The oldest trick in the activist book is the pretense to being pure and objective. But Climate Central’s directors and donors have ties to activist groups with their own agendas. Readers should have the opportunity to conclude for themselves. A journalist’s job is to present them with all the facts and perspectives. What arguments did they make specifically, and where did they fail? Readers deserve to know.

In our debunking of your flawed report, we cited the peer-reviewed literature, a letter from 100+ forest scientists, the US Forest Service, the EPA, and the UN IPCC. How is that for scientists and qualified independent experts?

Econ101 on Biomass101. See our full response for why this should be painfully obvious.

Saying you’ve debunked something doesn’t make it so. Show your work!