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SUMMARY

The retrosplenial cortex (RSC) is essential for memory and navigation, but the neural codes underlying these functions remain largely unknown. Here, we show that the most prominent cell type in layers 2/3 (L2/3) of the mouse granular RSC is a hyperexcitable, small pyramidal cell. These cells have a low rheobase (LR), high input resistance, lack of spike frequency adaptation, and spike widths intermediate to those of neighboring fast-spiking (FS) inhibitory neurons and regular-spiking (RS) excitatory neurons. LR cells are excitatory but rarely synapse onto neighboring neurons. Instead, L2/3 is a feedforward, not feedback, inhibition-dominated network with dense connectivity between FS cells and from FS to LR neurons. Biophysical models of LR but not RS cells precisely and continuously encode sustained input from afferent postsubicular head-direction cells. Thus, the distinct intrinsic properties of LR neurons can support both the precision and persistence necessary to encode information over multiple timescales in the RSC.

INTRODUCTION

The retrosplenial cortex (RSC) plays a critical role in learning and memory. In humans, damage to the RSC results in both anterograde and retrograde amnesia, often purging several years of recent memories (Irons and Guttmacher, 1929; Heilman and Sypert, 1977; Valenstein et al., 1987; Todd and Bucci, 2008; Katche et al., 2013; Todd et al., 2015, 2017; Sigwald et al., 2016; Yamawaki et al., 2019b). Recent imaging studies in mice confirm that RSC neurons can display evidence of long-duration, persistent spatial memory engrams (Czajkowski et al., 2014; Milczarek et al., 2018; de Sousa et al., 2019; Hattori et al., 2019).

The RSC is also critical for spatial navigation (Maguire, 2001; Epstein, 2008). Human case studies show that RSC damage leads to disorientation in space in addition to memory impairments (Bottini et al., 1990; Takahashi et al., 1997; Ino et al., 2007). Such patients can identify known scenes or locations but are unable to extract any orientation information from them and, thus, experience difficulties navigating even familiar environments (Bottini et al., 1990; Takahashi et al., 1997; Ino et al., 2007). A neuroimaging study identified the coding of head direction (HD) information in the RSC while participants navigated a novel virtual environment, suggesting that visual cues of orientation are processed, in part, by the RSC during navigation (Shine et al., 2016). Animal studies also report encoding of spatial information within the RSC, including that of HD, position, and turning behavior (Cho and Sharp, 2001; Alexander and Nitz, 2015; Vedder et al., 2016; Mao et al., 2017, 2018; Miller et al., 2019).

How is the RSC uniquely suited to carry out these spatial memory and navigation computations? This is a fundamental but unsolved circuit input-output transformation problem. The RSC receives prominent spatial and memory-related inputs from the hippocampus, subicular complex, anterior thalamus, secondary motor cortex, and visual cortex, as well as the contra-lateral RSC (Van Groen and Wyss, 1990, 2003; Wyss and Van Groen, 1992; Miyashita and Rockland, 2007). Recent studies have started to document the functional nature of these inputs to the RSC (Yamawaki et al., 2016, 2019a, 2019b; Sempere-Ferrández et al., 2018; Sempere-Ferrández et al., 2019). However, the precise properties of the RSC neuronal subtypes involved (Wyss et al., 1990; Sugar et al., 2011; Kurotani et al., 2013) are rarely studied in mice, and the local connectivity between RSC subtypes is completely unknown. Although attractor network
models of RSC incorporating generic neurons exist (Bicanski and Burgess, 2016; Page and Jeffery, 2018), it is critical to discover the key intrinsic and local synaptic properties that allow the RSC to perform its specialized functions. Without this information, it is impossible to develop biophysically realistic models of RSC cells or circuits, which would, in turn, help to decipher the exact coding schemes used by the RSC.

Here, we investigate the intrinsic physiology, local synaptic connectivity, and computational abilities of cells within the superficial layers of granular RSC (RSG). The majority of neurons within this region are a distinct subtype of small, highly excitable, non-adapting pyramidal neurons. We show that these cells are excitatory and, surprisingly, rarely excite their neighboring inhibitory or excitatory neurons. Instead, there is prevalent local inhibition from fast-spiking (FS) layers 2/3 (L2/3) neurons onto these highly excitable neurons and between pairs of FS cells, highlighting a network dominated by feedforward, not feedback, inhibition. We then use this information to construct biophysically realistic computational models of RSC cell types and investigate how they process realistic, in vivo spike trains of incoming information. We find that these hyperexcitable principal neurons in the RSG are optimally suited to precisely and persistently encode the sustained HD input they receive from the postsubiculum. A smaller population of regular-spiking (RS) excitatory neurons in L2/3 show pronounced adaptation and are unable to maintain such sustained, high-frequency responses. Our results show that there are two complementary coding strategies operating in parallel in the superficial RSC.

RESULTS

Low-rheobase Cells Are Highly Excitable Neurons in the Superficial RSG

We recorded from and parsed the intrinsic physiology of 193 cells in the superficial L2/3 of the mouse RSG. Consistent with other cortical regions, FS interneurons were present in these RSG layers (Figure 1A). FS cells were identified by their unique spiking properties (Connors and Gutnick, 1990; Sempere-Ferrández et al., 2018), including narrow spike width and rapid, sharp afterhyperpolarizations (AHPs). RS pyramidal neurons were occasionally found, but far less often than in typical neocortex (Figure 1B). A third population of cells was identified. For reasons investigated and explained below, we refer to these distinct neurons as low-rheobase (LR) cells. Detailed analyses of physiological and intrinsic parameters revealed several distinct properties of LR neurons. Statistical differences were calculated using a two-tailed Wilcoxon rank-sum test for all intrinsic comparisons. LR spike widths were between those of FS and RS cells (FS = 0.22 ± 0.05 ms, RS = 0.80 ± 0.04 ms, LR = 0.55 ± 0.02 ms; p < 0.001 for each comparison; Figure 1D; Table 1). Additionally, these LR cells had significantly high input resistance (402.35 ± 15.92 MΩ; p < 0.001), low input capacitance (38.48 ± 1.33 pF; p < 0.001), and LR (40.45 ± 2.07 pA; p < 0.001), suggesting they are a class of highly excitable neurons distinct from both FS and RS neurons (Figures 1E–1G; Table 1). LR cells did not differ significantly in latency to first spike from FS (p = 0.09) or RS (p = 0.11) cells. LR cells also exhibited minimal spike frequency adaptation (ratio of 1.26 ± 0.05), far lower than the substantial spike frequency adaptation shown by RS cells (ratio of 3.07 ± 0.46; p < 0.001), highlighting their potential ability to fire trains of action potentials at high frequencies with minimal adaptation (Figure 1f; Table 1). Further supporting this, LR cells showed a dramatically higher slope in their frequency-current relationship (Figure 1j). Additionally, 65% of LR cells exhibited a pronounced afterdepolarization (ADP; Figure 1c) whereas the remaining 35% had no ADP (Table 1). The presence of ADP did not otherwise distinguish the LR cells from those where the ADP was absent. These LR groups did not differ on key intrinsic properties, such as input capacitance, rheobase, or input resistance (as verified using principal-component analysis below).

Figure 1. Low-rheobase Cells Represent a Distinct Highly Excitable Cell Type in the Superficial Retrosplenial Cortex

(A) Intrinsic physiological properties of a fast-spiking (FS) neuron in the superficial layers of the granular retrosplenial cortex. Top trace: ability to fire sustained high-frequency trains of action potentials. Middle trace: a substantial delay to first spike after current onset during a near-threshold current step. Right inset is a zoomed-in view of the first spike in the middle trace. Bottom: injected current amplitudes for the voltage responses shown above.

(B) Similar to (A), but now for a retrosplenial regular-spiking (RS) neuron. Note the spike frequency adaptation characteristic of RS neurons in other cortical regions.

(C) Similar to (A), but now for a retrosplenial low-rheobase (LR) neuron. Note the ability to fire sustained high-frequency trains of action potentials with little spike frequency adaptation.

(D) Left: representative traces from FS, RS, and LR cell action potentials overlaid to show differences in spike width. Right: average spike widths showing that LR spike widths are intermediate to those of neighboring FS and RS (p < 0.001 for each comparison).

(E) Average rheobase for FS, RS, and LR cells showing a markedly LR for LR cells compared to that of FS and RS (p < 0.001 for each comparison).

(F) Average input resistance (IR) for FS, RS, and LR cells showing a significantly higher IR for LR cells (p < 0.001 for each comparison).

(G) Average input capacitance (IC) for FS, RS, and LR cells showing a markedly low IC for LR cells compared to FS and RS (p < 0.001 for each comparison).

(H) Bar graph of the average latency to first spike after onset of an at-threshold current injection for FS, RS, and LR cells (LR versus FS, p = 0.09; LR versus RS, p = 0.11).

(I) Bar graph showing the average spike frequency adaptation ratio for FS, RS, and LR cells showing lack of adaptation in FS and LR cells (p < 0.001 for each comparison).

