private partnerships with committed property owners. The result of these efforts has been a 44% increase in downtown property valuations over the last three years. The proximity of the Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Area to the downtown makes the district attractive for housing development and redevelopment activities. Certain market segments find downtown housing appealing since it provides opportunities to walk to shopping, entertainment, and places of employment.

Summary of Findings

The following is a summary of findings from the housing analysis. Data was acquired from a variety of sources, including the U.S. Census, ESRI, Wisconsin Department of Administration (DOA) population estimates, and The City of Stoughton's Building Inspector. All of the information provided in the summary is supported by data contained in the housing analysis.

- Data on future population and household growth shows a need for an additional 990 housing units in the city of Stoughton from 2000 to 2009.
- Between 2004 and 2009, a need for an additional 841 housing units is projected.
- Two existing subdivisions in the City are limited to no more than 25 housing starts per year, leaving a projected demand for an additional 541 housing units. (See P. 15, Supply & Demand Analysis)
- When projected housing demand is analyzed by age group, the data shows household growth from 2004 to 2009 in the following age groups:
 - Under 25 years of age 25 new households
 - 25 34 years of age 62 new households
 - \circ 35 44 years of age 102 <u>fewer</u> households
 - \circ 45 54 years of age 209 new households
 - \circ 55 64 years of age 217 new households
 - 75+ years of age 26 new households
- When projected housing demand is analyzed by age group and housing type, data shows market potential for town homes, condominiums, upper floor conversions along Main St., live-work units, and existing home redevelopment within the railroad corridor redevelopment area.

Demographic Data Review and Analysis

Population and Household Growth

The City of Stoughton's population increased from 8,786 in 1990 to 12,354 in 2000, representing a 29% increase over the decade. Projections provided by the Wisconsin Department of Administration (DOA) estimate the City's population will continue to increase to 14,229 in 2010, suggesting an increase of 13% over the next decade. However, if the increase in



population between 2000 and 2010 is the same as the increase from 1990 to 2000, Stoughton's population would increase to 15,936. For the purpose of this analysis, the DOA projections will be used, but it is important to recognize that the numbers are projections that are influenced by a variety of factors.

Table 1 shows population and household growth between 1990 and 2000, as well as projected growth through 2010. The total number of households increased from 3,294 in 1990 to 4,734 in 2000, and the total number of households are expected to increase to 5,532 in 2010 based on DOA projections. This suggests that an additional 798

Table 1: City of Stoughton – Po	pulation and	d Househo	ld Growth
Number of households	1990	2000	2010*
Population	8,786	12,354	14,229
Group quarters	390	422	454
Household population	8,396	11,932	13,775
Average household size	2.55	2.52	2.49
Total households	3,294	4,734	5,532
Selected household types			
Owner-Occupied	2,150	3,071	3,651
Renter-Occupied	1,144	1,663	1,881
* Projected	•		-

Source: Source: U.S. Census & DOA Projections

housing units will be located in the City of Stoughton by 2010. However, using a 29% increase in population, the total number of households in 2010 would increase to 6,217, suggesting a need for 1,983 additional housing units. Regardless of which projections are used Stoughton's housing demand by 2010 will exceed the current supply of housing.

Table 1 also shows the number of owner-occupied and renter-occupied homes within the City. Approximately 65% of households in 2000 own their own homes, while 35% rent. This is similar to Wisconsin as a whole, where 66.2% of the state households own their home and 33.8% rent.

Household Income Characteristics

Table 2 provides a comparison of household incomes in the City of Stoughton and the State of Wisconsin. Stoughton compares favorably to the state, with overall lower percentages of low-income households, and higher percentages of high-income households. In 2000, 59.8% of households in Stoughton had incomes of \$50,000 or more, compared to only 43% state-wide.

Table 2: City and State Household Income Characteristics					
Income level	Stoughton 2000		Wiscon	sin 2000	
	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	
Under \$15,000	448	9.4%	270,330	12.9%	
\$15,000-24,999	597	12.6%	264,897	12.7%	
\$25,000-34,999	562	11.9%	276,033	13.2%	
\$35,000-49,999	889	18.7%	377,749	18.1%	
\$50,000-74,999	1,254	26.4%	474,299	22.7%	
\$75,000-99,999	591	12.5%	226,374	10.9%	
\$100,000 or More	992	20.9%	196,622	9.4%	

Source: U.S. Census

Occupations of the Employed Population Age 16 and Over

Table 3 provides a comparison between the City of Stoughton resident's occupations to the State of Wisconsin. Note that these figures do not reflect employment types within the City of Stoughton, but instead reflect the type of employment, regardless of its location, of employed people residing in Stoughton. When compared to state averages, a higher percentage of Stoughton residents are employed in management, professional and related fields than the state



average, while a lower percentage are employed in manufacturing. Considering that the overall U.S. economy continues to see a decline in manufacturing and increases in management related positions, this is a positive trend for the City of Stoughton.

Table 3: Occupations of the Employed Population Age 16 and Over					
Stought	ton 2000	State 2000			
Number	% of total	Number	% of total		
6,471	100%	2,734,925	100%		
2,195	33.9%	857,205	31.3%		
913	14.1%	383,619	14.0%		
1,771	27.4%	690,360	25.2%		
0	0.0%	25,725	0.9%		
607	9.4%	237,086	8.7%		
985	15.2%	540,930	19.8%		
	Stought Number 6,471 2,195 913 1,771 0 607	Stoughton 2000 Number % of total 6,471 100% 2,195 33.9% 913 14.1% 1,771 27.4% 0 0.0% 607 9.4%	Stoughton 2000 State Number % of total Number 6,471 100% 2,734,925 2,195 33.9% 857,205 913 14.1% 383,619 1,771 27.4% 690,360 0 0.0% 25,725 607 9.4% 237,086		

Source: U.S. Census

Housing Units by Structure

Table 4 provides a breakdown of the housing unit types located in the City of Stoughton in 1990 and in 2000. Single-units, both attached and detached, increased throughout the decade and 2unit structures decreased during the same time frame. Stoughton also experienced a substantial increase in structures with 20 to 49 units, going from 24 total units in 1990 to 266 units in 2000. Structures with 3 to 19 units also increased substantially during the decade.

Table 4: City of Stoughton – Units By Structure						
Category	1990		20	00	Change	%
Calegory	Number	% of total	Number	% of total	in Units	Change
1, detached	2094	62.3%	2,956	60.1%	862	41%
1, attached	143	4.3%	360	7.3%	217	152%
2	593	17.7%	498	10.1%	-95	-16%
3 or 4	134	4.0%	260	5.3%	126	94%
5 to 9	182	5.4%	287	5.8%	105	58%
10 to 19	186	5.5%	293	6.0%	107	58%
20 to 49	24	0.7%	266	5.4%	242	1008%

Source: U.S. Census

Housing Units by Occupancy

Table 5 shows the total number of housing units, occupied units, and vacant units within the City of Stoughton in 1990 and 2000. The table also provides information on the average household and family size in the City. Occupancy and vacancy rates in the city have remained relatively static throughout the decade, with an average vacancy rate just over 3%. Average household and family sizes have decreased marginally from 1990 to 2000, and both are close to the overall state average.



Table 5: City of Stoughton – Housing Units by Occupancy				
Coto no ma	19	990	2000	
Category	Number % of total		Number	% of total
Total housing units	3,404	100.0%	4,890	100.0%
Occupied housing units	3,294	96.7%	4,734	96.8%
Vacant housing units	110	3.3%	156	3.2%
For seasonal, recreational use	0		13	0.3%
Average household size	2.55		2.52	
Average family size	3.09		3.06	

Source: U.S. Census

Average Housing Costs

Table 6 shows the average housing costs in the City of Stoughton in 1990 and 2000. The data is arranged to show the median home price for each decade, and also shows the number of housing units in the city that fall into the price ranges shown in the left-hand column. The median home price for the City of Stoughton doubled from 1990 to 2000, and the 2000 median home value is nearly \$20,000 higher than the Wisconsin average of \$112,200. Nearly 75% of the homes in Stoughton fell within the \$100,000 - \$199,999 range in 2000.

Table 6: Average Housing Costs					
Price Range	1990	2000			
<\$50,000	389	24			
\$50,000-\$99,999	1,365	494			
\$100,000-\$149,999	115	1,379			
\$150,000-\$199,999	9	660			
\$200,000-\$299,999	2	180			
\$300,000-\$499,999	1	26			
\$500,000-\$999,999	0	6			
\$1,000,000+	0	0			
Median Price	\$64,400	\$131,600			
Source: U.S. Census					

Average Rental Costs

The median rent for the City of Stoughton in 2000 was \$596.00, an increase of \$238.00 from 1990. The State of Wisconsin median monthly rental rate is \$540.00, which means average rents are 10% higher in Stoughton than the state average.

Year Structures Were Built

Table 7 provides information on the year structures were built in the City of Stoughton. Just over a quarter of the housing units in the community, and a majority of the units within the railroad corridor redevelopment area,

were built prior to 1939. The table also suggests that new housing growth has taken place at a relatively steady pace throughout the past six decades.

Supply and Demand Analysis

Table 8 shows the City's existing housing supply as of 2000, and the projected housing demand for 2010 according to DOA population projections. The projections show a need for an additional 990 housing units to accommodate new population growth in the community. Housing starts between 2000 and 2004 increased the City's available housing stock by a total of 149 new housing units, implying a need for an additional 841 housing units between 2005 and 2010.



Page	18
------	----

Source: U.S. Census

Table 7: Year Structures Were Built				
Year	Number	% of		
i cai	Number	total		
1999 - 2000	170	3.5		
1995 - 1998	629	12.8		
1990 - 1994	685	13.9		
1980 - 1989	554	11.3		
1970 - 1979	767	15.6		
1960 - 1969	319	6.5		
1940 - 1959	536	10.9		
1939 or earlier	1,260	25.6		

Source: U.S. Census

The City has approved final plats for two subdivisions called West View Ridge and Stone Crest. However, an annexation agreement capped the number of new housing starts in each subdivision at no more than 25 per year. Assuming the maximum number of housing starts in each subdivision, these two areas will increase the total number of housing units in the city by 300 units between 2005 and 2010. If these 300 units are subtracted from the projected number of additional housing units needed by 2010, there will still be demand for 541 additional housing units from 2005-2010.

New Housing Demand by Age Group and Housing Type

According to the supply and demand information shown above, the City will require an additional 841 housing units between 2005 and 2010 to

Table 8: Demand for Additional H Units in Stoughton	lousing
Projected Number of Housing Units Needed by 2010	5,724
(-) Projected Number of Housing Units Available in 2000	4,734
(=) Projected Housing Demand from 2000 to 2010	990
(-) Housing Starts in 2001 (50 Single Family, 3 duplex)	56
(-) Housing Starts in 2002 (13 Single-Family, 1 duplex)	15
(-) Housing Starts in 2003 (31 Single-Family, 5 Duplex)	41
(-) Housing Starts in 2004 (33 Single-Family, 2 Duplex)	37
(=) Projected Number of Additional Units Needed by 2010	841

Source: ESRI / City Building Inspector / Vierbicher Associates

accommodate the anticipated increase in population growth. Three key variables that influence new housing growth are the age of the new residents, their housing preferences, and their average household income. The housing needs, preferences, and price points of individuals just reaching adulthood are significantly different than the needs, preferences and price points of families with young children. The same is also true for seniors, who generally have different housing preferences than families.

In order to gain a better sense of they types of housing required to accommodate new growth in the community, the Tables 10 through 16 provide a breakdown of the 2004 population and the 2009 projected population by age and income. Information is also provided identifying housing preferences of those age groups and their corresponding income categories. Analysis will focus on housing types that may be viable redevelopment opportunities in the railroad corridor area.

Householders Under 25 Years of Age Individuals in this age group tend to rent versus own, although ownership in this age group is increasing as the median household income for this age group increases. Preferences tend to towards affordable and moderately priced housing. Housing preferences include apartments, condominiums, loft spaces, and town homes, with an inclination toward unique spaces that are within walking distance of commercial districts, employment centers and recreational activities.

The data shown in Table 9 indicates that households in this age group will increase from 259 in 2004 to 284 in

Table 9: Householders Under 25 Years of Age				
Income	2004			2009
<\$15,000	43	16.6%	34	12.0%
\$15,000 - \$24,999	25	9.7%	20	7.0%
\$25,000 - \$34,999	48	18.5%	55	19.4%
\$35,000 - \$49,999	45	17.4%	44	15.5%
\$50,000 - \$74,999	78	30.1%	95	33.5%
\$75,000 - \$99,999	15	5.8%	17	6.0%
\$100,000 - \$149,999	3	1.2%	10	3.5%
\$150,000 - \$199,999	1	0.4%	4	1.4%
\$200,000 - \$249,999	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
\$250,000 - \$499,999	1	0.4%	1	0.4%
\$500,000 +	0	0.0%	4	1.4%
Total	259	100.0%	284	100.0%
Median HH Income	\$38,434		\$45,273	
Average HH Income	\$43,102		\$56,218	

Source: ESRI/Vierbicher Associates, Inc.



2009 for a total increase of 25 households over the next five years. The data also shows that the median and average household income for this group will continue to rise, which suggests that there will be opportunities for both rental and owner-occupied housing.

Opportunities to develop or redevelop new housing within the area for this age group include renovation of the Highway Trailer Building, upper floor space along Main St., and new housing development on the west side of the railroad corridor between Main St. and South St. Some of the existing housing within the area may also be suitable for this age group as well.

Householders Between 25 - 34 Years of Age

Individuals in this age group tend to be making the transition from rental to owner-occupied housing, and as such, may be first-time home buyers. This age group is also at a transition point where they are building careers and establishing families. Housing preferences for this age group include low to moderate priced housing, but with an average household income in 2004 nearly \$18,000 higher than the under 25 age group, this age group can afford to go a little more upscale. Upscale housing may also be important for this age group over the next five years as the largest increases in this cohort by income base is in the \$75,000 to \$149,000 range.

Table 10: Householders 25-34 Years of Age						
Income	2	004		2009		
<\$15,000	20	2.5%	20	2.3%		
\$15,000 - \$24,999	56	7.1%	41	4.8%		
\$25,000 - \$34,999	92	11.6%	91	10.7%		
\$35,000 - \$49,999	155	19.6%	130	15.3%		
\$50,000 - \$74,999	244	30.9%	234	27.5%		
\$75,000 - \$99,999	127	16.1%	161	18.9%		
\$100,000 - \$149,999	95	12.0%	166	19.5%		
\$150,000 - \$199,999	1	0.1%	6	0.7%		
\$200,000 - \$249,999	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
\$250,000 - \$499,999	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		
\$500,000 +	0	0.0%	3	0.4%		
Total	790	100.0%	852	100.0%		
Median HH Income	\$54,810		\$6	52,516		
Average HH Income	\$61,188		\$7	'1,478		
Average HH Income	\$6	1,188	\$/	1,478		

Source: ESRI/Vierbicher Associates, Inc.

