GO: a functional reporter system to identify and enrich base editing activity
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ABSTRACT

Base editing (BE) is a powerful tool for engineering single nucleotide variants (SNVs) and has been used to create targeted mutations in cell lines, organoids and animal models. Recent development of new BE enzymes has provided an extensive toolkit for genome modification; however, identifying and isolating edited cells for analysis has proven challenging. Here we report a ‘Gene On’ (GO) reporter system that indicates precise cytosine or adenine base editing in situ with high sensitivity and specificity. We test GO using an activatable GFP and use it to measure the kinetics, efficiency and PAM specificity of a range of new BE variants. Further, GO is flexible and can be easily adapted to induce expression of numerous genetically encoded markers, antibiotic resistance genes or enzymes, such as Cre recombinase. With these tools, GO can be exploited to functionally link BE events at endogenous genomic loci to cellular enzymatic activities in human and mouse cell lines and organoids. Thus, GO provides a powerful approach to increase the practicality and feasibility of implementing CRISPR BE in biomedical research.

INTRODUCTION

Base editing (BE) is a powerful genome engineering tool that harnesses Cas9-mediated gene targeting to induce specific point mutations in DNA or RNA (1). Base editors consist of (i) a partially enzymatically disabled Cas9 protein (Cas9n, or ‘nickase’) to enable genomic targeting, (ii) a fused nucleobase deaminase to catalyze transition mutations and in some cases, (iii) one or more uracil glycosylase inhibitor (UGI) domains, which enhance base conversion by mitigating endogenous DNA repair activity (2). Cytosine base editors (CBEs) use APOBEC or AID deaminase domains to induce C>T (or G>A) mutations (3,4) while adenine base editors (ABEs), use an engineered bacterial protein, TadA, to introduce A>G (or T>C) changes (5). In theory, more than half of all pathogenic point mutations can be introduced or reversed by BE (2,5–7), making it a powerful approach to interrogate disease-associated single nucleotide variants (SNVs). Indeed, numerous studies have highlighted the power of BE to engineer defined alterations in cell lines, organoids, and in vivo in a diverse array of model systems (2–3,6,8–11).

Unlike Cas9, which shows remarkable efficacy in creating homozygous disruptive mutations following DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs), BE is relatively inefficient. BE activity depends on the level of enzyme expression, sequence context of the target site, cellular DNA repair, and likely other unexplained dependencies. Identifying and enriching BE events in cells is critical to streamline the use of these tools for biomedical research. To date, a number of BE reporters have been described, each using distinct mechanisms, but all ultimately based on the induction or suppression of GFP fluorescence. Hence, identification of base edited, live cells by BE reporters has thus far relied on fluorescence-based imaging or cell sorting (FACS) of a single fluorophore, somewhat limiting their broad application across different cell systems.

Here, we describe a flexible ‘Gene On’ (GO) functional reporter system that enables detection and enrichment of BE activity in living cells. We show that GO can be used to directly and quantitatively compare the efficiency, off-target activity, and PAM selectivity of existing and novel BE enzymes. Most importantly, because GO is based on translation initiation, it is not limited to the regulation of GFP, but enables the induction of different fluorescent and bioluminescent markers, antibiotic selection or functional enzymes, such as Cre-recombinase. Thus, GO is a specific, flexible
and functional BE reporter that can streamline the application of base editing activity in primary and immortalized cell lines and organoids.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cloning

