Multifunctional warheads: Diversification of the toxin arsenal of centipedes via novel multidomain transcripts
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ABSTRACT

Arthropod toxins are almost invariably encoded by transcripts encoding prepropeptides that are posttranslationally processed to yield a single mature toxin. In striking contrast to this paradigm, we used a complementary transcriptomic, proteomic and MALDI-imaging approach to identify four classes of multidomain centipede-toxin transcripts that each encodes multiple mature toxins. These multifunctional warheads comprise either: (1) repeats of linear peptides; (2) linear peptides preceding cysteine-rich peptides; (3) cysteine-rich peptides preceding linear peptides; or (4) repeats of linear peptides preceding cysteine-rich peptides. MALDI imaging of centipede venom glands revealed that these peptides are posttranslationally liberated from the original gene product in the venom gland and not by proteases following venom secretion. These multidomain transcripts exhibit a remarkable conservation of coding sequences, in striking contrast to monodomain toxin transcripts from related centipede species, and we demonstrate that they represent a rare class of predatory toxins that have evolved under strong negative selection. We hypothesize that the peptide toxins liberated from multidomain precursors might have synergistic modes of action, thereby allowing negative selection to dominate as the toxins encoded by the same transcript become increasingly interdependent.

Biological significance

These results have direct implications for understanding the evolution of centipede venoms, and highlight the importance of taking a multidisciplinary approach for the investigation of novel venoms. The potential synergistic actions of the mature peptides are also of relevance to the growing biodiscovery efforts aimed at centipede venom. We also demonstrate the application of...
1. Introduction

Proteins earmarked for secretion are typically produced as prepropeptides comprised of a signal peptide and one or two propeptide regions that are posttranslationally excised by endoproteases to yield a single mature protein product [1–3]. There are, however, a number of deviations from this scheme, including transcripts that lack propeptide-encoding regions and transcripts that encode multiple mature proteins [3]. For example, in both vertebrates and invertebrates, neuropeptides and hormones are commonly produced as multifunctional precursors containing a signal peptide and multiple copies of the neuropeptide or hormone separated by propeptide regions [4].

Multifunctional toxin transcripts, however, are exceedingly rare in most venomous taxa, with the reptilian clade Toxicofera being the notable exception. Various strategies leading to multifunctional toxin transcripts have evolved both convergently and divergently on several occasions within Toxicofera. These include the duplication events leading to precursors encoding tandem stretches of sarafotoxins in Atractaspis snakes [5], seven newly evolved bradykinin potentiating peptides in the propeptide region of the precursor encoding a C-type natriuretic peptide in the pit viper Bothrops jararaca [6], and multiple helokinin peptides in the propeptide region of the precursor encoding a B-type natriuretic peptide in Helodermatidae and Anguillidae lizard venoms [7–9]. The venom glands of coleoid cephalopods (cuttlefish, octopus and squid) also produce multifunctional transcripts encoding 3–4 pacifastin peptides that are postranslationally liberated [10].

In striking contrast to coleoids and toxicoferans, invertebrate venomous animals such as marine cone snails, hymenopterans, sea anemones, scorpions, and spiders strictly adhere to the venomous animals such as marine cone snails, hymenopterans, sea anemones, scorpions, and spiders strictly adhere to the venomous paradigm of encoding a prepropeptide form to the arthropod paradigm of encoding a prepropeptide encoding multiple mature toxins are extremely rare in arthropods, and have only been noted for latacins, linear antimicrobial peptides found in the venom of the spider Lachesana tarabaevi [16].

