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Mechanical unfolding trajectories for single molecules of the Tetrahymena thermophila ribozyme display eight intermediates corresponding to discrete kinetic barriers that oppose mechanical unfolding with lifetimes of seconds and rupture forces between 10 and 30 piconewtons. Barriers are magnesium dependent and correspond to known intra- and interdomain interactions. Several barrier structures are “brittle,” breakage requiring high forces but small (1 to 3 nanometers) deformations. Barrier crossing is stochastic, leading to variable unfolding paths. The response of complex RNA structures to locally applied mechanical forces may be analogous to the responses of RNA during translation, messenger RNA export from the nucleus, and viral replication.

Numerous cellular processes, such as the translocation of mRNA through the ribosome and the action of RNA helicases and of RNA-dependent RNA polymerases, involve mechanical deformation and unfolding of RNA. Although the structure of RNAs and their folding thermodynamics and kinetics have been the focus of considerable inquiry, it has proven difficult to investigate their molecular responses to mechanical forces. Here, we use optical tweezers (1–3) to determine the strength and location of kinetic barriers opposing the unfolding of single molecules (4, 5) of the L-21 derivative of the Tetrahymena thermophila ribozyme (6–8), a 390-nucleotide (nt) catalytic RNA (Fig. 1A) whose three-dimensional structure (9), independently folding domains (10), intra- and interdomain contacts (11), and Mg$^{2+}$-mediated tertiary interactions are well established.

Figure 1B shows a typical force/extension curve obtained by unfolding and refolding a ribozyme molecule in Mg$^{2+}$. The unfolding curve (black) shows six transitions corresponding to successive unfolding events.

Thus, RNA unfolding in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ and at loading rates of 3 to 5 pN s$^{-1}$ is a “stick-slip” process (12). Unfolding occasionally arrests at kinetic barriers (arrows in Fig. 1B) until they yield, leading to a sudden increase in the extension of the RNA and a drop in the force. We refer to these features as “rips” and interpret them as the rapid unfolding of RNA domains. The refolding curve (pink) does not have rips, and thus the unfolding-refolding process in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ is hysteretic.

When the molecule is unfolded in the absence of Mg$^{2+}$, the unfolding and refolding curves superpose and the process is reversible. These unfolding and refolding curves are indistinguishable from the refolding curves in Mg$^{2+}$ (Fig. 1B, pink curve) and display a plateau between 10 and 13 pN that is consistent with the progressive unzipping of secondary structure (13–15). The free energy difference between the folded and unfolded forms of L-21, obtained from the area under the reversible force/extension curves, is $\Delta G_{298 K} = 1050 \pm 100$ kJ mol$^{-1}$, in agreement with a semiempirical free energy calculation (Mfold) when the latter is corrected for

