Daily Storrs to Farmington shuttle discontinued

Natalie Sulbeman
CAMPUS CORRESPONDENT

UCONN transportation has discontinued shuttle services between the Storrs and Farmington campuses as of May 5.

“During summer, UConn parking and transportation services determined that ridership on the Storrs-Farmington shuttle was too low to justify its expense,” Caroline McGuire, director of Undergraduate Research, said.

The shuttle service will now offer a two-day shuttle service, covered by the office of the Provost, McGuire said.

“Offering two-day service rather than five-day service will allow students an academic year,” McGuire said.

For the first three weeks, only students part of the Office of Undergraduate Research’s Health Research Program could use the shuttle.

“Now that we have established that there is some unused capacity of the shuttle, we are seeking to add students to the list of authorized riders,” McGuire said.

Students with other commitments at UConn Health can sign up for the shuttle service.

“Students interested in using the shuttle service can complete the survey online to indicate which shuttle runs they wish to use and how frequently they plan to use the services,” McGuire said.

“The shuttle runs can carry 14 passengers on the minibus used for the service. “If passengers are in the maximum for any shuttle run, which are from Storrs to Farmington or Farmington to Storrs, these times each per day,” McGuire said.

If the shuttle buses are full, another option for students is to ride CTtransit using a U-Pass.

“If shuttle capacity is exceeded, CTtransit is available between the campuses, but those itineraries do take longer because they are less direct,” McGuire said.

The shuttle service will now offer a two-day shuttle service, covered by the Office of the Provost.

ConnPIRG hosts tabling event, campaigns to reduce textbook spending

Amid skyrocketing textbook prices, members of UConnPIRG, University of Connecticut’s chapter of the Public Interest Research Group, are working to convince the university to take measures to reduce student spending on essential course materials.
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In a Facebook post on Sept. 10 in Buy or Sell UConn Tickets, Richard Maloney listed Storrs’ Pub 32 up for sale due to 2020.

The restaurant and bar, located off Main Street Road, is famously a “biker bar,” according to University of Connecticut students, and has been a staple of the community under its current ownership for the past 10 years.

Real estate broker Richard Maloney listed Pub 32 through Facebook, saying the owner wants to ensure "there is continuity." The current owner appreciates the support he has seen in the community, Maloney said. “A good local business can succeed. We want to see more local businesses do well.”

Richard Maloney is lists Storrs’ Pub 32 up for sale due to 2020. Photograph courtesy of Facebook.

A “good local business can succeed,” Maloney said, “and we want to see more local businesses do well.”

Maloney supported the notion that Pub 32, in large part, serves students. “This community is very important,” Maloney said. “We want to see more local businesses do well.”

Maloney supported the notion that Pub 32, in large part, serves students. “What we want to see happen is a more secure future,” Maloney said. “I want to see more local businesses do well.”

“Continuity is important,” Maloney said. “We want to see more local businesses do well.”
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From Text to Tablet: The LSAT goes digital

Grace McFadden | Contributing Writer

Beginning Sept. 15, the LSAT will be administered entirely digitally. This is a landmark moment for the test, which has been administered in a written format in the US since its beginnings in 1948.

The Law School Admissions Council (LSAC), the body which administers the LSAT, cited a myriad of reasons for the transition. For one, the test, held at different schools across the US, typically the last of the five to six weeks of the fall semester, has been traditionally proctored online through the use of webcam and microphone technology. Widening this technology use means the test can be taken online by students, which will also take less time—because of the test from his high school and dates is increasing from six per year, reducing it to two in 2019.

Belisle, a gay teenager growing up in a devout Catholic community and I remained under the radar and was the safest decision — they sexuality. So, he and other young people that promoted and supported LGBTQ rights, Belisle still felt that promoted and supported LGBTQ rights, Belisle still felt from college, Belisle moved to Manhattan and found a more gay man he married.

But he’ll never forget what it was like to grow up with parents who had never encountered the LGBTQ community. That memory is the primary reason he decided to support and promote LGBTQ rights.

“I wish I had something like this when I was young,” he said. “I had no resources, nothing. This is so important for today’s kids.”

Belisle founded a facilitation group called Lighthouse, with licensed clinical social worker Debbie Katz. Lighthouse holds the meetings for LGBTQ youth and provides a safe space to discuss anything on their minds. As an adult facilitator, Belisle and Katz refrain from offering advice or solutions, rather they listen.

“Essentially I am a facilitator. We let them be with each other and see others like them.”

