

# Hart House Debating Club Bylaws



Updated October 2015 by the 2015/2016 Executive



## Preface

1. These bylaws shall govern the day-to-day running of the Hart House Debating Club, as well as the mechanics of the policy by which the HHDC will allocate funding for tournament attendance to those individuals whose participation will further the aims of the club, subject to the Constitution
2. The Executive shall review the bylaws at the beginning of the academic year, and after the Annual General Meeting. Any amendment shall require a majority of fifty per cent (50%) plus one of the Executive to pass

## Contents

- I. Definitions
- II. Eligibility for Funding
- III. Duties of the Executive
- IV. Duties of the Executive Prior to Tournament Registration
- V. Tournament Registration & Selection Procedure
- VI. Points Allocation
- VII. Competitive Points
- VIII. Service Points
- IX. Tournament Qualifiers



## I. Definitions

Competitive Points: points acquired at tournaments sanctioned by Canadian University Society for Intercollegiate Debate (CUSID), American Parliamentary Debating Association (APDA), World University Debating Council, and other national and international tournaments at the discretion of the executive

Individual Point Total: Points, both competitive and service, are calculated by summing the number of points earned over the past four semesters of debating experience. The debater's current semester does not count

Novice: To remain consistent, the HHDC uses the same definition as that used by the Canadian University Society for Intercollegiate Debate (CUSID)

- An individual shall be excluded from claiming novice status if the individual:
  - Has competed in the debating portion of three or more post-secondary level debating tournaments hosted by a CUSID member in any previous year, or
  - Has competed in the debating portion of three or more post-secondary level debating tournaments recognized by the American Parliamentary Debate Association, National Parliamentary Association, World Universities Debating Council, or any other national or international debating organization recognized by the Executive. Such participation shall have taken place in any previous year
- Furthermore, to be excluded from claiming novice status, the individual must have been a registered post-secondary student, or was representing a post-secondary institution or a CUSID member at the time of their participation

Pro: All members excluded from claiming novice status

Second-year: A debater who has completed one full year of university debating and is now in their second year of university debating.

Semester/Term: For the purpose of calculating point totals is defined around the Fall and Winter Semesters as defined by the University of Toronto—Faculty of Arts and Sciences, with a semester considered ended at the beginning of the following semester (i.e. World Debating Championships is now counted as part of Fall Semester)

Service Points: Service points are awarded for activities that serve the non-competitive aims of the club

Team Point Total: The sum of the individual point totals for both members of the team

Travelling Member Status: See "II. Eligibility For Funding"



## II. Eligibility for Funding

To be eligible for funding, one must be a **Travelling Member**. To be considered a travelling member, one must:

1. Purchase a Club membership for the current academic year
2. Meet attendance requirements as stipulated in the bylaws
  - a) Participate (is defined as debating, speaking, timekeeping or watching a round in its entirety) in a minimum of three informal of the past eight meetings, as of the week immediately prior to the tournament they wish to attend
  - b) For tournaments requiring a qualifier, the attendance requirement applies both to the Qualifying Tournament and the Tournament itself
3. Fulfill service requirements as follows
  - a) Judge at least four rounds at the Hart House Invitational, or fulfill some other important function
  - b) Judge at least four rounds at the Hart House High School Tournament or fulfill some other important function
  - c) Participate in Novice Training Day by judging, giving seminars, or acting in another important organizational capacity

In the event that a member is unable to fulfill any of these requirements, the Executive may determine a suitable alternate form of service. It is the responsibility of that member to request alternate service in enough time for reasonable consideration by the executive. For any assessment falling before the judging requirements can be fulfilled, member must be informed of and held to their future obligations.



### III. Duties of the Executive

The Executive exists to ensure the smooth running of the Debating Club, to the benefit of all members. The duties have been allocated to Executive members accordingly; should it become necessary to re- distribute responsibilities, this should be borne in mind.