GFP<sup>GO</sup>-PGK-Neo lentiviral construct was generated by InFusion assembly (Clontech # 638909) of a custom GFP (ACG) gBlock cassette (Supplementary Table S1) into AscI/AgeI-digested SGEN (Addgene #111171) backbone. Ad<sup>GO</sup>-PGK-Neo and Ad<sup>GO</sup>2-PGK-Neo viral constructs were generated by InFusion assembly of custom gBlock cassettes into EcoRI/NsiI-digested GFP<sup>GO</sup> backbone. GFP<sup>GO</sup>2 PAM variant constructs were generated by amplification of 99mer oligonucleotides with For and Rev primers (Supplementary Table S1) and InFusion assembly into EcoRI-digest GFP<sup>GO</sup> vector. mUGIS<sup>GO</sup> and mUGIS<sup>GO</sup>2 were generated by InFusion assembly of IRES and codon-optimized mScarlet-I polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplicons into GFP<sup>GO</sup> backbone. A second version of mUGIS<sup>GO</sup> was generated incorporating silent mutations in the first 100 bp of GFP to eliminate potential CTG alternate start sites. A PGK-Neo cassette was inserted downstream of the mScarlet-I CDNA using by InFusion assembly into Sall-digested mUGIS<sup>GO</sup> vector. The mouse U6-sgRNA cassette (12) was generated using a custom gBlock from IDT and cloned into ‘all-in-one’ following PCR amplification and InFusion assembly. Luc<sup>GO</sup>2, Blas<sup>GO</sup> and Cre<sup>GO</sup> constructs were generated by InFusion assembly of Luc<sup>2</sup>/Blas/Cre<sup>GO</sup> PCR amplicons into digested LRT2B backbone containing mU6-sgRNA cassette and an sgRNA recipient site downstream of the human U6 promoter. Cre<sup>GO</sup>2 was generated by replacing the first ~450 bp of Cre with a custom gBlock (IDT) in an AscI/BamHI-digested Cre<sup>GO</sup> backbone. FNLS-Ng was generated using a custom gBlock of codon-optimized sequence incorporating Cas9-NG(13) mutations and assembled using InFusion into digested FNLS backbone (6). FNLS-HiFi was generated using InFusion assembly of 5′ and 3′ FNLS PCR amplicons, incorporating the R691A mutation (14) in the junction of these fragments. FNLS-HF1 and FNLS-HiFi-Ng were generated by InFusion assembly of PCR amplicons from FNLS, HF1RA (6), FNLS-HF1 and FNLS-Ng. All sgRNAs were cloned into the BsmBI site of either LRT2B or dual sgRNA recipient vectors (Blas<sup>GO</sup> and Cre<sup>GO</sup>) using annealed oligonucleotides (Supplementary Table S2). Prime editing gRNA (pegRNAs) and nicking gRNA were designed based on guidelines described in Anzalone et al. (15) and cloned as a gBlock into the BsmBI/EcoRI site of LRT2B (6). All other viral vectors used were previously described (6). All plasmids described have made available at the non-profit repository Addgene.

Cell lines

HEK293T (ATCC CRL-3216), MDA-MB-231 (ATCC HTB-26), DLD1 (ATCC CCL-221) and NIH3T3 (ATCC CRL-1658) cell lines were purchased from the ATCC. Stocks were tested for mycoplasma routinely every 6 months and maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM, Corning # 10-013-CV) containing 1% Pen/Strep (Corning #30-002-CI) and 10% FBS (231 and DLD1) or 10% FCS (3T3s) at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) from the indicated genotypes were isolated at E13.5 and expanded for two passages before transfection. P53<sup>KO</sup> MEFs were generated by transient transfection of an LCG (Lenti-Cas9-GFP) plasmid containing a p53 gRNA using PEI and selected for p53 disruption by treatment with Nutlin3a at 10 µg/ml for 5–10 days. Absence of persistent Cas9 expression was confirmed by Western Blot. MEFs were maintained in DMEM containing 1% Pen/Strep and 10% FBS. Antibiotic selection was performed in complete media as follows: Puromycin (2 days at 1–2 µg/ml), Blasticidin (5 days at 5–10 µg/ml), Neomycin (3–4 days at 400 µg/ml).

HEK293T transfection

HEK293T cells were plated at 60% confluence in a 12-well and 16 h later transfected with 1 µg of editor expression vector and 0.5 µg of LRT2B plasmid containing gRNA of interest in 100 µl DMEM using a 1:3 DNA:PEI ratio. Cells were washed 12 h later in complete media. Cells were assayed and collected 2–7 days post-transfection. For PE3, 0.5 µg of nicking gRNA in LRT2B was transfected 24 h after the primary transfection.

DLD1 transfection

DLD1 cells were plated at 60% confluence in a 12 well and 16 h later transfected with 3uL Lipofectamine 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific #11668030) and 1.5 µg of editor expression vector according to manufacturer’s protocols.

Lentiviral transduction

HEK293T cells were plated at 85–90% confluence in a 6- and 24 h later transfected with 2.5 µg of the vector of interest, 1.25 µg of PAX2 and 0.625 µg VSVg in 75 µl DMEM using a 1:3 DNA:PEI ratio. Cells were washed 24 h later in complete media and lentiviral supernatants were collected at 24, 48 and 72 h post-media replacement. HEK293T cells were cleared out by centrifugation and lentiviral supernatants were diluted 1:4–1:10 prior to target cell infection. Target cells were seeded at 40–60% confluence and incubated with the lentiviral supernatant dilution containing 8 µg/ml Polybrene overnight (16 h). Twenty-four hours later we replaced the viral supernatant dilution with complete medium and cells were assayed or subject to selection 48 h post-transduction.