Centipedes may be the oldest extant terrestrial venomous lineage, having arisen more than 400 million years ago (Mya) [17]. Reflecting this ancient divergence, the centipede venom apparatus as well as most centipede toxins described to date bear little resemblance to those of other arthropods [18–20]. However, the centipede toxin transcripts described to date conform to the arthropod paradigm of encoding a prepropeptide containing a single mature toxin domain. In striking contrast, we describe here four different types of multidomain transcripts from the venom gland of four species of scolopendrid centipede and use MALDI imaging to show that these multifunctional “warheads” are activated in the venom gland prior to venom expulsion.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Specimen and venom collection

Ethmostigmus rubipes was purchased from Mini Beast Wildlife (www.minibeastwildlife.com.au). Scolopendra morsitans was collected from the Darling Downs region, Queensland, Australia, and Cormocephalus westwoodi was collected from the Launceston region, Tasmania, Australia; all were identified according to Koch [21–23]. Scolopendra alternans (Haiti) were purchased from La Ferme Tropicale (www.lafermetropicale.com). For venom collection, centipedes were starved for 3 weeks, then anesthetized with CO2 and venom extracted by electrostimulation (12 V, 1 mA). All species were milked except S. alternans. Venom was immediately lyophilised and stored until further use at −80 °C.

2.2. cDNA library construction

Four days after venom depletion by electrostimulation, the venom glands were removed from five anesthetized specimens, flash frozen, and pooled. Total RNA was extracted by using TRIzol (Life Technologies) and enriched for mRNA using a DynaBeads Direct mRNA kit (Life Technologies). mRNA was reverse transcribed, fragmented and ligated to a unique 10-base multiplex identifier (MID) tag and applied to a PicoTitrePlate for simultaneous amplification and sequencing on a Roche 454 GS FLX+ Titanium platform (Australian Genome Research Facility). Automated grouping and analysis of sample-specific MID reads enabled informatic separation of sequences from other transcriptomes on the plates. Low-quality sequences were removed prior to de novo contig assembly using MIRA (version 3.4.0.1). Assembly details (number of reads, average read length, number of contigs and average assembled bases per contig) were: E. rubipes 72740, 375, 6980, 1035; C. westwoodi 48041, 376, 1706, 544; S. alternans 57175, 355, 5044, 612; S. morsitans 93436, 356, 6029, 621. Contigs were processed and analyzed using CLC Main Work Bench (ver. 6.2; CLC bio) and the Blast2GO bioinformatic suite [24,25]. To identify putative toxin transcripts, each transcriptome was searched against the Tox-Proto database (http://www.uniprot.org/program/Toxins) to which additional functionally annotated centipede toxin sequences were added [18,20]; the results are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. Data can be accessed at the National Center for Biotechnology Information under bioprojects PRJNA200639 (E. rubipes), PRJNA200641 (C. westwoodi), PRJNA200753 (S. alternans), and PRJNA200640 (S. morsitans).
2.3. LC–MALDI MS

All milked venoms were analyzed using LC–MALDI MS. Crude venom was reconstituted in 0.1% formic acid and 2% acetonitrile (ACN), then particulates were removed by centrifugation. Venom was fractionated by reverse phase-HPLC (RP-HPLC) on an Agilent 1100 series nano-HPLC using a Vydac C18 column (300 μm × 150 mm, 5 μm particle size, 300 Å pore size). RP-HPLC fractions were spotted directly onto a MALDI plate using a Shimadzu AccuSpot NSM-1 before batch analysis using a 4700 MALDI TOF/TOF Proteomics Analyser (AB Sciex) in positive reflectron mode; ions of m/z 900–8000 were acquired by accumulating 2500 laser desorptions/spectrum. Samples were analyzed twice, using either α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA) or 1,5-diaminonaphthalene (1,5-DAN) as matrix. For CHCA-spotted samples, high intensity ions with m/z <3500 were manually selected for MS/MS. MS/MS experiments were run twice, with and without nitrogen gas in the collision cell for collision induced dissociation (CID), in both cases using a relative mass precursor window of 200 resolution (full width at half maximum), enabling metastable ion suppression, and accumulating 2000 shots/spectrum.

For generation of sequence tags by in-source decay (ISD) using 1,5-DAN, sample spots corresponding to >95% pure peptide larger than 3 kDa (as determined from initial analysis using CHCA) were re-spotted using 1,5 DAN matrix and immediately analyzed using ISD. Mass ranges were set from m/z 1000 up to 200 m/z higher than the precursor ion; up to 10 acquisition rounds were accumulated to maximize resolution and signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) for fragment ions. ISD spectra were manually interpreted, while CID spectra were automatically searched against the respective transcriptomes translated to all reading frames using Protein Pilot v4.5 (AB Sciex). The searches allowed for biological modifications and amino acid substitutions. Spectra were inspected manually to eliminate false positives, excluding spectra with low S/N, erroneous modification assignments, and confidence values below 99%.