#### 1. The Secretary (President) shall

- a) Be responsible for the Club's external relations with Hart House and other campus organizations;
- b) Be responsible for relations with the Canadian University Society for Intercollegiate Debate (CUSID), ensuring attendance and voting at CUSID meetings;
- c) Set Executive meetings' dates, times, and agendas in consultation with the Chair and Programme Advisor;
- d) Jointly with the Treasurer prepare, submit, and defend the Club budget at the Committee on Budgets' annual review;
- e) Foster communication among members of the Executive between meetings;
- f) Make short-term policy decisions between executive meetings, except for financial decisions resulting in unplanned expenditure of more than \$250 per person, and submit receipts to the treasurer for any expenditures resulting from those decisions;
- g) Be responsible for monitoring and promoting ongoing debating education in the club;
  - i) At his or her discretion, delegate responsibility for the keeping of the minutes to a Recording Secretary, to be selected by the entire Executive
  - ii) At his or her discretion, and through consultations with the Executive, delegate responsibility for maintaining the list of club members' points to a Points System Coordinator; and
  - iii) At his or her discretion, and through consultations with the Executive, appoint an Accessibility Officer to act as a liaison for accessibility and equity issues within the club

#### 2. The Treasurer shall

- a) Produce receipts for major and material Club expenditures, and submit them to the Programme Advisor for approval;
- b) Arrange with the External Tournaments Coordinator the preparation of cheques to pay for all tournament expenses
- c) Review, with the Programme Advisor, all requests for reimbursement submitted by Club members;
- d) Review the monthly statements prepared by the Hart House accounting department, advising the Executive of the Club's actual financial resources; and
- e) Jointly with the Secretary prepare, submit, and defend the Club budget at the Committee on Budgets' annual review

#### 3. The External Tournaments Coordinator shall

- a) Arrange with the Treasurer for the registration of and payment for all Hart House teams and required judges at all tournaments excluding the World Universities Debating Championship, and submit receipts for all expenses to the treasurer;
- b) Ensure that arrangements for accommodation for and transportation to all tournaments be made in a timely and cost-effective manner; and
- c) Ensure that sign-up sheets for regular tournaments be posted well in advance of the tournament, that they be posted with adequate general notice, that they be maintained in a fair and equitable manner, including through regular consultation with the Points System Coordinator to determine the eligibility of teams, and that a record of sign up sheets is given to the program advisor



4. The Internal Tournaments Coordinator shall
  - a) Organize qualifiers for tournaments that require them;
  - b) Ensure that sign-up sheets for qualifying tournaments be posted well in advance of the tournament, that they be posted with adequate general notice, and that they be maintained in a fair and equitable manner
  
  - c) Submit a ranked list of all the teams in qualifiers to the External Tournaments Coordinator as soon as possible following the qualifier;
  - d) Organize the end-of-term tournaments on the last day of each term; and
  - e) In the event that the ITC wishes to participate in a qualifier, he or she shall make all necessary logistical arrangements, and then appoint a designate to run the actual event
  
5. The Tuesday Meetings Coordinator shall
  - a) Arrange and lead the weekly Tuesday night meetings, ensuring that sufficient rooms are booked, and that all members in attendance feel welcome;
  - b) Be responsible for arranging seminars within their regular meeting time;
  - c) Keep accurate records of attendance;
  - d) Assist the Communications Director in maintaining an up-to-date membership list, and in disseminating important information to all Club members in a timely way; and
  - e) Assist in reviewing the eligibility of members who have signed up for tournaments
  
6. The Monday Meetings Coordinator shall
  - a) Arrange and lead the weekly Monday afternoon meetings, ensuring that sufficient rooms are booked, and that all members in attendance feel welcome;
  - b) Be responsible for arranging seminars within their regular meeting time;
  - c) Keep accurate records of attendance;
  - d) Assist the Communications Director in maintaining an up-to-date membership list, and in disseminating important information to all Club members in a timely way; and
  - e) Assist in reviewing the eligibility of members who have signed up for tournaments
  
7. The Communications Director shall
  - a) Regularly update all Club members of upcoming events, including—but not limited to—tournaments and elections; and
  - b) Prepare promotional materials for recruitment and fundraising, and submit receipts to the treasurer for expenses stemming from such; and
  - c) Maintain the club website, facebook, and twitter
  
8. The Outreach Director shall
  - a) Coordinate outreach opportunities with community groups and schools who request it
  - b) recruit debate members to attend outreach sessions; and
  - c) Keep a detailed list of attendance and provide it to the Points System Coordinator for use in tournament selection
  
9. The Socials Director shall
  - a) Coordinate at least 2 socials per semester (Novice Training Day, End of Semester, Beginning of Semester, End of Year)
  - b) Organize payment for socials through the Treasurer
  - c) Give notice of date and location of the social to the club membership
  
10. The Novice Members-at Large shall jointly
  - a) Represent the interests of novice members of the Club;
  - b) Organize the Annual General Meeting;
  - c) Assist the meeting coordinators in welcoming new members to the Club



d) Novice Members-at Large must attend two out of every four meetings a month for the meeting day (Monday or Tuesday) for which they were elected. Failure to do so may result in removal from the position.