(J) Frequency-current (I-V) curve for FS, RS, and LR neurons highlighting the hyperexcitability of LR neurons.

(K and L) LR cells cluster clearly and separately from FS and RS cells when IC is plotted against either rheobase (K) or spike half-width (L).

(M) Principal-component analysis results in three distinct clusters corresponding to LR, RS, and FS cells. LR cells with and without ADPs cluster together in a single LR cluster. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. Wilcoxon rank-sum test used for each of the statistical tests reported in this figure. See also Figure S1 and Table S1.
Table 1. Intrinsic Cell Properties Reveal That LR Cells Have a Distinctly Low Rheobase, High Input Resistance, Low Input Capacitance, and Low Spike Frequency Adaptation, as Well as a Spike Width between Those of FS and RS Cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FS Values</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>RS Values</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>LR Values</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Postnatal age at time of recording (days)</td>
<td>25.93 ± 0.43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>26.65 ± 0.52</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27.37 ± 0.61</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting potential (mV)</td>
<td>−61.17 ± 0.88</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>−65.12 ± 0.96</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>−66.27 ± 0.64</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input resistance (MΩ)</td>
<td>64.68 ± 5.04</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>133.09 ± 11.37</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>402.35 ± 15.92</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input capacitance (pF)</td>
<td>118.83 ± 7.19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>129.46 ± 11.99</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38.48 ± 1.33</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane time constant (ms)</td>
<td>7.16 ± 0.58</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>15.35 ± 0.86</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14.28 ± 0.37</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action potential threshold (mV)</td>
<td>−40.73 ± 0.85</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>−40.93 ± 0.87</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>−40.83 ± 0.42</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action potential amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>56.86 ± 1.59</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>74.70 ± 2.39</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>64.29 ± 1.03</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action potential width (ms)</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.05</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.04</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.02</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afterhyperpolarization latency (ms)</td>
<td>17.00 ± 0.54</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>9.74 ± 0.47</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>11.67 ± 0.31 (ADP)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afterhyperpolarization amplitude (mV)</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.02</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>25.71 ± 2.74</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.09 (ADP)</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spike frequency adaptation ratio</td>
<td>0.92 ± 0.14</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.07 ± 0.46</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.05</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency to first spike (ms)</td>
<td>565.14 ± 54.29</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>411.54 ± 50.05</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>496.46 ± 17.71</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rheobase (pA)</td>
<td>363.70 ± 28.72</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>105.60 ± 9.62</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>40.45 ± 2.07</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SEM for each of the calculated intrinsic properties separated by cell group. Numbers are reported individually for each property for each cell type. LR cells significantly differed from RS cells on the following measures: input resistance, input capacitance, spike width, spike frequency adaptation ratio, and rheobase (p < 0.001). LR cells significantly differed from FS cells on the following measures: input resistance, input capacitance, membrane time constant, spike width, AHP amplitude, and rheobase (p < 0.001). FS, fast-spiking; RS, regular-spiking; LR, low-rheobase. Related to Figure S1 and Table S1.

To determine whether LR neurons are a truly distinct neuronal subtype, we sought to identify the physiological properties that can clearly distinguish them from other neurons in the superficial RSG. Specifically, using features such as rheobase, input capacitance, and spike width, we were able to isolate LR cells from both FS and RS cells (Figures S1K and 1L). To further verify this clustering among all features simultaneously, we conducted a principal-component analysis (PCA). Upon plotting PC1 versus PC2 (which together account for 97.4% of the variance across all cells), the three cell groups clearly separated into three distinct clusters (Figure 1M). To examine whether the presence or absence of ADPs had any impact on LR classification, we labeled LRs with and without ADPs in separate colors. Both of these groups clustered together as part of the unified LR cluster, with no delineation observed between them. This strongly supports the assertion that LR neurons are one distinct cell type (Figure 1M).

LR Cells Are the Dominant Cell Type in the Superficial RSG
LR neurons were the most commonly encountered cell type in L2/3 of RSG. To quantify the relative percentage of neurons in the superficial RSG, the recorded neurons were assigned to one of four groups based on their intrinsic physiological properties: FS, RS, LR, and unclassified. The unclassified group consisted of 10 neurons whose intrinsic and/or firing properties did not fall under any of the three defined groups (see STAR Methods). We found that LR cells are the dominant cell type in both L2/3, accounting for 61% of the neurons in layer 2 and 59% in layer 3 (Figure 2). However, 0 out of 25 recordings in layers 5 and 6 were of LR cells and instead identified only RS and FS neurons, suggesting that LR neurons are restricted to the superficial layers of RSG (chi-square test, p < 0.001; data not shown). In the mouse lines with more than 20 cells recorded, greater than 50% were LR neurons in each line, confirming that LR cells are the dominant cell type in L2/3 of RSC regardless of the mouse line. Surprisingly, the prevalence of RS cells in L2/3 of RSG was extremely low, representing only 26% of all layer 2 neurons and 10% of layer 3 neurons. Indeed, the proportion of LR neurons is significantly greater than that of RS neurons in L2/3 (chi-square test; p < 0.001). The FS neuron probabilities are slightly skewed, as experiments detailed later in this manuscript specifically targeted FS interneurons. Thus, the FS neuron probability reported here is likely slightly larger than their true representation in these layers. Nonetheless, it is clear that LR cells are the most prevalent cell type within the superficial layers of the granular RSC, being encountered 4.4 times more often than RS cells.

LR Cells Are Found across the Long Axis of the RSC
The RSC is a large structure, spanning 4.38 mm rostrocaudally in mice. In addition to LR cells being the most prevalent cell type, we also found that their expression is consistent across this entire long axis of the RSC (Figure S1B; Table S1). This suggests that the contribution of LR cells to retrosplenial circuit computations is likely to be similar across the long axis of the RSG.

LR Cells Are Found in Both Males and Females at All Ages Examined
LR cells are present in both adolescent and adult mice, suggesting this highly intrinsically excitable cell is not a transient...
developmental phenotype (Figure S1A). LR cells are also found in both male and female mice (Figure S1C). The properties of these neurons do not differ across these different locations, ages, or sex, further supporting their robust existence as a single cell type (Table S1). Thus, these neurons are the dominant cell type in the superficial granular RSC, consistent across age, sex, and long axis of the RSG.

**LR Cells Are Excitatory**

To investigate whether LR neurons were excitatory or inhibitory, we next conducted whole-cell recordings coupled with optogenetic activation of channelrhodopsin in CaMKIIα+ cells. CaMKIIα-Cre × Ai32 mice (Jackson Laboratories, stock numbers 005359 and 024109, respectively; crossed in house) were used for these experiments. In these mice, cells containing the excitatory marker CaMKIIα express Cre, thus allowing for expression of a cre-dependent channelrhodopsin (ChR2) exclusively in CaMKIIα neurons (Figure 3A). We then used 1-ms light pulses in a 10-Hz train to test ChR2 responses in the patched neurons. Of the LR cells tested, 85% (17/20) directly responded to the optogenetic light pulse, indicating that they were directly expressing ChR2 and, thus, were CaMKIIα positive (Figures 3B and 3C). This suggested, but did not prove, that they may be excitatory neurons.

We then confirmed the excitatory nature of LR cells by using paired recordings of layer 2/3 RSG neurons. Although connections were rare, when LR cells were connected to neighboring FS cells, they led to excitatory post-synaptic potentials (EPSPs) in the paired cell (Figure 4D). This confirms that LR cells in RSG are indeed excitatory neurons.

**Dominant Inhibition and Rare Local Excitation in the Superficial Layers of RSG**

Using paired whole-cell recordings, we sought to quantify the connectivity between these three major cell types in the superficial layers of RSG: LR and RS (both excitatory; E) and FS (the major inhibitory neurons in these layers; I). To our surprise, LR to FS connectivity was rare (17%), suggesting a relative lack of locally driven excitation of FS cells. On the other hand, FS cells were frequently connected to and inhibited neighboring LR cells (52%) (Figure 4A). When all pairs were considered, the E→I connectivity was only 16%, whereas the I→E connectivity reached 53% (Figure 4B). The difference in probability to observe I→E connections versus E→I connections was significant (p < 0.001; two-tailed t test), suggesting the superficial layers of the RSG represents an inhibition-dominated network, with feedforward inhibition far more likely than feedback inhibition. Additionally, we observed no LR→LR connections (0/30), nor any connectivity between LR and RS cells (0/6), indicating a complete lack of E→E connectivity. FS→FS connectivity was robust, being found in each of the 6 directions tested across three pairs (100%; Figure 4A).

The latency to onset of the evoked responses from a holding potential of −55 mV was similar between inhibition and excitation (p = 0.9273, Wilcoxon rank-sum test; Figure 4E). However, the peak of the EPSP from LR onto FS cells was reached significantly faster than the peak of IPSPs from FS to LR (p = 0.0091, Wilcoxon rank-sum test; n = 3 LR to FS connections; n = 9 FS to LR connections; Figure 4F). IPSPs from FS to LR cells exhibited clear short-term depression. This was seen in paired recordings (Figures 4C and 4G) and also when recording from LR neurons during optogenetic stimulation of FS cells (data not shown). EPSPs from LR to FS cells did not clearly exhibit either depression or facilitation (Figure 4D). The circuit diagram for L2/3 of RSG is summarized in Figure 4H and highlights the prominent role of inhibition in this circuit.