2.4. Shotgun-LC–ESI MS/MS

For shotgun sequencing of milked venoms, peptides were reduced and alkylated [26] then 5 μg was incubated overnight with 0.02 mg/mL trypsin in 40 mM ammonium bicarbonate, pH 8.0. The reduced/alkylated sample (0.75 μg) was then processed by LC–MS/MS, using a Agilent Zorbax C18 column (2.1 × 100 mm, 1.8 μm particle size, 300 Å pore size) at a flow of 400 μL/min and a gradient of 1–40% solvent B (90% ACN, 0.1% formic acid) in 0.1% formic acid over 15 min on a Nexera UHPLC (Shimadzu) coupled with an AB Sciex Triple TOF 5600 mass spectrometer equipped with a Turbo V ion source heated to 450 °C. MS² spectra were acquired at 20 scans/s, with a cycle time of 2.3 s, and optimized for high resolution. Precursor ions with m/z 300–1800, a charge of +2 to +5, and an intensity of at least 120 counts/s were selected; a unit mass precursor ion inclusion window of ±0.7 Da was used, and isotopes within 2 Da were excluded for MS². MS² spectra were searched against translated transcriptomes from the corresponding centipede species using ProteinPilot v4.5 (ABSciex) as described above but with specification of the alkylation method (iodoethanol) and tryptic digestion. Spectra were inspected manually to eliminate false positives, excluding spectra with low S/N, erroneous modification assignments, and confidence values below 95% unless justifiable by the presence of a-ions after comparison with the theoretical MS/MS product ion spectrum. Proteins from each venom identified using this approach were BLAST searched against the NCBI non-redundant protein sequence database and the results are summarized in Supplementary Fig. 2.

2.5. MALDI imaging

A modification of published protocols [27,28] was used for MALDI imaging of venom glands from E. rubripes. The fixation process was optimized to improve the success of venom gland sectioning while maintaining access to endogenous proteins/peptides. Briefly, centipedes were starved for 3 weeks then anesthetized with CO2, before forcipules were cut off. Two longitudinal incisions were made along the cuticle to facilitate penetration of fixative, then the forcipules were left in 50% RCL2/ethanol at room temperature overnight. Venom glands were then dissected out, dehydrated sequentially using 70%, 90% and 100% ethanol (3 × 15 min at each concentration), cleared in xylene for 30 min, and embedded in paraffin wax before sectioning at 7 μm thickness. Sections were de-paraffinized by careful washing with xylene, and optically imaged prior to applying CHCA (7 mg/ml in 50% ACN, 0.2% trifluoroacetic acid) using a Bruker ImagePrep automated matrix sprayer. FlexControl 2.1 (Bruker) was used to operate an UltraFlex III TOF–TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker) in either linear positive or reflectron positive mode. For both modes of operation, a small laser size was chosen to give a spatial resolution of 50 μm, and matrix ion suppression was enabled up to m/z 980. MALDI-imaging experiments were performed using FlexImaging 2.1 (Bruker), and 200 laser shots per raster point were acquired. FlexImaging was subsequently used to visualize data in 2D ion intensity maps, producing an averaged spectrum based upon the normalized individual spectra acquired during the experiment. This approach enabled the detection of individual ions to be related to the venom-gland Sections.

