www.cfp.ca

Canadian Family Physician December 2013 vol. 59 no. 12 1295-1296

Laundry detergent capsules and pediatric poisoning

Asha G. Bonney, Suzan Mazor, MD and Ran D. Goldman, MD FRCPC 1

Correspondence: **Dr Ran D. Goldman**, BC Children's Hospital, Department of Pediatrics, Room K4-226, Ambulatory Care Bldg, 4480 Oak St, Vancouver, BC V6H 3V4; telephone 604 875 2345, extension 7333; fax 604 875-2414; e-mail rgoldman@cw.bc.ca

Abstract

Question A 4-year-old girl was brought into the emergency department vomiting after having had ingested a laundry detergent capsule (LDC) from under the sink at her house. What is the risk of LDC poisoning? What can be done to treat these children?

Answer Laundry detergent capsules are relatively new to supermarket shelves in North America, and there has been an emergence of case reports in the literature describing LDC poisoning, which is worse than poisoning from other laundry detergents. Very little is known about the mechanisms causing these severe reactions, which include airway compromise and esophageal perforation, but the attractive appearance of these capsules and easy access at home has governments and health officials concerned about an increase in poisoning. No residual problems have been associated with these cases to date; however, further research is needed to assess long-term effects.

Laundry detergent capsules (LDCs)—frequently found in stores as liquitabs, pods, tablets, and sachets—are small, single-use concentrated detergent packets. Most capsules consist of a water-soluble polyvinyl alcohol membrane. The mixture is usually composed of an anionic detergent, a cationic surfactant, and a nonionic detergent, and while all brands contain irritants, some also contain alkaline substances. Laundry detergent capsules are usually brightly coloured and, as such, might be mistaken by children for candy or toys, which encourages ingestion. Laundry detergent capsules have been available in Europe since 2001 and were introduced to North America a decade later. With mounting sales of LDCs, reports of pediatric poisoning have started to appear.

Mechanism of poisoning

Household cleaning products rank in the top 5 most common exposures for children who are 5 years of age and younger. Laundry detergent capsules have 3 main routes of exposure: ingestion, ocular, and dermal.

Ingestion

Ingestion is the most common method of exposure. In a UK cross-sectional study of 518 participants with LDC poisoning, exposure by ingestion occurred in 80% of patients —96% of whom were younger than 5 years of age. In another cross-sectional study, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention demonstrated that 94% of children with LDC exposure by ingestion were 5 years of age and younger. The polyvinyl membrane casing is easily soluble when exposed to saliva or moist skin, making LDCs a potential poison. An LDC is the most commonly ingested household product, accounting for 70% of all ingested detergents.

For ingestion alone, the most common symptoms include vomiting (24.1%, 95% CI 20.3% to 28.1%), coughing (4.1%, 95% CI 2.5% to 6.1%), nausea (3.5%, 95% CI 2.1% to 5.4%), drowsiness (1.7%, 95% CI 0.8% to 3.3%), and rash (1.7%, 95% CI 0.8% to 3.3%). Although rare, airway compromise and esophageal perforation have also occurred. In a recent cross-sectional study from Milan, Italy, examining 578 children (81% of whom were younger than 4 years old), 76% of children with LDC ingestion were symptomatic, compared with only 27% of children with other laundry detergent ingestion. The increased rate of ingestion and the severity of symptoms in LDC cases have been noted in several studies; however, the exact mechanism of action is still unknown. $^{1.5,7}$ Several components in LDCs have been speculated as causative agents, including propylene glycol. 5

Ocular

Ocular exposure alone occurred in 9.4% of cases in a UK study, and in 6.4% of cases

in an Italian group; most patients were younger than 5 years of age. ^{1,9} Eye exposure frequently causes conjunctivitis (65.6%, 95% CI 52.3% to 77.3%), discrete eye pain (9.8%, 95% CI 3.7% to 20.2%), and keratitis (3.3.%, 95% CI 0.4% to 11.4%). ¹ Theories explaining the cause of ophthalmic damage include the alkalinity of LDCs² and the concentration of surfactant, resulting in elevated intracellular calcium, acidification, and eye injury. ¹