**Axons from LR Cells Do Not Ramify Locally but Head to Deeper Layers and toward the Corpus Callosum**

The rarity of connections from LR neurons onto their neighboring L2/3 cells suggested that LR axons have more distant targets. To investigate the projections of the LR cells, we used biocytin to fill cells for morphological consideration after characterizing their physiological properties and created 3D reconstructions of the neurons by using Neurolucida. Three representative LR neurons whose cell body, dendrites, and axons were sufficiently filled are shown in Figure 5. All filled LR cells exhibited projections to the deeper layers of RSG (Figures 5F–5I). Axons often clearly entered and traveled within the corpus callosum. Additionally, LR neurons (unlike FS cells) had...
almost instantaneous neuronal response to the light (<0.15-ms latency) is indicative of direct ChR2 expression.

The LR cell response to each of the constituent spikes within the burst was characterized by a low probability of firing and imprecisely timed action potentials (high jitter). LR cells, on the other hand, responded with high reliability and more precisely timed action potentials with little jitter across trials (Figures 7C–7F), as would be expected based on their much higher input resistance. LR cell spikes were significantly more reliable and more precise (less jitter) than RS cell spikes in response to the burst input (p < 0.001; two-tailed t test), showing that LR neurons are capable of higher fidelity burst encoding with superior spike timing coding capabilities than their neighboring RS neurons. Qualitatively similar results were obtained when the g_{max} of synaptic inputs was halved in strength (data not shown).

We next examined the ability of LR and RS neurons to respond to persistent inputs of varying durations. First, we utilized a continuous input spike train of 200 Hz over progressively longer durations. LR cells were able to respond with high probability and high precision for all durations examined (Figure 7G). RS cells, on the other hand, had average...
**Figure 4. Dominant Local Inhibition in the Superficial Layers of the Retrosplenial Cortex**

(A) Table indicating the percentage of connectivity between all types of pairs tested. The heatmap indicates the probability of connections between the neuron types indicated in each cell of the table.

(B) Total connectivity probability between all I→I directional pairs (100%), I→E directional pairs (52%), E→I directional pairs (16%), and E→E directional pairs (0%). Bootstrap resampling followed by a t test revealed a significantly higher likelihood of observing I→I connections versus E→E connections (p < 0.001).

(C) Representative trace of the connection between a presynaptic L3 FS cell and a postsynaptic L3 LR cell (held at −55 mV). The neurons were 27 μm apart, with the LR cell located superficial to the FS cell. Schematic shows the patched pair in which the FS cell is being stimulated to spike at 10 Hz, with postsynaptic potentials recorded in the LR cell. The purple trace is the response of the LR cell to a 10-Hz sequence of FS cell spikes (indicated by orange arrows).

(D) Similar to (C), but now for a presynaptic LR to postsynaptic FS excitatory connection.

(E) Average latency to onset of the IPSPs recorded from the FS→LR pairs (red) and the EPSPs recorded from the LR→FS pairs (blue) (p = 0.9273, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). Error bars represent standard error.

(F) Average latency to peak of the IPSPs recorded from the FS→LR pairs (red) and the EPSPs recorded from the LR→FS pairs (blue) (p = 0.009, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). Error bars represent standard error.

(G) Group synaptic dynamics for FS→LR connections (n = 9). Inhibition onto LR cells exhibited strong short-term depression.

(H) Schematic of the microcircuitry of FS, RS, and LR cells in the superficial layers of RSG.
Figure 5. LR Axons Do Not Ramify Locally and Instead Project to Deeper Layers and the Corpus Callosum

(A) Fluorescent fills and subsequent Neurolucida reconstructions of an example RS (green) and LR (purple) neuron. Scale bar represents 50 μm.

(B) Sholl analysis of the total dendrites of RS (green) and LR (purple) neurons in L2/3 of RSG (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

(C) Total length of dendrites for RS (green) and LR (purple) neurons (**p < 0.01, Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

(D) Same as (C) for number of dendritic branches (**p < 0.01, Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

(E) Same as (C) for soma surface area (**p < 0.01, Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

(F) Schematic of the axonal ramifications of two L2/3 LR neurons. Top left shows the location of the RSC within the ~1.8-mm AP slice in a P25 mouse. Layers and corpus callosum are demarcated by gray dashed lines. Scale bar represents 50 μm. Dendrites are in black, and cell bodies/axons are in purple. Axons project clearly to deeper layers, often entering the corpus callosum. Minimal axonal ramifications are observed in L2/3. Inset is identical to that in Figure 1L with the two LR cells referenced here in larger purple dots, indicated by the arrows.

(legend continued on next page)
arriving at apical portions of the dendritic tree are filtered more at the soma than proximal inputs (Häusser, 2001). To ensure that the differences in SNR between the LR and RS neuron models are not due to their differential dendritic filtering properties, we normalized the synaptic inputs such that their location dependence was eliminated. Even after normalizing the synaptic inputs, the LR neuron model had superior SNR compared to the RS neuron model, and the difference became larger when the input duration was increased (Figure S4A). The same result was observed when the two models were stimulated with realistic in vivo input spike trains (Figure S4B). These results indicate that SNR differences between the two neuronal subtypes are primarily due to differences in their intrinsic properties and not due to morphological differences. Thus, LR neurons are better suited to encode persistent inputs, such as those involved in long-duration HD signaling, than RS neurons.

DISCUSSION

The unique cytoarchitecture of the RSC has long been appreciated by neuroanatomists (Rose, 1927; Van Groen and Wyss, 2003; Wyss et al., 1990; Wyss and Van Groen, 1992; Ichinohe and Rockland, 2002). The granular division of the RSC, in particular, has two geometric features that appear to set it apart from many other cortical regions: (1) small pyramidal neurons that cluster most densely in L2/3 (Wyss et al., 1990; Kurotani et al., 2013) and (2) the bundling of apical dendrites emanating from these small L2/3 pyramidal neurons (Wyss et al., 1990; Ichinohe and Rockland, 2002). Thus, a thorough understanding of granular RSC function would greatly benefit from an in-depth understanding of these distinct L2/3 neurons. Here, we have characterized the detailed intrinsic properties, connectivity, and computational capabilities of these small...
pyramidal cells, as well as their neighboring, larger, more familiar RS cells, revealing a number of key differences. We call these small pyramidal cells LR neurons based upon the ease with which they can be excited and made to fire (due, in large part, to their high input resistance). In addition to their high excitability, these cells also have spike widths that are much narrower than RS cells and show minimal spike frequency adaptation, again in sharp contrast to RS neurons (Figure 1; Table 1). We also found that all three cell types examined had a tendency to spike late during a near threshold current injection, consistent with high levels of Kv1.1 or Kv1.2 ion channel expression in the RSC (Kurotani et al., 2013). There was no significant difference in the first spike latency of LR versus RS cells (Figure 1; Table 1). Thus, the key, defining computational features of LR cells are their hyperexcitability and lack of spike frequency adaptation.

How do the distinct passive and active properties of LR versus RS neurons impact their input-output transformations and information-coding capabilities? We used biophysically realistic models to investigate this question. On the short timescale, the higher excitability and shorter spike widths of LR cells enable them to spike with a higher probability and even shorter latency in response to incoming bursts of spikes (Figures 7A–7F), such as those generated by afferent postsubicular cells during active behaviors (Simonnet and Brecht, 2019). On the long timescales, the almost complete lack of spike frequency adaptation helps LR cells maintain sustained (and still precise) responses to incoming persistent inputs (Figures 7G–7J). This encoding of persistent information appears critical to the function of the RSC. Recent imaging evidence suggests that RSC neurons (generically defined, irrespective of subtype) have a unique ability to encode long-duration, history-dependent value signals (Hatton et al., 2019). Of even more direct relevance is the persistent nature of the navigational information being processed by the superficial RSC. The subicular complex, including the postsubiculum, represents one of the key functional inputs to RSC L2/3 cells (Wyss and Van Groen, 1992; Yamawaki et al., 2019b, 2019a). Postsubicular neurons display a strong preference for particular orientations and are, thus, called HD cells (Taube et al., 1990). When an animal faces a particular direction for long durations, postsubicular HD cells keep spiking persistently, likely contributing to the maintenance of the sense of orientation in the absence of ongoing vestibular changes (Taube et al., 1990; Yoshida and Hasselmo, 2009). The distinct properties of LR cells suggest they are ideally suited to respond to this persistent postsubicular input (Figures 7I and 7J), enabling the retrosplenial circuit to utilize this valuable HD input to help generate a sense of orientation regardless of how long an animal has been facing the same direction. In fact, our results suggest that the longer an animal faces a postsubicular cell’s preferred direction, the better the SNR with which LR neurons can encode this information (due to the accumulation of high-rate signal spikes over time), potentially helping to increase the behavioral certainty of the current orientation and helping to recall orientation-relevant memories. Indeed, a sense of spatial disorientation is one of the key deficits after RSC damage in humans (Bottini et al., 1990; Takahashi et al., 1997; Ino et al., 2007; Osawa et al., 2007).

