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The health of craftsmen was of little concern to the states of Early Modern Europe more concerned with warfare than social security. In the early Enlightenment however as the leaders of centralising states began to realise that the populations they governed could be exploited for more than cannon fodder, Bernardo Ramazzini (1633–1714), a notable medical practitioner who was also a learned professor of his subject in the University of Modena and subsequently in Padua, a curious enquirer into nature and good letters produced a pioneering volume that would become a standard work for nearly two centuries.1 Careful enquiries among craftsmen and the labouring classes supplied the materials for his De Morbis artificium diatriba (‘Discourse on the diseases of artificers’, Modena 1700, revised edition, Padua 1713). This was translated into Italian in 1745 and into French, with large additions, by Antoine François de Fourcroy (1755–1809) in 1777. The translation was Fourcroy’s first work produced for the newly founded Société Royale de la Médecine that had received several reports on occupational diseases.2 Forty-five years later it was still, according to Patisser, the only work available on the subject in France.

As such Philibert Patisser (1792–1863), Docteur en Médecine (1805), elected to the re-founded Société Royale de la Médecine in 1823, and primarily interested in the therapeutic qualities of mineral waters on which he wrote several reports, renewed it. His work appeared at a moment when the health of the working classes was being discussed throughout Europe both in terms of the specific artisanal activity and of the environment in which it was executed. Ramazzini’s work was based on his own visits to artisan workshops, and on the reports of his correspondents.3 Patisser in his edition (Fig. 1), brought Ramazzini’s work up-to-date and rationalised its structure. His descriptions of artisan maladies and their causes however are applicable to the entire Early Modern period as to a good part of the nineteenth century. Those that concern the making and use of mathematical instruments are here abstracted and translated.

Casting the basic form of an instrument is fundamental to its production. Carving and casting such metals as lead, pewter or copper however was dangerous work since, whatever precautions were taken, workmen would absorb quantities of possibly lethal emanations. Founders were particularly prone to asthma, to an enlargement of the spleen and to wasting away; working copper (and so brass), could lead to intestinal colic, loss of weight and to hectic fever. The solution for Patisser was that during open-air work a damp sponge should be worn covering the nose and mouth, indoors, workshops should be furnished with an up-draught chimney, but although working in great heat, cold drinks should be avoided.4 Turning parts of instruments made of wood, was also not exempt from danger. ‘They are, in effect, obliged to keep their hands and arms in a continual effort in order to press on and restrain the chisel as necessary so as to remove from the wood only what is needed, and continually to move their right foot to keep the wood they are working in rotation. The circular movement of the lathe also tires their eyes, constantly fixed on their work and causes giddiness’. Wood in itself however was not noxious apart from cypress, which for some workers could cause headaches. Cabinet makers and joiners however Patisser declared were subject to few problems arising from their work, which was rather salubrious than otherwise.5

Not so for pewterers who would occasionally make sun dials (Fig. 2), weights and more rarely other instruments. They, in casting the metal were exposed to lead vapours, which could provoke colic and asthma. Milk, emulsion of almonds and infusions of barley were seen as therapeutics, but better protection was to work beneath a very large chimney opposite a door or window, and to keep the face averted when pouring lead into moulds. Best of all was to work with a chimney fitted with an updraught furnace of the kind developed by Jean Pierre Joseph Darret (1777–1844). In this a metal tube from the furnace was run up to about one third the height of the chimney; as it heated up the air, it contained rarefied and was expelled, while contaminated air from the workshop was dragged into the vacuum thus created. ‘The effect of these furnaces is such that the fumes produced in the middle of the workshop are drawn directly towards the chimney opening’.6

Darret had developed his simple solution for the evacuation of noxious fumes specifically to protect gilders. He had been stimulated by the prize competition on the subject established at the Académie des Sciences from a bequest by the sculptor in bronze and playwright Antonio André Favrio (1759–1814), well aware of the dangers run by the craftsmen and women7 who gilded his work. Almost all the stages of this work were dangerous as the fumes of acids and mercury were inevitably absorbed through the mouth, nose and skin. A badly ventilated workshop became ‘a grave for the workers there, the air they breathe being continually charged with carbonic acid, azotes, mercury, mercuric oxides, nitric acid, nitric gas, that quickly destroy their health’.8 The chief result of all this was what became known as ‘mercury trembling’. Although this could manifest itself in a sudden, violent attack, in most cases it developed slowly: ‘firstly the worker’s arms become less steady, they shake, then become agitated, finally they tremble’. This trembling increased in intensity if work was continued, until it generalised and became convulsive. It then became impossible for the sufferer to carry out actions requiring muscular force such as walking, mastication, using the hands and the like. This could be followed by momentary loss of consciousness, insomnia, and delirium. Meanwhile the skin became dry, hot and dark in colour, and appetite diminished and eating itself difficult. ‘Ordinarily they are fed like children because the arms, where the trembling begins, are more affected than the legs, and it usually the former that are the last to be healed’. Patisser gives a harrowing collection of reports on gilders’ maladies, which were only intensified by the fact that many such work-

Fig. 1 Title page of the treatise by Patisser; 1822.
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6 Asserted by Patisser, chap. 47.
7 Patisser, chap. 47.
Glass should do the same as they could absorb the harmful vapours of lead oxides. Glass-blowers of thermometer and barometer tubes and of other occasional instruments, risked dropped and lined cheeks while those who polished glass were liable to pulmonary infections because they constantly exercised their thoracic members, and more generally were so victim of fatigue that they seldom worked after the age of forty-five. Using at least one of their products, the microscope, was dangerous for eyesight and microscopical observers together with watchmakers, painters on enamel, engravers, and those engaged in any sort of minute work, all ended by wearing eyeglasses, if they did not go blind as Rammazzini thought most clockmakers did, before they reached old age. They were subject to weak eyesight, myopia, amaurosis, and cataracts Apart from concave lenses for the short sighted, there were however few remedies available, ‘medecine supplies no remedy apt to restore vigour to old eyes’.

The sedentary nature of these occupations also exposed their practitioners to maladies that they shared with calculators, writers and the learned in general. These were stoppage of the liver, and the spleen, gastric problems, weakness in the legs, stasis of venous blood and a cachectic appearance. Prolonged use of one hand only could lead to lassitude in the liver, and the spleen, gastric problems, weakness in the legs, stasis of venous blood and a cachectic appearance. Prolonged use of one hand only could lead to lassitude in

Remedy could come from the installation of Darcet’s evacuating furnace combined with other simple precautions:

‘Gilders should: 1 on leaving the workshop carefully wash their mouth, face and hands; 2 never take there meals there; 3 accustom themselves to working in gloves made of gut, or waxed taffeta; 4 change their clothes on arriving at the workshop, put on an overall attached at the wrists and by a belt. This will be their working garment that they will exchange on leaving for their own clothes, and which they will keep in a locker away from refuse and above all the fumes of the workshop.’

These precautions observed, thought Patissier, gilding could become as little insalubrious as other crafts.

Barometer makers, and those who silvered parts of instruments were subject to the same dangers as gilders and should take the same precautions. The makers of coloured

in addition to those endemic to the insanitary conditions of Early Modern urban life. Fine instruments were costly for both maker and consumer.
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