Flow cytometry

We used a Thermo Fisher 2018 Attune NxT flow cytometer. Cells were trypsinized at the indicated time points and resuspended in 300 µl of complete medium. Flow cytometry assays were carried out in round-bottom 96-well plates and data were acquired at a flow rate of 500 µl/min. At least 25,000 events from the single cell population gating were recorded, and all experiments were performed in triplicates coming from independent transductions. Weill Cornell Flow cytometry core performed sorting using a BD FACSAria II Cell Sorter and Sony MA900 cell sorter.
Luciferase activity

Cells were cultured in 96-well flat-bottom plates in 200 μl of complete media. Cells were stimulated by Dual-Glo Luciferase Assay System (Promega) and luminescence measured on 96-well plate reader.

Organoid culture, transfection and transduction

Murine small intestine organoids from the indicated genotypes were isolated and maintained as previously described (16). Isolation of murine pancreatic ductal organoids was done modifying previously described protocol (17). Briefly, pancreas was minced and washed in Hanks’s Balanced Salt Solution (Corning), and then incubated for 30 min at 37°C with Collagenase V to release the ducts. After washing twice with DMEM/10% FBS media, ducts were resuspended in ‘basal media’ [Advanced DMEM/F12 (Corning) containing 1% penicillin/streptomycin, 1% glutamine, 1.25 mM N-acetylcysteine (Sigma Aldrich # A9165-SG) and B27 Supplement (Gibco)] and mixed 1:10 with factor reduced (GFR) Matrigel (BD Biosciences). Forty microliters of the resuspension was plated per well in a 48-well plate and placed in a 37°C incubator to polymerize for 10 min. To culture ductal pancreatic organoids the ‘basal media’ described above was supplemented with 10 nM Gastrin (Sigma), 50 ng/ml EGF (Peprotech), 10% RSPO1-conditioned media, 100 ng/ml Noggin (Peprotech), 100 ng/ml FGF10 (Peprotech) and 10 mM Nicotinamide (Sigma). Note: Culture freshly isolated organoids in pancreatic organoid media (POM) containing 10 μM Rock inhibitor (Y2732) during 72–48 h. For subculture and maintenance, media was changed on organoids every 2 days and organoids were passaged 1:3 every 5 days. To passage, the growth media was removed and the Matrigel was resuspended in cold basal media and transferred to a 15-ml Falcon tube. Organoids were mechanically disassociated using a P1000 and pipetting 40 times. Five milliliters of cold phosphate-buffered saline were added to the tube and cells were then centrifuged at 1200 rpm for 5 min and the supernatant was aspirated. Cells were then resuspended in GFR Matrigel and plated as above. Organoids were transfected or transduced as previously described (18). Antibiotic selection was performed in complete media as follows: Blasticidin S (7 days at 5 μg/ml) and Neomycin (7 days at 100 μg/ml). All animal experiments were approved by the Weill Cornell Medicine Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) under protocol 2014-0038.

PCR amplification for sequencing

Target genomic regions of interest were amplified by PCR using primers in Supplementary Table S3. Endogenous off-target loci chosen were those with the highest GUIDEseq read counts (19) that also contain ‘edit-able’ cytosines in the gRNA. PCR was performed with Herculase II Fusion DNA polymerase (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA, USA, #600675) or EconoTaq PLUS (Lucigen #30033-2) according to the manufacturer’s instructions using 200 ng of genomic DNA as a template and under the following PCR conditions: 95°C × 2 min, 95°C - 0:20 → 58°C - 0:20 → 72°C - 0:30 × 34 cycles, 72°C × 3 min (6). PCR products were purified using QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen Cat.# 28106).

Sequencing

Sanger sequencing reactions were conducted at Eton Bioscience (Union, NJ, USA). Sanger sequencing chromatograms were visualized using Geneious version 10.2.6 created by Biomatters and analyzed using EditR (19). Targeted amplicon library preparation and NGS sequencing (MiSeq; 2 × 250bp) were performed at GENEWIZ, Inc. (South Plainfield, NJ, USA) and analyzed using CRISPesso2 (20). Raw MiSeq fastq files have been deposited in the sequence read archive (SRA) under accession PRJNA588416.

Statistical analysis

All statistical tests used throughout the manuscript are indicated in the appropriate figure legends. In general, to compare two conditions, a standard two-tailed unpaired t test was used, assuming variance between samples. In most cases, analyses were performed with one-way or two-way ANOVA, with Tukey’s correction for multiple comparisons. Unless otherwise stated, each replicate represents an independent cell transfection or independently transduced cell line. All statistics are reported in Supplementary Table S4.