2.6. Molecular evolution analyses

We evaluated the influence of natural selection using maximum-likelihood models [29,30] implemented in the CODEML program of the PAML package [31]. We compared likelihood values for three pairs of models with different assumed ω distributions: M0 (constant ω across all sites) versus M3 (allows ω to vary across sites within n discrete categories, with n ≥ 3); M1a (a model of neutral evolution) where all sites are assumed to be under negative (ω < 1) or neutral selection (ω = 1) versus M2a (a model of positive selection) which in addition to the site classes mentioned for M1a, assumes a third category of sites; sites with ω > 1 (positive selection) and M7 (ω) versus M8 (β and ω), and models that mirror the evolutionary constraints of M1 and M2 but assume that ω values are drawn from a β distribution [32]. Only if the alternative models (M3, M2a and M8: allow sites with ω > 1) yield a better fit in a Likelihood Ratio Test (LRT) relative to their null models (M0, M1a and M7: do not allow sites ω > 1), are their results considered significant. LRT is estimated as twice the difference in maximum likelihood values between
nested models and compared to the $\chi^2$ distribution with the appropriate degree of freedom (i.e., the difference in the number of parameters between the two models). The Bayes empirical Bayes (BEB) approach [33] was used to identify amino acids under positive selection by calculating the posterior probability that a particular amino acid belongs to a given selection class (neutral, conserved or highly variable). Sites with posterior probability $\geq 95\%$ of belonging to the 'ω > 1 class' were inferred to be positively selected.

Single Likelihood Ancestor Counting (SLAC), Fixed-Effects Likelihood (FEL), REL, and Fast, Unconstrained Bayesian AppRoximation (FUBAR) [34,35] implemented in HyPhy [36] were employed to support the aforementioned analyses and to detect sites evolving under the influence of positive and negative selection. MEME [37] was also used to detect episodically diversifying sites. To clearly depict the proportion of sites under different regimes of selection, an evolutionary fingerprint analysis was carried out using the evolutionary selection distance (ESD) algorithm implemented in datamonkey [38]. All sequence alignments are available in SI Files 2–4.

3. Results

3.1. Identification of multidomain toxin transcripts in centipedes

Due to their large size and clinical importance, we selected four representative centipede species from the family Scolopendridae that facilitated comparisons at the subfamily, genus, and species level: E. rubripes (Scolopendridae; Otostigminae), C. westwoodi (Scolopendridae; Scolopendrinae), and S. alternans and S. morsitans (Scolopendridae). The split of Otostigminae from Scolopendrinae about 300 Mya enabled approximate dating of evolutionary events. Venoms were characterized by next-generation sequencing of transcriptomes from regenerating venom glands and, where venom was available, complemented by both bottom-up and top-down proteomics in order to identify mature venom components. Peptides are named according to the rational nomenclature proposed for venom-gland transcriptomes (Fig. 1). Remarkably, this strategy revealed three types of multidomain transcripts, each encoding a single prepropeptide product that is posttranslationally cleaved to yield several mature peptides (Table 1). Type I transcripts encode a linear peptide preceding a cysteine-rich peptide (U-SLPTX-Er5.1 and U-SLPTX-Er5.2; Fig. 1); Type II transcripts encode a cysteine-rich peptide preceding a linear peptide (U-SLPTX-Er4.1 and U-SLPTX-Er4.2; Fig. 2); and Type III transcripts encode repeats of linear peptides (U-SLPTX-Er5.1 and U-SLPTX-Er5.2; Fig. 3). These transcripts are the first examples of multidomain toxin precursors in centipedes as well as the first examples of multidomain transcripts encoding cysteine-rich toxins in any venomous arthropod.

3.2. Taxonomic distribution of multidomain centipede-toxin transcripts

A BLAST search of each E. rubripes multidomain transcript against venom-gland transcriptomes from C. westwoodi, S. morsitans, and S. alternans uncovered similar transcripts (Figs. 1–3). Thus, multidomain transcripts appear to be a common strategy for diversifying the venom arsenal of centipedes. However, the various transcript classes were not universally conserved across all species.

Intriguingly, the cysteine-rich domains of Er1.2 and Er2.1 from E. rubripes were homologous to sequences recovered from Scolopendra, but the transcripts encoding these latter toxins lacked the linear domains found in the E. rubripes transcript. In the case of Er1, only one ortholog was found in S. morsitans (Fig. 1). The sequence corresponding to the linear peptide in Er1.1 is almost entirely absent in the S. morsitans precursor, with the notable exception of the C-terminal "ER" cleavage site, and the "AR" cleavage site used to release Er1.1 is missing from the propeptide region. As a result, the S. morsitans precursor encodes only a single, cysteine-rich toxin. Hence, as shown in Fig. 4, Type I transcripts most likely evolved after the divergence of Otostigmatae from Scolopendridae around 300 Mya [40] via extension of the propeptide region and addition of an N-terminal "AR" processing site. The newly formed linear toxin (Er1.1) is presumably liberated from the cysteine-rich domain (Er1.2) via the same mechanism that releases the ancestral cysteine-rich peptide in S. morsitans from the N-terminal propeptide.

