Collaborative Approaches for Landscape-scale Restoration

by Kris Hulvey

The successful restoration of healthy, vibrant landscapes in California and across the Western US requires projects that engage communities, scientists, policymakers, and land managers. This idea that stakeholder engagement is key to restoration success is highlighted in the recently revised *International Principles and Standards for the Practice of Ecological Restoration*, where it is listed as the first of eight key principles underpinning ecological restoration (Gann et al. 2019). The value of partnerships is also increasingly recognized by academics (e.g., Bestelmeyer et al., 2019; Aoyama and Huntsinger, 2019), State and Federal Agencies (e.g., NRCS Working Lands for Wildlife program), foundations (e.g., The Hewlett Foundation), and non-profits (e.g., Network for Landscape Conservation, Partners for Conservation, Working Lands Conservation). Such expanding interest in partnerships perhaps stems from our improved understanding of the complex drivers of ecosystem change (like climate change), together with a growing focus on landscape-level restoration goals such as managing ecosystem services. Successful outcomes under these parameters require restoration actions that span property lines, jurisdictions, and spatial scales. Having buy-in from many partners is critical for success.

As a PhD student, I studied yellow starthistle invasion in California grasslands and worked to develop methods that would make these ecosystems more resistant to invaders (Hulvey and Aigner 2014). In grad school I learned experimental design, statistics, and ecological theory. I bet many restoration professionals have a similar background, notably missing a grounding in skills aimed at engaging the diversity of stakeholders needed to complete
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restoration across real landscapes. My work took place in pots or 1m x 1m plots. Now, I work across one million acres of sage-scrub rangeland in northern Utah. The ecological skills in my toolbox are strong, but restoration work at this scale won’t happen without the participation of the ranchers, federal and state policy makers, and other managers who steward this public-private landscape mosaic. Collaboration has been essential for successful outcomes.

What does the partnership universe look like?

A recent review of collaborative partnerships estimates there are currently over 500 multi-stakeholder working groups across the US (Leigh Goldberg Consulting, 2018). The structure of these groups varies widely, ranging from networks of non-governmental organizations (NGOs) focused on improving coordination and collaboration in a watershed or region (e.g., California Landscape Stewardship Network), to associations of governmental organizations formed to navigate differences in agency policy (e.g., the One Tam initiative in California), to multi-party networks composed of diverse stakeholders—including NGOs, government agency representatives, landowners, and academics. While these groups don’t always have a stated ‘restoration’ goal guiding their work, their environmental, social, and sustainable economic goals often have significant overlap with those of restoration ecologists and professionals. The partnership lessons learned by these groups’ work can be informative to SERCAL members looking to build multi-stakeholder collaborations to further their own restoration activities.

What are the building blocks of partnerships?

Even when stakeholders value the same landscape and are interested in working collaboratively, building partnerships can be challenging. For example, tension may exist from past management activities that compromised livelihoods or ecosystem health. In my current work in Utah rangelands, a group of 38 permittees, four government agencies, plus non-profit and university scientists, are working together to alter grazing on public lands in order to improve water quality, sage-grouse habitat, and the rangeland vegetation that supports rancher livelihoods (Payne 2018). Several factors were critical for forming this partnership. These factors are also considered vital partnership building blocks by a variety of other multi-stakeholder groups (Partners for Conservation 2018, Boies 2017, Christiansen and Belton 2017).

A shared problem or goal: The initial catalyst for many partnerships is avoidance of an unwanted outcome that spurs to action stakeholders from many backgrounds. An example of such an event
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1Examples include the High Divide Collaborative in Montana & Idaho and the ROGER (Results Oriented Grazing for Ecological Resilience) group in Nevada. For a longer list of collaborative groups, see the California Landscape Stewardship website, or this article in the Landscape Conservation Bulletin.
in the Intermountain West was the potential ESA listing of the Greater-sage grouse (Wollstein and Davis 2017), which could have affected rancher activities on public rangelands and required policy changes by the agency partners in charge of managing much of this landscape (Stoellinger & Taylor 2016). Non-action had potential economic repercussions that enticed parties to the table. However, because the Greater-sage grouse use over 173 million acres of public and private lands across the Great Basin and surrounding areas (US Fish & Wildlife Service, 2019), the scale of management needed to address sage-grouse declines and avoid listing was too big for any single group to undertake alone. Partnerships were vital.