11. The Points System Coordinator (Non voting) shall

- a) in conjunction with all relevant executive members, keep a detailed list of the competitive points of all active club members
- b) Provide this list in time for qualifiers and tournament selection
- c) Provide a list of competitive point totals to the Communications Director to place on the website with names redacted

12. The Recording Secretary shall

- a) Keep minutes at every executive meeting and AGM and, in the event that he/she cannot attend, arrange a replacement from the sitting executive
- b) Send the minutes in a timely manner to the President for circulation

13. The Accessibility Officer (Non voting) shall

- a) Hear concerns of accessibility financial and otherwise and work toward solutions with the program coordinator and, if appropriate, the rest of the executive, while maintaining strict confidentiality with the student(s) it concerns



#### IV. Duties of the Executive Prior to Tournament Registration

The Executive MUST:

1. Regular Tournaments
  - a) Determine the number of teams and judges (“the Limit”) to be sent in accordance with the budget, the level of interest expressed in the club, and any judging requirements imposed by the tournament rules
  - b) Decide upon the number of spots, if any, to be “protected” for Novice teams and Random Draw; this should be decided at the same time as the Limit
  - c) Choose a date on which Sign-Up will begin and make it widely known to the club membership
  - d) In addition to general executive responsibilities, the External Tournament Coordinator must
    - i) Ensure that the sign-up sheet be posted not less than one week in advance of the tournament, and be managed in an open and equitable manner;
    - ii) Ensure that all debaters and judges provide emergency contact information and;
    - iii) Notify debaters of their acceptance at least 3 days before departure
2. Tournaments Requiring a Qualifier
  - a) The Internal Tournaments Coordinator must organize a qualifying tournament consisting of enough rounds to be meaningful, and advise all participants in advance of the number of rounds;
  - b) Ensure that a sufficient number of qualified, impartial judges be enlisted to judge at the qualifier; and
  - c) After the qualifier, provide the ETC with a ranked list of all participants



## V. Registration and Selection Procedure

1. All Club members who wish to compete at a tournament must sign up on the official list, subject to the following regulations:

- a) Should the individual be a member of a team
  - i) Both members of the team must give informed consent that they are to be signed up;
  - ii) The full tournament fee for both team members must be paid before departure;
  - iii) Both team members must be Traveling Members; and
- b) Members must indicate if they are signing up as an unattached individual. Individuals in this category agree to be randomly paired up with another unattached individual
- c) Members may also sign up as a "Debater/Judge." If these individuals are not selected as debaters, they shall enter the judges selection process
- d) For their first two tournaments, debaters will pay \$35. After that, the price shall rise to \$65. The price for judges will always be \$35

### 2. Protection Mechanisms

*Eligibility for protected spots does not negate one's ability to qualify through other means. Rather, these spots are available to afford the participation of those whom the club deems especially important. Novice Protection is a means to ensure that novices are given the opportunity to go to tournaments*

- a) Novice Protection
  - i) The club executive may decide to set aside some number of attendance spots exclusively for novice teams, creating a "Novice Limit"
  - ii) At the close of Primary Registration, the number of Novice Teams signed up will be checked against the Novice Limit
  - iii) If the number of Novice Teams signed up is less than or equal to the Novice Limit, all those teams are selected
  - iv) Otherwise, a random draw will determine which team(s) will be given protected novice spots
  - v) Novice teams not selected for Protected Novice Spots will be included in the primary and secondary signup process described above
  - vi) No individual novice may take more than three funded novice spots in the 1st semester of debate. This rule will apply in all cases except when no other debaters who have attended fewer than three tournaments bid for a tournament.
- b) Funding Allocation Based on Team Point Totals
  - i) If at the close of the Sign-Up period, a number of teams less than or equal to the Limit are signed up, then all registered teams are selected. Otherwise, teams will be ranked in descending order of Team Point Total
  - ii) If a tie occurs during ranking, tied teams will be ranked as follows
    - Novice Team
    - Pro-Am
    - Randomly
  - iii) After the teams are ranked, and protected spots assigned, the team with the highest point total is selected to receive funding and removed from the list. This process is repeated until the number of teams selected is equal to the limit
  - iv) All remaining team are placed on the Waiting List in their current ranked order
- c) Judge Selection
  - i) The Debating Club participates at external tournaments to encourage competitive debate. As such, the Executive will not pay for judges to attend tournaments unless there is a requirement to do so imposed by the tournament organizers
  - ii) In the event that there are an excess number of judges the ETC and President will select judges. If the tournament in question is a qualifier-based tournament, a sub-



committee of the Executive shall select which judges to send based on the following criteria (in order of importance):