Housing type preferences include condominiums, loft apartments, live-work housing units, and single-family homes. Families from this age group may be ideal candidates to redevelop some of the blighted single-family housing in the area, and will also be attracted to condominium developments and live-work housing developments.

The data shown on Table 10 indicates an increase of 62 households in this age group from 2004 to 2009. The median household income will also rise for this age group from \$54,810 to \$62,516 in 2009, an increase of nearly nine percent.

Opportunities within the redevelopment area for this age group include redevelopment of existing single-family units, condominiums, higher-end upper floor units along Main St., and town home or live-work unit development along the railroad corridor.

Householders Between 35 - 44 Years of Age

Households in this age group are generally those with children. Preferences tend to lean toward single-family housing with ample space for a family's needs. Home ownership in this age group is more common, with preferences leaning toward moderate priced and upscale housing types. Based on the figures shown in Table 11, the total number of families in this age group will decrease by 102 households from 2004 to 2009, and, consequently, should not be a focus for the redevelopment area.



Householders between 45 – 54 Years of Age

Households in this age group tend to be families with children reaching high school and beginning college. Housing preferences tend to lean toward single-family housing conducive to family living, with some interest in town homes and live-work units.

Projections from Table 12 show that the number of households in this age group will increase from 1,117 units in 2004 to 1,326 units in 2009, for a total increase of 209 housing units. Housing opportunities for this demographic within the redevelopment area include the redevelopment of existing housing units, live-work units along Main St. and some town home units along the rail corridor.

A key factor to consider with this age group involves changes in average household income from 2004 to 2009. Based on the information shown in Table 14, the number of households with incomes below \$75,000 will decrease, while those with incomes higher than \$75,000 will increase significantly. This suggests opportunities for more upscale housing in the community and within the redevelopment area.

Householders between 55-64 Years of Age

Households in this age group generally fall into the 'empty-nester' category. This is the age where children tend to leave the home and the household size is reduced accordingly. This can result in housing transitions, where the smaller household size can translate to a desire for smaller housing units, condominiums, or town homes.

Table 11: Householders 35-44 Years of Age						
2	004	2009				
38	3.0%	24	2.1%			
51	4.1%	27	2.3%			
131	10.5%	107	9.3%			
177	14.1%	135	11.7%			
339	27.1%	257	22.3%			
282 22.5%		281	24.4%			
174 13.9%		214	18.6%			
30 2.4%		75	6.5%			
15 1.2%		14	1.2%			
14 1.1%		15	1.3%			
1 0.1%		1	0.1%			
1,252	100.0%	1,150	100.0%			
\$64,221 \$			6,468			
\$74	1,507	\$85,886				
	20 38 51 131 177 339 282 174 30 15 14 1,252 \$6 ² \$7 ²	2004 38 3.0% 51 4.1% 131 10.5% 177 14.1% 339 27.1% 282 22.5% 174 13.9% 30 2.4% 15 1.2% 14 1.1% 1,252 100.0% \$64,221 \$74,507	2004 20 38 3.0% 24 51 4.1% 27 131 10.5% 107 177 14.1% 135 339 27.1% 257 282 22.5% 281 174 13.9% 214 30 2.4% 75 15 1.2% 14 14 1.1% 15 1,252 100.0% 1,150 \$64,221 \$76			

Source: ESRI/Vierbicher Associates, Inc.

Table 12: Householders 45-54 Years of Age							
Income	2004 2009						
<\$15,000	27	2.4%	17	1.3%			
\$15,000 - \$24,999	52	4.7%	34	2.6%			
\$25,000 - \$34,999	78	7.0%	66	5.0%			
\$35,000 - \$49,999	144	12.9%	123	9.3%			
\$50,000 - \$74,999	258	23.1%	224	16.9%			
\$75,000 - \$99,999	253 22.6%		288	21.7%			
\$100,000 - \$149,999	230 20.6% 40'		401	30.2%			
\$150,000 - \$199,999	50 4.5%		135	10.2%			
\$200,000 - \$249,999	12 1.1% 19		19	1.4%			
\$250,000 - \$499,999	11 1.0%		15	1.1%			
\$500,000 +	2 0.2%		4	0.3%			
Total	1,117 100.0% 1,326			100.0%			
Median HH Income	\$74	1,928	\$90),305			
Average HH Income	\$82,277 \$99,557			9,557			

Source: ESRI/Vierbicher Associates, Inc.

Table 13: Householders 55-64 Years of Age						
Income	2	004	2009			
<\$15,000	27	4.4%	26	3.1%		
\$15,000 - \$24,999	62	10.0%	46	5.5%		
\$25,000 - \$34,999	67	10.9%	80	9.6%		
\$35,000 - \$49,999	126	20.4%	123	14.7%		
\$50,000 - \$74,999	168	27.2%	227	27.2%		
\$75,000 - \$99,999	76 12.3%		116	13.9%		
\$100,000 - \$149,999	66	10.7%	149	17.9%		
\$150,000 - \$199,999	3	3 0.5%		2.2%		
\$200,000 - \$249,999	9	9 1.5%		2.4%		
\$250,000 - \$499,999	12	12 1.9%		2.9%		
\$500,000 +	1 0.2%		5	0.6%		
Total	617	100.0%	834	100.0%		
Median HH Income	\$52	2,458	\$62,809			
Average HH Income	\$66,485 \$84,120			1,120		

Source: ESRI/Vierbicher Associates, Inc.



Data shown in Table 13 indicates that households in this age cohort will increase by 217 households between 2004 and 2009. Condominium units and smaller single-family homes will likely be the most ideal housing types for these households within the redevelopment area.

Householders between 65 - 74 Years of Age

Households in this age group generally fall into the 'active senior' category. These households are generally leaving the workforce, which explains the reduction in the average household income for this age group. Housing preferences range from maintaining single-family homes to retirement apartments to congregate housing.

Table 14 shows that households in this age group will increase from 422 households in 2004 to 480 households in 2009. Opportunities in the redevelopment area may include senior-oriented housing along the Yahara River and close to Main St. to provide easy access to shopping and soc

Table 14: Householders 65-74 Years of Age						
Income	2	004	2009			
<\$15,000	33	7.8%	25	5.2%		
\$15,000 - \$24,999	86	20.4%	72	15.0%		
\$25,000 - \$34,999	51	12.1%	61	12.7%		
\$35,000 - \$49,999	114	27.0%	114	23.8%		
\$50,000 - \$74,999	76	18.0%	92	19.2%		
\$75,000 - \$99,999	35	8.3%	52	10.8%		
\$100,000 - \$149,999	19 4.5%		37	7.7%		
\$150,000 - \$199,999	0	0.0%	6	1.3%		
\$200,000 - \$249,999	4	0.9%	9	1.9%		
\$250,000 - \$499,999	3	0.7%	7	1.5%		
\$500,000 +	1	0.2%	5	1.0%		
Total	422	100.0%	480	100.0%		
Median HH Income	\$38,882 \$44,4			\$44,488		
Average HH Income	\$49,016 \$65,80			\$65,805		

Source: ESRI/Vierbicher Associates, Inc.

provide easy access to shopping and social opportunities.

Householders Older Than 75 Years of Age

Households in this age group generally fall into the 'passive senior' category. Individuals in these households tend to be less mobile and require assisted living environments or nursing care facilities. These types of facilities may be suitable within the railroad corridor area near the Yahara River or close to Main St. It is unlikely this age cohort would be interested in locations close to the rail corridor itself due to the train traffic.

Table 15 shows a nominal increase in this age group between 2004 and 2009, which suggests that housing redevelopment opportunities targeted toward this age group should be avoided.

Table 15: Householders 75+ Years of Age						
Income	2	004	2009			
<\$15,000	188	31.8%	168	27.2%		
\$15,000 - \$24,999	178	30.1%	157	25.4%		
\$25,000 - \$34,999	29	4.9%	32	5.2%		
\$35,000 - \$49,999	93	15.7%	80	12.9%		
\$50,000 - \$74,999	61	10.3%	80	12.9%		
\$75,000 - \$99,999	24	24 4.1%		5.8%		
\$100,000 - \$149,999	11	11 1.9% 2		4.2%		
\$150,000 - \$199,999	3	0.5%	26	4.2%		
\$200,000 - \$249,999	2	0.3%	7	1.1%		
\$250,000 - \$499,999	3	0.5%	5	0.8%		
\$500,000 +	0	0 0.0%		0.2%		
Total	592 100.0%		618	100.0%		
Median HH Income	\$19	9,719	\$23,467			
Average HH Income	\$31,667 \$46,657			6,657		

Source: ESRI/Vierbicher Associates, Inc.



Railroad Corridor Housing Opportunities

Table 16 shows a matrix of householders by age and the number of projected new households by 2009 that are potential candidates for the variety of housing types and pricing shown on the top column.

Based on the information shown above, it appears that town homes and condominiums are the two housing types that reach the largest number of age groups and potential new households. Potential also exists for the redevelopment of existing homes in the area by new householders in the 29-34, 45-54 and 55-64 year age ranges. Due to the unique topography, existing natural amenities such as the Yahara River, and proximity to Main St., this area shows significant potential for revitalization and additional housing opportunities.

Table 16: Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Area Housing Opportunities												
		Tenure		Unit Type Preference					Pricing			
Market Segment	Household Growth	Own in 2000	Rent in 2000	Upper Floor	Town house	Single - Family	Live - Work Unit	Condominiums	Loft	Upscale	Moderate	Affordable
Householders less than 24 years old	25	13.6%	86.4%	Χ	Х		Х	Х	Х		Χ	Χ
Householders 25-34 years old	62	54.7% 45.3%		Χ	Х		Х	Х	Х	Χ	Χ	
Householders 35-44 years old	-102	72.5% 27.5%		Х	Х	Χ	Χ	Х		Χ	Χ	
Householders 45-54 years old	209	209 73.6% 26.4%			Х	Χ				Χ	Χ	
Householders 55-64 years old	217	217 73.0% 26.0%			Χ	Χ		Χ		Χ	Χ	
Householders 65-74 years old	58	69.9%	30.1%		Х						Χ	Χ
Householders 75+ years old	26	61.6%	38.4%		Χ						Χ	Χ

Source: 2000 Census; Vierbicher Associates, Inc.



5 Redevelopment Plans

The redevelopment plan is constructed in an 'action plan format' that lays out a series of broader goals developed by the Redevelopment Authority to improve conditions in the redevelopment area. Strategies are then attached to each goal to define the specific approach necessary to achieve the required results. Each strategy also contains a set of objectives, which serve as specific implementation mechanisms with measurable outcomes. An Action Plan Matrix is included as Appendix A and is designed to serve as a reference guide for the redevelopment plan. This will allow those involved in implementing the plan an effective way to organize, track, and measure the effectiveness of implementation efforts.

In general, plans exhibit their value through the implementation process. A community can develop the best possible plan but still garner poor results due to failure to develop the necessary implementation mechanisms and leadership to see the plan through. The action plan format is designed to address this by reducing each of the broader goals to a series of strategies and measurable objectives that can be accomplished within a specific timetable by identified parties who will take ownership over achieving each goal. This format should result in a redevelopment effort that is fully understood and supported by the parties involved, and allows each party to implement required actions accordingly.

Coordinate Redevelopment Efforts

This goal is designed to identify the parties responsible for coordinating redevelopment efforts and implementing the redevelopment plan. The Redevelopment Authority (RDA) was established to implement this plan of redevelopment. The RDA should continue to meet on a regular basis to organize and track redevelopment efforts and coordinate the actions required for implementation. This leadership will be an essential ingredient in the plans success.

Additional coordination will be required with property owners in the redevelopment area, and the RDA can serve as a source of information for interested property owners. The City Plan Commission and the City Council will also play a significant role in the redevelopment process, and the RDA can serve as a source of information for those citizen bodies. By maintaining its involvement in the redevelopment process, the RDA can serve as the established party responsible for overseeing redevelopment and maintaining the required momentum to see the process through.

Facilitate Redevelopment of Blighted Properties in the Redevelopment Area

As indicated in the existing conditions report, the parcel list in Appendix B, and Map 2 in Appendix C, a number of properties located in the redevelopment area are blighted. The most effective tool cities in Wisconsin have to address blighted conditions is Tax Incremental Financing, and a Blight Elimination Tax Incremental District (TID) is warranted to facilitate redevelopment in the planning area. By developing a TID the City will position itself to partner with property owners to create programs that will maintain and improve existing housing stock and assist developers with projects on parcels suitable for commercial and residential redevelopment.

Establishing a TID can help the City undertake public projects to stimulate beneficial development or redevelopment that would not otherwise occur. It is a mechanism for financing local economic development projects in underdeveloped and blighted areas. Taxes generated by



the increased property values that result from development and redevelopment pay for land acquisition, needed public works, and public/private partnership mechanisms designed to stimulate redevelopment. A TID also has the added value of tracking the financial feasibility of specific projects and the entire redevelopment process as a whole.

Since the city is the only entity capable of establishing a TID, the plan calls for the City Council to consider authorizing the creation of a Tax Incremental Finance District with boundaries similar to the redevelopment area. Final boundaries for the TID would be determined by the City Council based on an analysis of a variety of factors. Depending on the type of TID created for the redevelopment area, the life of the District should be no more than 27 years and may be terminated early if redevelopment efforts reach a successful conclusion.

The City has an existing Downtown TID (TID #4) that it may wish to amend to encompass the redevelopment area. Or, the City could create a new TID for the redevelopment area. Part of the redevelopment area overlaps with the existing TID #4. If a new district is created to serve the redevelopment area it should be created to encompass the entire area, overlaying the properties that are already in TID #4. Such a strategy would allow for a consistent timeline and funding source for the whole district that would not be encumbered by a separate TID #4 expenditure period or a different budget for expenditures.

Establish Public/Private Partnership Mechanisms to Foster Redevelopment

With a Tax Incremental District in place, the City will be in a position to establish a series of public/private mechanisms to foster redevelopment. For example, the City can use grant monies to establish a Home Improvement Grant or Loan Program similar to the successful Façade Improvement Program used to improve buildings in downtown.