Several lines of future work are needed to better understand LR versus RS neuronal processing in support of RSC function. It is not yet known whether postsubicular cells differentially contact LR versus RS cells. Nor do we yet know the short-term dynamics of postsubicular inputs to LR versus RS cells. Perhaps the single most important gap in the field’s knowledge regarding L2/3 RSC neurons is the lack of any information on their in vivo spike patterns and how their firing encodes navigation and memory-related information. No in vivo awake, behavioral recordings to date have specifically attempted to precisely target L2/3 of the granular RSC, likely due to its relatively inaccessible position at the midline. Our precise characterization of differences in spike width and adaptation between LR and RS neurons will help the field to identify these distinct neurons by using precisely planned extracellular recordings.

The presence of two distinct, neighboring principal neurons is seen in several structures that are important for spatial navigation and memory. Recent work has shown that granule and mossy cells in the dentate gyrus differentially encode spatial information (Scharfman, 1992, 2019; GoodSmith et al., 2017;
Senzai and Buzsáki, 2017), resolving several previously confusing data points regarding the nature of the sparse code used by granule cells (Leutgeb et al., 2007). Similarly, neighboring deep versus superficial CA1 pyramidal cells have been shown to have different spatial and temporal firing properties, as well as distinct local and distant connectivity (Mizuseki et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2014; Danielson et al., 2016; Soltesz and Lonszczyn, 2018). In the subiculum, the cells show two distinct patterns of bursting as well as differences in VGLu1 versus VGLu2 expression (Simonnet and Brecht, 2019; Yamawaki et al., 2019). Thus, the notion of parallel coding schemes implemented by distinct populations of principal neurons is of clear importance in regions involved in memory and navigation. In the superficial RSC, our results show that such parallel neural codes are likely to be implemented by the distinct properties of LR and RS neurons. The intrinsic properties of LR and RS cells are likely to make them better suited to encode persistent versus rapidly changing inputs, respectively. We, thus, hypothesize that RS cells in L2/3 of RSG are likely to better encode information during active head rotations and movement, whereas LR cells may be better suited to integrating long-duration persistent HD and position information when the animal is not moving (as shown in Figure 7). Indeed, our results predict that the longer an animal faces a particular direction, the higher the SNR with which LR neurons can encode this information. Thus, LR neurons may contribute heavily to retaining the current directional bearing when initiating the next movement. In addition to the postsubiculum, the RSC receives inputs from several other regions, including directionnal information from the anterior thalamus (Taube, 1995; Peyrache et al., 2015) and positional and route-based information from the dorsal subiculum and posterior parietal cortex (Sharp and Green, 1994; Sharp, 1999; Nitz, 2006; Kim et al., 2012; Stewart et al., 2013; Wilber et al., 2014; Cullen and Taube, 2017; Olson et al., 2017; Simonnet and Brecht, 2019). Regardless of the precise content of the information being conveyed by these sources, our results indicate the LR neurons are more suited to integrating this information over longer timescales, potentially helping the RSC encode a sense of long-duration spatial orientation and bearing—a sense that manifests itself as confidently knowing where one is and which way one is looking.

Our paired recordings provide direct proof that LR neurons are indeed excitatory (Figures 3 and 4). Although LR cells are the most prevalent cell type in L2/3 (Figure 2), they make few local connections (Figure 4), instead sending their axons into the deeper layers and corpus callosum (Figure 5). LR cells receive prominent inhibitory inputs from the neighboring L2/3 FS cells (Figure 4), with the probability of FS-to-LR connectivity reaching 52%, which is somewhat higher than that reported in many other regions of the neocortex (Beierlein et al., 2003; Yoshimura and Callaway, 2005; Packer and Yuste, 2011; Jiang et al., 2015). This finding, coupled with the complete lack of local excitatory connections onto LR cells (Figure 4) and the dense FS-FS connectivity (Figure 4), indicates that the superficial layers of the RSG are a network dominated by local inhibition. The inhibition from FS to LR neurons showed similar short-term depression to that seen from FS to RS cells in many other cortical structures (Figure 4G; Beierlein et al., 2003). Our study had a low number of RS-FS pairs sampled, and their connectivity will be precisely quantified in future work. Although we did not explicitly model feedforward inhibition in our simulations, this depression is likely to further aid in the long-duration firing of LR neurons in response to persistent postsubicular inputs by curtailing the strength of feedforward inhibition over time. Of importance to network computations, the strong FS-FS and FS-LR connectivity is also likely to allow the RSC circuit to implement high-frequency oscillations that are generated by the interneuron-gamma (ING) mechanism, instead of, or in addition to, oscillations generated by the pyramidal-ING (PING) mechanism (Koike et al., 2017; Alexander et al., 2018). Future large-scale circuit models of the superficial RSC incorporating LR, RS, and FS cells based on the intrinsic properties and connectivity principles described here will help us to understand the network computations performed by this unique retrosplenial circuit (Figure 4H).
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## KEY RESOURCES TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>REAGENT or RESOURCE</th>
<th>SOURCE</th>
<th>IDENTIFIER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemicals, Peptides, and Recombinant Proteins</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium bicarbonate</td>
<td>Fisher Chemical</td>
<td>Cat#S233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluriso</td>
<td>VetOne</td>
<td>Cat#501017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride</td>
<td>Fisher Chemical</td>
<td>Cat#S571</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium phosphate monobasic</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#S5011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium chloride</td>
<td>Fisher Chemical</td>
<td>Cat#P217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dextrose</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#D9434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sucrose</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#S7903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium chloride dihydrate</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#C5080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium sulfate heptahydrate</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#M5921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Gluconic acid solution</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#G1951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium hydroxide</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#P5958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#H3375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGTA</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#E3889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTP sodium salt hydrate</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#G8877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphocreatine d(tris) salt</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#P1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP magnesium salt</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#A9187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicocytin</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#B4261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraformaldehyde</td>
<td>Acros</td>
<td>Cat#41678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraformaldehyde 32% solution</td>
<td>Electron Microscopy Sciences</td>
<td>Cat#15714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium phosphate monobasic monohydrate</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#S369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium phosphate dibasic anhydrous</td>
<td>Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#S375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vectastain Elite ABC Kit, Peroxidase</td>
<td>Vector laboratories</td>
<td>Cat#PK-6100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGMAFAST 3,3'-Diaminobenzidine tablets</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#D4168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mowiol</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#81381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triton X-100</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
<td>Cat#X100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin, Alexa Fluor 488 Conjugate</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#S11223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin, Alexa Fluor 594 Conjugate</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#S11227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin, Alexa Fluor 647 Conjugate</td>
<td>Thermo Fisher Scientific</td>
<td>Cat#S21374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoromount - G</td>
<td>SouthernBiotech</td>
<td>Cat#0100-01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Experimental Models: Organisms/Strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV-IRESCre</td>
<td>Jackson Laboratories</td>
<td>008069; RRID: IMSR_JAX:008069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaMKII-Cre</td>
<td>Jackson Laboratories</td>
<td>005359; RRID: IMSR_JAX:005359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A132</td>
<td>Jackson Laboratories</td>
<td>024109; RRID: IMSR_JAX:024109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A14</td>
<td>Jackson Laboratories</td>
<td>007914; RRID: IMSR_JAX:007914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NTSR1-Cre</td>
<td>MMRRC</td>
<td>030648-UCD; RRID: MMRRC_030648-UCD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software and Algorithms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEURON, version 7.5</td>
<td>Hines and Carnevale, 2001</td>
<td><a href="https://neuron.yale.edu/neuron/download">https://neuron.yale.edu/neuron/download</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NeuroLucida</td>
<td>MBF Bioscience</td>
<td><a href="https://www.mbfbioscience.com/neurolucida">https://www.mbfbioscience.com/neurolucida</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clampfit, version 10.6.2.2</td>
<td>Molecular Devices</td>
<td><a href="http://mdc.custhelp.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/18779/~/axon%E2%84%A2pclamp%E2%84%A2-10-electrophysiology-data-acquisition-%26-analysis-software-download">http://mdc.custhelp.com/app/answers/detail/a_id/18779/~/axon%E2%84%A2pclamp%E2%84%A2-10-electrophysiology-data-acquisition-%26-analysis-software-download</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LEAD CONTACT AND MATERIALS AVAILABILITY

Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled by the Lead Contact, Dr. Omar J Ahmed (ojahmed@umich.edu). This study did not generate new unique reagents.