-Experience judging in the relevant style

-Service performed for the Club

-Benefit that will accrue to the club in sending each judge

iii) The judge selection committee shall be composed of an odd number of executive members, and have no fewer than three members. No Executive member who will be attending the tournament may sit on this sub-committee

iv) In the event that too many members of the Executive are ruled out, other members of the club may be called upon to sit on the subcommittee

d) Second Year Protection

i) The Executive of each year must designate three funded tournament spots for dual 2<sup>nd</sup> year debater teams (those in their second year of university debating) at the beginning of the debating season.

ii) If two or more second year teams bid for the same protected spot, the decision on who will take that spot will be based on competitive points (see Section VII).

iii) If a second year team qualifies, based on competitive points/qualifier, to go to a tournament without the protected spot, the 2<sup>nd</sup> year protected spot will not be used and will go down to the next available 2<sup>nd</sup> year team.

e) The Waiting List

i) Should one member of any team drop out, the remaining member may choose a replacement partner, and be re-instated based on their competitive points, though no higher than their previous spot would dictate

ii) In such cases, no refund will be offered to the original team member, except as a private arrangement between him/her and his/her replacement

iii) Team members may be refunded in cases of medical or family emergency

iv) Teams may voluntarily remove themselves from the waiting list at any time



## VI. Points Allocation

### 1. Administration

- a) The operation of the points system will be the responsibility of the Point System Coordinator: a non-voting executive member, to be appointed by the Secretary (President)
  - i) The primary duty of the Points System Coordinator is to calculate the Point Totals of club members
  - ii) They must record all points accumulated in a given semester; and
  - iii) Recalculate each individual's point total at the close of the semester
  - iv) Beyond this, the PSC shall periodically send an updates anonymous list of point totals to the exec for the purpose of being posted on the club website. Anonymity will be guaranteed. The Executive should be able to request the document at any time
- b) Registration
  - i) Within a reasonable time after the conclusion of the registration period, the Points System Coordinator must provide a list of every debater's individual Point totals in order to rank teams and determine which teams will be selected
- c) Tab Archival
  - i) The Point System Coordinator is expected to keep a record of debater's results and pass all this accumulated data onto any future Point System Coordinator
  - ii) The Point System Coordinator is not required to retroactively determine results for debaters for semesters for which they were not debaters for the Hart House Debating Club; this obligation rests with the debaters themselves

### 2. General

- a) Where a provision refers to breaking, the ordinary definition of breaking understood in CUSID will be used in the context of the tournament in question
- b) Absent exceptional circumstances, it is necessary to have completed in the break rounds in question to receive those points; otherwise, the individual in question will be deemed to not have broken, and will receive points accordingly

### 3. Calculating Individual Points

Individual point totals are calculated by summing the number of points earned over the past 4 semesters. Individual Point Totals are calculated at the end of each semester, on a rolling basis. Points accumulated during a given semester do not affect one's current point total until the next semester

*Example: Abel has been debating for 5 complete semesters. Last semester, he earned 42 points; before that, 13; before that, 17; before that, 25; and before that, 32. His individual Point Total is equal to the sum of the previous 4, in other words (42+12+17+25) or 96. In calculating this, we ignore the 32 points earned in his 5th previous semester, as it is outside the scope of the system*