**Trust:** Building trust is repeatedly noted as ‘the bedrock’ of successful multi-stakeholder partnerships (Partners For Conservation, 2018, Network for Landscape Conservation, 2018a & 2018b). When groups come together who do not have a history of collaboration, or might have a history of conflict, building trust and a common language in which to express shared objectives is crucial. Divisions among groups can stem from differences in organizational culture, occupational jargon, management goals, and the unique constraints faced by stakeholders as varied as landowners, agency managers, and research scientists. Participants in successful partnerships note that there are no shortcuts when building trust. The process requires time, dedication, showing up repeatedly with an open mind, and actively listening to others. Elements recognized as particularly helpful for facilitating the process include:

- **Local champions:** Individuals who belong to key stakeholder groups and who strongly advocate for the collaborative process (Payne 2018)
- **The inclusion of facilitators:** Individuals familiar with the issues being addressed and who are practiced in bridging communication and understanding gaps among diverse groups (Boies 2017); and,
- **The participation of cross-cutting stakeholders:** Those who belong to more than one stakeholder group, and thus have the skill to identify and bridge gaps in norms, translate jargon, and demonstrate cross-group trust.

**Backbone logistical support:** Participating in a multi-stakeholder collaboration often requires new types of logistical support because partners may work outside their primary organization’s normal channels of communication and programmatic funding. Communication within the collaborative might include quarterly
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**Collaboration is not about gluing together existing egos. It’s about the ideas that never existed until everyone entered the room. — Unknown**

(Borrowed from the High Divide Collaborative website)
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Thank you SERCAL for your partnership in protecting California's natural areas! Cal-IPC supports land managers and coordinates regional efforts to stop the spread of invasive plants. Visit us at cal-ipc.org.
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meetings, workshops, field days, and group calls. These activities need to be planned, funded, followed-up on, and synthesized. As the partnership continues to develop and begins to put projects on the ground, hiring a dedicated part- or full-time staff position will ensure communication channels stay open.

**Transparent and trusted science as sideboards for action:** Clear, agreed-upon science can facilitate the development of project goals and activities. SERCAL members excel at bringing good science to the table. When shared in the interactive format of multi-stakeholder meetings, partners can digest this knowledge via unguarded discussions. Such open communication can eliminate barriers that exist due to organizational jargon, and allow individuals to process shared information according to their own experiences on the landscape.

Gaps in current available science could also lead to opportunities for co-production of knowledge through joint studies. For example, the ROGER collaborative in Nevada developed a research project with some of its active members focused on improving remote sensing-based habitat assessment tools (Nikonow 2019). This research addresses key limitations the group faces when trying to manage the spread of cheatgrass across millions of acres of rangeland. Research undertaken by the collaborative can have particularly strong buy-in by members, with jointly produced results serving as a foundation for future management and restoration decisions.

**What barriers need to be overcome?**

Perhaps the most obvious challenge to successful restoration partnerships is the lack of experience stakeholders may have in working collaboratively. As more groups test the collaboration waters, there are a growing number of resources for those interested in gaining collaboration skills, including companies offering partnership training (e.g., The Partnership and Communication Collaboration Academy) and short-courses on collaboration and conflict resolution (e.g., University of Utah’s Short Course on Effective Natural Resources Collaboration, UC Davis’ Conflict Resolution Professional Concentration Certificate). The number of facilitators is also growing, but there is still a need for more people trained in this role. Groups engaged in collaborative work highlighted several other challenges, including:

**Funding gaps:** An increasing number of non-profits, foundation funders, and agency partners are dedicating resources to collaborative work. Despite this progress, a recent needs-review by the California Landscape Stewardship Network indicated that 'backbone' support is still sparse compared to funding for other management and restoration actions (Leigh Goldberg Consulting, 2018; see review summary here).

**Meeting fatigue:** To develop trust and communication, partnerships require facetime. When the collaboration is young, this process can be challenging as partners develop relationships and work through communication barriers. On a practical level, the time dedicated to this process can be in addition to normal organizational roles, requiring the balancing of work obligations.