Last Thursday night, six teen boys, permanent facilitators, sat alongside Belisle, a small group for the youth, which usually draws large numbers of teenagers.

The conversation was informal, with some members whispering among themselves in side conversations and conversing while sharing experiences of the week.

While rights for LGBTQ people have expanded significantly in recent years, the group said the law has a long way to go.

At 6, Sun Umphrey joined a group of friends to take a farewell selfie, per some of the members who had their homes.
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The conversation was informal, with some members whispering among themselves in side conversations and conversing while sharing experiences of the week.

While rights for LGBTQ people have expanded significantly in recent years, the group said the law has a long way to go.
UConn is fighting the environmental crisis with knowledge

Kevin Catapano

One thing is very clear following the Democratic presidential debates in Iowa last week. Our leaders need to be more interested in voting for the nominees who will actually do something about the environmental crisis that will actually help students. The opposition—and even thedebates—show that primary candidates are proving more willing to hedge than in the past to improve the world by proposing practical solutions. The real and unknown consequences of what has been done and will continue to be done that is most prominent example of this failure to actually understand the world is that it is not currently under sufficient educational healthcare. The current view to these candidats, it’s obvious that Canada has implemented something remarkably transcendent. A healthcare system in which medical professionals work around the clock for five patients, all patients receive adequate treatment immediately and a finite quantity of resources is capable of ending an infinite number of demands. Profitably, the disastrous price of medical professionals by our leaders does not correlate with the evidence.

The average wait time to see a specialist is unreasonable for a practitioner in Canada is 20 weeks. In order to avoid such long wait times, 235,200 Canadian ‘medical tourismists’ travel to the U.S. last year to receive treatment. Why keep fees so high? This is from the consequences of risky operations conditions resulting from the wait time. The waiting time in line costs more—$3724 CND per capita but last $418 Million CND in total. Additionally, the Canada healthcare system suffers from the lack of spectrum per million people. America has a much bigger healthcare system but in 2018, Canada could not provide more than half of the spectrum per million people. Canada system does much more than merely improve the quality of life for every 4000 Canadians.

It’s incredible how the healthcare system fails in more than just one way. Both Americans and Canadians should be aware of how the world is suffering. We are lucky enough to have external healthcare. Professors, for the last year, we have not been able to understand the world in which we live in.

The truth is that the environment is at risk, and it is everyone’s job to behave the world has a population has been exhibiting. If students now in the future are more educated about this destruction, perhaps there will be a higher chance that these issues will diminish, if not resolve completely.

Some of these classes include, but are not limited to, Environmental Conservation, Global Sustainable Natural Resources, Toxic Chemicals and Health, Valuing the Environment and many more that teach students about the environment and the world around them.

There are many things that threatens the world among us — global warming, oil pipelines and water crises are just three things that have been in the world for centuries and during our lifetimes. Especially because the U.S. withdrew from the Paris Agreement, it is more important now than ever to address the changing climate and the threats to the environment.

Having a required environmental literacy class will allow students to find their own passions, and inspire them to fight for the environment.

UConn is fighting the environmental crisis with knowledge

Kevin Catapano
The award-winning documentary revolves around Surayia Raham, an Indian-born artist who moved to Bangladesh after the partition of India. Raham, who wanted to be a painter, took up kantha, the technique of embroidering quilts. Through this process, Raham was able to teach and employ impoverished women in Bangladesh and positively affect their lives.

The documentary shows some of the women Raham trained who were able to support their families and put their children through school with their embroidery sales. Many of the women were given the opportunity to bridge these intersecting worlds, Cox said. “Not only will her talk focus on specific individuals and places she has interviewed and known, but she will also bring her understanding of our shared humanity in which we can come together and provide support to those who desperately need it.”

DURING SUICIDE PREVENTION WEEK

BETH MACY TO SPEAK AT JORGENSEN

Journalist and author Beth Macy is going to be speaking at the Jorgensen Center for the Performing Arts on Thursday, Sept. 26. Macy’s lecture will explore the relationship between mental health and addiction through the stories of people she has interviewed in her work. The lecture is hosted by SUBOG and the UConn Suicide Prevention Committee.

Macy’s lecture is one of many events that will take place during Suicide Prevention Week. Every year, according to Assistant Director, Director of Outreach in Mental Health Erin Cox, the UConn Suicide Prevention Committee hopes to bring a speaker to campus for Suicide Prevention Week who has a personal story or professional knowledge on the topic of mental health and suicide prevention. In the past few years, the committee has hoped to raise more awareness on how mental health and addiction often go hand in hand.