RESULTS

Development of a specific cytosine base editing reporter: CyG60

To detect cytosine BE events in real time in living cells, we sought to create a reporter that would become activated upon APOBEC-mediated C>T conversion, but not following Cas9-mediated indels. Protein translation requires an initiating AUG codon immediately downstream of a Kozak sequence (e.g. gccRccUGG) in messenger RNA (mRNA) transcripts. Therefore, we hypothesized that the de novo creation of an ATG codon (from ACC) at the start of a cDNA would enable translational initiation and production of a specific protein detectable in individual cells with active cytosine BE (Cytosine Gene On, or ‘CyG60’; Figure 1A).

To test this idea, we generated a ‘silent’ GFPACG cDNA, induding indels in more than 60% of cases, enabling translational initiation and production of a specific protein detectable in individual cells with active cytosine BE (Cytosine Gene On, or ‘CyG60’; Figure 1A).
Figure 1. GO detects and reports cytosine and adenine base editing with high sensitivity and specificity. (A) Schematic showing cytosine BE (CyGO) system containing (i) a cDNA with a silent ‘ACG’ start site (ii) FNLS base editor consisting of Cas9 tethered to APOBEC and (iii) sgRNA targeting the 5’ ‘ACG’.
Supplementary Figure S1c and 2a). In each cell line, editing occurred rapidly, approaching saturation within the first 48 h following sgGO transduction (Figure 1D). Targeted sequencing revealed a strong linear correlation of C>T (ACG>ATG) base substitutions with the percentage of GFP positive cells (Figure 1E and F), confirming the direct relationship between BE and GFP signal. As expected, there was no positive association of Cas9 or CBE-induced indels with GFP fluorescence (Figure 1F and Supplementary Figure S1b). Editing detection was not limited to a single GFP<sup>GO</sup> reporter or guide design. Changing the GO targeting sequence (sgGO2) and reporter construct (GFP<sup>GO2</sup>) enabled induction of a nuclear localized GFP (Supplementary Figure S1d and e), allowing more simple quantification of edited cells by image-based detection.

In addition to detection of BE events, GO can be adapted to quantify prime editing (PE), whereby targeted DNA alterations changes are incorporated using a guide-linked RNA template and reverse transcriptase-fused Cas9 enzyme (15). To ensure any GFP induction was due to template-guided repair, we introduced a second, non-coding (G>C) change two base pairs upstream from the target cytosine, in the Kozak sequence. In these experiments, editors (FNLS or PE2) and guide RNAs were transfected into HEK293T cells carrying a lentiviral integrated GO reporter. Flow cytometry analysis of cells one week following transfection showed clear induction of GFP-positive cells, relative to enzyme or guide only controls (Supplementary Figure S3a). As expected, PE showed ~70% reduced efficiency compared to BE (Figure 1G), and was not increased by the use of an optimized sgRNA scaffold or addition of the second ‘nicking’ guide RNA (PE3) (Supplementary Figure S3b). Sanger sequencing confirmed the introduction of both G>C and C>T changes in GFP-sorted PE cells (Figure 1G).

To simplify delivery of the reporter components, we generated an all-in-one vector containing sgGO or sgGO2 under a murine U6 promoter (12), latent GFP<sup>GO</sup> or GFP<sup>GO2</sup> cDNA and constitutively expressed marker (i.e. mScarlet-I) to identify transduced cells (Figure 1H). As described in the GFPGO<sup>GO</sup> and GO2 ‘two-vector’ systems, introduction of the all-in-one reporter mUGIS<sup>GO</sup> or mUGIS<sup>GO2</sup> in FNLS-expressing cells showed rapid induction of GFP fluorescence (40–60%) not seen in cells with Cas9 or no editor (Figure 1H; Supplementary Figure S3c and d). As a final validation of the reporter system, we introduced the mUGIS<sup>GO</sup> reporter into mouse intestinal organoids and observed GFP activation in mScarlet-I-positive cells only after transient transfection with FNLS (Figure 1H). Together, these data show that Cy<sup>GO</sup> reliably and quantitively detects CBE-mediated C>T transitions but not Cas9-based indels in human and mouse cell lines and similarly detects base editing activity in organoids.