**Continuity of partnership members:** The extensive trust and communication developed when building a partnership means that individual relationships are often the foundation of success. When key members of the partnership leave — such as when an agency partner is re-assigned to a different position, this can disrupt the work of the group. Partnerships in later stages of development can...
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overcome this problem by developing a strong culture, which both values educating new members quickly, and demonstrates behavior norms for new members entering the group.

Difficulty measuring success: Measuring the extra value partnerships bring to restoration work is important when approaching funders and securing buy-in from individuals already juggling tight schedules. In the last year, a framework for assessing partnership impact — the Partnership Impact Model — was developed to quantify the impacts of partnerships (Mickel and Goldberg, 2018 & 2019). This model is being adopted by partnerships wanting to discuss the benefits flowing from their work.

Final thoughts: The power of collaboration

Restoration through multi-stakeholder collaborative partnerships has the potential to address management issues at scales required for landscape-level changes. When done well, these partnerships break down informational silos common across agencies and academia, leading to innovative management solutions. In the face of landscape-scale challenges such as climate change, partnerships can facilitate high-impact local projects that synergize across a region, to ultimately move toward a shared restoration objective. Ultimately, one of the most important outcomes of building partnerships among people with different experiences and values may be the capacity this process creates to address new, and perhaps unforeseen, restoration issues in the future.

Citations:
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The Slate of Candidates

**PRESIDENT ELECT 2020-2022**  All members vote.

**Allegra Bukojemsky**
*Landscape Architect, H. T. Harvey & Associates, Los Gatos*

I am a landscape architect with an ecological restoration focus. My background includes ecology, animal behavior, sustainable architecture, and industrial design. This broad range of experience comes from my passion to strengthen and repair our connection to and stewardship of nature. I am also passionate about inspiring positive change through teaching, lecturing, and active involvement in local and national professional organizations including SERCAL. I have been a board member of SERCAL for 5 years and am excited for the opportunity to help lead and strengthen the focus, direction, and outreach as President of the organization.

**Jamie Silva**  **AT-LARGE REP**  All members vote.

*Environmental Scientist, CADept. of Water Resources, Sacramento*

At DWR, I am planning and implementing a revegetation study to focus on prevention and control methods of the invasive plant, *Ludwigia* spp. This study aims to instruct restoration managers to take preemptive efforts prior to passive restoration, so that when tidal connection is made, invasive plants do not dominate the site. This study is one of hopefully many to provide tools and techniques to tidal restoration planners and land managers to combat changing environmental conditions that favor invasive plants.

As an educational organization, SERCAL brings together professionals to improve current restoration techniques and share their work. I hope through my participation as a Board member of SERCAL, I can help the organization to accomplish its mission to connect and share on-going restoration efforts conducted by environmental professionals throughout the state. I look forward to working with SERCAL board members to help promote restoration and outreach in this field.

**Cindy Thompson**  **AT-LARGE REP**  All members vote.

*Marketing & Business Development Director, HRS, Vista*

My role at Habitat Restoration Sciences encompasses marketing, business development, and office operations, and uses my more than 18 years’ experience in the environmental construction and engineering industry. My background is in marketing, business development, safety, and project coordination.

I have coordinated with Julie to provide support at the SERCAL conferences for the past four years and I look forward to helping and collaborating with the communications committee on their tasks and projects including assisting with expanding the social media outreach to potential and current members.
At the 8 December 2019 meeting, the Board of Directors unanimously voted to recognize two outstanding (and outgoing) Board leaders.

**Ralph Vigil** served on the Board from 2008 to 2019, as President 2014–2015, and as Conference Chair for SERCAL 2015 in San Diego; **Kevin MacKay** served on the Board from 2009 to 2019, as President 2013–2014, and as Conference Chair for SERCAL 2014 in Santa Rosa.