EXPERIMENTAL MODEL AND SUBJECT DETAILS

All housing of animals and procedures were approved by the University of Michigan Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. Multiple mouse lines were used in this study, including PV-IRES-Cre (Jackson Laboratories, 008069), CaMKIIz-Cre (Jackson Laboratories, 005359), Ai32 (Jackson Laboratories, 024109), Ai14 (Jackson Laboratories, 007914), PV-IRES-Cre x Ai14 (crossed in house), PV-IRES-Cre x Ai32 (crossed in house), CaMKIIz-Cre x Ai32 (crossed in house), and NTSR1-Cre (MMRRC, 030648-UCD). All mice excluding the NTSR1-Cre line were on a C57Bl6 background, while the NTSR1-Cre mice had a mixed C57Bl6/ICR background. Mice of both sexes between the ages of P21-31 and P60-65 were included in the experiments.

METHOD DETAILS

Physiological Experimental Methods

Slice preparation

A total of 193 recordings used for intrinsic physiology analyses are included in this study from the following mouse lines: PV-IRES-Cre, CaMKIIz-Cre, Ai32, PV-IRES-Cre x Ai14, PV-IRES-Cre x Ai32, CaMKIIz-Cre x Ai32, and NTSR1-Cre. No differences in cell type properties were observed across mouse lines, while both age and sex were explicitly analyzed in terms of their relationship to cell type properties (see Results).

Mice underwent deep isoflurane anesthesia before decapitation. Brains were removed within one min of decapitation and placed in an ice-cold high-sucrose slicing solution that had been saturated with carbogen gas for at least 30 min prior to use. Coronal slices (300um) were cut using a Leica 1200 VT vibratome. Slices were allowed to rest in the slicing solution for about 2 min before being placed in a carbogen-saturated high-magnesium artificial CSF (ACSF) solution to incubate at body temperature (32°C) for 20 min. The entire bubbling bath was then removed from the heater, allowing the slices to gradually cool to room temperature. Slices rested an additional 30 min at room temperature before use.

Slices were submerged in a recording chamber with a constant flow of ACSF containing 126 mM NaCl, 1.25 mM Na2HPO4, 26 mM NaHCO3, 3 mM KCl, 10 mM dextrose, 1.20 mM CaCl2, and 1 mM MgSO4. Recordings were done between 29–31°C with an ACSF flow rate of 2 mL per min. All recordings were done within 8 h of slicing to ensure reputable health of the cells. Patch pipettes with a 2–3 μm diameter and resistances of 3–6 MΩ were filled with a potassium gluconate internal solution containing 130 mM K-gluconate, 2 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 10 mM HEPES, 0.2 mM EGTA, 0.3 mM GTP-Tris, 14 mM phosphocreatine-Tris, and 4 mM ATP-Mg (pH 7.25, ~290 mOsm).

Whole-cell recordings

Slices were visualized using an Olympus BX51WI microscope equipped with Olympus 5x and 60x water immersion lens and the Andor Neo sCMOS camera (Oxford Instruments, Abingdon, Oxfordshire, UK). In most cases, neurons were patched randomly within layers 2/3 of RSG with the exception of experiments in which PV neurons were targeted for patching based on their expression of either an eYFP tag (PV-IRES-Cre x Ai32 cross) or a tdTomato tag (PV-IRES-Cre x Ai14 cross). All recordings were done under current clamp conditions using the Multiclamp 700B and Digidata 1440A (Molecular Devices). Neurons were adjusted for series resistances and held at a resting potential of ~65 mV (unless otherwise stated) using a constant holding current injection. Recordings were not corrected posthoc for liquid junction potential. In order to characterize the different neuron types, intrinsic and firing properties of recorded neurons were calculated using the Clampfit and MATLAB software packages.

Synaptic connections between neurons were tested using paired whole-cell recordings. 1 ms current pulses were delivered to the presynaptic neuron at 10 Hz for a total of 1 s (10 pulses). The synaptic responses of the postsynaptic neuron were simultaneously recorded while holding the postsynaptic cell at ~55 mV.

Optogenetic testing of CaMKIIz expression

Optogenetic verification of CaMKIIz expression was conducted using CaMKIIz-Cre x Ai32 mice (Jackson Laboratories 005359 and 024109 respectively, crossed in house) in which channelrhodopsin is expressed in CaMKIIz-Cre-expressing neurons. Slices were visualized with the Olympus BX51WI equipped with Olympus 5x and 60x water immersion lens. Expression of channelrhodopsin was marked by fluorescence of the eYFP tag. Neurons were recorded in the same manner as described above with at least one additional protocol to verify functional expression of the channelrhodopsin. One millisecond optogenetic light pulses with a 5,500K white LED (Mightex; maximum power of 14.47 mW measured at the slice focal plane) were delivered at 10 Hz while the neuronal responses were recorded. Direct expression was verified by responses to the light pulses under 0.15 ms.

Morphological investigations with biocytin

Six RS and six LR cells were characterized for their morphology. To determine patched cells' morphology, 5 mg/mL of biocytin was added to the internal solution of recording electrodes. Cells were filled with biocytin (Sigma, cat. no. B4261) throughout the recording session, and the pipette was left attached to the cell for at least 20 min. At the end of the recording, cells were “zapped” with fifteen
1 Hz pulses of 3–4 nA current to improve the diffusion of biocytin into the axon (Jiang et al., 2015). Slices were left to recover in the recording chamber for 30 min before further processing. A detailed description of the biocytin labeling and processing is available elsewhere (Marx et al., 2012). Briefly, slices were filled with biocytin as described above, placed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Acros Organics, cat no. B0144942) for 12–15 h, and then transferred to phosphate buffer solution (PBS). After 24–48 h in PBS, slices were incubated in avidin-biocytin (ABC Elite kit, VectaShield) for 12 h and then treated with peroxidase to reveal cell morphology. Finally, slices were mounted on microscope slides with Mowiol-based embedding medium and allowed to dry for at least 12 h. Cells were visualized using a Leica DM4000B light microscope equipped with a Leica DMC 6200 CMOS camera.

Morphological investigations with Alexa Fluor
To investigate cell morphology using fluorescence, biocytin (5 mg/mL, Sigma cat no. B4261) was added to the internal solution. Cells were filled with biocytin for a total of 20–30 min each, and the slices were then moved to 4% PFA (Fisher Scientific, cat no. 50-980-494) for overnight incubation. Afterward, slices were washed in PBS, permeabilized in 0.2% Triton-X (Sigma, X-100), and incubated for 48 h in either streptavidin conjugated Alexa Fluor 488, 594, or 647 (1mg/1ml diluted to 1:1000, Thermo Fisher Scientific S11223, S11227, S21374 respectively). Slices were mounted on glass slides using Fluromount-G mounting medium (SouthernBiotech, cat no. 0100-01) and glass coverslips and visualized with Leica 6000B microscope equipped with a 10x objective and QImaging Retiga-SRV Fast 1394 camera.

Morphological reconstructions
For morphological analysis, z stacks of filled cells were taken with the Leica SP5 confocal microscope using a 40x dry objective. Reconstructions from z stacks were performed using user-guided mode in Neurolucida software and analyzed in Neurolucida Explorer.

Computational Modeling Methods
Model motivation
Biophysical modeling was utilized to study in detail the computational properties of LR and RS neurons and the possible coding mechanisms by which they could contribute to the spatial navigation functions of the RSC. To this end, we constructed multicompartamental, biophysically realistic models of the two neuronal subtypes based on anatomical reconstructions and tuned the model parameters so that their intrinsic properties closely match their experimental counterparts. The following section explains in detail the active and passive properties of the LR and RS neuron models. Both LR and RS neuron models will be uploaded to ModelDB (https://modeldb.yale.edu/260192). Unlike the experimental data, where junction potential was not adjusted for, all membrane potential values listed below for the computational model should be considered adjusted for the junction potential.

LR neuron model
Morphology and passive properties
To further elucidate the computational properties of LR neurons, we constructed a biophysically realistic model based on their anatomical reconstruction and physiological properties. The model’s morphology (Figure 6E) was imported in NEURON using the import3D tool (Hines and Carnevale, 2001). The model has an input resistance and input capacitance of 384 MΩ and 37.2 pF, respectively, closely matching experimental values of LR neurons. The membrane time constant of the model is 14.29 ms. The resting membrane potential of the model is

\[ \text{ENa} = 77.8 \text{ mV}. \]

Both LR and RS neuron models were simulated at a temperature of 30°C, and a q10 value of 3 (Hille, 2001) was used to scale the temperature dependence of ion channel kinetics. The number of segments in each compartment was calculated using the d-lambda rule (Hines and Carnevale, 2001). The axial resistivity for both models is 200 Ω-cm (Vierling-Claassen et al., 2010).

Active properties
Three voltage-gated ion channels were simulated for the LR neuron model: fast sodium current (INa), delayed rectifier potassium current (IK,dr), and K⁺,1 current (IK). In addition, a phenomenological mechanism (Iadap) for spike frequency adaptation was modeled (Treves, 1993; Fuhrmann et al., 2002). The properties of these currents are described in detail in the following sections. The LR neuron model has a spike half-width of 0.55 ms and a spike threshold of −53.9 mV. The model exhibits very little spike frequency adaptation, with an adaptation ratio of 1.1, as seen in the experimental data. For both models, the reversal potential of sodium (ENa) and potassium ions (EK) were set to +50 mV and −96 mV, respectively.

