

Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants

Pamela Calvo · Louise Nelson · Joseph W. Klopper

Received: 20 December 2013 / Accepted: 25 April 2014 / Published online: 8 May 2014
© The Author(s) 2014. This article is published with open access at Springerlink.com

Abstract

Background Plant biostimulants are diverse substances and microorganisms used to enhance plant growth. The global market for biostimulants is projected to increase 12 % per year and reach over \$2.2 billion by 2018. Despite the growing use of biostimulants in agriculture, many in the scientific community consider biostimulants to be lacking peer-reviewed scientific evaluation.

Scope This article describes the emerging definitions of biostimulants and reviews the literature on five categories of biostimulants: i. microbial inoculants, ii. humic acids, iii. fulvic acids, iv. protein hydrolysates and amino acids, and v. seaweed extracts.

Conclusions The large number of publications cited for each category of biostimulants demonstrates that there is growing scientific evidence supporting the use of biostimulants as agricultural inputs on diverse plant species. The cited literature also reveals some commonalities in plant responses to different biostimulants, such as increased root growth, enhanced nutrient uptake, and stress tolerance.

Keywords Microbial inoculants · Humic acid · Fulvic acid · Protein hydrolysates · Amino acids · Seaweed extracts · Biostimulants

Introduction

Plant biostimulants, or agricultural biostimulants, include diverse substances and microorganisms that enhance plant growth. The global market for biostimulants has been projected to reach \$2.2 billion by 2018 and to have a compound annual growth rate of 12.5 % from 2013 to 2018 (Anonymous, 2013). According to the same study, the largest market for biostimulants in 2012 was Europe. The European biostimulants industry council (EBIC) reported that in 2012 over 6.2 million hectares were treated with biostimulants in Europe (defined as the European Economic Area) (European Biostimulants Industry Council 2013).

The definition and concept of plant biostimulants is still evolving, which is partly a reflection of the diversity of inputs that can be considered to be biostimulants. The breadth of the concept of biostimulants is evident by reviewing two initiatives from consortia of biostimulant industries, one in Europe and one in North America. In Europe, the EBIC defined plant biostimulants as follows. “Plant biostimulants contain substance(s) and/or microorganisms whose function when applied to plants or the rhizosphere is to stimulate natural processes to enhance/benefit nutrient uptake, nutrient efficiency, tolerance to abiotic stress, and crop quality. Biostimulants have no direct action against pests, and therefore do not fall within the regulatory framework of pesticides” (European Biostimulants Industry 2012a). Hence, this definition differentiates plant biostimulants from biological control and

Responsible Editor: Philippe Hinsinger.

P. Calvo · J. W. Klopper (✉)
Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, Auburn
University, Auburn, AL 36830, USA
e-mail: kloepjw@auburn.edu

P. Calvo
e-mail: pzc0006@tigermail.com

L. Nelson
Department of Biology, University of British Columbia,
Okanagan Kelowna, BC, Canada
e-mail: louise.nelson@ubc.ca

from induced resistance against diseases by concentrating on effects related to improved plant growth, stress tolerance and quality. The EBIC later (European Biostimulants Industry 2012b) elaborated on the effects that biostimulants can have on plants as follows. “Biostimulants foster plant growth and development throughout the crop life cycle from seed germination to plant maturity in a number of demonstrated ways, including but not limited to: improving the efficiency of the plant’s metabolism to induce yield increases and enhanced crop quality; increasing plant tolerance to and recovery from abiotic stresses; facilitating nutrient assimilation, translocation and use; enhancing quality attributes of produce, including sugar content, colour, fruit seeding, etc.; rendering water use more efficient; enhancing certain physicochemical properties of the soil and fostering the development of complementary soil micro-organisms”. Finally, the EBIC concept of biostimulants includes products with some nutrients, provided that the effect on plant growth is not through direct fertilization: “Biostimulants operate through different mechanisms than fertilisers, regardless of the presence of nutrients in the products” (European Biostimulants Industry 2012b).

In North America, the biostimulant coalition defined biostimulants as “substances, including micro-organisms, that are applied to plant, seed, soil or other growing media that may enhance the plant’s ability to assimilate applied nutrients, or provide benefits to plant development. Biostimulants are not plant nutrients and therefore may not make any nutrient claims or guarantees” (Biostimulant 2013). In a manner similar to the EBIC, the biostimulant coalition followed the definition of biostimulants with a statement of the effects biostimulants have on plants: “They are derived from natural or biological sources and can i) enhance plant growth and development when applied in small quantities; ii) help improve the efficiency of plant nutrients, as measured by either improved nutrient uptake or reduced nutrient losses to the environment, or both; or act as soil amendments to help improve soil structure, function, or performance and thus enhance plant response” (Biostimulant 2013).