Development Agreements are another example of the type of public/private mechanisms required to facilitate redevelopment. A Development Agreement allows the City to partner with a property owner in the TID to provide funding for projects that would otherwise not occur without assistance. Redevelopment projects in general can be more expensive than greenfield developments because additional expenses may be involved with clearing dilapidated structures, addressing environmental remediation concerns, and preparing properties for redevelopment.

Other public/private partnership mechanisms can include marketing redevelopment and adaptive reuse opportunities in the planning area and providing the critical public support to implement the redevelopment plan. The timeline for these activities is difficult to establish as it depends a great deal on the private sector's interest in properties in the area. With public/private mechanisms in place, however, the City will be prepared to participate in beneficial redevelopment activities when they become available as opposed to merely reacting to situations as they arise.

Eliminate Stigmas and Negative Perceptions Associated With the Area

A vast majority of the stakeholders interviewed during this process indicated that the redevelopment area is perceived in a negative manner. Some interviewees went so far as to say that they avoid this area while giving people tours of the community. Interviews also suggested that the redevelopment area along Main St. is not considered a part of downtown, and stigmas



relating to past industrial uses of many properties are prevalent. How people perceive the area will play an important role in its redevelopment. Eliminating the stigmas and negative perceptions associated with the redevelopment area are important.

A strategy for implementing a change in perception involves improving conditions along Main Street within the redevelopment area to create the perception that it is a part of the downtown. A streetscape plan consistent with the streetscape along the rest of Main St. should be implemented, and existing property owners should work to make building improvements or to facilitate redevelopment of underutilized and vacant parcels. City assistance may be necessary in some circumstances for both such activities.

Past property uses in portions of the redevelopment area have been industrial in nature, which can raise concerns with developers considering alternative uses for the property. Eliminating stigmas associated with these past uses will be critical. Existing property owners should assess their property conditions and work to address any environmental concerns located on their property. In general, a Phase 1 environmental study can be an effective tool to identify any concerns that may need to be addressed. There are a number of public sector programs designed to assist in funding remediation efforts if any are required. By fully understanding property conditions and addressing any environmental concerns, property owners will be in a much stronger position to develop property or sell property for redevelopment.

Increase the Number of Housing Units Available in the Redevelopment Area

The combination of the area's unique topography and proximity to downtown and the Yahara River make the redevelopment area an attractive location for additional housing units. Developers have already indicated an interest in redeveloping the Highway Trailer building to create condominium units, and other areas are also attractive locations for housing projects. Existing infrastructure in the redevelopment area is sufficient to serve additional housing units.

A strategy for increasing the number of housing units in the area involves identifying existing buildings and parcels suitable for adaptive reuse and additional housing projects. Once these areas are identified, marketing materials can be developed to provide information to parties interested in redevelopment projects. Another key component will be evaluating the role of public incentives through the TID to facilitate additional housing. Development Agreements will likely be required to assist in projects that increase the number of housing units in the redevelopment area.

Another strategy proposed to accomplish this goal is the development of public amenities designed to attract development and new housing stock. This is addressed more specifically below, and involves using the Railroad corridor and the Yahara River corridor as amenities to make the planning area more attractive for new and existing residents.

Replace Heavy Industrial uses with Additional Residential, Commercial, and Parks & Open Space Uses

One of the largest challenges posed by the redevelopment area involves the incompatibility of current land uses. Heavy industrial uses are located directly adjacent to residential uses, creating unfavorable conditions for both. Industrial truck traffic bringing goods to and from facilities can be a challenge, while residents in the area are subjected to manufacturing noise and unattractive views due to the lack of screening between properties. The redevelopment plan



calls for a gradual phasing out of some of the heavy industrial uses in the planning area, to be replaced with residential, some commercial, and parks & open space uses.

Strategies for achieving this goal will involve assessing the current heavy industrial uses and identifying alternative locations in the community for these types of uses. Objectives will include working with existing heavy industrial users and property owners to identify their current and future space needs, and developing opportunities for relocation that are both beneficial to the industry from a long-term perspective and meet goals identified for the redevelopment area. Some of the industrial uses may require relocation that may be funded partially through the use of Tax Incremental Financing. However, there are also a number of large parcels currently zoned heavy industrial that will require no relocation and will simply require rezoning to accommodate new property uses.

Another key strategy will involve developing a parks & open space plan that takes advantage of the Yahara River as a natural feature. Parks & open spaces, particularly along the river between 4th Street and Dunkirk Ave., will result in an environment more suitable for housing than the current uses that act as a barrier to accessing the river. In the past, locating heavy industrial uses near a river was essential to provide power and move goods. Because the nature of industry has changed, it is no longer necessary for heavy industrial uses to be located near rivers, nor is heavy industrial the highest and best use of the property. By developing a parks & open space plan that takes advantage of the river as a natural feature, property values in the area will increase and the community will be able to take advantage of this natural asset. This is addressed more specifically in the next goal, but the plan should provide sufficient detail, include cost estimates, and identify viable sources of funding for plan implementation.

A proposal to convert the Highway Trailer building to affordable live/work units for artists was explored by a non-profit organization called "Artspace." The Draft Artspace report (dated September 5, 2007) says that the building has good "bones," but has significant water damage to the roof and the floors. Restoring the building to residential units or live/work units would be expensive, and the nature of the building does not allow for historic tax credits to be used. The report says that if a more in-depth market analysis proves the demand for such artist-focused live-work units, additional sites beyond the Highway Trailer building should be considered.

IKI Packaging, made up of the parcels at the western end of Ridge Street, has been included in the plan boundary. The RDA feels that, at some point in the future, when the site is no longer suitable for IKI, that the area should transition to residential and parks/open space uses. However, at this point, the future land use map in Appendix C reflects industrial land uses for the IKI parcels.

Utilize the Yahara River as a Natural Feature and Amenity

River way corridors provide a variety of amenities, such as attractive views, open space preservation, and convenient recreation opportunities that are valuable asset for a community and its residents. This can be reflected in increased real property values and increased marketability for property located near the river and its corresponding open space. Developers also recognize these values and incorporate open space into planning, design, and marketing new and redeveloped properties. Natural open space and trails are prime attractions for potential home buyers as well. Recent research shows that home buyers rate natural open space as either "essential" or "very important" in their decision to purchase a home. Walking and bicycling paths also ranked high as amenities that impact people's purchasing decisions.



Developing a bike/pedestrian corridor and corresponding green space along the Yahara River between 4th Street and Dunkirk Ave. is a goal that will create a valuable public amenity and increase property values in the redevelopment area. An increase in property values generally results in increased property tax revenues for local governments. Many arguments made for park and open space investment claim these acquisitions pay for themselves in a short period of time, due in part to increased property tax revenues from higher values of nearby property.

Providing access to the river also presents the opportunity to develop a pedestrian bridge across the Yahara River near 8th Street to provide a connection to Mandt Park. A canoe launch may also be established where 8th Street meets the river to improve river access and use. These public amenities can stimulate additional housing redevelopment, resulting in higher overall property values in the planning area and increased tax revenues for the community. This additional planning will require an amendment to the City's parks & Open Spaces Plan in order for these activities to be eligible for State and Federal parks and open spaces funding.



Utilize the Railroad Corridor and the River Corridor to Connect to the Existing Trail System

This goal seeks to utilize the existing railroad corridor and the proposed river corridor to connect to the existing trail system located north of the planning area. The existing trail begins on the north side of downtown and runs along the railroad corridor near N. Division St. to the city's business park. Extending this trail will provide additional bike/pedestrian recreational opportunities and enhance the value of property located adjacent to the railroad corridor within the planning area. Connecting these trails will also provide those living in the redevelopment area convenient pedestrian access to the east side of downtown, and increase foot traffic for businesses located in the area.

The identified strategies and corresponding objectives for this goal involve planning for the development of the railroad and river corridor trails and amending the City's Trails Plan to reflect these additional trails. By amending the City's Trail Plan, the City can qualify for State and Federal funding sources to offset some of the costs associated with developing the new trails. For example, the State Stewardship Fund, managed by the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources, can provide up to 50% of the costs associated with land acquisition and bike/pedestrian trail development.

This goal and the previous goal were both explored further in a Yahara River Pedestrian River Crossing and Bike Trail Plan that used Dane County BUILD Grant funds to study what trail routes would work the best for the City and what improvements/changes were needed to the Yahara River corridor to make the area more accessible. The Group prioritized improvements to

the area (see chart).

A River Planning Group was created to guide the process. The Group determined that a pedestrian crossing of the Yahara River at Dunkirk Park is the best way to connect trails on the east side of the City with the rest of the City's trail system. The Group also determined that a route that strictly followed the rail line all the way through Downtown was not the best way to create a north/south connection through the planning area due to the steep grades that are around the rail line in many areas. Instead, trail connections should utilize existing street right-of-way to create dedicated bike lanes through parts of the planning area.

Imr	Improvements/Activities for the Yahara River Corridor, as						
prioritized by the River Planning Committee							
Av.							
Score	Improvement / Activity						
4.20	New bridge crossing west of 8th in Mandt Park						
4.17	Remove fence along Riverside Park						
4.17	Promote alternate trail route along Academy, Church, Ridge						
4.15	Additional canoe launch(es)						
3.84	Dam abandonment						
3.60	New bridge crossing at river adjacent to 8th Street						
3.58	Repair/improve existing canoe launch						
3.50	Promote alternate trail loop route along Jefferson and 4th St.						
2.84	Repair pier protection at and adjacent to railroad bridge						
2.67	Promote business-related river activities						
2.58	Acquire property easements for river trail completion						
2.58	Bike lane/traffic enhancement along Water St. for trail						
2.58	New bridge crossing at Dunkirk Park						
2.25	Misc. Comments - close dam - improve access to river						
2.17	Stormwater outflow pipe repair and restoration						
2.00	Dam repair/rehabilitation						
1.86	Riverbank erosion repair						
1.84	Dam island restoration including fishing dock						
1.67	Misc. Comments Close Riverside Drive, make into bike path						
* Fach C	roup member could reak each item on a coole of 1 through 5 with 5 being the						

* Each Group member could rank each item on a scale of 1 through 5, with 5 being the most important



Increase Commercial Activity along Main St.

Community consensus indicates that the portion of the redevelopment area along Main Street should be considered a part of downtown in order for new and existing businesses to be successful. A major strategy tied to this goal is to extend streetscape improvements along Main St. in the downtown to the planning area. This will serve to visually connect this part of Main St. with the rest of downtown.

An additional strategy will involve redeveloping vacant and underutilized parcels such as the vacant parcel on the east side of Main St. near 6th St. to create additional space for commercial activity in the redevelopment area. Some momentum has already been established with a number of recent projects in the area, although there are a number of vacant parcels where redevelopment will enhance the area's appearance.



6 Implementation & Financing

While the Goals, Objectives and Strategies described in the previous section provide the foundation for a viable redevelopment strategy, more specific information is required to better understand the financial implications of implementing the proposed redevelopment strategy.

Redevelopment activities have been identified by location and type as shown on Map 5. The following is a listing of parcels that may be suitable for redevelopment and the type of development that may take place.

- 1. <u>Parcel # 472534</u> Current Value \$184,400 Building renovation potential – façade improvement potential
- 2. <u>Parcel # 80906</u> Current Value \$502,400 Building renovation potential – façade improvement potential
- 3. <u>Parcel #81101</u> Current Value \$223,500 Building renovation potential – façade improvement potential
- 4. <u>Parcel # 81012</u> Current Value \$226,100 Building renovation potential – façade improvement potential
- 5. <u>Parcel # 37230</u> Current Value \$190,800 Building renovation potential – façade improvement potential
- 6. <u>Parcel # 37132</u> Current Value \$108,900 Building renovation potential – façade improvement potential – Parcel assembly potential
- 7. <u>Parcels # 36900 & 36802</u> Current Value \$106,000 & \$300 respectively Development potential
- 8. <u>Parcel # 03936</u> Current Value \$1,200,200 Stoughton Trailers property with commercial use building along Main St. Parcel could be divided to allow for continued heavy industrial use along the rail corridor and commercial uses along Main St.
- 9. <u>Parcel # 83207</u> Current Value: \$372,000 Former Stoughton Trailers property with existing commercial building along Main St. and vacant space along the rail corridor. This parcel could be divided to allow for continued commercial uses along Main St. with the rest of the property to be developed for mixed uses.
- 10. <u>Parcels #12015 & 12122</u> Current Value \$132,500 & \$115,300 respectively. Building is currently used for storage. Development potential for commercial uses.
- 11. <u>Parcel # 38631</u> Current Value \$167,000 Potential for building expansion on vacant property to the west.



- 12. <u>Parcel # 37418</u> Current Value \$27,700 Parcel is adjacent to large assembled parcel, but is currently in use.
- Parcels #37623, #37730, #37847, #37945, #38051, #38159, #38373, #38588 Current Value of all parcels - \$489,700 Parcels possess redevelopment potential. Existing housing is blighted. Close proximity to City Hall and to the rest of downtown make these parcels an important element to maintain continuity along Main St. and exhibit potential for municipal use. Parcels were recently purchased by the City.
- 14. <u>Parcel #44624</u> City-owned property Wheel house adjacent to the river is available for redevelopment.
- 15. <u>Parcel #65227</u> Currently no assessed value Highway Trailer Building available for redevelopment
- 16. Parcel #43198, #43287, #65629, #67672, #67136, #66646
 Current Value \$1,171,600
 Holley Moulding/Mill-Fab property. Any redevelopment of these parcels would require business relocation. Costs associated with relocation will likely include existing property acquisition, alternative site property acquisition, and relocation expenses.
- 17. <u>Parcel #61016, #65138, #99601</u> Current Value \$517,500, \$28,800, \$79,800 Former Stoughton Trailers uses suitable for housing redevelopment.
- 18. <u>Existing Housing</u> Current Value of all residential property in the redevelopment area is \$10,687,400. Home improvement funds could increase the quality and value of housing stock in the area.
- 19. <u>Main St. Streetscape Improvements</u> Purpose would be to establish continuity and alter the perception that the planning area is not a part of Main St. Constraints include electrical wires running throughout the planning area, the rail corridor, and the topography.
- 20. River Corridor Greenway and Bike & Pedestrian Trail
- 21. Pedestrian Bridge access to Mandt Park at 8th St.

Based on 2006 property tax data collected from the City of Stoughton, the current value of land in the planning area is \$3,307,600. Improvements in the area are currently valued at \$13,331,900 for a total property plus improvement value of \$16,639,500. Considering that conditions in the area show blighting and some parcels are vacant, there is potential to significantly increase property values and corresponding tax revenues to the City by eliminating blight and promoting infill development on vacant parcels.