Fast sodium current
The fast sodium current (INa) responsible for action potential generation was modeled based on Hodgkin Huxley formulation (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952) using the experimental gating properties of transient sodium current found in RS neurons (Martina and Jonas, 1997). The channel was modeled with 3 activation gates and an inactivation gate. The channel was distributed in all the compartments of the model, and their respective maximal channel conductance (gmax) is tabulated in Table S2. The channel equations and parameters (Martina and Jonas, 1997) (voltage dependence of steady state activation/inactivation (m, h), time constants of activation and inactivation gates (τm, τh), channel current (INa)) is given below.

\[
m_a = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(-\frac{v - \theta \cdot m}{\sigma \cdot m}\right)}
\]

(Equation 1)
\[ h_\omega = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( -\frac{v - \theta_\omega}{\sigma_\omega} \right)} \]  
(Equation 2)

\[ \tau_m = \left( 0.022 + \frac{3.6}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{v + 27.9}{7.6} \right)} \right) \times \left( 0.009 + \frac{1.9}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{-v - 1.3}{12.7} \right)} \right) \]  
(Equation 3)

\[ \tau_n = \left( 0.31 + \frac{14}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{v + 60}{12} \right)} \right) \]  
(Equation 4)

where \( \theta_m = -22.8 \text{ mV}, \sigma_m = 11.8 \text{ mV}, \theta_h = -62.9 \text{ mV}, \sigma_h = -10 \text{ mV}. \)

\[ I_{Na} = g_{\text{max}} \times m^3 \times h \times (V_m - E_{Na}) \]  
(Equation 5)

**Delayed rectifier potassium current**

Delayed rectifier potassium currents \( (I_{K_{\text{dr}}}) \) are known to contribute to action potential repolarization in numerous neuronal subtypes of the brain (Locke and Nerbonne, 1997; Murakoshi and Trimmer, 1999; Guan et al., 2007; Liu and Bean, 2014). We modeled this current in the LR neuron model using the channel gating properties of delayed rectifier potassium currents found in RS neurons (Liu and Bean, 2014). The channel model consists of 2 activation gates (Golomb et al., 2007) and no inactivation gates. The channel’s activation time constant (Liu and Bean, 2014) was tuned such that the model’s spike half width matches the experimentally obtained values. The channel was distributed in all the compartments of the model, and their \( g_{\text{max}} \) values are given in Table S2. The equations for voltage dependence of steady state activation \( (n_\omega) \) and the activation time constant \( (\tau_n) \) of the channel is described below.

\[ n_\omega = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( -\frac{v - \theta_n}{\sigma_n} \right)} \]  
(Equation 6)

\[ \tau_n = \left( 0.087 + \frac{3.4}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{v + 35.6}{9.6} \right)} \right) \times \left( 0.087 + \frac{3.4}{1 + \exp \left( \frac{-v - 1.3}{18.7} \right)} \right) \]  
(Equation 7)

where \( \theta_n = -20 \text{ mV}, \sigma_n = 10.4 \text{ mV}. \)

\[ I_{K_{\text{dr}}} = g_{\text{max}} \times n \times n \times (V_m - E_{K}) \]  
(Equation 8)

**\( K_{\text{v1}} \) current**

The \( K_{\text{v1}} \) current (also known as the d-current, \( (I_d) \)) is a potassium current that is widely known to cause a delay to first action potential in many neuronal subtypes (Storm, 1988; Goldberg et al., 2008; Kurotani et al., 2013). We modeled this current to capture the late spiking property of LR neurons that is observed in our physiological data. The current was modeled using the Hodgkin Huxley formalism (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952) and based on experimental data (Wu and Barish, 1992) and a previously published model (Golomb et al., 2007) with 3 fast activation gates and a slowly inactivating gate. This channel was distributed only in the somatic compartment of the neuron (Table S2). The voltage dependence of steady state activation/inactivation \( (a_\omega, b_\omega) \) and their respective time constants \( (\tau_a, \tau_b) \) of the channel are given below.

\[ a_\omega = \frac{1}{1 + \exp \left( -\frac{v - \theta_a}{\sigma_a} \right)} \]  
(Equation 9)
\[ b_{b} = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \exp \left(- \frac{v - \theta_{b}}{\sigma_{b}} \right) \right)} \]  
\text{(Equation 10)}

\[ \tau_{a} = 1.4 \text{ ms, } \tau_{b} = 150 \text{ ms} \]  
\text{(Equation 11)}

where \( \theta_{a} = -50 \text{ mV, } \sigma_{a} = 20 \text{ mV, } \theta_{b} = -70 \text{ mV, } \sigma_{b} = -6 \text{ mV.} \)

\[ I_{a} = g_{\text{max}} \times a^{3} \times b \times (V_{m} - E_{K}) \]  
\text{(Equation 12)}

**Adaptation current**

Spike frequency adaptation was modeled using a linear mechanism \((I_{\text{adap}})\) as described in previous studies (Treves, 1993; Fuhrmann et al., 2002). \( I_{\text{adap}} \) was modeled using the following equations (Treves, 1993).

\[ I_{\text{adap}} = g(t) \times (V_{m} - E_{K}) \]  
\text{(Equation 13)}

\[ \frac{dg}{dt} = -\frac{g}{\tau_{g}} + g_{\text{adap}} \times \delta(t - t_{\text{spike}}) \]  
\text{(Equation 14)}

Briefly, when a cell fires an action potential, \( g(t) \) is increased by \( g_{\text{adap}} \), which decays to zero with a time constant of \( \tau_{g} \). \( t_{\text{spike}} \) is the time at which the neuron spikes, and \( E_{K} \) is the potassium reversal potential. \( g_{\text{adap}} = 10 \text{ pS and } \tau_{g} = 500 \text{ ms} \) (Liu and Wang, 2001).

**RS neuron model**

**Morphology and passive properties**

The RS neuron model in our study is based on the biophysical and anatomical properties of RS neurons in layers 2/3 of RSG. The morphology of the model is based on anatomical reconstructions of its experimental counterpart (Figure 6A). The model’s input resistance is 148 MΩ and input capacitance is 96.6 pF. The model has a membrane time constant of 14.29 ms. The model’s resting membrane potential is –74.95 mV. Thus, the model’s passive properties accurately replicate those of RS neurons in layer 2/3 of RSG. The model’s ion channels and active properties are described in detail below.

**Active properties**

Similar to the LR neuron model, the RS neuron model has 3 voltage gated currents and a current for spike frequency adaptation \((I_{\text{adap}})\). The voltage gated currents incorporated in the RS neuron model are fast sodium current \((I_{\text{Na}})\), delayed rectifier potassium current \((I_{K_{\text{dr}}} \text{ and } K_{\text{v1}} \text{ current }(I_{d})\). The model has a spike half width of 0.92 ms and a spike threshold of –54.12 mV. The spike frequency adaptation ratio of the model is 2.5, closely matching the experimental values.

**Fast sodium current**

The fast sodium current of the RS neuron model was modeled using Hodgkin Huxley’s equations (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952). The channel’s voltage dependence of steady state activation/inactivation and their time constants were modeled using Equations 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 (Martina and Jonas, 1997). The channel was distributed both in the somatic and dendritic compartments whose \( g_{\text{max}} \) values are described in Table S2.

**Delayed rectifier potassium current**

The delayed rectifier potassium current \((I_{K_{\text{dr}}} \text{ and } K_{\text{v1}} \text{ current } (I_{d})\) was modeled based on the channel gating properties of \( K_{\text{v2}} \text{ currents found in RS neurons (Liu and Bean, 2014). Similar to the LR neuron model, the channel consists of 2 activation gates and does not exhibit any inactivation (Liu and Bean, 2014). Compared to LR neurons, the activation kinetics of } I_{K_{\text{dr}}} \text{ was slower to account for the larger spike width of RS neurons. The kinetics of this current was chosen to account for the spike width differences between the two neuronal subtypes, as delayed rectifier potassium current plays a vital role in controlling the spike width of many central neurons (Erisir et al., 1999). The channel was placed in the somatic and dendritic compartments of the model (see Table S2 for } g_{\text{max}} \text{ values). The channel equations are given below.}

\[ n_{a} = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \exp \left(- \frac{v - \theta_{n}}{\sigma_{n}} \right) \right)} \]  
\text{(Equation 15)}
Equation 18. The AMPAergic burst inputs. These inputs were jittered over a time period of 2 ms and had a spiking probability which was varied from 0.1 to 0.5. The LR and RS neuron models received stimulation from 20 synchronous input spike trains of head direction neurons observed in vivo and the ratio of excitatory-inhibitory (E-I) synaptic input strength (Xue et al., 2014) of neurons in the superficial layers of the cortex. For the LR neuron model, the $g_{\text{max}}$ values of phasic excitatory and inhibitory background inputs were set to 0.2 nS and 1.2 nS, thereby maintaining an E-I ratio seen in experiments (Xue et al., 2014). Similarly, for the RS neuron model, the $g_{\text{max}}$ values of phasic excitatory and inhibitory background inputs were set to 0.6 nS and 3.6 nS, respectively. The LR and RS neuron models have a background firing rate of 0.88 ms and 9.4 ms, respectively (Neymotin et al., 2011). Similar to excitatory background inputs, inhibitory inputs were simulated at a frequency of 5 Hz and reversal potential of −80 mV ($E_{\text{GABA}}$).