**Adenine base editing activates modified GFP<sup>GO</sup>: Ad<sup>GO</sup>**

Adenine base editors catalyze the deamination of adenine to inosine, which is recognized as guanine during DNA replication, thus generating A>G transitions (5). We tested the flexibility of the GO reporter in detecting adenine base editing. We engineered a stop codon, TGA, at the 5′ end of a GFP<sup>GO</sup> cDNA (Ad<sup>GO</sup>) to prevent translation extension, and a corresponding sgRNA targeting the 5′ region of GFP<sup>TGA</sup> (sgAd<sup>GO</sup>) (Figure 1I). Thus, A>G base substitution by an adenine base editor (ABE) at this site, creates a TGG (Trp) codon, preventing early translation termination and allowing GFP expression. In 231 cells stably expressing (i) ABE, (ii) sgAd<sup>GO</sup>, (iii) and the Ad<sup>GO</sup> reporter, we detected robust GFP activation (Figure 1J; Supplementary Figure S3e-f). Again, GFP activation by adenine base editing was not limited to a single reporter/sgRNA combination as GFP activation was detected in a parallel reporter with a modified target (Ad<sup>GO2</sup>) and sgRNA (sgAd<sup>GO2</sup> Figure 1J). Ad<sup>GO</sup> and Ad<sup>GO2</sup> reporters were GFP specific in that only their respective sgRNA resulted in GFP expression (Figure 1J) which corresponded to A>G base substitutions at the respective Ad<sup>GO</sup>/Ad<sup>GO2</sup> locus (Figure 1K). Together, these data show that the GO reporter system can precisely detect both cytosine, adenine and prime editing in living cells.

**GO enables a quantitative comparison of PAM flexibility and fidelity by BE enzymes**

To date, efforts to measure PAM preferences of variant BE enzymes have relied on changing gRNA target sequences to...
accommodate the location of a given endogenous PAM site. This may introduce gRNA dependent effects on editing efficiencies when comparing PAM-flexible editors. To provide a system to directly compare editing efficiency with different PAM sites using the same sgRNA, we engineered three versions of the GFP<sup>GO</sup> reporter containing distinct PAM sites (NGG, NGC and NGT) (Figure 2A). We introduced these PAM reporters into 231, DLD1 and 3T3 cell lines expressing a series of codon-optimized BE enzymes containing Cas9 variants that have been reported to recognize NGN PAMs (Figure 2B) (13,21). As expected, FNLS showed efficient editing of targets with NGG PAMs in all cell lines, but minimal editing of other targets (Figure 2C and Supplementary Figure S4a). Similarly, xFNLS (containing xCas9 (21)) showed equivalent editing at NGG targets in 2/3 cell lines, and increased modification of NGT targets in the same cells, though not in 3T3s. FNLS-NG (containing Cas9-NG (13)) had the broadest editing scope, showing equivalent editing at NGG, NGT and NGC sites (Figure 2C). These data are consistent with recent reports quantifying DNA cleavage and BE of Cas9, xCas9 and Cas9-NG variants across different genomic loci (22,23). The relative efficiency of FNLS-NG was confirmed at an endogenous genomic locus (Apc.1405) for two overlapping sgRNAs that share the same target cytosine with NGG and NGT PAMs (Figure 2D).

In addition to assessing PAM selectivity, GO can be used to directly compare the fidelity of BE enzyme variants. Using a series of sgRNAs with one, two or three mismatched bases (Figure 2D), GO revealed significantly improved target specificity of three new high-fidelity variants: FNLS-HF1 and FNLS-HiFi, and FNLS-HiFi-NG (Figure 2E and F; Supplementary Figure SD4b and c) (14,24–25). In particular, HiFi-FNLS-NG, combines high specificity with PAM flexibility at both reporter and endogenous targets, while retaining relatively high on-target activity (Figure 2F; Supplementary Figure S4b and c). It is interesting to note that individual BE enzymes do not always perform similarly across different cell lines, with regards to PAM specificity and off-target activity. The reason/s for this are unknown, but we expect that the ease of direct BE measurement with flexible reporters such as this, without the requirement for targeted deep sequencing, will provide a feasible setting in which to explore the factors that govern BE activity and fidelity across different cell systems.