Redevelopment Financing Sources

Financing redevelopment projects can be prohibitive for the private sector due to a variety of cost barriers. Environmental remediation to prepare a site for development and the rehabilitation or removal of existing structures are two examples of the types of additional costs that make redevelopment financially challenging. The financial barriers to redevelopment are



the same barriers that perpetuate blighted conditions and further reduce property values, creating an even more challenging redevelopment environment. In order to make redevelopment feasible from a cost perspective, it is important for the City to position itself to partner with the private sector by providing financial tools that make redevelopment financially feasible.

Tax Increment Financing

The State of Wisconsin has empowered communities to create Tax Increment District (TIDs) to promote the redevelopment of underutilized, obsolete, or blighted areas. In order to create a TID the City must determine a boundary within which costs are incurred and new tax base is created. After setting up the district boundaries, the City must then identify the potential costs that are needed to make new development feasible within the district. There are a variety of eligible project costs associated with the creation of a TID. Examples of eligible project costs include financing, infrastructure development, real property assembly, professional services, and costs outlined in the project plan.

Property taxes are comprised of taxes collected by the local unit of government, the county, the Technical College District and the State of Wisconsin. When a TID is created, a 'base value' is established by determining the current value of real property located in the District. The overlying taxing entities continue to collect their portion of property taxes on the 'base value'. As property values in the District increase as a result of new development, redevelopment or inflation, the additional value is captured by the TID. This additional value is called the 'tax increment' and can be used to fund project costs over the life of the TID. Once the District is terminated, all of the overlying taxing entities begin to receive property taxes on the full value of property in the former District and benefit from the additional tax base created as a result of the redevelopment facilitated through the TID.

Creating a TID for the Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Area would provide funds to assist with the costs associated with redevelopment projects, and may also be applied toward funding public improvements such as the proposed bike/pedestrian trail and the pedestrian bridge.

Grant Funding

Grant awards from Foundations, and State and Federal sources can also be applied to certain projects within the area. Three programs that may be of particular interest include the Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources State Stewardship Fund, the DNR's Site Assessment Grant, and the Brownfields Economic Development Initiative Grant funding through HUD. Each of these programs is targeted to meet specific objectives that are consistent with the proposed redevelopment plan.

The DNR State Stewardship Program was established in 1989 and designed to preserve Wisconsin's land and water resources and provide the land base and recreational facilities needed for quality outdoor experiences. Traditionally, the Stewardship Program provides up to 50% of the costs associated with awarded projects based on a competitive grant award basis. Grants are due annually on the first day in May. This may be a viable source of funding for the bike/pedestrian trail, riverwalk trail, and pedestrian bridge proposed in the redevelopment plan.

Due to the perceived risk of environmental contamination on a number of sites within the planning area, grant funding that assists with environmental remediation may be good sources for outside funding. The Brownfield Site Assessment Grant (SAG), for example, is a DNR program that helps local governments conduct initial activities and investigations of known or


suspected environmentally contaminated property. The program provides small grants of \$30,000 or less, and large grants that range from over \$30,000 to \$100,000. The grant funds can be used to conduct Phase I and Phase II environmental assessments, site investigations, demolish structures, and remove underground storage tanks.

The Wisconsin Department of Commerce also maintains a Brownfields Grant Program that is designed to provide grant funding up to 50%-80% of the costs associated with brownfield redevelopment or site remediation activities depending upon the size of the project. Maximum awards can reach up to \$1.25 million. Grant funds are based on a project's ability to promote economic development and the level of environmental impact the project will have on the area. \$7 million in funding was available in 2005, and since 1998 the Department has awarded a total of \$36.9 million to 89 different sites across Wisconsin. Applicants eligible for this award include the City or private developers that may be interested in redeveloping a property that requires remediation.

The Brownfields Economic Development Initiative (BEDI) is a key competitive grant program that HUD administers to stimulate and promote economic and community development. BEDI is designed to assist cities with the redevelopment of abandoned, idled and underused industrial and commercial facilities where expansion and redevelopment is burdened by real or potential environmental contamination.

BEDI grant funds are primarily targeted for use with a particular emphasis upon the redevelopment of brownfields sites in economic development projects and the increase of economic opportunities for low-and moderate-income persons as part of the creation or retention of businesses, jobs and increases in the local tax base.

BEDI funds are used as the stimulus for local governments and private sector parties to commence redevelopment or continue phased redevelopment efforts on brownfields sites where either potential or actual environmental conditions are known and redevelopment plans exist. HUD emphasizes the use of BEDI and Section 108 Loan Guarantee funds to finance projects and activities that will provide near-term results and demonstrable economic benefits. HUD does not encourage applications whose scope is limited only to site acquisition and/or remediation where there is no immediately planned redevelopment. BEDI funds are used to enhance the security or to improve the viability of a project financed with a new Section 108 guaranteed loan commitment. Redevelopment activities proposed in this plan may be ideal candidates for the BEDI program. A case by case analysis would be required to determine whether this is a viable source of funding.

More information on grants, including application deadlines and contacts, is contained in Appendix D.

Loan Programs

There are a series of loan programs available for individuals who have limited access to conventional sources of financing. Generally these programs are provided to individuals or families that meet certain income requirements; usually those with incomes below a certain percentage of the Dane County Median Income. Programs are also available for home improvements as well. Below is a list of programs that provide direct assistance to property owners that may be of value to residents in the planning area.



Down Payment Assistance Program

Loans offered through the Dane County Housing Authority for first time home buyers whose income does not exceed 80% of the Dane County Median Income. Can use with other down-payment programs.

608-224-3636 ext. 18.

Down Payment Plus Program

A down payment and closing cost assistance program for low and moderate income home buyers whose income is under 80% of the median household income. This is a statewide program. Lender must be a member of the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) of Chicago and a participant of this program. Can use with other down-payment programs. 1-888-318-4486.

Home-Buy

A down payment/closing cost assistance loan program for first time home buyers in Dane County, whose income does not exceed 80% of the Dane County Median Income. If income is less than 70% of County Median Income, can use with other down-payment programs. <u>Can not</u> use with WHEDA Home Plus. 608-266-4223

REALTORS® Association of South Central Wisconsin Housing Foundation A low interest, deferred payment loan to be used for down payment and/or closing costs for residents of Dane, Sauk, Green, Columbia, Iowa, Rock, Dodge, or Jefferson County whose income is below 80% of the County median income. Can use with other down-payment programs.

608-240-2800 ext. 303

There are also a number of sources of financing that would allow the city of Stoughton to capitalize a loan fund. Along with Tax Increment Financing, the City may be able to access Dane County Community Development Block Grant Funding to capitalize a home improvement program to assist property owners with gaining access to low-interest financing.

Additionally, the Wisconsin Housing and Economic Development Authority (WHEDA) provides a number of programs targeted toward expanding home ownership by offering innovative products and services in partnership with others to link Wisconsin residents and communities with affordable housing and economic development opportunities. WHEDA maintains a variety of loan and tax credit programs for property owners, purchasers and small businesses. A specific program that may be of interest is the WHEDA Neighborhood Revitalization Guarantee Program, which provides loan guarantees to developers or small businesses that stimulate economic development in redeveloping urban neighborhoods by developing or rehabilitating commercial or mixed-use real estate.



7 Relationship to Local Objectives

Map #3 in Appendix C illustrates the current land uses in the RA #1. The City's Comprehensive Plan and the original Rail Corridor Redevelopment Plan both indicate that redevelopment of the area, as a whole, should be of a mixed-use nature. More specifically, Map #4 in Appendix C shows proposed land uses by parcel, and indicates that commercial uses should line main street, with residential redevelopment occurring south of Main Street. Redevelopment should occur at densities that are higher than those that are currently found in the area, and higher than traditional suburban single-family development. Density should be appropriate to the area's central location within the City, adjacent to the Downtown. Indeed, residential redevelopment would further strengthen the Downtown by providing more residents within walking distance of the Downtown's many shops.

As discussed in the infrastructure report earlier in this document, most existing City infrastructure can support redevelopment and the increased use such development would place on facilities. Beyond regular street maintenance and repair, the main transportation-related project planned in and near the redevelopment area is a pedestrian and bicycle bridge connecting Mandt Park with the north side of the river, and extending a bike route from the bridge northwards. The bike route and pedestrian bridge will serve both transportation and recreation-related needs.

Aside from a shared ride taxi program, the City does not have any public transportation. The area's location adjacent to the rail corridor could make it a potential stop for commuter rail, but any such system is unlikely to occur before 2020.

Map #5 in Appendix C shows public improvements planned for the area as part of this Plan.

No changes are proposed in the Master Plan, Official Map, or Building Codes as part of this Plan. The City's Zoning Ordinance and other City Ordinances are required to implement this project plan. Individual redevelopment proposals will require changes in the current zoning designation (shown on Map #6 in Appendix C) consistent with the intent of this Plan. This Plan complies with the City's Comprehensive Plan, which was adopted on May 31, 2005.



8 Relocation

No persons are expected to be displaced or relocated as a result of proposed projects in this redevelopment plan; however, if relocation were to become necessary in the future, the following is the method proposed by the City or Redevelopment Authority for displacement or relocation. Before negotiations begin for the acquisition of property or easements, all property owners will be contacted to determine if there will be displaced persons as defined by Wisconsin Statues and Administrative Rules. If it appears there will be displaced persons, all property owners and prospective displaced persons will be provided an informational pamphlet prepared by the Wisconsin Department of Commerce (DOC). If any person is to be displaced as a result of the acquisition they will be given a pamphlet on "Relocation Benefits" as prepared by the DOC. The City will file a relocation plan with the DOC and shall keep records as required in Wisconsin Statutes 32.27. The City will provide each owner a full narrative appraisal, a map showing the owners of all property affected by the proposed project and a list of neighboring landowners to whom offers are being made as required by law.



9 Conclusion

The Railroad Corridor Redevelopment area has a high degree of potential for infill and redevelopment. Analysis suggests that there is a strong demand for additional housing in the City, and the redevelopment area is a suitable location for new housing development and improvements to existing homes. Improvements in the redevelopment area can capitalize on the revitalization that has occurred in the downtown area and extend the revitalized core of the community along the railroad corridor to the Yahara River. The City will need to ensure coordination between various departments and committees occurs in order to maximize the potential benefits of infill redevelopment. The City Council, Plan Commission, and Redevelopment Authority should avoid giving mixed signals to developers to prevent unnecessary hardships that would ultimately work against the goals and intent of this plan. Redevelopment statutes (§66.1333(6)(e)) designate the Council as having the authority to permit new construction and redevelopment within the District – the Council will have to be sure that they continue to work with the Plan Commission and RDA in implementing this plan.

The area's numerous strengths, such as its proximity to downtown and the Yahara River, the unique topography, the large parcels suitable for redevelopment, and the City's willingness to play a strong role in the redevelopment process, all enhance the likelihood that the Redevelopment Authority's and City's vision for the redevelopment area will occur over time. This plan and the included Action Plan Matrix should serve as a guide to both the City and developers as this process moves forward.



A Appendix A: Action Plan Matrix

GOALS	STRATEGIES	OBJECTIVES
	Establish a Blight Elimination or	Utilize Tax Increment Financing to Foster Redevelopment
	Mixed-Use Tax Incremental Finance District in the Redevelopment Area	Establish Finding of Blight and a Plan for Blight Elimination
Facilitate Redevelopment of Blighted Properties in		Establish Financial Feasibility of Proposed Improvements
the Redevelopment Area	Incentivize Existing Property Owners to Improve Existing Housing Stock and Commercial Properties	Develop Public/Private Partnership Mechanisms to Facilitate Home Improvements and Increase Property Values
	Implement Redevelopment Plan and a Tax Increment District Project Plan for the Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Area	Eliminate Blight, Foster Redevelopment, and Coordinate Activities Required to Stimulate Redevelopment
Establish Public/Private	Establish Home Improvement Grant/Loan Program Similar to the Downtown Façade Improvement Program	Improve Existing Housing and Commercial Property Stock
Partnership Mechanisms to Foster Redevelopment	Work With Developers to Facilitate Redevelopment of Blighted Buildings and Parcels	Market Redevelopment and Adaptive Reuse Opportunities in the Redevelopment Area
	Commit to a Common Vision for the Redevelopment Area and Maintain Momentum	Provide the Support Required to Implement Redevelopment Plan
Eliminate Stigmas and	Improve Conditions Along Main St. to Make the Main St. Portion of the Redevelopment Area an	Develop Streetscape Plan for Main St. Within the Redevelopment Area Consistent With Improvements Along Main St. to the West
Negative Perceptions Associated With the Area	Extension of Downtown	Work With Existing Property Owners to Facilitate Building Improvements
	Eliminate Stigmas Associated With Past Industrial Property Uses	Work With Existing Property Owners to Assess Property Conditions and Address Environmental Concerns if Required
	Identify Buildings and Areas Suitable for Adaptive Reuse and Additional Housing Projects	Develop Marketing Materials to Provide Information on Redevelopment Potential
Increase the Number of Housing Units Available in the Redevelopment Area	Develop Amenities Within the Redevelopment Area That Attract Development and New Housing Stock	Develop River Corridor Bike/Pedestrian Walkway, and Additional Green Space
	Evaluate the Role of Public Incentives to Facilitate Additional Housing	Establish Financial Feasibility of Public Incentives for Home Improvements
	Assess Heavy Industrial Uses and Identify Alternative	Work With Existing Heavy Industrial Users to Assess Current and Future Space Needs Establish Viable Location for Heavy
	Locations for These Users if Necessary	Industrial Uses Establish Financial Feasibility of Relocating Heavy Industrial Uses



(Continued)	Develop Parks & Open Space	Build From Concepts Provided in the Railroad Corridor Plan to Establish a
Replace Heavy Industrial Uses With Additional Residential, Commercial, and Parks	Plan for the Redevelopment Area	Detailed Parks and Open Space Plan that Includes Cost Estimates and Viable Sources of Funding
& Open Space Uses	Amend City's Outdoor Recreation Plan to Reflect Proposed Change in Land-use	Provides Access to State and Federal Parks and Open Space Grant Fund Sources
Utilize the Yahara River	Improve Access to the North	Develop Pedestrian Bridge Across the Yahara River Near 8 th Street to Connect to Mandt Park
as a Natural Feature and Amenity	Bank of the Yahara River	Establish Canoe Launch Near 8 th Street to Improve River Access
	Develop Bike/Pedestrian Corridor Along the North Bank of the River	Develop River Corridor Bike/Pedestrian Trail
Utilize the Railroad Corridor and the River Corridor to Connect to	Develop Bike/Pedestrian Corridor Along the Existing Railroad Corridor	Develop Railroad Corridor Bike/Pedestrian Trail – Connect to River Trail to the South and Existing Trail to the North
the Existing Bike/Pedestrian Trail System	Develop Bike/Pedestrian Corridor Along the North Bank of the River	Develop River Corridor Bike/Pedestrian Trail – Connect to Railroad Trail to the North
	Amend the City's Trails Plan to Reflect Additional Bike/Pedestrian Trails	Provides Access to State and Federal Bike/Pedestrian Grant Fund Sources
	Implement Streetscape Plan and Extend Improvements That Have Occurred Downtown West of the Project Area	Establish the Part of Main St. in the Redevelopment Area as an Extension of Downtown Stoughton
Increase Commercial Activity in the Redevelopment Area Along Main St.	Identify Existing Buildings Suitable for Commercial and Upper-Floor Housing Redevelopment	Encourage Suitable Redevelopment Activities
	Build Upon the Momentum of Recent Redevelopment Along Main St. – Both Within and Outside of the Redevelopment Area	Highlight Successful Redevelopments and Provide Information to Property Owners
		Establish Party Responsible for Overseeing Redevelopment Activities
Coordinate Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Efforts	Identify Coordinating Agency or Agencies	Assess establishing a Community Development Authority or Redevelopment Authority to coordinate redevelopment efforts
	Maintain Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Committee	Continue Momentum and Provide Assistance With Redevelopment Plan Implementation



B Appendix B: Property List

The following four pages are a listing of parcels within the redevelopment area. The listing shows the parcel number, parcel address, acreage, 2006 assessed values, current zoning district, and property conditions.