$\tau_n = \left(0.087 + \frac{9.4}{1 + \exp \left(\frac{v + 35.6}{9.6}\right)}\right) \times \left(0.087 + \frac{10.4}{1 + \exp \left(-\frac{(v - 1.3)}{18.7}\right)}\right)$ (Equation 16)

where $\theta_n = -20 \text{ mV}$, $\sigma_n = 10.4 \text{ mV}$

$\beta_{\text{rip}} = g_{\text{max}} \times n \times n \times (V_m - E_K)$ (Equation 17)

$K_{\text{r1 current}}$

In order to capture the observed late spiking behavior of layer 2/3 RS neurons of the RSC, $I_d$ was also modeled in the RS neuron model. The channel’s gating mechanisms were modeled using Equations 9, 10, 11, and 12 (Golomb et al., 2007). $I_d$ was distributed only in the somatic compartment of the model (see Table S2 for $g_{\text{max}}$ values).

**Adaptation current**

The spike frequency adaptation in the RS neuron model was modeled using the same schema ($I_{\text{adapt}}$) as described for LR neurons (Equations 13 and 14) (Treves, 1993; Fuhrmann et al., 2002). The following parameters were used for this current: $g_{\text{adapt}} = 800 \text{pS}$ and $\tau_a = 500 \text{ ms}$. In a subset of simulations (Figure 7), the adaptation current in RS cells was explicitly removed to study the contributions of adaptation to RS input-output transformations.

**Synaptic inputs**

The LR and RS neuron models received background and burst synaptic inputs. 40 AMPA synapses and 40 GABA synapses were uniformly distributed throughout the dendritic tree of both neuron models. Each of the background and burst inputs was randomly assigned to one of the AMPA synapses in the dendritic tree of the LR and RS neuron models. The properties of background and burst inputs are described in detail below. Similarly, each of the background GABAergic inputs discussed below was randomly assigned to one of the GABAergic synapses.

**Background inputs**

The LR and RS neuron models received 50 AMPAergic background inputs. The time course of synaptic conductance of these background inputs is given by the following equation (Sterratt et al., 2011; Sudhakar et al., 2019),

$$G(t) = g_{\text{max}} \times S \times \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{\text{decay}}}\right) - 1 - \exp \left(-\frac{t}{\tau_{\text{rise}}}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$ (Equation 18)

where $\tau_{\text{decay}}$ and $\tau_{\text{rise}}$ represent decay and rise time constant, respectively. $g_{\text{max}}$ is the maximal synaptic conductance, and S is a normalization factor that equals the maximum of $G(t)$ to $g_{\text{max}}$. The values of $\tau_{\text{rise}}$ and $\tau_{\text{decay}}$ were 0.5 ms and 2.5 ms, respectively. The AMPAergic background inputs were modeled as Poisson spike trains with a frequency of 5 Hz and reversal potential of 0 mV ($E_{\text{AMPA}}$).

Similarly, phasic GABAergic inputs (50 inputs) were simulated for both models using Equation 18. The $\tau_{\text{rise}}$ and $\tau_{\text{decay}}$ values for these inputs are 0.88 ms and 9.4 ms, respectively (Neymotin et al., 2011). Similar to excitatory background inputs, inhibitory inputs were simulated at a frequency of 5 Hz and reversal potential of −80 mV ($E_{\text{GABA}}$).

The $g_{\text{max}}$ values of the excitatory and inhibitory background inputs were chosen to capture the low background firing rates of pyramidal neurons observed in vivo and the ratio of excitatory-inhibitory (E-I) synaptic input strength (Xue et al., 2014) of neurons in the superficial layers of the cortex. For the LR neuron model, the $g_{\text{max}}$ values of phasic excitatory and inhibitory background inputs were set to 0.2 nS and 1.2 nS, thereby maintaining an E-I ratio seen in experiments (Xue et al., 2014). Similarly, for the RS neuron model, the $g_{\text{max}}$ values of phasic excitatory and inhibitory background inputs were set to 0.6 nS and 3.6 nS, respectively. The LR and RS neuron models have a background firing rate of ~1 Hz (Dégenétails et al., 2002; Koga et al., 2010; Nakamura et al., 2012).

**Burst inputs**

In addition to receiving background synaptic inputs, the models also received synchronous and identical burst inputs of various durations (25 ms, 50 ms, 100 ms, 200 ms, 500 ms, 2000 ms). The LR and RS neuron models received stimulation from 20 synchronous AMPAergic burst inputs. These inputs were jittered over a time period of 2 ms and had a spiking probability which was varied from 0.1 to 1. The jitter and probability were varied across trials. The time course of synaptic conductance of burst inputs were modeled using Equation 18. The $\tau_{\text{decay}}$ and $\tau_{\text{rise}}$ of these inputs was set to 0.5 ms and 2.5 ms, respectively. The strength of burst inputs ($g_{\text{max}}$) were set to 1200 pS for both models. For each burst condition (duration), the models were run for 300 trials.

**In vivo dataset related modeling**

In order to determine if LR and RS neuron models can sustain continuous firing as would be expected from the firing of head direction neurons in the preferred direction during motionless conditions, we stimulated the LR and RS neuron models with input spike trains of neurons recorded from the postsubiculum that had one preferred head-direction angle (head-direction cells) of awake mice (Peyrache et al., 2015). Spike data were downloaded from the website of CRCNS (Peyrache and Buzsaki, 2015) and given as input to the neuron models. Briefly, the LR and RS neuron models were stimulated with 20 synchronous input spike trains of head direction
neurons recorded from postsubiculum. Similar to the 200 Hz input simulations, the in vivo spikes were also jittered (2 ms) and had a spiking probability that was varied from 0.1 to 1. Simulations were run for one entire awake epoch in the th-1 dataset, 1200 s in duration (Peyrache and Buzsaki, 2015). The simulations were repeated for 30 trials each. SNR was calculated according to Equation 19 below. The resulting SNR was binned and plotted as a function of stimulus duration.

**QUANTIFICATION AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS**

**Experimental Analysis and Statistics**

**Neuronal analysis and statistics**

From the whole-cell recordings, the following intrinsic neuronal properties were calculated: resting membrane potential, spike threshold, spike amplitude, spike width, input resistance (Rin), membrane time constant (τm), afterhyperpolarization (AHP) amplitude, AHP latency, spike frequency adaptation ratio, latency to first spike, and rheobase. Resting membrane potential was recorded within 2 min of break-in. Cells with severely depolarized break-in potentials (> −55 mV) were not included in this study.

Spike threshold, amplitude, width, AHP amplitude, and AHP latency were calculated by averaging all spikes in the first sweep of a 600 ms current step protocol that elicited a firing rate of at least 5 Hz. Spike threshold is calculated from the peak of the third derivative of membrane potential (Cruikshank et al., 2012). Spike amplitude was measured as the voltage change from the spike threshold to the peak of the action potential. Spike width was calculated as the full-width at half-max of the spike amplitude. AHP amplitude was calculated as the voltage change from spike threshold to the peak negativity of the AHP, and AHP latency as the time from peak of the spike to peak negativity of the AHP. Input resistance (Rin), membrane time constant (τm), and input capacitance (Cin) were calculated from a series of small negative current steps ranging from −5 pA to −30 pA, creating a deflection in membrane potential of −2 to −4 mV. Rin was calculated using Ohm’s law, as the mean voltage change divided by mean current amplitude. τm was calculated by fitting a single exponential to the average of the initial 60 ms voltage response, ignoring the first 20 ms. Cin was then calculated from those two parameters using the formula τm = Rin × Cin. Spike frequency adaptation ratio was calculated from the first sweep of the 600ms current step protocol that elicited a firing rate of at least 10Hz (6 spikes per 600ms) using the equation ISIlast / ISIfirst. Rheobase was calculated as the minimum current required to elicit at least one action potential. Latency to first spike and rheobase were each calculated from 1 s current pulses increasing in steps of 1–5 pA. Latency to first spike was calculated as the time from the onset of the rheobase current pulse to the first spike.

To visualize this high-dimensional dataset including 10 normalized electrophysiological properties for each recorded cell (input resistance, input capacitance, membrane time constant, rheobase, adaptation ratio, action potential amplitude, action potential width, action potential threshold, AHP amplitude, and AHP latency), we applied Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to find the most informative dimensions of the data. The loadings of each cell onto the first two principal components were plotted to visualize variation in nearly all properties in a dimensionally reduced space. Cells were grouped into three defined groups (Low Rheobase, Fast Spiking, and Regular Spiking) as confirmed by the existence of distinct clusters in principal component space.