The first world congress on the use of biostimulants in agriculture was held in Strasbourg, France in November, 2012 and was attended by over 700 people from 30 countries. The congress aimed to bring together individuals working on aspects of biostimulants in industry, academia, and regulatory agencies. Prior to this congress, the work of evaluating scientific support

for claims of biostimulants was advanced by du Jardin (2012) who conducted a bibliographic analysis of plant biostimulants based on eight categories of biostimulants: humic substances, complex organic materials, beneficial chemical elements, inorganic salts (including phosphite), seaweed extracts, chitin and chitosan derivatives, antitranspirants, and free amino acids and other N-containing substances. This analysis listed the major claims for the references found in data bases for each category of biostimulant.

The current review builds on the analysis of du Jardin (2012). The overall aim of the review is to begin a critical review of selected refereed scientific publications, that report effects of the various categories of plant biostimulants arising from the definitions and descriptions of biostimulants that are included in the European and North American biostimulant consortia. Specifically, the categories of plant biostimulants that we review are i. microbial inoculants, ii. humic acids, iii. fulvic acids, iv. protein hydrolysates and amino acids, and v. seaweed extracts.

Microbial inoculants

The use of microbial inoculants in agriculture has greatly increased during the past two decades (Hayat et al. 2010) as the public and private sector agricultural research and development communities work for solutions to problems associated with modern agriculture. Microbial inoculants are typically classified as biocontrol agents (also called biopesticides) or biofertilizers (Bashan and Holguin 1998). Microbial inoculants that act as biofertilizers are considered as biostimulants in the present review. Biofertilizers are biological products containing living microorganisms that, when applied to seed, plant surfaces, or soil, promote growth by several mechanisms such as increasing the supply of nutrients, increasing root biomass or root area, and increasing nutrient uptake capacity of the plant (Vessey 2003). Biofertilizers can be used as complements to mineral fertilizers (Canbolat et al. 2006). Microbial inoculants mainly include free-living bacteria, fungi, and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) (Berg 2009; Dodd and Ruiz-Lozano 2012; Vessey 2003) that were isolated from a variety of environments including soil, plants, plant residues, water, and composted manures. Among the biofertilizers that have been studied in depth are plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR)

(Kloepper et al. 1989) and plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB), both of which are free-living bacteria mainly isolated from the rhizosphere (Bashan et al. 2014). Several reviews of PGPR have been published in the past few years (Antoun and Kloepper 2001; Bhattacharyya and Jha 2012; Spaepen et al. 2009).

During the development of effective microbial inoculants, several factors must be considered. For example, the species and variety of plant can sometimes be a determining factor in obtaining benefits using biofertilizers (Dalmastri et al. 1999; Remans et al. 2008). Different plant species or cultivars can produce different types of root exudates, which support the activity of the inoculated microorganisms and also serve as substrates for the formation of biologically active substances by the microorganisms (Khalid et al. 2004). Reproducibility of effects of microbial inoculants needs to be tested across a range of soil types and environmental conditions. Another key factor for the development of microbial inoculants is the commercial formulation (Bashan et al. 2014). The inoculated microorganisms must survive in the selected formulation and produce the desired activity following inoculation in the field. Also, when used in conventional agriculture, the microorganisms must also be compatible with chemical fertilizers and crop protection chemicals standardly used on seeds or foliage of the target crop.

Plant growth promotion resulting from better nutrient uptake

Enhanced plant growth and yield by microbial inoculants has been linked in some cases to enhanced nutrient uptake and improved nutrient status of the plant. For example, (Wu et al. 2005) reported that plant growth promotion following inoculation of maize (*Zea mays*) with strains of *Bacillus megaterium* and *Bacillus muciaraglaginous* together with AMF was associated with improved nutritional assimilation of plant total N, P, and K. Application of PGPR resulted in a significant increase in N, P, and K uptake as well as root and shoot dry weight in cotton (*Gossypium hirsutum*) (Egamberdiyeva and Höflich 2004) and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) (Shaharoon et al. 2008). (Adesemoye et al. 2010) reported that a three-strain mixture of *Bacillus* spp. PGPR promoted growth of tomato and increased plant uptake of ¹⁵N-depleted fertilizer. In a three-year field study with maize, PGPR, AMF and a combination of the two increased yield and

enhanced the total nutrient content of grain per plot (Adesemoye et al. 2008).