Blighting Conditions

											2		-	-		Т
City of Stou	City of Stoughton - Proposed Redevelopment Area	ient Area No. 1								SƏDE		Stuctures demo'ed on site	ures den on site	lo'ed		
Parcel Number	Owner	Parcel Address	Acres	2006 Land Value	2006 Improve- Ment Value	bəזdgilB	Vacant Dilapidation	Deterioration	Age Age	Ventilation/light/air/san/open spa	High density or overcrowding	Endanger life or property	Dbsolete Platting Diversity of Ownership	Deter. Of Structures	Deter. Of Site Improv.	Impairs sound growth
051105472534	Mckichan, David L & Shannon E Et Al	578 E Main St	0.46	\$46,700	\$137,700 12		×	×	x x				×	×	×	×
051105497704	051105497704 Whistle Stop Development Llc,; ,		0.22	\$0	\$0 B2	~										
051108103936	051108103936 Stoughton Trailers Inc,; ,	416 S Academy St	5.54	\$96,500	\$1,103,700 12	×	×	×	x x				×	×	×	×
051108110017	Hawkins, Larry B & Brent A Et Al	525 E Jefferson St	0.20	\$31,600	\$137,500 B1											
051108110124		517 E Jefferson St	0.20	\$31,600	\$85,300 B1											
051108110231	AI	509 E Jefferson St	0.20	\$31,600	\$126,800 B1											
051108110348	_	405 S Sixth St	0.20	\$31,600	\$116,600 B1											
051108110455	Conway, John J; Et Al	421 S Sixth St	0.08	\$12,600	\$102,600 B1											
051108110553	ient Llc.; Et Al	425 S Sixth St	0.12	\$24,300	\$326,900 B1								-			
051108110660		516 E South St	0.20	\$31,600	\$104,900 B1	_										
051108110777	Crews, Ruby M; ,	526 E South St	0.30	\$39,400	\$119,200 B1								-			1
	Franklin, Larry R & Amy L Et Al	418 S Seventh St	0.10	\$15,800	\$112,700 B1								_			
051108111016		401 S Seventh St	0.25	\$30,000	\$144,000 B1											
051108111123		407 S Seventh St	0.21	\$28,500	\$203,500 B1											
051108111230		409 S Seventh St	0.27	\$36,700	\$80,300 B1											
051108111347	051108111347 Dickson, Steven L & Julie F	415 S Seventh St	0.13	\$20,600	\$90,200 B1											
051108111445		419 S Seventh St	0.09	\$14,200	\$114,300 B1	_						_				
	Ehr, Nicole E; Et Al	425 S Seventh St	0.09	\$12,600	\$105,700 B1											
051108111650		616 E South St	0.09	\$14,200	\$112,600 B1	_										
051108111767	Wahlin, Donald D; Ddw Enterprises,		0.02	\$600	\$0 12		×									
051108111865		624 E South St	0.27	\$26,900	\$113,500 B1	_			_	_			_			
		501 E Main St	0.18	\$27,700	\$104,800 B1	×	×	×	×				_	×	×	
051108112122	Hull, Kris K; ,	201 S Sixth St	0.23	\$39,400	\$75,900 B1	×	×	×	×					×	×	
		209 S Sixth St	0.13	\$24,400	\$66,700 B1	×	×	×	×					×	×	
051108112337	Zweep, Michael G; Et Al	208 S Seventh St	0.16	\$22,100	\$113,100 B1											
051108112444		209 S Sixth St	0.14	\$26,100	\$0 B1	×	×	×	×					×	×	
051108112551	in M; ,	309 S Sixth St	0.27	\$38,100	\$109,700 B1	_										
	Prough, Christopher J; Et Al	500 E Jefferson St	0.20	\$31,600	\$123,100 B1	_										
_	Lord, Jennifer L; Et Al	508 E Jefferson St	0.20	\$31,600	\$157,700 B1	_			_	_			_			
051108112882	Allen, James W; Et Al	516 E Jefferson St	0.14	\$20,600	\$151,200 B1	_	\dashv		+	\dashv			+	_	┫	Т
051108113096	051108113096 Gorney, Dana I; Et Al	316 S Seventh St	0.14	\$22,100	\$108,300 B1	_	_					-	_			٦

Exhibit B: Parcel List									Bligh	Blighting Conditions	Cond	lition	s		Γ
City of Stoughton - Proposed Redevelopment Area	ment Area No. 1								500		Stuctures demo'ed on site	ures der on site	no'ea	7	
Parcel Number	0	Acres	2006 Land Value	2006 Improve-	pəing bəidgila	Vacant	Dilapidation Deterioration	epA	Obsolescense	Ventilation/light/sir/san/open space	Endanger life or property	Obsolete Platting	Diversity of Ownership Deter. Of Structures	Deter. Of Site Improv.	Impairs sound growth
	524 E Jefferson St	0.13	\$19,000		B1										
051108113309 Ramirez, Arthur J & Tamala Et Al	308 S Seventh St	0.20	\$28,500	\$109,900 E	B1										
	300 S Seventh St	0.15	\$20,600	\$99,600 B1	31		_					_			
051108129016 Cabibbo, Joseph J & Ruby A	404 S Fifth St	0.40	\$43,800	\$241,400 B1	31										
	311 E Jefferson St	0.05	\$7,900	\$115,100 B1	31		_					_			
	307 E Jefferson St	0.06	\$9,500	\$113,400 B1	31							_			
	409 S Fourth St	0.20	\$31,600	\$91,900 B1	51										
051108129543 Christianson, Ronald A & Debra K	401 S Fourth St	0.09	\$14,200	\$121,100 B1	31										
	417 S Fourth St	0.10	\$15,800	\$110,800 B1	31							_			
	425 S Fourth St	0.10	\$19,600	\$77,100 B1	31 X		хх	×				_	×		
	308 E South St	0.20	\$31,600	\$134,300 B1	31										
	316 E South St	0.20	\$31,600	\$100,100 B1	31										
	424 S Fifth St	0.07	\$13,600	\$16,000 B1	31 X		××	×					×		
051108130184 Reeves, Raney E lii; Et Al	418 S Fifth St	0.13	\$20,600	\$73,600 B1	31										
	119 S Fifth St	0.12	\$7,600	\$12,200 B2	32		_					_			
051108136802 Mayo, Patrick J; Et Al	217 S Fifth St	0.02	\$300	\$0 B2	32	×									
051108136900 Melton, David; Et Al	480 E Main St	0.77	\$91,000	\$15,000 B2	32 X	×			×			×			×
051108137132 Amundson, B C & E A	500 E Main St	0.39	\$61,600	\$47,300 B2	32 X	×	×	×				×	×	×	×
	508 E Main St	0.20	\$31,200	\$159,600 B2	32 x		×	×	×			×	×	×	×
	401 E Main St	0.07	\$20,100	\$7,600 B1	<u></u>	×									
	309 S Fifth St	0.21	\$31,600	\$54,900 B1	<u></u>										
051108137730 Stoughton, City Of	317 S Fifth St	0.16	\$25,300	\$46,200 B1	31										
	404 E Jefferson St	0.15	\$23,700	\$70,300 B1	31		_		_			_	_		
	408 E Jefferson St	0.10	\$14,200	\$53,400 B1	31										
	416 E Jefferson St	0.15	\$23,700	\$0 E	B1	×									
	324 S Sixth St	0.10	\$15,800	\$40,600 B1	31										
051108138266 Stoughton, City Of	316 S Sixth St	0.15	\$23,700	\$79,400 B1	51										
	308 S Sixth St	0.21	\$31,600	\$31,900 E	1		_					_	_		
	300 S Sixth St	0.06	\$16,300	\$46,300 B1	31 ×		×	×					×	×	
	210 S Sixth St	0.04	\$5,200	\$55,100 B1	31 ×		×	×	×			×	×	×	×
051108138588 Stoughton, City Of.; ,	413 E Main St	0.21	\$26,500	\$0 E	B1	×	_		_	_	_	_	_		

Blighting Conditions

								I	'	2) D			ſ	ľ	T
City of Stor	City of Stoughton - Proposed Redevelopment Area	nent Area No. 1								Səc		Stuctures demo'ed on site	ıres dem on site	o'ed		
							T			эe	-	5	2			
										eds						
										uədo/	gnibwo	,fy				
										ues/J		ıədo.	diys.		.vo1	цìw
															dɯJ	d.o
							u		əsu						ətiS	pun
						bəì			9089						. Of S	ls so
Parcel Number	Owner	Parcel Address	Acres	2006 Land Value	2006 Improve-	nino2 Agil£	Iacal Dilapi	neter	osdC Age			iebn=	lozdC Pivers	19t9C	nətəC	iedw
051108138631		419 E Main St	0.04	\$10,800	00			_	-	-	-		_	-		
051108138695		421 E Main St	0.12	\$27,300	\$79,100 E	31 ×	×	×	×					×	×	
051108138793		435 E Main St	0.14	\$44,200	\$79,500 B1		X	х	Х					×	×	
051108138917	Stoughton, City Of.; ,		0.20	\$0	\$0 B1	31										
051108139023	Aaberg, Danny K; Et Al	417 E Jefferson St	0.20	\$31,600	\$220,700 B1	31										
051108139130	Schulz, Ehren D & Amy J Et Al	409 E Jefferson St	0.20	\$31,600	\$98,300 B1	31										
051108139185	Smith, Rick	401 S Fifth St	0.10	\$0	\$0 E	B1										
051108139247	Huvila, Dennis L & Mary C	409 S Fifth St	0.10	\$15,800	\$180,400 B1	31										
051108139354	Kunert, Myra Jean; ,	415 S Fifth St	0.12	\$19,000	\$92,900 E	31										
051108139416	Cossman, Jeremy & Danica Et Al	421 S Fifth St	0.19	\$30,000	\$99,400 B1	31										
051108139461	Huberd, Judith A; ,	425 S Fifth St	0.10	\$19,000	\$72,800 B1	31 ×	×	×	×					×		I
051108139578	Overson, Christopher W; Et Al	424 S Sixth St	0.20	\$31,600	\$110,900 B1	31										
051108139685	Hickcox, Arlene N; Dickinson, Cathy L	418 S Sixth St	0.20	\$31,600	\$105,600 B1	31										
051108143081	Johnson Tr, Bruce A & Alice M,; ,	425 E South St	0.26	\$43,900	\$124,200 B2	32										
051108143116	Johnson, Bruce A; ,	425 E South St	0.03	\$400	\$0 B2	32	×									
051108143198	Holley Moulding Inc.		09.0	20	10\$	2 ×			x	2		^	×		×	×
051108143287	Holley Moulding Inc.	433 E South St	1.99	\$58,100	\$447,800 12	_			×			_	x		×	×
051108143894	Russell, Kevin H & Kelly A Et Al	415 E South St	0.12	\$17,400	\$105,700	12										
051108144008		409 E South St	0.16	\$30,000	\$108,100 R1	۲1						_				
051108144115	Petre, Daniel J & Angela L Et Al	401 E South St	0.20	\$31,600	\$106,300 12	2						_				
051108144222	; Et Al	325 E South St	0.20	\$31,600	\$65,100 R1	۲۱										
051108144339		317 E South St	0.20	\$31,600	\$104,400	12						_				
05110814446	051108144446 Stoughton, City Of	501 S Fourth St	0.40	\$0	\$0 12	2										
051108144624		515 S Fourth St	1.80	\$0	10\$	2										
051108161016	Stoughton Trailers Inc,; ,		2.02	\$47,000	\$470,500 12	2 X			×			x			×	×
051108161721		621 E South St	0.10	\$15,800	\$96,400 12	2										
051108161883	Smithback, Michael L; Et Al	616 Eighth St	0.39	\$38,500	\$93,600	2										
051108162097		624 Eighth St	0.01	\$0	\$0 12	5										
051108162319		508 Dunkirk Ave	0.10	\$14,200	\$92,700 R1	۲1										
051108162435		516 Dunkirk Ave	0.29	\$30,000	\$129,800 R1	۲1							<u> </u>			
051108162533	Yost, Jimmy B & Rose A	532 Dunkirk Ave	0.20	\$31,600	\$91,600 R1	81			_			_			_	