#### a) Fewer Terms

- i) Debaters shall be allowed to take two semesters off from debating for any reason (the executive may grant further extensions of this period should individual circumstances necessitate this move). To rectify the debater's point totals, the average will be taken of the other semesters completed. For instance, if the individual took their 4th semester of school off, their points total from the other three semesters would be multiplied by 4/3
- ii) In light of the above provisions, those who have debated:
  - Three (3) terms will have the sum total of their points multiplied by a factor of 4/3
  - Two (2) terms will have the sum total of their points multiplied by 2
  - One (1) term will have the sum total of their points multiplied by 4



b) Competitive Points Cap

- i) No more than five tournaments per semester can be counted towards a debater's competitive points cap. In the event that one has attended more than five tournaments in a semester, subject to the following bylaw, the top five points earning tournaments will be counted
- ii) Of the five, no more than three can be attended as a competitor. in the event that one has attended more than three tournaments as a competitor in a given semester, the top three points earning tournaments will be counted

c) Transfer Students

- i) Students transferring to University of Toronto, without recorded results, pose a logistical difficulty to the club. If a transferring student desires credit for previous achievements, it is their exclusive responsibility to present the Point System Coordinator with corroborating evidence of this, either in the form of printed Tab sheets or a URL wherein the same data is easily acquired
- ii) Service points are not granted for service activities performed for other clubs



## VII. Competitive Points

The Points System is the means by which Hart House selects the teams that qualify to represent the club at tournaments throughout the year. There are two parts: Competitive Points, and Service Points. Service points only come into effect in qualifiers

Note: As of 2015, this system changed from a continuous function to a step system, diminishing the relevance of size of tournament, in favour of holistic competitiveness

### 1. Competitive Points Formula

Where

M=Maximum Points

P=Your Position

N=Number of Teams

Speaker:  $M - [M(P-1)/N]$

Team:  $M - [2M(P-1)/N]$

Points for judging remain static

Put into words, this can be thought of as beginning with the maximum points available for a tournament within the step you're competing in, then subtracting points for each position after first.

For those who would receive a negative score for placing at the bottom half of the tournament, scores are rounded up to 0.

- a) All tournaments in Steps 1 and 2 will be required to hold a qualifier with the exception of Yale, and the Round Robin. Yale is too early in the year to make a qualifier feasible, and the Round Robin selection is done externally to the club
- b) Teams attending WUDC will have an automatic bid to Oxbridge. There will be a qualifier for any remaining team places. If one individual member of a WUDC team cannot attend, their partner will not retain the automatic bid and will be required to participate in the qualifier for consideration should they wish to retain the spot
- c) Should a member compete at a tournament not explicitly included in the points system, the HHDC will contact the representative of that region and ask them to rank the tournament as they understand it in relation to others currently specified in the step system
  - i) Ex. A debater judges at the European Universities Debating Championships. Provided with the step system, the European representative recommends it be considered in Step 2 Alongside NAUDC, the North American equivalent. The recommendation is then ratified by the HHDC

### 2. Tournament Worth

#### a) Step 1

-Speaker Place worth up to 40

-Team Place worth up to 50

-Judging worth 15

-Breaking as a judge worth 45

#### b) Step 2

-Speaker Place worth up to 24



- Team Place worth up to 30
- Judging worth 9
- Breaking as a judge worth 27
- c) Step 3
  - Speaker Place worth up to 16
  - Team Place worth up to 20
  - Judging worth 6
  - Breaking as a judge worth 18
- d) Step 4

- Speaker Place worth up to 8
- Team Place worth up to 10
- Judging worth 3
- Breaking as a judge worth 9

2. Current Tournament Categorization  
*To be reviewed by each incoming executive*  
*(Updated as of the 2015/2016 Debating season)*

Step 1

World Universities Debating Championship (WUDC)  
 HWS Round Robin

Step 2

Yale IV  
 Hart House IV  
 North American Universities Debating Championships (NAUDC) Oxford IV  
 Cambridge IV  
 North American Championships  
 CUSID Nationals  
 Canadian BP Championships

Step 3

North American Women's Championships  
 Cambridge Women's Championships  
 Leger Central Canadian Championships  
 Winter Carnival  
 Colgate IV  
 Chancellor's Cup  
 Winter Carnival  
 Commonwealth Open  
 Guindon

Step 4

Leubke  
 Dorchester  
 Seagrams



McMaster ProAm  
Monkhouse IV  
Novice



## VIII. Service Points

1. Service points are calculated on an annual basis by summing the number of points earned over the past 2 semesters at the club. A debater's service in a given year can count toward qualifiers for that year if the debater has not yet reached the service point threshold required to receive a point bonus at a qualifier (i.e. we will count service done over the past two semesters, and the current semester, for a total of 3 possible semesters worth of service to hit the threshold)
2. Both members of the team attempting to qualify must reach the service points threshold (36) for the point bump to take place
3. The Service Points bump is set at 1/5 the Maximum of a Qualifier (See Article IX, Section 2, Subsection d)

### 4. Current Weight

#### Event Assistance

Seminar Leader 5

Judging In House 3

Assisting with

Outreach 8

Contributing to Matter Binder 5 (max of 10)

2nd or more external Pro-Am 10

#### The Executive and the Committee

President/ETC 36

Executive (Voting) 18

Executive

(Non-Voting) 9

#### Organizing

#### Tournaments

Tournament Director (HHIV/High School) 36

Org Comm 10

CA of Internal

Qualifier 8

#### Other

Hosting Social 10



## IX. Tournament Qualifiers

For tournaments requiring a qualifier, the method of selection is a mix between the competitive point system, service point system, and a pure qualifier.