This past Fall, SERCAL kicked off regional social events in the Bay Area, San Diego, and Sacramento:

- **Dotson Family Marsh**: October 12 in Richmond
- **Dennery Canyon Vernal Pools**: October 26 in San Diego
- **Trivia Night**: November 20 in Sacramento

Thanks to everyone who joined in the restoration-themed fun at the Dotson Family Marsh Tour, Dennery Canyon Vernal Pools, and Trivia Night in Sacramento. More to Come!
SERCAL Board of Directors

Officers
President  Gregory Andrew
President-Elect (pending)  Allegra Bukojemsky
Secretary  Shirley Innecken
Treasurer  Geoff Smick

Regional Directors
North Coast & High Desert (Del Norte, Siskiyou, Modoc, Humboldt, Trinity, Shasta, Lassen, Mendocino, Sonoma, Napa, Marin, Solano, Contra Costa, San Mateo, Alameda, Santa Clara)

Gregory Andrew  Marin Municipal Water District
gandrew@marinwater.org

Geoff Smick  WRA, Inc.  smick@wra-ca.com

Ross Taylor  Ross Taylor & Associates
rossntaylor@sbcglobal.net

Central Coast & Valley (Tehama, Plumas, Glenn, Butte, Sierra, Lake, Colusa, Sutter, Yuba, Nevada, Placer, Yolo, Sacramento, El Dorado, San Joaquin, Calaveras, Alpine, Stanislaus, Tuolumne, Merced, Mariposa, Madera, Santa Cruz, San Benito, Fresno, Monterey, Kings, Tulare, San Luis Obispo, Kern, Santa Barbara)

Thor Anderson  Burleson Consulting, Inc.
ta@burlesonconsulting.com

Allegra Bukojemsky  H. T. Harvey & Associates
abukojemsky@harveyecology.com

Will Spangler  H. T. Harvey & Associates
wspangler@harveyecology.com

South Coast & Eastern Deserts (Mono, Inyo, San Bernardino, Ventura, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Diego, Imperial)

Mauricio Gomez  South Coast Habitat Restoration
mgomez@schabitatrestoration.org

Shirley Innecken  innecken47@gmail.com

Jeannine Ross  KMEA  jross@kmea.net

At Large Directors
Carol Presley  Carol Presley Consulting
capresley.pe@gmail.com

Jamie Silva (pending)  CA Department of Water Resources
Jamie.Silva@water.ca.gov

Cindy Thompson (pending)  HRS
cthompson@hrs.dudek.com

Leadership Team
Liz Agraz  WRA  agraz@wra-ca.com

Seongjun Kim  NHC  skim@nhcweb.com

James Mizoguchi  Triangle Properties
jmizoguchi@teichert.com

Isaiah Thalmeyer  Point Blue  ithalmayer@pointblue.org

You are crucial to the resilience of California’s native habitats

Just like our floral first responders, SERCAL members make California’s ecological systems healthy and whole again. In the almost 3 decades since SERCAL was founded, so much — almost everything — has changed. Yet one thing remains constant: The exceptional power we have when we work together. We are grateful for all our members and want to recognize these individuals and businesses for their generous support in 2019:

$500 Sustaining Businesses
Kevin Ghalambor  Burleson Consulting  Folsom
Mark Girard  Habitat Restoration Sciences  Vista
Danny Richards  Pacific Restoration Group  Lake Elsinore
Jody Miller  S&S Seeds  Carpinteria
Kim Fettke  Wildlands  Rocklin

$250 Contributing Businesses
Dorothy Abeyta  Davey Resource Group  Pleasant Hill
Ron Dietz  Dietz Hydroseeding  Sylmar
Josh Fodor  Ecological Concerns  Santa Cruz
Patrick Reynolds  Hedgerow Farms  Winters
Jennifer Naegle  OC Parks Natural Resources Team  Irvine
Edmund Sullivan  Santa Clara Valley Habitat Agency  Morgan Hill
James Mizoguchi  Triangle Properties  Sacramento
Liz Agraz  WRA  San Rafael

$100 Sustaining Individuals
John Buada  Buada Associates  Fresno
Vic Claassen  Soil Scientist  Davis
Michael Hogan  Awesome Retired Dude  Tahama
Gigi Hurst  Habitat West  Escondido
Denise Knapp  Santa Barbara Botanic Garden  Santa Barbara
Douglas W. McKinney  D&D Wildlife Habitat Restoration  Spring Valley
Ross N. Taylor  Ross Taylor & Associates  McKinleyville
Think BIG start small Restore NOW:

- Over 100 presentations
- Registration Begins First Week of March
- Watch sercal.org and join our eNews list for the latest updates on fieldtrips, workshops, and lodging

Restoration in Our Backyard

SERCAL 2020: Monterey’s Beautiful Carmel Valley  April 29–May 1