Dermal

Williams et al reported dermal exposure alone resulted in rash (57.1%, 95% CI 18.4% to 90.1%), skin irritation (28.6%, 95% CI 3.7% to 71.0%), chemical burn (28.6%, 95% CI 3.7% to 71.0%), and paresthesia (14.3%, 95% CI 0.4% to 57.9%). Alkaline substances can activate protease enzymes that damage the skin by breaking down proteins. Moreover, phosphates in detergents can cause chemical burns. 11

Management of LDC poisoning

Treatment after LDC exposure should include contacting the local poison hotline for initial advice. After stabilizing the airway, breathing, and circulation, the child should be evaluated in an emergency department. Eyes should be irrigated early if exposed, as delayed irrigation has been found to be associated with less favourable outcomes such as burns. ^{2,12} Contaminated clothing should be removed and irrigation of the site of exposure with water is indicated. ¹³ Activated charcoal is not indicated in the treatment of ingestion of alkaline substances such as detergents. ¹⁴

Many interventions have been described in the literature, including intravenous dexamethasone, epinephrine, nasogastric feeding, intubation, and ventilation. In a UK case series examining 5 children younger than 2 years of age who presented with stridor and drooling, 1 child was treated with steroids and antibiotics alone, 3 children required intubation and were given antibiotics and steroids, and 1 child had a failed balloon dilation of subglottic stenosis followed by a cricotracheal splint. All 5 children were discharged from hospital with no long-term complications. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention reported on 2 patients who had swallowing dysfunction and required nasogastric feeding. To date, no persisting complications of LDC exposure in a pediatric population have been described in the literature. Keratitis and conjunctivitis resulting from eye exposure resolved within 7 to 10 days.

Changing landscape

As a result of the growing awareness of LDC poisoning, certain companies in North America have committed to changing LDC packaging to make it less appealing to children. ¹⁵ Nevertheless, children are still at risk, and the American Association of Poison Control Centers recommends advising parents to keep detergents locked away and out of reach of children. ¹⁵ This is particularly relevant, as one American study showed that only 11% of parents store poisons safely. ¹⁶ Health Canada has further advised that it is collaborating with the Canadian Association of Poison Control Centres to collect data, and that the products involved are being reviewed. ¹⁷

The current studies had several limitations, such as including a small number of cases, lacking follow-up, and relying on voluntary case reporting. Further research is required to assess the reasons for serious injury from LDC exposure compared with other detergents, as well as the long-term effects of LDC poisoning in children.

Notes

PRETx

Child Health Update is produced by the Pediatric Research in Emergency Therapeutics (PRETx) program (www.pretx.org) at the BC Children's Hospital in Vancouver, BC. Ms Bonney and Dr Mazor are members and Dr Goldman is Director of the PRETx program. The mission of the PRETx program is to promote child health through evidence-based research in therapeutics in pediatric emergency medicine.

Do you have questions about the effects of drugs, chemicals, radiation, or infections in children? We invite you to submit them to the PRETx program by fax at 604 875-2414; they will be addressed in future **Child Health Updates**. Published **Child Health Updates** are available on the *Canadian Family Physician* website (www.cfp.ca).

Footnotes

This article is eligible for **Mainpro-M1** credits. To earn credits, go to **www.cfp.ca** and click on the **Mainpro** link.

La traduction en français de cet article se trouve à **www.cfp.ca** dans la table des matières du numéro de **décembre** 2013 à la page **e535**.

Competing interests

None declared

Copyright© the College of Family Physicians of Canada

References

1 Williams H. Rateman DN. Thomas SH. Thomason IP. Scott RA. Vale IA. Exposure to liquid detergent canculas: a study undertaken by the LIK National Poisons. Information Service. Clin Toxicol (Phila) 2012;50(8):776-80. Epub 2012 Jul 27.