Exhibit B:	Exhibit B: Parcel List									Bligh	Blighting Conditions	Con	ditio	su			
City of Stor	City of Stoughton - Proposed Redevelopment Area	nent Area No. 1								000		Stuctures demo'ed on site	ures de on site	emo'e	p		
Parcel Number	Owner		Acres	2006 Land Value	2006 Improve-	pəinoz bəidpited	Vacant Dilapidation	Deterioration	əgA	Obsolescense	Ventilation/light/sir/san/open space High density or overcrowding	Endanger life or property	Obsolete Platting		Deter. Of Structures Deter. Of Site Improv.	Impairs sound growth	
051108162640	Bjordahl, Richard H & Nancee L	540 Dunkirk Ave	0.20	\$31,600	\$121,600 R1	1											_
051108162757	Johnson, Dennis L; Et Al	600 Dunkirk Ave	0.52	\$47,300	\$107,200 R1	1											
051108162864	051108162864 Johnson, Gordon A & Sherry L	616 Dunkirk Ave	0.20	\$0	\$0 R1	1								_			
051108163292	Olson, Sigmund A & Carolyn M	Eighth	0.20	\$31,600	\$102,400 R1	1											
051108163407	051108163407 Rogers, Jason N T; Et Al	601 Eighth St	0.20	\$31,600	\$81,900 R1	1								_			
051108163514	051108163514 Nyenhuis, Jeff & Lisa Et Al	Eighth	0.31	\$58,000	\$208,700 R1	1											
051108163621	051108163621 Hawkins, Larry B & Brent A Et Al	517 Eighth St	0.18	\$26,900	\$146,700 R1	1								_			
051108163747	051108163747 Rigdon, Terry & Sheila M	500 Dunkirk Ave	0.15	\$11,900	\$0 R1	1	×										
051108163845		500 Dunkirk Ave	0.15	\$22,100	\$146,700 R1	1											
051108165012	Thomas, Timothy D & Carol L	509 S Seventh St	0.24	\$29,600	\$95,700 12												
051108165138	051108165138 Ddw Enterprises; Wahlin, Donald D		1.18	\$27,800	\$1,000 12	×	_	_		×	_		×		×	×	-1
051108165227	Wahlin, Donald D; ,		2.23	\$54,500	\$22,400 12	×	×	×	×	×		×	×		× ×	×	-1
051108165316	051108165316 Vike, Chad A & Wendy S	517 S Seventh St	0.12	\$17,400	\$71,000 12										_	_	-1
051108165629	051108165629 Holley Moulding Inc.		0.66	\$19,300	\$800 12	×	_	_		×	_		×		×	×	-1
051108166646	051108166646 Holley Moulding Inc.		0.26	\$0	\$0 12	×	_	_		×			×		×	×	-
051108167136	051108167136 Holley Moulding Inc.		1.88	\$43,600		×	_	_		×			×		×	×	-1
051108167672	051108167672 Holley Moulding Inc.		0.89	\$25,900	\$263,600 12	×				×			×		×	×	T
051108180906	051108180906 Whistle Stop Development Llc,; ,	556 E Main St	1.85	\$119,400	\$383,000 B2	×	×	×	×	×	_		×		×	×	T
051108180997	051108180997 Stoughton, City Of.; ,		0.14	\$0 *00 100	\$0 B2	2 0					_				-		-1
10101010100	AITTIE, JETOTTIE 3 & LOIS L Dovoring - Initia Manari	524 E Main St 646 E Main St	0U	\$29,400 \$75,600	\$130,700 DZ	_	ť	>	>	,	_		>			_	Т
051100101101		529 F Main St	0.12	\$9 800 800 800	\$25,200 12	< >	< >	_	< >	< >	_		<		< > < >	< >	T
051108181709		529 F Main St	0.06	\$4 900		+	< ×	_	: ×	: ×			: ×		_		1
051108183207	Wahlin, Donald D;	517 E Main St	4.83	\$74,000				-	:	×			×		-	-	Т
051108195409	Clark, Jerry L & Marguerite T	809 E South St	0.38	\$6,400	\$113,400 [1	×	×	×	×	×			×		××		r
051108195703	051108195703 Kittleson, Pearl G; ,	617 E South St	0.17	\$23,700	\$113,500 12												
051108195801	Harris, Jennifer; ,		0.12	\$17,400	\$121,600 12												
051108199503	051108199503 Ree, Charles M & Andrea L Et Al	638 Dunkirk Ave	0.42	\$46,000	\$62,400 R1	-	_	_									-
051108199601	Wahlin, Donald D; ,		2.55	\$57,500	\$22,300 12	×				×	_		×		×	×	-
051108199/09	051108199709 Johnson, Gordon A & Sherry L	616 Dunkirk Ave	0.20	\$31,600	\$136,300 I2		+	_	_		-			+		_	-
05110819/952	051108197952 Stoughton Public Schools		3.08	\$0	\$0 B2	2 ×	-	×	×	×	_	×		-	×	×	-

						_		_			
		Impairs sound growth									
		Deter. Of Site Improv.									
	ed,	Deter. Of Structures									
su	emo	Diversity of Ownership									
litio	ures de on site	Obsolete Platting									
onc	Stuctures demo'ed on site	Endanger life or property									
g C	Stuc	High density or overcrowding									
htin		Ventilation/light/air/san/open spa									
Blighting Conditions		Opsolescense									
_		ege i e		-			-				
		Deterioration	_	-		_	-	_			
		Dilapidation		-			-				
		Vacant	-	×	×	×	×	-			
		Biighted	_	_			-	_	×		
		poinoZ Poologia	~	~	~	~	2	~			
			0112	\$0 12	\$0 12	\$0 12	\$0 B2	\$112,500 12	\$0 12	0	
		2006 Improve- ment Value	\$58,300	9	0)		0)	2,50	0)	\$13,502,700	
		006 Improve ment Value	\$5					\$11		3,50	
		06 Ir lent								\$13	
		20C m									
			OC	00	00	oc	OC	OC	\$0	8	
		and	\$36,400	\$700	\$3,200	\$6,500	\$17,400	\$79,400		\$3,451,200	
		2006 Land Value	\$3		\$	θ	\$1	\$7		3,45	
		200								Ś	
		es	5.99	0.33	0.20	0.73	0.20	2.99	0.50	63.89	
		Acres								<u>ن</u>	
	-			-			-				
	lo.	Parcel Address									
	a N	ddr	ŭ	St	St			ŭ			
	\re;	el A	418 Ridge (418 Ridge	409 Ridge	418 Ridge	430 Ridge	dge			
	ht β	arc	8 Ric	8 Ric) Ric	8 Ric) Ric	409 Ridge		al	
	Jer	ш.	418	418	409	418	43(409		Total	
	nqc										
	/elc										
	Jev								C.		
	Sec		o.		ю.	ö.		*.	t LL		
	dБ	er	Corl	Cor	Corl	Corl	Corl	Corl	nen		
	se	Owner	ing	ing	ing	ing	ing	ing	lopr		
	bc	0	kag	kag	kag	kag	kag	kag	eve		
ist	Pro		Pac	Pac	Pac	Pac	Pac	Pac	рD		
	-		ton	ton	ton	ton	ton	ton	Sto		
Š	tor		hgu	hgh	ngh	hgh	hgh	hgu	istle		444
Ра	lgh		Sto	Sto	Sto	Sto	Sto	Sto	ЧМ		
Exhibit B: Parcel List	City of Stoughton - Proposed Redevelopment Area No. 1		051105467602 Stoughton Packaging Corp.	051105467906 Stoughton Packaging Corp.	051105468003 Stoughton Packaging Corp.	051105468209 Stoughton Packaging Corp.	051105468905 Stoughton Packaging Corp.	051105470009 Stoughton Packaging Corp.	051105470509 Whistle Stop Development LLC		*Denotee 2007 coscentrat
it I	fS	cel	4676	4679	1680	1682	1689	4700	1705		2000
hib	0 >	Parcel Number	1054	1054	1054	1054	1054	1054	1054		,0070
ШX	Cit	E E	<u> 151</u>	051	051	<u> 51 '</u>	<u> 51′</u>	<u> 151</u>	351 ′		Ç,
	-		\sim	\sim		\sim		\sim		I	*

*Denotes 2007 assessment.

Total District Area ROW Total Blighted Total Vacant & Blighted % Vacant

81.98 Acres
18.09 Acres
35.82 Acres
3.39 Acres
56.08% Of Parcel Acreage
4.14% Of Total Area

C Appendix C: Maps

- Map #1: Redevelopment Area Boundary
- Map #2: Property Conditions
- Map #3: Current Land Uses
- Map #4: Proposed Land Uses
- Map #5: Proposed Improvements
- Map #6: Zoning















D Appendix D: Grant Information

Potential Grant Funding Sources for Stoughton Redevelopment Plan

I. Dane County Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)/HOME The Dane County Community Development Block Grant Program coordinates the dispersal of funds to participating communities within Dane County, including Stoughton. These communities are eligible to receive funding in one of four areas: 1) Community Development Block Grants, 2) American Dream Down Payment Initiative, 3) HOME Program Grants, and 4) County B.U.I.L.D. grants. All of the following information was obtained from Dane County CDBG/HOME materials.

These programs are aimed at addressing Dane County's three priorities:

Priority 1: Housing and Homelessness

This priority seeks to make housing available for low to moderate income individuals, particularly the elderly and those with disabilities. In addition to the creation of affordable housing, Dane county seeks to provide assistance to low and moderate income households for housing repairs and maintenance, as well as the purchase of new homes. These efforts also include education and support for planning activities required to design successful housing programs.

Priority 2: Economic Development

The goals of economic development include the revitalization of downtowns and commercial areas in order to create jobs for low to moderate income individuals, and the provision of planning and development assistance aimed at producing redevelopment plans for these downtown and commercial areas. Dane County also hopes to provide better access to capital for small businesses owned by or employing low to moderate income individuals.

Priority 3: Community Facilities and Services

This priority hopes to increase access to community facilities and services aimed at low to moderate income youth, their families and the elderly.

Ia. Dane County Community Development Block Grant

Eligible CDBG Activities:

- Acquisition of real property
- Relocation and demolition
- Construction of public facilities and improvements
- Public services
- Activities related to energy conservation and renewable energy sources
- Provision of assistance to profit-motivated businesses to carry our economic development



Application Instructions:

- The next application cycle will be for the calendar year of 2009
- The exact application deadline has not been set, but is expected to be early June 2008
- For more information contact: Ann McCartney 608.261.9782 or McCartney@co.dane.wi.us
- Ib. Dane County HOME Program

Eligible HOME Activities:

- Construction/rehabilitation of affordable housing (rental and owned)
- Down payment assistance to qualified persons
- Land acquisition
- Site improvements
- Conversion of existing structure to affordable housing
- Demolition of structure for development of affordable housing
- Development financing costs; including soft costs
- Relocation of displaced persons

All projects must meet the affordability standards published by the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) each year. In general a certain percentage of those benefiting from HUD assistance (including the HOME program) can not have income levels higher than 50 – 80 percent of median area income depending on the program.

Matching Funds

Matching funds equal to at least 25 percent of the funded project is required. Eligible sources of match include:

- Cash contributions from nonfederal sources
- Forbearance of state and local taxes, charges or fees
- Donated real property
- Voluntary labor
- Sweat equity

Funding Priorities

Dane County will give priority to the following types of projects:

- Infill housing identified in a County BUILD Plan
- Non-BUILD infill housing
- Traditional Neighborhood Development (TND is defined in the application)
- Projects that leverage additional sources of funding

Application Instructions:

- The next application cycle will be for the calendar year of 2009
- The exact application deadline has not been set, but is expected to be early June 2008
- For more information contact: Ann McCartney 608.261.9782 or McCartney@co.dane.wi.us



Ic. Dane County BUILD Planning Grants

Eligible BUILD Activities

- Preparation of infill development plans
- Facilitation of visioning sessions
- Facilitation of design workshops and charrettes
- Stakeholder interviews
- Facilitation of public participation process (visioning, workshops, interviews, surveys, web sites, etc.)
- Preparation of "predevelopment" activities (architectural assessments, market studies, feasibility studies, etc.) Applicants seeking predevelopment planning assistance will only be considered if the predevelopment is seeking to advance a previous BUILD funded plan
- Implementation consultation
- Specific BUILD project types:
 - Redesign of commercial districts into higher-value pedestrian friendly districts
 - Construction of Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs): an additional unit on an existing lot
 - Intergovernmental agreements that preserve open space and focus growth in existing developed areas
 - o Transit-oriented development at potential stations and commuter lines

Matching Funds

• Matching funds equal to at least 25 percent of total costs for planning and 50 percent of total project costs for predevelopment are required

Evaluation Criteria

- Extent to which proposed project can meet BUILD objectives 40%
- Local support and capacity measured by participation from a wide range of stakeholders in all aspects of the planning process 20%
- Likelihood of implementation given market realities 20%
- Degree of need -20%

Application Instructions

- The next application cycle will be for the calendar year of 2008-2009
- The exact application process has not been set, but is expected to follow the 2007 process:
 - March 30: Application due
 - April 25: presentation by applicants to BUILD Advisory Committee
 - o May 30: Funding recommendations by BUILD Committee
 - June-July: Contract preparation, approval and execution
- For more information contact the Dane County Planning and Development Office: 608.266.4270



Id. Housing Development and Commercial Revitalization Funds

In addition to the grants discussed above, Dane County has available two revolving loan funds each with an on-going application cycle. One fund is for economic development in general (the RLF) and one is for commercial revitalization (the CRLF). Both require 50 percent matching funds and have job creation requirements: the RLF requires at least one job be created for each \$20,000 of community funds, and the CRLF requires one job per \$35,000 of community funds.

II. HOPE VI Main Street Program

IIa. Hope VI Main Street Grant

Administered by the US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), the HOPE VI Main Street program is intended to provide assistance to small communities for the purpose of developing affordable housing for low income families in connection with an existing main street redevelopment project. The maximum grant is \$1,000,000.

Specifications

- HUD determines if a project is an existing, eligible main street project. Criteria is:
 - Project is carried out within a jurisdiction smaller than the community receiving the grant
 - Involves development of affordable housing located in a commercial area
 - Has as its purpose the revitalization or redevelopment of a historic or traditional commercial area
 - o Involves investment by both the local government and private entities
 - Complies with historic preservation guidelines set out in Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (and potentially other historic preservation guidelines)
- Created units must meet HUD's affordability requirements only for the first occupant

Eligible HOPE IV Activities

- New construction, reconfiguration, or rehab of affordable housing within the Main Street area
- Architectural and engineering work
- Tax credit syndication
- Moving expenses for displaced residents
- Management improvements necessary for proper development of the project
- Leveraging other resources from any source except other HOPE IV grants
- Necessary supportive services (only up to 15 percent of grant)

Matching Funds

• Matching funds equal to at least 5 percent of total project costs must be provided by the applicant. These funds can only be used for carrying out affordable housing activities related to the Main Street affordable housing project



Application Instructions

- Applications are submitted electronically via HUD's grant page (www.hud.gov/grants)
- The municipality must renew their HUD grant registration prior to applying: Renewal can take up to three weeks
- 2008 application and award date have not been released yet; the 2007 deadline was in early August.
- III. Brownfield Programs Specific Grants

IIIa. Brownfield Site Assessment Grant for Local Government (SAG)

Distributed by the DNR, the SAG is a program that provides funding to local governments to conduct initial investigation of known or suspected environmentally contaminated property. Grants are available in 'small' and 'large.' Small grants are between \$2,000 - \$30,000, and large grants are between \$30,001 to \$100,000.