**Fig. 1.** (A) Secondary structure of the L-21 ribozyme. The two main domains, P4-P6 and P3-P8, are boxed; a light blue box indicates the catalytic core. Gray lines label sequences targeted by complementary DNA oligonucleotides; dashed lines are tertiary contacts (T) and base-paired regions (P); “M” labels are side-directed mutations (M1, A to U at site 186; M2, C to G at 260; M3, UGC to ACG at 348 to 350; M4, U to A at 273; M5, cyclic permutation at 148); cc is the catalytic core. The letters a, b, c, d, e, f, g, and h indicate the proposed positions of the kinetic barriers; the evidence for these assignments is presented throughout the text. (B) Representative unfolding (black) and refolding (pink) force/extension curves of the L-21 RNA displaying six unfolding events (rips). The RNA is attached by RNA - DNA handles, which are manipulated by the laser tweezers. The DNA components of the handles were prepared by polymerase chain reaction from the pBR322 plasmid. The handles do not appear to affect the functional integrity of the ribozyme, as verified by standard bulk catalysis assays (3). Experiments were done at 298 ± 2 K in 10 mM Tris (pH = 7), 250 mM NaCl, and 10 mM MgCl$_2$ unless otherwise noted. Our first goal was to correlate the rips to the unfolding of domains and subdomains in (A). Letters and arrows correspond to the positions we assigned to the kinetic barriers as described in the text. The unfolding curve chosen here does not display barriers d and g, indicated by the dashed arrows.
We first characterized the P4-P6 domain (Fig. 1A), whose high-resolution structure has been determined (18, 19) and which includes the previously studied P5abc molecule (I). P4-P6 is the first higher order structure formed during assembly of the catalytically active ribozyme, and its native tertiary structure is stabilized by several specifically bound Mg$^{2+}$ ions (20, 21). P4-P6’s force/extension curves are reversible in the absence of Mg$^{2+}$, but the unfolding curves display rips in 10 mM Mg$^{2+}$ even at small loading rates (<3 pN s$^{-1}$), revealing several kinetic barriers (Fig. 2A). The most prominent barriers are labeled f, g, and h (3) (Fig. 2A); red, black, and blue WLC curves, respectively. Each barrier was assigned to a region as described above. An antisense DNA oligonucleotide was designed to hybridize with sequences involved in the tertiary contact proposed to compose barrier f; incubating P4-P6 with μM concentrations of this oligonucleotide eliminated rip f (Figs. 1A, I, and 2A, inset), thus confirming the assignment of the barrier. The barrier positions shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1A correlate with known sites of tertiary interactions (I8) and with protection sites revealed by chemical reactivity studies (22). Barrier f is located close to the base of P6 and corresponds to a tetraloop-tetraloop receptor contact between P5b and P6 (T3). Barrier g at the beginning of the P5 stem corresponds to the coordination of Mg$^{2+}$ ions close to the tertiary contact between the A-rich bulge and the P4 stem (T2). Barrier h at the base of the P5abc helix corresponds to the Mg$^{2+}$-mediated intradomain tertiary contact between the A-rich bulge and P5c (T1). The rip assigned to P5abc unfolding has force and length characteristics similar to those of P5abc unfolded alone (I). The three barriers were characterized as a function of Mg$^{2+}$ concentration (fig. S5). Other more rarely observed barriers were assigned next. Four unfolding intermediates and four distinct unfolding trajectories were detected at our experimental resolution and can be represented in a mechanical unfolding map (Fig. 2B).

Incubation at low force (30 s at 2 pN) to facilitate complete refolding of the RNA before unfolding (3, 23) had no effect on the force/extension curves, consistent with complete unfolding of P4-P6 in less than a second (24, 25). Thus, the observed variation in unfolding trajectory is likely because of the stochastic nature of kinetic barrier rupture, rather than initial state heterogeneity resulting from partially folded molecules. Although not all the barriers are seen in every pull, the subdomains and domains of the molecule always unfold in the same order (Fig. 2B).

Having characterized the barriers in the P4-P6 domain, we applied similar approaches to increasingly larger pieces of RNA. The
L-21 ribozyme’s catalytic core, P4-P6 plus P3-P8 (L-21ΔP2P9), shows the barriers f, g, and h found in P4-P6 and a new barrier, e (Fig. 3A, green WLC curve), assigned to the P3-P8 stem (Table 1). The addition of an antisense DNA oligonucleotide preventing catalytic core tertiary interactions (II in Fig. 1A) eliminates barriers e and f from the force/extension curves (Fig. 3A, inset), confirming these assignments. Analysis of the distribution of rupture forces of barrier e with increasing pulling rates shows that the catalytic core of L-21 is a “brittle” structure in which the transition state is located 1.5 ± 0.5 nm from the folded state along the reaction coordinate (3). This result is consistent with the cooperative interdomain interactions between the P3P7P8 and P4P5P6 stems within the catalytic core (9). Adding the P9 extensions (L-21ΔP2) to the previous molecule produces barriers, a, b, and c, corresponding to subdomains P9.2, P9.1-P9.1a, and P9, respectively (Table 1, Fig. 3B).

Finally, adding the P2 extension and the first 6 nt of P1 (internal guide sequence) yields the L-21 variant of the T. thermophila intron, which shows one new barrier, d, corresponding to the unfolding of the newly added P2 extension (Fig. 4A, Table 1). The remaining barriers have the same characteristics as they had in the smaller molecules (26), with one exception due to the addition of interdomain tertiary contact P13 (27). Localization of L-21 barriers (Table 1) was confirmed (fig. S7) except for barriers b to d, which are only confirmed to be part of the group of P2 and P9 extensions (28). Figure 4B is a histogram of all detected rips as a function of their position for 110 curves. Letters indicate the positions of the barriers. As seen in (A), the rip corresponding to barrier a is very frequent but has a different slope than the other rips. Our rip detection algorithm was based on slope differences and leaves rip a poorly detected and poorly represented in (B).