In the case of Er2, single-domain orthologs were found in both S. morsitans and Scolopendra subspinipes dehaani (Fig. 2). In E. rubripes Er2, the cysteine-rich domain (Er2.1) is followed by a dibasic "KR" cleavage site that immediately precedes the linear domain (Er2.2). In contrast to Er1 from E. rubripes, this additional domain does not appear to be an extension or functionalization of a propeptide domain as the ortholog recovered from S. morsitans lacks a C-terminal processing signal while the orthologs from S. subspinipes dehaani lack C-terminal propeptide regions entirely. Hence, as for Type I, Type II transcripts appear to have evolved after the divergence of Otostigmatae from Scolopendridae (Fig. 4), but in this case via addition of a new domain at the C-terminus as well as a C-terminal "KR" processing site.

A BLAST search revealed orthologs of E. rubripes Er5 in venom-gland transcriptomes from C. westwoodi, S. morsitans, and S. alternans (Fig. 3). The C-terminal sequences of Er5.1 and Er5.2 are identical, and they contain four of the first five residues of a sequence motif ("RLWRNWE") that is repeated several times in the Er5-like transcripts recovered from all species. However, these latter transcripts lack domains corresponding to the linear peptides Er5.1 and Er5.2. Since transcripts with and without Er5.1 and Er5.2 domains were recovered from E. rubripes, it is likely that Type III transcripts were recruited after the split between the two scolopendrid subfamilies Otostigmatae and Scolopendridae about 300 Mya (Fig. 4).

Notably, the RLWRNWE repeats are flanked by N-terminal dibasic sites ("KR" or "RR") and C-terminal sequences ("NW") that correspond to the C-terminal processing sites for Er5.1 and Er5.2. Hence it is conceivable that these transcripts are
processed to yield multiple short “LWRN” peptides that were too small to detect in this study.

An additional cysteine-rich domain was found in the RLWRNWE-repeat-containing transcript from *C. westwoodi*, which we named U1-SLPTX-Cw1a (henceforth Cw1a) (Table 1; Fig. 3). This peptide was also identified in trypsinized venom from the same species, supporting its existence in secreted venom (Supplementary Fig. 3). Hence, the *C. westwoodi* transcript corresponds to a fourth class of multidomain centipede-toxin transcript (Type IV) in which multiple linear peptide domains precede a cysteine-rich toxin domain. The intriguing cysteine-rich domain encoded by this transcript is presumably a more recent recruitment that occurred after the split between *Scolopendra* and *Cormocephalus* approximately 250 Mya [40] (Fig. 4).

BLAST searches revealed that none of the mature toxins produced from the centipede multidomain transcripts are homologous to peptides or proteins with known function. However, the *E. rubripes* cysteine-rich mature toxin Er2.1 (Fig. 2) is homologous to putative neurotoxins with no assigned function from *S. subspinipes dehaani* and *Scolopendra subspinipes mutilans* [18,20]. As for the transcript recovered from *S. morsitans*, the toxin precursors encoding Er2.1-like sequences in *S. s. dehaani* and *S. s. mutilans* lack the C-terminal linear peptide domain found in *E. rubripes*. Transcripts containing LWRNWE repeats were also found in the venom gland of *S. dehaani*, but they lack domains