**GO activates a variety of genetically encoded reporters**

As translation initiation at ATG (or less commonly, CTG) is a universal feature of protein coding genes, induction by GO should be generally applicable to almost all genetically encoded reporters (26). To test the flexibility of GO in reporting BE by a fluorescent protein other than GFP, we generated a ‘silent’ mScarlet-I<sup>ACG</sup> reporter (Figure 3A) engineered to have the same sequence as the 5′ end of GFP<sup>GO</sup> which is targeted by sgGO. We generated an all-in-one vector containing sgGO, the mScarlet-I cDNA with 5′ 20 bp complementary sequence to sgGO and a constitutively expressed neomycin resistance gene (Scar<sup>GO</sup>). As described for GFP, mScarlet-I expression was not detectable in WT 231 cells, but was efficiently induced in G418-resistant cells expressing FNLS (Figure 3A). ScarGO induction efficiency was similar to the GFP<sup>GO</sup> reporters in each of the three cell lines evaluated (3T3s, DLD1s and 231s) (Figure 3A; Supplementary Figure S2b and S5a-b). We next tested GO induction of a non-fluorescent marker by replacing the 5′ coding sequence of Luciferase 2 (Luc2) with the sgGO target sequence and silent ‘ACG’ start site (Luc2<sup>GO</sup>, Figure 3B). Transduction of both 231 cells and immortalized murine embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) revealed a dramatic induction of Luciferase activity only in cells expressing FNLS (Figure 3B). Thus, GO can be adapted to a variety of genetically encoded reporter constructs, providing a flexible option for identification of BE activity in different cell systems and induction of a bioluminescent reporter that will enable imaging of in vivo BE activity.

**GO enriches endogenous base editing by induction of antibiotic resistance**

Isolation of base edited cells with fluorescent reporters require cell sorting, which may be challenging for some primary cell types or organoid models or due to limited access to specialized equipment. We reasoned that induction of an antibiotic resistance gene would provide a simple and affordable way to select cells with active BE. To test this idea, we designed a silent ‘ACG’ Blastcidicin (Blas)-resistance cDNA in an ‘all-in-one’ system containing sgGO under the control of a murine U6 promoter, and an empty sgRNA scaffold downstream of the human U6 promoter where user-specific sgRNAs can be integrated (12) (Blas<sup>GO</sup>, Figure 3C). We next cloned three well-characterized sgRNAs (EMX1.S259, FANCFSI and CTNNBI.S33) into the Blas<sup>GO</sup> reporter and transduced DLD1 cells. We chose DLD1 cells for these experiments as they show relatively low BE activity compared to other cell lines we have examined (Figure 1C), and thus represent a ‘difficult-to-edit’ cell system. DLD1 cells stably expressing FNLS showed robust outgrowth in the presence of Blas, and Blas-resistant cells had an average 2-fold increase in the frequency of C>T editing at each cytosine of the endogenous targets (Figure 3D). As we have previously observed in DLD1 cells (6), we also identified a large number of indels and non-C>T edits, though the relative frequency of each was highly target/sgRNA dependent (Supplementary Figure S5c).

Stable viral expression of BE enzymes drives efficient gene modification, even in the absence of selection; however, it may induce ongoing off-target effects (27), or cause immune-rejection of cells transplanted into recipient animals (28). Transient transfection of editors can bypass these effects but may also significantly reduce editing efficiency in difficult to transfect cell systems. Indeed, owing to low (5–10%) transfection efficiency and the integration of the BlasGO reporter in only a sub-population of cells, FNLS transfected Blas<sup>GO</sup>-DLD1 cells showed minimal evidence of editing in the bulk (unselected) population (Figure 3D and Supplementary Figure S5d); In most cases, around 1%. However, Blas-selected cells showed a dramatic 10- to 120-fold enrichment of C>T editing at each of the three endogenous target sites (EMX1.S259, FANCFSI and CTNNBI.S33) (Figure 3D and Supplementary Figure S5d). To determine whether this selection...
Figure 2. GO quantifies base editing activities of BE enzymes with various PAM specificities and fidelities. (A) Panel of GFP\textsuperscript{G02} reporters with different PAM recognition sequences: NGG, NGT, NGC designed with constant 20 bp complementary target sequence at 5′ end of GFP cDNA for targeting by...
approach also increases the frequency of off-target mutagenesis, we measured editing at validated sgRNA-dependent off-target (OT) sites for EMX1.S259 and FANCF.S1 sgRNAs (24). In cells transduced with FNLS, we found a considerable amount of cytosine editing at EMX1-OT2 (45%) and FANCF-OT1 (16%). Remarkably, despite similar enrichment for on-target editing in transduced cells, off-target editing was dramatically reduced (Figure 3E). For off-target sites with editing activity >1%, the ratio of off-target to on-target editing within the gRNA targeting window increased up to 50-fold (Figure 3F). Together, this suggests the transduction of BlasGO sgRNAs and transient expression of BE enzymes is an effective strategy to isolate edited cells without continual enzyme expression and in otherwise inefficient settings of BE activity.