Municipal Requirements

- A local government can request funds for more than one property, or may submit a grant application covering multiple contiguous properties. Governments cannot apply for multiple single-property funding grants in the same application period.
- Local government does not have to own the property to qualify for the grant, but they must have access to the site within 60 days of a grant award, and the local government must conduct activities within 12 months of grant award

Eligible SAG Activities

- Phase I Environmental Site Assessments
- Phase II Environmental Site Assessments
- Site Investigation
- Demolition
- Asbestos removal
- Removal of abandoned containers and underground storage tanks

Matching Funds

• Local government must provide at least a 20 percent match of the grant request.

Application Instructions

- Applications are generally made available in July or August, with deadlines for both small and large grants in fall of the same year.
- Awards for SAGs are usually announced in the winter or spring the following year
- You can obtain an application from Michael Prager at 608.261.4927 or <u>michael.prager@wisconsin.gov</u> - <u>http://dnr.wi.gov/org/aw/rr/rbrownfields/sag.htm</u>

IIIb. EPA Brownfields Assessment Grants

Distributed by the EPA and intended to provide funding to inventory, characterize, assess and conduct cleanup and redevelopment planning related to brownfield sites. The applicant can apply for up to \$200,000 for a community-wide assessment grant and \$350,000 for a site-specific assessment grant. Community-wide assessment can be applied for when a specific site



has not been identified or the assessment will include more than one site. If the applicant is planning on assessing only one site they must apply for the site-specific grant.

Eligible Grant Activities

- Inventory and assessment of local brownfields
- Planning and community involvement
- Environmental investigations
- Planning for cleanup and redevelopment

Prohibited Grant Activities

- Payment of a penalty or fine
- Use of money to pay towards a different funding source cost-share requirement
- Administrative costs
- Assessment if the applicant is potentially liable under CERCLA Sec 107 for the contamination
- Cost of compliance with any federal law other than the applicable cleanup

Application Instructions

- The next application cycle will be for the calendar year of 2009
- The 2008 deadline is not available: The 2007 proposal deadline was in early October.

IIIc. EPA Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund Grant (RLF)

Distributed by the EPA, the Revolving Loan fund is intended to provide recipients capital to initiate a revolving loan that can be used for cleanup activities at brownfield sites. Recipients can also provide sub-grants to other entities doing cleanup.

Specifications

- Local government can submit one proposal for \$1,000,000
- Recipient must use 60 percent of awarded funds to capitalize and implement a revolving loan fund. This loan fund may be used to make loans to nonprofit and for-profit organizations, as well as other governmental entities for the purpose of brownfield remediation.
- Applicant establishes interest rate of revolving loan fund (can be 0%)
- Recipients can use up to 40 percent of the awarded fund to make sub-grants to other eligible entities
- The performance period for the RLF grant is up to five years

Eligible RLF activities

- Environmental cleanup
- 25 percent of total award can be used for petroleum cleanup

Prohibited Grant Activities

- Payment of a penalty or fine
- Use of money to pay towards a different funding source cost-share requirement
- Administrative costs
- Assessment if the applicant is potentially liable under CERCLA Sec 107 for the contamination
- Cost of compliance with any federal law other than the applicable cleanup



Matching Funds

• RLF grants require a 20 percent matching funds from the applicant

Application Instructions

- The next application cycle will be for the calendar year of 2009
- The 2008 deadline is not available: The 2007 proposal deadline was early October.

IIId. EPA Brownfields Revolving Cleanup Grant

Distributed by the EPA, the Cleanup Grant is for the sole purpose of carrying out cleanup activities at brownfield sites. An applicant can receive up to \$200,000 per site and must be ready to proceed with cleanup at the time funds are awarded.

Specifications

- No single applicant can receive more than three grants per year
- Applicant must own the property by June 30 of the application year
- Phase 1 assessment must be completed and Phase 2 must be underway

Eligible Cleanup Grant activities

Environmental cleanup

Prohibited Grant Activities

- Payment of a penalty or fine
- Use of money to pay towards a different funding source cost-share requirement
- Administrative costs
- Assessment if the applicant is potentially liable under CERCLA Sec 107 for the contamination
- Cost of compliance with any federal law other than the applicable cleanup

Matching Funds

• Cleanup grants require a 20 percent matching funds from the applicant

Application Instructions

- The next application cycle will be for the calendar year of 2009
- The 2008 deadline is not available: The 2007 proposal deadline was early October.

IV. Natural Resource and Recreation Programs

IVa. DNR Stewardship Grants

Administered and distributed by the Wisconsin DNR, these grants are for the land acquisition, development and renovation tasks that are part of a project that promotes nature-based outdoor recreation.

Specifications (not exhaustive)

- No land can be purchased or development project started before you receive the grant
- If you receive a grant you are agreeing to maintain the project land as natural outdoor space and recreation in perpetuity
- Must have public access to the lands



- Selling, leasing or executing an easement on all or a portion of the land is generally prohibited
- All electrical and communication lines must be installed underground and existing lines must be buried, removed or relocated as necessary

Eligible Stewardship Grant activities

- Land acquisition
- Trail development
- Trail renovation

Prohibited Grant Activities

- Land acquired through condemnation
- Recreation areas that are not related to "nature-based" activities. For example, sports fields, swimming pools, playgrounds, and motorized recreation
- Lands dedicated through a local park dedication ordinance
- Historic structures
- Buildings developed for operation and maintenance purposes
- Construction or repair of dams, lagoons, or seawalls
- Environmental remediation (this may not be the case if the project is brought to the attention of your DNR regional CSS early in the process)

Matching Funds

• Grants do not require matching funds, but will only cover up to 50 percent of eligible project costs

Application Instructions

- Application booklets are distributed each year in late January/early February, and are due May 1.
- The payment of the grant occurs in the form of reimbursement for incurred costs, but the sponsor can request an initial 50 percent advance payment at the time of receiving the grant award.
- For more detailed application process contact the DNR Regional Community Specialists (CSS) to plan projects and complete applications.

Stefanie Brouwer 3911 Fish Hatchery Rd Fitchburg, WI 53711 PHONE: (608) 275-3218 FAX: 275-3338 <u>Stefanie.brouwer@Wisconsin.gov</u>

IVb. DNR Recreational Trails Program (RTP)

Distributed by the DNR, this program offers funds to local government for assistance in trail development, maintenance or rehabilitation of recreational trails. RTP funds can only be used on trails which further a goal of a local, county or state trail plan which is included or referenced in a statewide comprehensive outdoor recreation plan required by the federal Land and Water Conservation Fund Program.



Eligible RTP activities

- Maintenance and restoration of existing trails
- Development and rehabilitation of trailside and trailhead facilities and trail linkages
- Construction of new trails
- Acquisition of easements or property for trails

Prohibited Grant Activities

- Condemnation of any interest in property or any property acquired prior to grant approval
- Administrative costs of acquiring lands
- Engineering costs incurred prior to approval of grant

Matching Funds

• Grants do not require matching funds, but will only cover up to 50 percent of eligible project costs

Application Instructions

- To be eligible for the summer deadline, applications must be returned by May 1.
- For more detailed application process contact your DNR Regional Community Specialists (CSS) to plan projects and complete applications.

Stefanie Brouwer 3911 Fish Hatchery Rd Fitchburg, WI 53711 PHONE: (608) 275-3218 FAX: 275-3338 <u>Stefanie.brouwer@Wisconsin.gov</u>

V. Loan Programs

Va. State of Wisconsin Trust Fund Loan Program

Distributed by the State of Wisconsin Board of Commissioners of Public Lands, the Trust fund loan program allows school districts and municipalities to borrow money for a variety of purposes including buildings, roads, water and sewer facilities, equipment, recreation facilities, industrial development, or other purposes.

Specifications

- Loans are considered General Obligation debt
- Most municipalities are authorized to borrow up to 5 percent of their equalized value
- Current rates once loan is approved rate is fixed for life of the loan:
 - Loans up to five years -4.75%
 - Between six and ten years 5.00%
 - Over ten years and up to twenty -5.35%
- Current loan limit is \$5,000,000 a calendar year

Application Instructions

- On going application
- For an application contact: Coletta DeMuth
 - 608.266.0034 or



Coletaa.DeMuth@wisconsin.gov

Vb. Land Recycling Loan Program (LRLP)

Distributed by the DNR, the LRLP provides low cost loans to local government and RDAs for the remediation of environmental contaminants that threatens to affect groundwater or surface water.

Specifications

- Current interest rate is 0.5 percent
- Loan term is 20 years
- Loan must be general obligation
- After remediation, proceeds from the sale of land to developer must be used to repay the LRLP loan

Application Instructions

- Local government must submit a notice of intent to apply (can obtain on DNR's website) by December 31 prior to the fiscal year (July 1) that they anticipate using the funding (DNR announces application deadlines semi-annually)
- Developer agreements (agreements for the development of the site) must be reviewed by the Department of Administration prior to award of funds
- For more application details contact:

Maureen Hubeler PHONE: (608) 266-0849 Maureen.Hubeler@Wisconsin.gov

VI. Community-Based Economic Development Program (CBED)

The CBED Program is administered by the Wisconsin Department of Commerce. \$712,000 is available for distribution. CBED funds a variety of activities, such as: economic development planning, businesses and entrepreneur assistance, local economic development projects, feasibility/startup/operation of a business incubator, create a revolving loan fund for business assistance, teach business skills to economically disadvantaged or socially at-risk children, and undertake a regional economic development project/activity.

Specifications

- Project must be completed 2 years after application deadline.
- CBED funds may not replace funding from another source.
- Applicants are limited to one grant per year.
- Different activities have different maximum awards and shares of the project's overall budget. Overall maximum for any category is \$100,000.

Application Instructions

- No posted application deadline for 2008; 2007 deadline was December 7th.
- Application review takes approximately 45 days.
- For more information contact:

Doug Thurlow Phone: (608) 266-7942

Douglas. Thurlow@wi.gov



-	Potential Fundin	naing sources r	g sources for stoughton Kegevelopment Plan	Keaevelopmei	It Plan
Grant	Distributer	Maximum Award	Matching Funds	Expected Application Date	Contact
Dane County Build Grant	Dane County	1	25%	March 30, 2008	Dane County Planning and Development Office: 608.266.4270
Dane County CDBG	Dane County	-	1	Early June 2008	Ann McCartney - 608.261.9782 or McCartney@co.dane.wi.us
Dane County HOME	Dane County	-	25%	Early June 2008	Ann McCartney - 608.261.9782 or McCartney@co.dane.wi.us
HOPE IV Main Street	ПUD	\$1,000,000	5%	Unknown	www.hud.gov/grants
Brownfield Site Assessment Grant (SAG)	DNR	\$2,000 - \$30,00 or \$30,001 - \$100,000	20%	Fall 2008	Michael Prager - 608.261.4927 or michael.prager@wisconsin.gov
EPA Brownfields Assessment Grant	EPA	\$200,000 or \$350,000	:	October 1, 2008	http://www.epa.gov/brownfields/
EPA Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund Grant	EPA	\$1,000,000	20%	October 1, 2008	http://www.epa.gov/brownfields/
EPA Brownfields Revolving Cleanup Grant	t EPA	\$200,000	20%	October 1, 2008	http://www.epa.gov/brownfields/
DNR Stewardship Grants	DNR	-	grant will cover up to 50% of costs	May 1, 2008	Stafanie Brouwer - 608.275.3218 or Stafanie.brouwer@Wisconsin.gov
DNR Recreational Trails Program	DNR	-	grant will cover up to 50% of costs	May 1, 2008	Stafanie Brouwer - 608.275.3218 or Stafanie.brouwer@Wisconsin.gov
State of Wisconsin Trust Fund Loan Program	Pub. Lands Board	\$5,000,000 max. loan	1	Ongoing	Coletaa DeMuth - 608.266.0034 Coletaa.DeMuth@wisconsin.gov
Land Recycling Loan Program	DNR	Max. loan amt. not listed	1	December 31, 2008	Maureen Hubeler - 608.266.0849 December 31, 2008 Maureen.Hubeler@wisconsin.gov
Community-Based Economic Development Program (CBED)	_{It} WI Dept. of Commerce	\$100,000	:	December 7, 2008	Doug Thurlow 608.266.7942 December 7, 2008 Douglas.Thurlow@wi.gov
In some cases	In some cases, deadline dates are estimates	stimates based on last year's	based on last year's deadlines some programs did not have updated 2008 information available	ms did not have updated 2	008 information available

Potential Funding Sources for Stoughton Redevelopment Plan





REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, August 22, 2007 – 5:30 p.m. Mayor's Office, City Hall

<u>Present:</u> Alderpersons Ron Christianson and Paul Lawrence, Mayor Helen Johnson, Administrative Assistant to the Mayor Donna Olson, Chamber Administrator Ed Bieno, Dan Kittleson, Constance Mathison, Steve Sletten and Jim Schaefer.

Absent & Excused:

<u>Guests:</u> City Clerk/Personnel Director Luann Alme, Planning Director Rodney Scheel, Gary Becker, CEcD Vierbicher Associates, Alderpersons Ross Scovotti and Carl Chenoweth, Finance Director Laurie Sullivan, City Attorney Mike Haas,

<u>Call to Order</u>: Mayor Johnson called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m. Mayor Johnson informed the committee that the City obtained a BUILD grant to pay for consultation services from Gary Becker.

<u>Member Introductions</u>: Commissioners introduced themselves and talked briefly about the expertise they bring to the committee.

City Attorney Mike Haas joined the meeting at 5:37.

<u>Election of Chair & Vice-Chair:</u> Motion by Christianson, second by Bieno, to nominate Dan Kittleson as Chair. Motion by Bieno, second by Lawrence, to close the nominations. Motion carried.

Kittleson took over as Chair of the committee.

Motion by Bieno, second by Christianson, to nominate Connie Mathison as Vice-Chair. Nominations closed. Motion carried.

Review Powers and Responsibilities of the RDA and discuss how Responsibilities will be carried out: Gary Becker led an overview of a Redevelopment Authority with the materials included in the meeting packet. Becker stated that the primary purpose of a RDA is blight elimination and the promotion of redevelopment. The RDA is a separate entity from the city, however the Council must determine the finding of blight, approve the RDA's budget and the redevelopment plan.