**Table 1** – Sequences of mature peptide toxins encoded by centipede multidomain transcripts that were recovered by mass spectrometry sequencing of crude venom.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Toxin name</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Sequence of mature secreted peptide</th>
<th>NCBI accession</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Er1.1a</td>
<td><em>E. rubripes</em></td>
<td>SAFSSEETAQDQHVMEER</td>
<td>KF130724, KF130725, KF130726, KF130727, KF130728, KF130729, KF130730, KF130731, KF130732, KF130738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er1.1b</td>
<td><em>E. rubripes</em></td>
<td>SAFSLEETAQDQHVMEER</td>
<td>KF130733, KF130734, KF130735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er1.2a</td>
<td><em>E. rubripes</em></td>
<td>IFINPAGREKNALENCRSSPNCKNYEFCS</td>
<td>KF130724, KF130727, KF130728, KF130729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er1.2b</td>
<td><em>E. rubripes</em></td>
<td>IFINPAGREKNALENCRSSPNCKNYEFCS</td>
<td>KF130725, KF130726, KF130730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er1.2c</td>
<td><em>E. rubripes</em></td>
<td>IFINPAGREKNALENCRSSPNCKNYEFCS</td>
<td>KF130733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er1.2d</td>
<td><em>E. rubripes</em></td>
<td>VFINPAGREKNALENCKSLPNCKNYEFCS</td>
<td>KF130724, KF130734, KF130735</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er1.2e</td>
<td><em>E. rubripes</em></td>
<td>IFINPCKFPGKNAENCRRSNNCTMKSFTQVQSSIRP</td>
<td>KF130731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er1.4a</td>
<td><em>E. rubripes</em></td>
<td>CPSDCRCDYRSSQQRPAANDDHVPNCIDHYCVVVNLA</td>
<td>KF130739, KF130740, KF130741, KF130742, KF130743, KF130744, KF130745, KF130746, KF130747, KF130748, KF130749, KF130750, KF130756, KF130748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er1.4b</td>
<td><em>E. rubripes</em></td>
<td>EQRPELSPGAWDDSS</td>
<td>KF130739, KF130740, KF130741, KF130742, KF130743, KF130746, KF130747, KF130749, KF130750, KF130748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er1.5a</td>
<td><em>E. rubripes</em></td>
<td>QVANEDEGEDKAKELWR</td>
<td>KF130744, KF130745, KF130750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Er1.5b</td>
<td><em>E. rubripes</em></td>
<td>QVADLNEDEQQRDKWLR</td>
<td>KF130754, KF130755</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cw1a</td>
<td><em>C. westwoodi</em></td>
<td>LWRNEDQEVACTTKCSGSDNEIFSKVDHELITSE TKRVPCC</td>
<td>KF130762</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
corresponding to Er5.1 and Er5.2. Significant BLAST hits are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. There are no known structural or functional domains in any of the centipede-toxin multidomain transcripts aside from the signal peptides identified by InterPro.

3.3. MALDI imaging

We used MALDI imaging to determine whether prepropeptides encoding multiple mature toxins are processed in the centipede venom gland or if the mature toxins are only liberated from the precursor upon venom secretion. For all three types of multidomain transcripts identified in *E. rubripes*, the fully processed mature toxins were identified in the venom gland (Fig. 5). Thus, the mature toxins are clearly liberated from the multidomain precursors in the venom gland prior to venom expulsion and not by venom proteases upon secretion. This conclusion was reinforced by LC–MALDI-TOF analysis of the secreted venom, which revealed no ions with molecular masses corresponding to any of the unprocessed multidomain precursors.

3.4. Molecular evolution of centipede multidomain toxin transcripts

Venom proteins involved in prey capture are often subject to extensive positive selection and diversification as a result of an ongoing predator–prey arms race [41–43]. Co-expressed domains with divergent functions often exhibit different rates of evolution, perhaps due to differential evolvability of their cognate molecular targets in prey [44]. Different rates or regimes of natural selection can thus be an indication of the different roles that toxins play in the venom. We therefore investigated the molecular evolution of the centipede multidomain toxin transcripts and their monodomain homologues with a view to gaining insight into the likely importance of the encoded mature toxins in prey envenomation.