“When Beth Macy came to our attention (courtesy of Professor Mike Stanton) we knew her groundbreaking work on opioid epidemic and how it has been accepted the norm of overprescribing painkillers in the 90s has touched many people she has interviewed,” Cox said. “Not only will her talk focus on specific individuals and places she has interviewed and known, but she will also bring her understanding of our shared humanity in which we can come together and provide support to those who desperately need it.”

The film also goes into describing painkillers in the 90s were now the equivalent of a “normal” prescription which we can come together and provide support to those who desperately need it.”

The documentary shows some of the women Raham trained and how they were able to support their families and put their children through school with their embroidery sales. Many of the women were given free clothes to travel to work, but chose to walk miles to use the extra money to feed their families.

The film also goes into detail about the copyright battle Raham went through. The nonprofit organization that helped her get started wanted to copy her and retain her designs. Raham went on create Arshi, which is Bengali for mirror, in order to continue to create new designs.

After the screening, Stevulak and Macy discussed how the project came to fruition and some of the hardships of making the documentary.

Macy is the author of the award-winning documentary, “Addicted America.” The book delves into America’s opioid crisis and how it has been woven in our nation’s history for over two decades. According to Macy’s book, “Opioid deaths are now the equivalent of a jillion crashes in our country, and yet the government response to the epidemic remains, in a word, ‘impotent.’” Macy analyzes this issue through her wide array of research, whether it’s from the perspectives of doctors who accepted the norm of over-prescribing painkillers in the 90s or the stories of families across the country who have been torn apart from opioid addiction. Through books, court cases and true stories, Macy builds up for a better future for those affected.
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I recently joined a service that provides education for addresses for people who have been found to be serving in prison. The service is called "The AIS" and it is based in San Diego, CA. The service provides education and support to prisoners who are working toward their release. The service is run by a non-profit organization called "The AIS" and it is funded by private donations.

I was impressed by the work that The AIS does, and I decided to volunteer with the organization. I have been volunteering with The AIS for a few months now, and I have found it to be a rewarding experience.

The AIS provides education and support to prisoners who are working toward their release. The organization offers a variety of services, including tutoring, job training, and life skills training. The service is run by a non-profit organization called "The AIS" and it is funded by private donations.
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Check your ride, every time.

Always check your ride before getting in:

1. License plate
2. Car make & model
3. Driver photo
Opinions regarding current issues should be shaped in the context of critical and deliberate consideration of the issue in question. Administrators and faculty often share their opinion in a way that promotes debate and discussion. It is important to form and listen to opinions from both sides present before choosing a side. Doing this will not only allow a more holistic and informed opinion for open-minded discussion between the two sides in this case, supporters of Israel and supporters of Palestine.

Recently, Benjamin Netanyahu made an announcement to keep the West Bank under Israeli control, which caused a great deal of anger and violent reaction, both within Palestine and its supporters. This announcement has reinvigorated tensions between the two groups - Pro-Israel and Pro-Palestine, so it seems fit to discuss why this tension occurs and how to overcome it.

To even begin having conversations that lead to the mending of conflicts, we need to learn how to form and listen to opinions in a constructive manner, rather than simply choosing a side and taking part in this political combat between two opposing views. This way of thinking and joining political activism is destructive and prove to division and conflict. The more tension grows, the more extremest, harmful dangerous speech and violent acts of hate are seen in the news. At the University of Connecticut, for instance, we have people who hold conflictive views on this issue, and it is important to reduce such hate speech and bigotry between students and on campus in general in order to create a safe platform where students feel free to hold any views they wish. Consequently, this news and other news that can affect students' conflicting views should be a wake up call for all of us to further acquaint ourselves with this issue before forming an opinion or choosing a side in political conflicts. Participating in political conversation is important because many people want to argue and advocate their opinions on given issues. However, in doing so it is vital to stay above hate speech and hateful arguments, even when an opponent makes an offensive comment or argument. We must refrain from that and stay above hate speech and hateful arguments. We must be a wakeup call for all of us to further acquaint ourselves with this issue before forming an opinion or choosing a side in political conflicts.
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People should have a right to work while NCAA-endorsed. People, for instance, cannot start a business-related college that would be able to keep the university's results in good condition, especially if they are human. If you're thinking, some may not be able to pay the tuition, so making videos with professional athletes can make a living wage.