Fig. 3. (A) Unfolding force/extension curves of L-21ΔP9P2. Barriers f, g, and h are identical to those in Fig. 2A (P4-P6). The new green WLC curve (e) describes the barrier for unfolding of the newly added domain, P3-P8. Inset, unfolding curves of L-21ΔP2P9 in the presence of 10 μM antisense DNA oligonucleotide preventing catalytic core tertiary interactions (Fig. 1A, II). (B) Unfolding force/extension curve of L-21ΔP2. Characteristic barriers for the unfolding of L-21ΔP2P9 (e to h) are clearly identified. The new set of WLC curves (violet, brown, and turquoise) displays three new kinetic barriers (a, b, and c) present during the unfolding of the P9 extension. Barrier a is associated with P9.2; barrier b, with P9.1-P9.1a; and barrier c, with P9.

Fig. 4. (A) Blue curves, superposition of 32 L-21 unfolding curves displaying six of the eight kinetic barriers detected in this study. The new orange WLC curve (d) describes the barrier for unfolding of the newly added P2 extension. The kinetic barriers can be clustered as proximal to the beginning of unfolding (a to d, P9 and P2 extensions), intermediate (e to g, catalytic core), and distal (h, P5abc extension). Curves were smoothed with a Gaussian kernel. Experiments done to investigate the nature of the folded state are described in (3) (fig. S6). (B) Histogram of the rips as a function of their position for 110 curves. Letters indicate the positions of the barriers. As seen in (A), the rip corresponding to barrier a is very frequent but has a different slope than the other rips. Our rip detection algorithm was based on slope differences and leaves rip a poorly detected and poorly represented in (B).
The presence of Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, barrier a is unique in that it yields at low force and the molecule displays bistability. This barrier corresponds to the unfolding of the P9.2 motif, which is not involved in tertiary interactions. Under a constant force of ~11 pN, it “hops” back and forth by 15 nm between its folded and unfolded forms (Fig. 1B, barrier a). At a force of 11.6 pN, the apparent forward and reverse rate constants are 1.5 s\textsuperscript{-1} and 4.4 s\textsuperscript{-1}, respectively (J). The remaining barriers b to h yield at higher mean forces (15 to 21 pN) in Mg\textsuperscript{2+} (Table 1) (30). Thus, barriers due to secondary interactions alone, such as barrier a, tend to yield at low forces (below 14 pN) and unfold and refold within our time resolution of milliseconds to minutes. In contrast, barriers that involve secondary and tertiary interactions usually yield at higher forces, unfold and refold very slowly, and do not display bistability within our observation times. These barriers are rate-limiting for the unfolding of the whole ribozyme.

For the L-21 ribozyme, unfolding commences at ~12 pN, with the reversible unfolding of the P9.2 helix (Table 1). A G·C-rich hairpin (P9.1) yields next, followed by breaking of several peripheral contacts (P9.1a/P2.1, P9/P5, P2/P5c, and P2/P1.3). The mechanical forces needed to break these peripheral barriers are several pN higher than the forces needed for the two hairpins (P9.1 and P9.2), probably reflecting the high transition free-energy barriers of Mg\textsuperscript{2+}-stabilized tertiary contacts. Once the peripheral barriers have yielded, the catalytic core is exposed to the mechanical force. The core remains unfolded until the force reaches ~21 pN. It then unfolds cooperatively, and ~60 m are released. Unfolding of L-21 ends with the opening of the P4-P6 domain in a way that is indistinguishable from the unfolding of the isolated domain. The position of the handles with respect to the different domains affects the order in which the domains unfold (Fig. S8).

We conclude with the following points: (i) although the overall thermodynamic stability of the molecule is determined largely by base-paired helices, the dynamics of mechanical unfolding are mainly controlled by local kinetic barriers imposed by Mg\textsuperscript{2+}-dependent tertiary interactions; (ii) in contrast to thermal melting, the partially unfolded intermediates encountered by molecular machines in the cell contain mixtures of secondary structures and tertiary contacts; (iii) the order of unfolding is determined by the connectivity of the molecule such that secondary structures unfold progressively as the force is applied and as their tertiary contacts are broken; and (iv) the method of assignment of kinetic barriers presented here should be of general use to analyze the mechanical unfolding of other complex RNA structures.
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