We have previously used BE in organoids to engineer missense or nonsense mutations in Pik3ca or Apc, respectively (6,29). In these cases, modified organoids have a proliferative advantage under restrictive culture conditions and edited populations can be enriched by functional selection. However, isolation of rare BE events that provide no selective advantage in organoids is challenging, as it is difficult to either sort or clone primary cells. To test the functionality of BlasGO in selecting organoids with BE activity, we transduced pancreatic organoids carrying a doxycycline (dox) inducible BE enzyme (6) with BlasGO and treated organoids with Blas in the presence and absence of dox. As expected, only dox-treated (BE expressing) organoids survived Blas treatment (Figure 3G). We next transduced organoids with a BlasGO vector carrying a second sgRNA targeting an intergenic region on mouse chromosome 8 (CR8). Similar to what we observed in DLD1 cells, bulk (unselected) populations showed no evidence of targeted editing, while Blas-selected organoids had approximately 40% C>T editing, as measured by EditR software (19) (Figure 3H and Supplementary Figure S5e). Similarly, an sgRNA targeting Apc (Apc.1529; (6)) showed no detectable editing prior to selection, while Blas-selected organoids had ~44% altered alleles (Figure 3I and Supplementary Figure S5e). Thus, in both cell lines and organoids, GO offers a practical and simple approach to engineer and study ‘selection-neutral’ mutations.

**Surrogate Cre-mediated recombination with Cre2GO**

For modeling disease, BE offers a range of possibilities when combined with existing genetic systems, such as Cre/LoxP. Linking these two distinct gene targeting events would provide a means to both BE and Cre are active in the same cells. In theory, initiation of Cre expression by GO could trigger LoxP recombination only in cells with active BE, and those cells would have enriched editing at other endogenous genomic sites. To directly test this, we generated MEFs carrying a R26-LSL-tdTomato allele (30) that induces red fluorescence following Cre-mediated recombination. Transduction of the all-in-one Cre2GO vector induced tdTomato in more than 70% of MEFs expressing FNLS, but also in nearly 40% of parental cells, suggesting leakiness of Cre expression in the absence of BE (Figure 4A and B; Supplementary Figure S6). We noted that in the first 100 amino acids of Cre there were a number of in-frame ATG (Met) and CTG (Leu) codons that could serve as alternate translation start sites (26). We therefore mutated each CTG→CTC (Leu→Leu) and each ATG→AGT (Met→Ser), creating Cre2GO (Figure 4A). Masking each of the potential start codons had a dramatic impact, effectively eliminating Cre activation in the absence of BE (<0.5% tdTomato-positive), but maintaining high levels of Cre induction (>80% tdTomato-positive) in FNLS-expressing cells (Figure 4B).

To test the enrichment of endogenous BE by surrogate Cre activity, we generated a Cre2GO reporter with a tandem gRNA targeting Ser33 of mouse Ctnnb1. We simulated restricted BE activity by low MOI transduction with FNLS-P2A-GFP (~10% GFP-positive), and sorted tdTomato-positive cells after 6 days. As observed in GFP2GO and BlasGO, bulk (unsorted) cells showed low levels of Ctnnb1.S33 editing (~1%), while tdTomato-positive cells had enriched target cytosine editing to greater than 55% (Figure 4C and D).

Together, these data show that GO can tether base editing to antibiotic resistance or cellular enzymatic activity, which can be used to functionally enrich endogenous BE events.

**DISCUSSION**

Here, we describe a flexible BE reporter system that enables the identification and enrichment of cells and organoids with active cytosine or adenine BE using fluorescence, bioluminescence, antibiotic resistance or enzymatic markers. In conjunction with the expanding range of optimized BE enzymes, GO vectors can streamline the application of base editing for the generation of targeted genome manipulation in vitro and potentially in vivo.