Thank you for this, one important issue that's not being swept under the rug — how had the referees got it? What are you thinking, something that is public knowledge. As a result, he lost his place. Ronaldo will look to silence a rowdy Wanda Metropolitano and take a positive step towards Champions League glory in Italy. Lucia might get it right. The referees blew the play dead, calling it an incompletion. It shouldn't have been that close. The Bears should have known better.
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Fantasy Football Week 3
Trade and waiver wire targets

---

ポイントの設定を変更したら、リアルタイムでポイントが計算されます。
Point/Counterpoint: Will Rafa pass Federer in Grand Slams?

Nicholas Martin
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When Rafael Nadal emerged onto the tennis scene after his win at Roland Garros in 2005, little known to the world, no one predicted that he would have gone on to become one of the most decorated players of our time. Moreno, no one predicted that Novak Djokovic would join Nadal in the ranks of the top two. With the dawn of a new decade in tennis, the balance of power seems to have shifted a bit. But the two players are getting closer and closer, and still have so much more to prove as the new decade unfolds.

As the decade unfolds, it’s hard not to think about the two players who have dominated tennis for the last 10 years. Nadal, a 19-year-old phenom who entered Roland Garros as a qualifier, became the youngest man in history to win a Grand Slam, defeating Andy Roddick in five sets. He went on to win another two Grand Slams that year, including the US Open.

Nadal’s first major came at 19, when he beat Roger Federer in the final of the French Open. At 20, he beat Swiss star Roger Federer in the US Open final. He went on to win the French Open again at 21, becoming the first male player since Bjorn Borg to win the French Open in three straight years.

Nadal’s second Grand Slam win came in 2009, when he defeated his fellow Spaniard David Ferrer to win his second French Open. He also won the US Open that year, becoming the first man to win all four Slam titles in a year. Nadal went on to win the French Open again in 2010, 2011, and 2012, becoming the first man to win the French Open five times in a row.

As of the end of 2022, Nadal has won 21 Grand Slam titles, while Federer has won 20. However, the two players have had their fair share of injuries and setbacks over the years. Nadal has suffered a series of left foot injuries, including a severe tendonitis in his left foot that required surgery. Federer has had a string of injuries as well, including a knee injury that required surgery.

As of now, Nadal is the world’s No. 1 player, having won the French Open for the 14th time, tying Serena Williams’ record of 23 Grand Slam titles. Federer is currently ranked No. 5. But the two players are still in the thick of the Grand Slam chase, with Nadal having won the Australian Open and the French Open in 2022, and Federer having won the US Open and the Australian Open in 2021.

As we look ahead to the 2023 season, there are a few things that we can expect to happen. First, Nadal will be looking to win his 13th French Open title, while Federer will be aiming for his 21st Grand Slam title. If Nadal wins the French Open, he will have won the title at Roland Garros 13 times, the most of any player in history.

As of now, Nadal’s body is showing signs of wear and tear, with injuries to his left foot and his right hand leading to his absence from the Australian Open. But Federer has been playing well, winning the US Open last year and the Australian Open this year. With a few good months of training, he could be a very strong contender for the Grand Slam titles.
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Men's Soccer: Huskies get themselves back in the W column with win over Manhattan

By Thanasis Stavrakas

UConn (3-3-0) was quick out of the gate in this match, taking only seven minutes to find its first goal of the game via star forward Jordan Hall. After a nice feed from Dayonn Harris and a quick burst of speed from Hall, the Englishman found himself one-on-one with Manhattan's goalkeeper, Hall kept his composure and slotted the ball towards the far post and into the back of the net to open the scoring for UConn.

“We've gotta slow down and dictate the tempo of the match,” said Hall.

For now, UConn is simply happy to be back on the winning side of things and will look to carry this momentum into their next game against Columbia University this Friday.

Harris talked about how much he appreciates the ‘Huskies’ aggressiveness increase right off the bat, searching desperately for that equalizing goal. UConn’s backline, especially Robin Lapert and Jokic Dengler had other plans. They’re extremely well together, Lapert’s feet with acres of space in front of him. The defender sent a brilliant through ball in front of him. The defender sent a brilliant through ball to midfielder Cole Venner and he did the rest, faking out two defenders in the box before placing the ball past the keeper for his first goal of the season.

Venner spoke about his mindset when he has the ball in open space with the goal in sight. “It’s really just being calm even though I’m right in front of the goal because if I panic, I’m not gonna score, I’m gonna miss the target,” Venner said.

Venner’s goal put UConn up 2-0, a lead they would not let go of for the remainder of the contest. Although the Huskies walked away with the win, Reid saw some things that could be improved upon if they want to turn this win into a hot streak.
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