Molecular evolutionary assessments using various maximum-likelihood methodologies failed to detect variations in the coding sequences of centipede toxins (Supplementary Table 2). Site-model 8, which computes the non-synonymous to synonymous rate ratio (ω), indicated that each of the centipede multidomain toxin-transcripts evolved under the significant influence of negative selection. However, site-specific assessments are known to be influenced by sequence divergence and they also assume that the strength of selection remains constant across all lineages over time, which is not always biologically justified [37]. Centipedes, which may well be the oldest extant terrestrial venomous lineage, have relatively short generation times and hence accumulate tremendous sequence divergence. Therefore, to address the shortcomings of site-specific assessments, we employed the Mixed Effects Model of Evolution (MEME) which is known to reliably capture the molecular footprints of both episodic and pervasive diversifying selection [37]. MEME identified very few sites in all but one Er2 toxin transcript from *E. rubripes* as evolving under episodic diversifying selection, further supporting the
conclusion that centipede multidomain toxin transcripts have evolved under strong negative selection.

To complement these analyses, we also used an unconstrained Bayesian approximation method [35] to identify sites evolving under adaptive and purifying selection pressures. This approach identified only a few positively selected sites in the Er2.1 orthologs from \textit{S. subspinipes}. In support of this, evolutionary fingerprint analyses revealed that while a large proportion of sites in most centipede multidomain toxin transcripts follow a regime of negative selection, a smaller proportion of sites in Er2.1 orthologs from \textit{S. subspinipes} evolve under the influence of positive Darwinian selection (Supplementary Fig. 4). Branch-site random effect likelihood (REL) model analyses identified several lineages in all but three Er2.1 centipede-toxin transcripts as being subjected to episodic bursts of adaptive selection pressures (Supplementary Fig. 5), suggesting that even these highly conserved toxin transcripts fine tune their ability to target constantly evolving molecular receptors in prey from time to time.

Thus, various selection assessments conclusively highlight that most centipede multidomain toxin transcripts recovered in this study lack coding sequence variations. They therefore represent a rare class of predatory toxins that have evolved under the constraints of negative selection [43]. In contrast, the cysteine-rich Er2.1 monodomain orthologs from \textit{S. subspinipes} accumulate greater amounts of variation, suggesting that they evolve under positive selection.

4. Discussion

Toxicoferan reptiles and coleoid cephalopods produce several types of multidomain toxin transcripts that contribute to venom complexity by posttranslational generation of functional variants

---

**Fig. 3** - Type III and IV multidomain centipede-toxin transcripts. Trimmed translated sequence alignments of Er5 precursors from \textit{Ethmostigmus rubripes} and homologous sequences from \textit{Cormocephalus westwoodii}, \textit{Scolopendra morsitans} and \textit{S. subspinipes dehaani} [18]. The sequences represent complete prepropeptides, where signal peptides are in lowercase, cysteines are highlighted in yellow, RLWRNWE repeats are highlighted in red, and mature peptides are shown in bold. A schematic representation of the signal peptide, preprotepeptide, and mature peptide domains of the Type III Er5 precursor, along with the location of protease cleavage sites, is shown above the alignment. A similar schematic representation of the coding structure of the Cw1a Type IV precursor is shown below the alignment, including the putative “LWRN” mature peptide domains. Mass spectra used for identification of mature Er5.1 and Er5.2 in the secreted venom are displayed below the alignment and are labeled according to fragment-ion type.
as well as entirely new toxin families [5,7,10,44]. In contrast, no such strategies have been reported for diversifying the venom arsenal of arthropods despite extensive characterization of several venomous taxa. Here we describe four types of multidomain toxin transcripts produced in the venom gland of centipedes. These transcripts encode either a linear peptide preceding a cysteine-rich toxin (Type I), a cysteine-rich toxin preceding a linear peptide (Type II), repeats of linear peptides (Type III), or repeats of linear peptides preceding a cysteine-rich toxin (Type IV).