Cells which did not fall under the three defined categories were grouped as “unclassified.” This group consists of 10 cells with the following characteristics: 4 cells that had very distinct intrinsic physiology, likely corresponding to other inhibitory subtypes, 3 cells with uncharacteristically broad spike widths >1.6 ms, 2 cells with hybrid LR-like and RS-like characteristics, and 1 cell with FS-like characteristics but with surprisingly broad action potentials.

A two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test was used to compute the statistical significance between the intrinsic properties of various neuronal subtypes. To establish the statistical significance between the probability of E → I and I → E connections, a bootstrap resampling (1000 bootstrap samples) method was used to generate a distribution of connectivity probabilities (Sudhakar et al., 2017). Briefly, a connectivity matrix was generated which consists of a pre-synaptic label (E or I), post-synaptic label (E or I), and an observation (0 or 1) saying whether the pair is connected. This matrix was bootstrapped (n = 1000), and a distribution of E → I and I → E connectivity probabilities was thus formed. Statistical significance was computed using a two-tailed t test (Henseler et al., 2009) with a confidence interval of 95%. Significance in the number of LR neurons in layer 2/3 versus layer 5/6 was established by Pearson chi-square test (Agresti, 2007). The same statistical test was used to establish whether the proportion of LR neurons in layer 2/3 was significantly different from that of RS neurons.

**Connectivity analysis and statistics**

When analyzing connected pairs, latency to onset of an IPSP or EPSP was calculated as the time from the peak of the presynaptic action potential to the onset of the postsynaptic IPSP or EPSP. Latency to peak was calculated as the time from the peak of the presynaptic action potential to the peak of the postsynaptic IPSP or EPSP.

To test if the connectivity between different neuronal populations are significantly different from chance, we randomly shuffled the pre-synaptic, post-synaptic labels and the observation (whether the pair is connected) for 1000 trials. By doing this, we established the distribution of chance probabilities for each connectivity pair. We then utilized one-sample t test (two-tailed, 95% confidence interval) to determine if the experimentally observed connectivity probabilities differed significantly from their chance distributions.

**Morphological analysis and statistics**

For morphological comparisons, cell body surface, number and length of dendrites, and Sholl analysis (at 10 μm intervals) were extracted directly from Neuron Summary, Sholl – dendrites, and Sholl – apical dendrites analysis results in Neurolucida Explorer. Apical
and basal dendrites were added together for all calculations, and data were plotted in MATLAB using custom scripts. Statistical differences were calculated using a two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum test for all morphological comparisons.

**Computational Analysis and Statistics**

The models were simulated using NEURON 7.5 simulation environment (Hines and Carnevale, 2001) with an integration time step of 0.025 ms. Simulation output was written into binary files and analyzed using custom programs written in MATLAB (R2018b) software. Spike threshold, spike half width, input resistance, membrane time constant, and input capacitance of the models were calculated using the same method that was used for experimental data.

Signal to noise ratio (SNR) in response to the burst input was computed by calculating the number of spikes in response to the burst input and comparing it with the background response for the same duration as the burst response. SNR in our study is calculated using the following formula (Duguid et al., 2012),

\[
SNR = \frac{S_{\text{burst}} - S_{\text{back}}}{\sqrt{0.5 \times (\text{Var}_{\text{burst}} + \text{Var}_{\text{back}})}}
\]

(Equation 19)

\(S_{\text{burst}}\) is the average number of spikes in ‘x’ ms post burst onset, where x = burst duration+125 ms. \(S_{\text{back}}\) is the average number spikes per ‘x’ ms from 4000 ms to 8000 ms post burst onset. \(\text{Var}_{\text{burst}}\) and \(\text{Var}_{\text{back}}\) are the corresponding variances of the number of spikes during those two time intervals.

The spike timing precision (jitter) in response to the burst input was computed by calculating the median absolute deviation of spike latencies from all trials. Bootstrapped resampling was then used to compute a distribution of jitter values, and significance between the jitter of LR and RS neurons was established by 2-tailed t test (95% confidence interval) (Sudhakar et al., 2015). Significance in the probability of spiking between LR and RS neurons was established by Pearson chi-square test (Agresti, 2007) (95% confidence interval).

For simulations related to Figure S4, location dependence of different synaptic inputs along the dendritic tree of LR and RS neuron models was eliminated using the following equation,

\[
g_{\text{max,scaled}} = g_{\text{max}} + (g_{\text{max}} \times \text{dist} \times m)
\]

(Equation 20)

where the value of \(g_{\text{max}}\) is 1200 pS as mentioned before, and ‘dist’ is the Euclidean distance between the location of the synaptic input on the dendritic tree and the model’s somatic location. The scaling factor, ‘m,’ was tuned until the location dependence of synaptic input was removed/normalized.

**DATA AND CODE AVAILABILITY**

The NEURON models/code describing the LR and RS neuron models used in this study have been uploaded to ModelDB (https://modeldb.yale.edu/260192). The experimental datasets generated in this study are available upon reasonable request to the corresponding author.
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Figure S1. Low Rheobase cells are consistent across age, sex, and long-axis of the RSG. Related to Figure 1 and Table 1.

A. Scatterplots of the three cell types plotted as a function of spike width and input capacitance across different age groups. Left panel, Postnatal days 21-26 (FS: n = 9; RS: n = 8; LR: n = 24). Middle panel, Postnatal days 27-31 (FS: n = 13; RS: n = 9; LR: n = 45). Right panel, Postnatal days 60-65 (LR: n = 3).

B. Similar to A, now plotted across distinct anterior-posterior sections of the RSG. Left panel, -1.00 to -1.74 mm from bregma (FS: n = 9; RS: n = 7; LR: n = 29). Middle panel, -1.75 to -2.49 mm from bregma (FS: n = 9; RS: n = 9; LR: n = 26). Right panel, -2.5 to -3.25 mm from bregma (FS: n = 4; RS: n = 1; LR: n = 17).

C. Similar to A, but now plotted across sex. All three cell types exist and cluster consistently in both male (FS: n = 13; RS: n = 11; LR: n = 34) and female (FS: n = 9; RS: n = 6; LR: n = 38) mice. Cell numbers in each panel reflect neurons which had both the 600 ms current steps protocol and small negative current steps protocol run (see Methods).
Figure S2. SNR of the RS and LR neuron models to inputs of various durations when the background firing frequency is increased to 10 and 20 Hz. Related to Figure 7.

A. SNR of the RS and LR neuron models to 200 Hz input of varying durations when background firing frequency is 10 Hz.

B. Same as A with background firing frequency increased to 20 Hz.
Figure S3. Response of the RS and LR neuron models to *in vivo* like spike trains. Related to Figure 7.

A. ISI distribution of *in vivo* spike trains showing peak firing rate at ~100 Hz.

B. Distribution of spike latencies of the RS and LR neuron models when stimulated with 100 Hz spike trains for 2 seconds.

C. Spike timing precision of the RS and LR neuron models in response to each spike of the burst input (**p<0.001; two-tailed t-test).

D. Spike probability of the two models to each individual spike within the burst input (**p<0.001, *p<0.05; Pearson chi-square test).
Figure S4. SNR of the RS and LR neuron models when location dependence of dendritic synaptic inputs was removed. Related to Figure 7.

A. SNR of the RS and LR neuron models in response to 200 Hz burst input of varying durations. Synaptic inputs were normalized to get rid of the dendritic location dependence. LR neurons have superior SNR compared to RS neurons. The difference in SNR increases with stimulus duration due to the spike frequency adaptation of RS neurons (inset).

B. Same as A, when both RS and LR neuron models were stimulated with realistic in vivo spike trains from the postsubiculum head-direction cell as shown in Fig. 7I. Therefore, even when dendritic location dependence of synaptic inputs was eliminated, the LR neuron model is characterized by superior SNR compared to the RS neuron model.
Table S1. Low Rheobase (LR) neuron properties are consistent across age, AP, and sex. Related to Figure 1, Table 1, and Figure S1.

Key intrinsic properties calculated for LR neurons grouped by age, AP, and sex.
Table S2. Model parameters. Related to Figures 6 & 7 and STAR Methods.

The table lists the values of various model parameters and distribution of ion channel conductances in the somatic and dendritic compartments of the LR and RS neuron models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter Description</th>
<th>RS neuron model Soma</th>
<th>RS neuron model Dendrites</th>
<th>LR neuron model Soma</th>
<th>LR neuron model Dendrites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Specific membrane resistance (kΩcm²)</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>14.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific membrane capacitance (µF/cm²)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane time constant</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>14.29</td>
<td>14.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial resistivity (Ω-cm)</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{Na} g_{max}$ (S/ cm²)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{Kdr} g_{max}$ (S/ cm²)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_d g_{max}$ (S/ cm²)</td>
<td>0.0125</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$I_{adap}, g_{adap}$ (pS)</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>