We first demonstrated the flexibility and quantitative nature of GFP2GO to profile a range of new BE variants, identifying the activity and specificity of PAM-flexible and high-fidelity enzymes. Most efforts to characterize PAM specificity and off-target activity use endogenous target sites that may influence BE activity due to local chromatin fea-
Figure 3. GO is highly modular and can be functionally exploited to enrich base editing activity. (A) (top) Schematic of an all-in-one mScarlet-I GO reporter with (i) modified 5′ end in the mScarlet-I cDNA to include the silent start site ‘ACG’ within the 20bp sgGO complementary sequence, (ii) sgGO and (iii) neomycin selection gene (together, ScarGO). (bottom, left) Fluorescence microscopy of 231s expressing no editor (WT) or FNLS 3 days after infection with ScarGO. (bottom, right) Flow cytometry of 231s expressing no editor (WT) or FNLS 3- and 8-days after infection with ScarGO. (B) (top) Schematic of an all-in-one-Luciferase GO reporter with (i) a modified 5′ end in the Luciferase2 cDNA to include the silent start site ‘ACG’ within the 20 bp sgGO complementary sequence and (ii) sgGO (together, Luc2GO). (bottom) Luminescence in 231s and immortalized MEFs expressing no editor (WT) or FNLS 3 days after infection with and without Luc2GO. (C) (top) Schematic of an all-in-one Blasticidin GO reporter with (i) modified 5′ end in the Blasticidin (Blas) resistance gene to include silent start site ‘ACG’ within the 20-bp sgGO complementary sequence (ii) sgGO and (iii) an endogenous targeting sgRNA under an independent U6 promoter (together, BlasGO). (bottom) GEMSA staining/colony forming assay of Blas-treated DLD1 cells with FNLS or no editor (WT) infected with BlasGO containing sgRNAs targeting EMX1 or no sgRNA. (D) Deep sequencing analysis of corresponding loci quantifying editing events as a fraction of total reads in DLD1 cells with BlasGO (containing gRNAs EMX1.259, FANCF.S1, CTNNB1.S33) (left) transduced or (right) transfected with FNLS or Blas treatment. (E) Quantification of editing events for two off-target sites corresponding to two endogenous gRNAs EMX1.259, FANCF.S within BlasGO in DLD1 cells (left) transduced or (right) transfected with FNLS or (F) Ratio of on target to off target editing events for the OT sites with editing >1% in DLD1 cells transduced or transfected with FNLS. (G) Brightfield images of murine pancreatic organoids with inducible base editor enzyme infected with BlasGO and treated with and without Blas. (H) Sanger sequencing chromatograms of CR8 locus in pancreatic organoids expressing BE enzyme and infected with BlasGO (containing no gRNA or sgRNA targeting CR8) with and without Blas treatment. (I) Sanger sequencing chromatograms of Apc.1529 locus in pancreatic organoids expressing BE enzyme and infected with BlasGO (containing no gRNA or sgRNA targeting Apc.1529) with and without Blas treatment.
Figure 4. Surrogate Cre-mediated recombination using Cre\(^2\)GO BE reporter. (A) (top) Schematic of all-in-one Cre recombinase GO reporter with (i) modified 5′ end in the Cre-recombinase cDNA to include silent start site ‘ACG’, (ii) sgGO and (iii) an endogenous targeting sgRNA (Cre\(^{\text{GO}}\)), (bottom) Schematic of modified Cre\(^{\text{GO}}\) reporter with masked, synonymous alternative start sites (Cre\(^{\text{2GO}}\)). (B) Flow cytometry of immortalized MEFs containing an R26-LSL-tdTomato allele expressing FNLS-P2A-GFP or no editor and Cre\(^{\text{GO}}\) or Cre\(^{\text{2GO}}\) reporters. FNLS expressing cells were gated on tdTomato\(^{+}\) cells within the GFP\(^{+}\) population. WT cells are gated on tdTomato\(^{+}\) cells. (C) R26-LSL-tdTomato MEFs expressing FNLS and Cre\(^{\text{2GO}}\) with sgRNA targeting endogenous Ctnnb1.S33 or no sgRNA were sorted for tdTomato expression. Sanger sequencing chromatograms are displayed for the bulk and sorted populations at the Ctnnb1.S33 locus. (D) Deep sequencing analysis of C>T edits at the Ctnnb1.S33 locus in MEFs described in C.
BE has enormous potential for in vivo modeling of pathogenic mutations but given the variability in editing efficiency across different cell types, it is still not clear in which contexts BE will work effectively. Directly tethering BE to activation of Luc2 or Cre will provide a clear readout for in vivo editing efficiency and will enable close integration with established Cre/LoxP systems. This is particularly timely as the first transgenic BE animal models are now available (37).

GO is a rapid and robust series of genetic tools (Supplementary Figure S7) that can be used to detect and quantify base editing activity, efficiency and kinetics. With simple modifications, GO can be adapted to initiate expression of a range of functional reporters, and thus, expands the usability and application of current base editing technologies to include enriching endogenous base editing and triggering a secondary enzymatic activity. We expect GO-based systems will enhance the feasibility of base editing as a go-to genome engineering approach, particularly in difficult-to-manipulate model systems.
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