City Clerk Alme left the meeting at 6:00pm.

RDAs bring together expertise from both the public and private sector to focus work on the redevelopment of blighted areas in the community. The legal definition of a blighted area is very broad and encompasses many conditions that inhibit the development of an area. This could be a dilapidated or deteriorated structure or simply an unusual configuration of available lots that would inhibit the orderly development of the area.

Alderpersons Chenoweth and Scovotti left the meeting at 6:18pm.

The RDA is responsible to identify a blighted area, establish or determine the boundaries of that area, develop a redevelopment plan for that area and then bring that information to the Council for approval and official finding of blight.

TIF (Tax Incremental Financing) monies can be used to fund the RDA. Other funding sources include grants and budgeted funds from municipal governments.

Discuss Potential Redevelopment Area Boundary and Projects.

Review Previous BUILD funded Corridor Redevelopment Plan.

Gary Becker led the committee through a discussion of the packet materials titled "City of Stoughton Redevelopment Opportunities" and "City of Stoughton Railroad Corridor Redevelopment Plan".

Alderperson Lawrence left the meeting at 6:27pm. City Attorney Haas left the meeting at 6:45pm.

Gary Becker distributed and discussed his timeline for BUILD grant funded activities.

Consensus was reached to meet at 5:30 on the fourth Wednesday of each month in the Mayor's office.

Future Agenda Items:

Review and Adopt By-laws Designate Redevelopment Boundaries Discuss Potential Projects. Review Artspace Report. Review Current conditions within the Proposed Redevelopment Area. Discuss Draft Blight Determination Letter Adopt a Redevelopment Project Area Boundary Review Edits to Redevelopment Plan

Adjournment: Motion by Bieno, seconded by Christianson, to adjourn at 6:55a.m. Motion carried.

The next meeting will be held at 5:30pm on September 26 in the Mayor's office.

Respectfully submitted, Donna Olson Administrative Assistant to the Mayor

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Wednesday, September 12, 2007 – 5:30 p.m. Mayor's Office, City Hall

<u>Present</u>: Alderperson Paul Lawrence, Administrative Assistant to the Mayor Donna Olson, Chamber Administrator Ed Bieno, Dan Kittleson, Constance Mathison, Steve Sletten and Jim Schaefer.

Absent & Excused: Mayor Helen Johnson, Alderperson Ron Christianson

Guests: Gary Becker, CEcD Vierbicher Associates,

<u>Call to Order:</u> Kittleson called the meeting to order at 5:30 p.m.

<u>Minutes of August 22, 2007</u>: Motion by Lawrence, second by Bieno, to approve the minutes of August 22, 2007 as presented. Motion carried.

<u>Review & Adopt By-Laws</u>: Motion by Lawrence, second by Schaefer, to have staff make the recommended amendments to the by-laws, forward the document to Atty. Haas for review and bring it back to the next meeting for final review. Motion carried.

Review Artspace Report: Bieno gave an update on the possible Artspace project. The proposal will include 30 retail units on the first floor as well as studios and apartments on the upper floors. The Highway Trailer Building on East South Street is located within the proposed redevelopment area. The Artspace project could be one of the first projects and thereby generate interest in the revitalization.

Motion by Schaefer, second by Lawrence, to approve and recommend approval to the Finance Committee and Common Council for the expenditure of \$5,000 from the city's economic development fund to supplement the \$20,000 donation from the Madison Area Community Foundation for the next step in the exploratory process for the Artspace project. Motion carried unanimously.

<u>*Review Current Conditions within the Proposed Redevelopment Area:</u> <u>*Discuss Draft Blight Determination Letter:</u> <u>*Discuss Redevelopment Boundary:</u> <u>*Adopt a Redevelopment Project Area Boundary:</u>

* These items were discussed concurrently.

Becker led a review of the Railroad Corridor/ Redevelopment area. He stated that lot layout and obsolete land uses in the area fit the qualification of blight. Fifty percent of the area within a designated Redevelopment Boundary must meet the findings of blight. This area qualifies.

Motion by Bieno, second by Mathison, to approve the Resolution Regarding Boundary of Redevelopment Project Area No.1 and further recommend approval by Council. Motion carried unanimously. (Further discussion will take place next month before this is sent on to Council.)

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THECITY OF STOUGHTON, WI RESOLUTION REGARDING BOUNDARY OF REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA NO. 1

WHEREAS, the City of Stoughton Redevelopment Authority has reviewed boundaries for a proposed Redevelopment Project Area and has found the proposed project area to be a blighted area, within the meaning of ss. 66.1333(2m)(b); and

WHEREAS, the City of Stoughton Redevelopment Authority has determined a need for blight elimination and urban renewal projects within the boundaries of the proposed Redevelopment Project Area;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED after due consideration, the City of Stoughton Redevelopment Authority hereby designates the boundary of Redevelopment Project Area No. 1 as described in Exhibit A to this Resolution; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Stoughton recommends the Common Council of the City of Stoughton adopt a resolution declaring such area to be a blighted area in need of blight elimination and urban renewal projects.

This Resolution is being adopted by the City of Stoughton Community Development Authority at a duly scheduled meeting on _____, 2007.

_____, Chair _____, Secretary

Discuss Redevelopment Plan:

Discussion took place with no action at this time.

Future Agenda Items:

Review Resolution. Accompanying letter and redevelopment boundary. Review and Approve by-laws Update on Artspace Project. Discuss Redevelopment Plan Letter of invitation to Property Owners

Adjournment: Motion by Lawrence, seconded by Schaefer, to adjourn at 7.25p.m. Motion carried.

The next meeting will be held at 5:30pm on October 11, 2007 in the Mayor's office.

Respectfully submitted, Donna Olson Administrative Assistant to the Mayor

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES Wednesday, October 11, 2007 – 5:30 p.m. Mayor's Office, Stoughton City Hall

PRESENT: Mayor Helen Johnson, Alderpersons Paul Lawrence and Ron Christianson, Ed Bieno, Dan Kittleson, and Steve Sletten

Absent and Excused: Constance Mathison, Jim Schaefer

Guests: Gary Becker, CCEcD Vierbicher Associates

Call to Order: Kittleson called the meeting to order at 5:30:p.m.

<u>Minutes of September 12, 2007</u>: Motion by Lawrence, second by Christianson to approve the minutes of the September 12, 2007 as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Appoint RDA Secretary: Lawrence nominated Bieno, seconded by Christianson to be appointed as RDA Secretary. There being no other nominations the secretary was instructed to close the nominations and cast a unanimous ballot for Bieno as RDA Secretary.

<u>Review, Amend and Approve By-Laws</u>: The revised By-Laws were reviewed and approved unanimously on a motion by Lawrence and seconded by Christianson.

<u>Review Resolution, Accompanying Letter and Redevelopment Boundaries</u>: The draft letter prepared by Becker was reviewed, discussed and suggested changes were made.

<u>Update on Artspace Project:</u> Bieno presented the Artspace Site Survey and highlighted several points. The survey recommended that Stoughton continue with the next phase of the process by implementing the artist community survey. The survey will consist of 3,000 postcards sent by Artspace to mailing lists provided by the Chamber to an area of approximately 50 miles around the City of Stoughton.

Discuss and Possible Action Regarding the Redevelopment Plan: Becker reviewed the Redevelopment Plan with the committee. Changes were suggested and he will make the revisions and present the revised plan at the next RDA meeting

Letter of Invitation to Property Owners: Becker presented a draft of a letter to be sent to property owners affected by the RDA plan inviting them to a 6 p.m. public hearing at the City Council Chambers. No date was set for the meeting.

Future Agenda Items: Revised Redevelopment Plan Set Date for RDA Public Meeting

Respectively submitted Edward Bieno RDA secretary

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES Wednesday, November 14, 2007 – 5:30 p.m. City Council Chambers

PRESENT: Alderpersons Paul Lawrence and Ron Christianson, Ed Bieno, Dan Kittleson, Constance Mathison, Steve Sletten and James Schaefer

Absent and Excused:

<u>Guests</u>: Donna Olson, Assistant to the Mayor, Gary Becker, CCEcD Vierbicher Associates

Call to Order: Kittleson called the meeting to order at 5:30:p.m.

<u>Minutes of September 12, 2007</u>: Motion by Christianson seconded by Lawrence to approve the minutes of the October 11, 2007 as presented. Motion carried unanimously.

Discussion was held relative to a recently announced grant offered by the Wisconsin Department of Commerce. Gary Becker gave a brief explanation of each of the two grants offered via speaker phone.

Grants of up to \$30,000 to fund non-profit organizations to assist small businesses, develop economic development project plans or to undertake an entrepreneur-training program for at-risk youth. A 25% match is required

Grants of up to \$10,000/year for technical assistance in developing a feasibility study or the initial design of an incubator start-up or expansion project or to improve the operation of an incubator. A 50% match is required.

It was agreed that the two grants held promise for the City of Stoughton's future development but because of the short application period of December 7, 2007, it was decided to develop a conceptual plan for next year.

<u>Update on Artspace Project:</u> Bieno reported that the .Artist survey will take place in February, 2008 and will take about 3 months to complete.

Gary Becker Arrived at 5:45.

Public Meeting Period, Stoughton Council Chambers 6pm Chairman Kittleson welcomed a large group of visitors to the public meeting and thanked them for coming. He explained the discussion process to the visitors assembled at the meeting.. A large group was on hand to ask questions and listen to the explanations.

Speaker Name	Question
Emily Bahr	What is the RDA? Blight is a scarey term
Response by Gary Becker	Presented a detailed overview of the RDA
	Explained 2 reasons for the letter
	Discussed what "Finding of blight means"
	Find out what kind of improvements that the
	residents/owners would like to see in the area
David Goeden	Unable to hear question
Sarah Chevis	Traffic concerns
Don Wahlin	Owner of Highway Trailer Building
	Mentioned previous efforts to rehab his building
Brad Wagner	We should look for grants Will the plan be placed
	on the city `website? Would also like help bringing
	his building back to it's historical state.
Joe Cabibbo	City should be looking at Business Park Space
	Why aren't we including the Uniroyal Property?
Tony Rome	What is our time line? Important to retain affordable
	housing in the area. Would like to see small single
	family homes in the area rather than multifamily
	housing.
Dave De Young	What is happening to Main Street from the City
	Hall to Depot? What is blight?
Rick Hull	Will I have to relocate my trucking business?
Kathy Dickenson	Who is the RDA contact person?
Don Wahlin	Supports the effort of the RDA. Agrees that there
	are underutilized properties in the area. Would like
	to see some of the older buildings restored. He was
	disappointed when the Randy Alexander project or
	concept did not come in fruition. City needs to buy
	industrial park land as well as business park land.

Rose	Maybe the City should buy some of this land for redevelopment. Aggressive TIF funding is needed Will there be single family housing planned? I love my house. I enjoy my neighborhood and prefer not having big condos and fancy people brought into the neighborhood. I want single family housing.
Dave McKichan	This neighborhood is about starter homes. Owns property in the RDA and supports the RDA effort. Agrees with many of the statements made here tonight.
Tim Wersland	Wants single family housing. Zoning may be an issue.
Aaron Thompson	Owns a home in the area. It has been for sale since July 2007. What types of loan programs will be
Gary Becker's response	available to the residents to upgrade their homes. This is the first step in making those types of things (loans) available for this area.

Chairman Kittleson thanked all for their comments and closed the public meeting at 8:10pm

A motion by Lawrence seconded by Christianson adjourned the meeting

Next meeting Wednesday, December 12 at 5:30 pm in the Mayor's office.

<u>Future Agenda Items:</u> Revised Redevelopment Plan Set Date for RDA Public Meeting

Respectively submitted Edward Bieno RDA secretary

REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Tuesday, December 4, 2007 – 5:30 p.m. Mayor's Office, Stoughton City Hall

PRESENT: Alderpersons Paul Lawrence and Ron Christianson, Ed Bieno, Dan Kittleson, Constance Mathison, Jim Schaefer

Absent and Excused: Steve Sletten

Guests: Mayor Helen Johnson, Carl Chenoweth, Ross Scovotti

Call to Order: Kittleson called the meeting to order at 5:32:p.m.

<u>RDA Next Steps</u> Discussion was held as to where to secure grant funds to pay for future RDA activities. The Wisconsin Department of Commerce, The Dane County BUILD fund, the City of Stoughton Economic Development Fund and various federal programs were mentioned as possible sources.

Questions were raised as to prospective expansion of the current RDA. Sites mentioned were the Main-Page Court area and the Uniroyal property. It was decided that if additions or changes were proposed for the RDA Gary Becker should be involved.

An idea advanced was to invite area developers to meet with the RDA Committee to discuss their various ideas for their improvement of the RDA. No decision was made and the topic will be considered at a future meeting.

At our next RDS meeting on Wednesday, December 12 we will define the RDA plan for Council Approval.

The meeting was adjourned on a motion by Lawrence, seconded by Christensen and approved

Future Agenda Items: Revised Redevelopment Plan Blight Determination

Respectively submitted Edward Bieno RDA secretary





REDEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY OF THE CITY OF STOUGHTON, WISCONSIN

RESOLUTION REGARDING BOUNDARY OF REDEVELOPMENT PROJECT AREA NO. 1

WHEREAS, the City of Stoughton Redevelopment Authority (RDA) has reviewed boundaries for a proposed Redevelopment Project Area and has found the proposed project area to be a blighted area, within the meaning of ss. 66.1333(2m)(b); and

WHEREAS, the City of Stoughton RDA has determined a need for blight elimination and urban renewal projects within the boundaries of the proposed Redevelopment Project Area; and

WHEREAS, the City of Stoughton RDA previously adopted a Redevelopment Project Area Boundary on September 26, 2007; and

WHEREAS, the Redevelopment Project Area Boundary has not yet been submitted to the City Council for its review and approval;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED after due consideration, the City of Stoughton RDA hereby amends the boundary of Redevelopment Project Area No. 1 to be the boundary shown in Exhibit A to this Resolution; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Redevelopment Authority of the City of Stoughton recommends the Common Council of the City of Stoughton adopt a resolution declaring said area to be a blighted area in need of blight elimination and urban renewal projects.

This Resolution is being adopted by the City of Stoughton Redevelopment Authority at a duly scheduled meeting on January 7, 2008.

Kan KittewaChair Loward Bione Secretary

G/DATA/COMM.DEV/Stoughton 12076/348 Bull D Planning 2007/Wer duction/SEDA Foundary Resolution, Amend doc