Medline Google Scholar

- 2 Mathew RG Kennedy K Corhett MC Eyes and alkalis Ways of paediatric eye injuries from liquid detergent capsules. *BMJ* 2010;340:c1186. FREE Full Text
- 3 Fraser I. Wynne D. Clement WA. Davidson M. Kubba H. Liquid detergent cansule indestion in children: an increasing trend. *Arch Dis Child* 2012;97(11):1007. Epub 2012 Sep 5. FREE Full Text
- 4 Malpass P. Eves and detergent capsules. Do not assume strong alkalinity. *BMJ* 2010;340:c2399. FREE Full Text
- 5 Reubler MC. Gala PK. Wolfe HA. Meaney PA. Henretic FM. Laundry deteroent "nod" indestions: a case series and discussion of recent literature. *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2013;29(6):743-7. <u>Medline</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 6 Bronstein AC Sovker DA Cantilena LR Ir Green II Rumack RH Dart RC 2010 Annual Report of the American Association of Poison Control Centers' National Poison Data System (NPDS): 28th annual report. Clin Toxicol (Phila) 2011;49(10):910-41.

CrossRef Medline Google Scholar

- 7 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Health hazards associated with laundry nod exposures—I Inited States, May-June 2012. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2012;61(41):825-9. Medline
- 8 Rramuzzo M Amaddao A Facchina G Neri E Martelossi S Rarhi E Liquid determent cancula indestino: a new padiatric epidemic? *Pediatr Emerg Care* 2013;29(3):410-1. <u>Medline</u> <u>Google Scholar</u>
- 9 Calentano A Sesana F Sattimi I Milanesi G Assisi F Rissoli M et al Accidental exposures to liquid detergent cansules l'Abstract 2001 2012 International Congress of the European Association of Poisons Centres and Clinical Toxicologists; May 25–June 1, 2012; London, UK. Clin Toxicol 2012;50:353. Google Scholar
- 10 Oaklay & Soans and cleansers Palmerston North, NZ: New Zealand Dermatological Society: 2013 Available from:

Google Scholar

- 11 Noan V. Chemical hums. Palmerston North. N7: New Zealand Dermatological Society: 2013. Available from: www.dermnetnz.org/reactions/chemical-burns.html. Accessed 2013 Sep 26. Google Scholar
- 12 Horgan N McLoone E. Langigan B. Eliteroff I. Eve injuries in children: a new household risk. *Lancet* 2005;366(9485):547-8. CrossRef Medline Google Scholar
- 13 Royal Children's Hospital Melhourne Iwehsital Rurns. Melbourne, Aust: Royal Children's Hospital Melhourne: 2013 Available from:

www.rch.org.au/clinicalguide/guideline_index/Burns/#. Accessed 2013 Sep 23.

- 14 Poval Children's Hospital Malhourne (wahsita) Alkalis noisoning. Melbourne, NZ:
- www.rch.org.au/clinicalguide/guideline_index/Alkalis_Poisoning/. Accessed 2013 Sep 23.
- 15 PDWah (weheite) AAPCC issues statement on decision of Procter & Camble to redesign Tide Pods nackaging Herndon VA: Vocus PRW Holdings Inc. 2013

 Available from: www.prweb.com/releases/2013/7/prweb10923661.htm. Accessed 2013 Sen 24
- 16 Ciplen AC McDonald EM Wilson ME Hwans WT Serwint IP Andrews IS et al. Effects of improved access to safety counseling products and home visits on parents' safety practices: results of a randomized trial. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2002;156(1):33-40. Medline. Google Scholar
- 17 Health Canada [website]. Health Canada warns narents and caregivers about the dangers of miniature determent nacks. Ottawa: ON: Health Canada; 2013. Available from: www.canadiensensante.gc.ca/recall-alert-rappel-avis/hc-

Related articles

Pratique - Mise à jour sur la santé des enfants: Asha G. Bonney, Suzan Mazor, and Ran D. Goldman Capsules de détersif à lessive et empoisonnement pédiatrique Can Fam Physician December 2013 59: e535-e537

Résumé Full Text Full Text (PDF)