Type I and II transcripts appear to have evolved by independent recruitment of linear peptide domains into genes encoding cysteine-rich toxins. Type I transcripts typified by Er1 (Fig. 1) most likely arose by extension and functionalization of an N-terminal propeptide region, whereas Type II transcripts typified by Er2 (Fig. 2) appear to have arisen through acquisition of an entirely new linear peptide domain via alternative splicing or loss of a stop codon. The Type III transcripts exemplified by Er5 (Fig. 3) and Type IV transcripts exemplified by the Cw1a-encoding transcript from C. westwoodii (Fig. 3) represent an interesting divergent use of the same family of LWRNWE-repeats. Type III transcripts appear to have arisen by duplication and divergence of an LWRNWE-containing linear peptide domain, whereas an additional C-terminal cysteine-rich domain has been appended to the LWRNWE-repeats in the Type IV transcripts (Fig. 4).

Centipede venom glands are essentially composite gland structures composed of multiple secretory units, each comprised of one or more secretory cells that release toxins into an extracellular storage body that is connected to the central chitinous duct through a one-way valve [19]. MALDI imaging revealed that each multidomain toxin precursor is processed in the venom gland to liberate the mature toxins prior to entering the venom duct. To our knowledge, this represents the first application of MALDI imaging to examine the distribution of peptide toxins in the venom gland of any animal. Due to the limited resolution of our MALDI imaging system (~50 μm), we were unable to determine whether precursor processing occurs in the secretory cell granules before release into the secretory body. However, the MALDI imaging data eliminate post-secretory proteolytic cleavage as a means of peptide maturation or as a source of experimental artifacts.

Most animal toxins evolve under the significant influence of positive selection, driven by an ongoing predator–prey arms race, and this is also the case for functional domains on multidomain transcripts [44,45]. However, in striking contrast to all previously described multidomain toxin transcripts, we found that all of the centipede toxins encoded by such transcripts are under the significant influence of negative selection with a distinct lack of coding sequence variation. Although some predatory toxins that act non-specifically on membrane lipids (e.g., snake-venom cytolytic three-finger toxins) evolve under the influence of negative selection, the lack of sequence variation in predatory toxins is considered a rare evolutionary phenomenon. In contrast, predatory toxins that attack more plastic molecular targets in prey are likely to evolve under the influence of positive selection and accumulate variations under an arms race scenario. Interestingly, our biochemical assessments revealed that mature toxins encoded by centipede multidomain transcripts are not cytotoxic. Furthermore, the relatively high abundance of these peptides in the secreted venom suggests that they play an important function in their respective venoms. Hence, it is likely that these centipede toxins attack molecular targets in the prey that have vital functions and consequently evolve under strong evolutionary constraints.

The strong influence of negative selection on centipede toxins encoded by multidomain transcripts could also be explained by toxins encoded on the same transcript having synergistic modes of action. The ability of a single precursor to liberate peptides that attack multiple targets simultaneously would eliminate the necessity to accumulate variations rapidly,

---

Fig. 4 – Graphical summary of the four types of multidomain centipede-toxin transcripts, with their earliest estimated recruitment indicated on a representational phylogenetic tree. (a) Type 1 (Er1), Type 2 (Er2) and Type 3 (Er5) transcripts in E. rubripes; (b) Type 4 transcript (Cw1a) in C. westwoodii; and (c) the possible early recruitment of LWRNWE-repeat-containing transcripts in an early scolopendrid ancestor. Toxin precursors on the cladogram are color-coded according to the schematic below the cladogram, with signal peptides, propeptides, mature linear peptides (“Linear”), and mature cysteine-rich toxins (“Cys-rich”) colored green, gray, yellow and blue, respectively.
thereby allowing negative selection to become dominant as the synergistic modes of actions became increasingly interdependent. In support of this hypothesis, the single cysteine-rich toxin encoded by the monodomain Er2 transcript in *S. subspinipes dehaani* has accumulated much greater coding sequence variation than the corresponding cysteine-rich domain in the homologous multidomain Er2 precursor in *E. rubripes*. Moreover, selection assessments detected several sites in the *S. subspinipes dehaani* Er2 toxin evolving under the influence of positive selection. Multifunctional transcripts may therefore represent a strategy to reduce redundancy within each toxin class, thereby facilitating the expression of higher levels of functionally important toxin isoforms while ensuring that the complexity of the centipede’s toxin arsenal is maintained in order to prevent the accumulation of venom resistance in prey.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jprot.2014.02.024.
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