### 1. Administration

- a) It is the ITC's responsibility to find an appropriate Chief Adjudicator for the qualifier. This can be the ITC or someone they feel to be more qualified for the position
- b) All judges are to be properly briefed by the Chief Adjudicator as to the current style guide of the tournament in question
- c) In addition, all tabbing should be done in accordance with the Tabs Policy of the Tournament. If none is available, one should be provided by the ITC/Chief Adjudicator in advance of the Qualifier.
- d) Should any spots be protected, individuals competing for those competitive spots shall earn points in the qualifier as normal, and be treated as any other competitor. After the qualifier, teams eligible for the protected spots will be ranked, and a number equal to the available protected spots are selected. Those not selected for protected spots are still eligible for regular selection, if they have sufficient points.
- e) Exceptions
  - i) If any teams that have a quantity of competitive and service points prior to the qualifier that guarantees they will qualify, regardless of performance in the qualifier, will be exempt from the qualifier and awarded one of the team spots for that tournament

### 2. Team Allocation in a Qualifier (see chart on next page)



Step	Example
<p>a) Number of Team Spots The Executive determines the number of team spots available</p>	<p>The Executive, upon reflecting on the budget, interest from the club, and other concerns, has decided that it will send 4 teams to University of South Detroit Worlds</p>
<p>b) Rank the Competitive Point Totals of the Teams All teams participating in the qualifier are ranked using only their Competitive Point Totals</p>	<p>A - 237 B - 229 C - 195 D - 187 E - 185 F - 123 G - 118</p>
<p>c) Determine the Field or "Worth" of the Qualifier -Average the competitive point totals of those teams who would have qualified had selection been based purely on competitive points. That is the field. -Based on the relative strength of the qualifier, this protects the weight of the qualifier from being determined by outlier teams</p>	<p>There are 4 spots available. The top 4 teams are A, B, C, and D</p> $\text{Average (A:D)} = \frac{237+229+195+187}{4} = 212$ <p>The Field is "212". 212 points is the maximum amount of points available to each team based on their performance in the qualifier</p>
<p>d) Determine the "Maximum" -Maximum = "Value of Each Round" x "# of Rounds" -Value of Round = Value of Win (in CP that would be 1, BP, it would be 3) -The number of rounds will be decided by the ITC -The Service Points bump is worth 1/5 of the Maximum</p>	<p>The ITC has decided there will be 4 rounds CP</p> <p>- 1 point x 4 rounds = 4 (maximum)</p> <p>BP - 3 points x 4 rounds = 12 (maximum)</p>
<p>e) Determine the Qualifier Score -Calculates as a proportion of the Field. Each team's "success rate" (the percent of the Maximum they won in the qualifier) plus 1/5 of the Maximum if they meet the Service Points bump -For each team, divide the number of points won in the Qualifier by the Maximum -Multiply this by the Field</p>	<p>BP Qualifier</p> <p>Team A - 8 points Qualifier Score 141.3 Team B - 8 points Qualifier Score 141.3 Team C - 7 points Qualifier Score 123.7 Team D - 5 points Qualifier Score 88.3 Team E - 4 points Qualifier Score 70.7 Team F - 2 points Qualifier Score 35.3 Team G - 9 points Qualifier Score 159</p>
<p>f) Allocate the Team Spots -Determine the Total Score by adding each team's Qualifier Score to their Competitive Totals -Rank the Teams by their Total Score</p>	<p>Team A 141.3 + 237 = 378.3 Rank 1 * Team B 370.3 Rank 2 * Team C 318.7 Rank 3 * Team D 275.3 Rank 5 Team E 255.7 Rank 6</p>



-Should any ties arise, the team with the highest total of competitive points will be privileged

Team F 158.3 Rank 7  
Team G 282 Rank 4 \*