Several mechanisms have been reported for how specific microbial inoculants stimulate plant growth and nutrient uptake, including (i) asymbiotic nitrogen fixation (Boddey and Dobereiner 1995; Döbereiner 1997), (ii) solubilization of nutrients (de Freitas et al. 1997), (iii) sequestering of iron by production of siderophores, and (iv) production of volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

Bacteria with the capacity to fix atmospheric nitrogen (N₂) asymbiotically belong to many different genera, including *Azoarcus* spp. (Hurek et al., 1994), *Beijerinckia* spp. (Baldani et al., 1997), *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (Riggs et al., 2001), *Pantoea agglomerans* (Riggs et al., 2001), *Azotobacter* spp. (Mrkovacki and Milic 2001), *Azospirillum* spp. (Garcia de Salamone et al. 1996), *Bacillus polymyxa* (Omar et al. 1996), *Burkholderia* spp. (Baldani et al. 2001), *Herbaspirillum* spp. (Pimentel et al. 1991), and *Gluconoacebacter diazotrophicus* (Boddey et al. 2001). Among all these genera, *Azospirillum* is the most studied (Bashan et al. 2004; Bashan and de-Bashan 2010; Boddey and Dobereiner 1995; Hartmann and Bashan 2009). *Azospirillum* spp. can be found in close association with plant roots, including inside root tissues. The capacity of *Azospirillum* spp. to fix atmospheric nitrogen has been widely reported in different crops. (Malik et al. 2002), using ¹⁵N tracer techniques, found that *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Azospirillum lipoferum* contributed between 7–12 % of the total nitrogen content of wheat. In contrast, with sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum*), 60–80 % of total plant nitrogen came from nitrogen fixation by *Azospirillum diazotrophicus* (Boddey et al. 1991). Significant increases in nitrogen content by inoculation with *Azospirillum* spp. have been reported in many crops, including cotton, wheat, sugarcane, and corn (Garcia de Salamone et al. 1996; Fayeze and Daw 1987; Saubidet et al. 2000). It should be noted however that nitrogen fixation does not account for all of the observed growth promotion noted with the use of *Azospirillum* species.

Some microorganisms increase the availability of selected soil nutrients via enhanced solubilization of the nutrients, thereby allowing plants to take up nutrients in a more efficient way. Low availability of absorbable forms of P in soil represents an important problem for agricultural systems. Even when P fertilizers are added to soils, they may not be absorbed by plants

because P is readily bound to soil particles, thereby being unavailable for plants (Gyaneshwar et al. 2002). Different bacterial genera have been identified as phosphorus solubilizers (de Freitas et al. 1997), including *Pseudomonas* spp. (Malboobi et al. 2009; Park et al. 2009), *Bacillus* spp. (Arkhipova et al. 2005; de Freitas et al. 1997; Sahin et al. 2004; Zaidi et al. 2006), *Burkholderia* spp. (Tao et al. 2008), *Streptomyces* spp. (Chang and Yang 2009), *Achromobacter* spp. (Ma et al. 2009), *Micrococcus* spp. (Dastager et al. 2010), *Flavobacterium* spp. (Kannapiran and Ramkuma 2011), *Erwinia* spp. (Rodriguez et al. 2001), and *Azospirillum* spp. (Rodriguez et al. 2004). The two most reported mechanisms by which microorganisms solubilize P are production of organic acids (Goldstein 1995) and production of phosphatases (to release organic-P) (Rodriguez et al. 2006). Organic acids transform insoluble phosphate forms to soluble forms through their hydroxyl and carboxyl groups. These groups chelate the cations bound to phosphate, thereby converting it to soluble forms (Kpombekou and Tabatabai 1994). Organic acids are also responsible for decreasing the pH of the surrounding soil, thereby releasing phosphate ions (Rodriguez and Fraga 1999). Many different types of organic acids have been linked to phosphate solubilization. The type of organic acid released by a microorganism depends on the species of the microorganism. For example, *Bacillus licheniformis* and *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* species were found to produce mixtures of lactic, isovaleric, isobutyric, and acetic acids. In contrast, gluconic acid seems to be the most frequent organic acid produced by bacteria such as *Azospirillum* spp. (Rodriguez et al. 2004), *Pseudomonas* spp., *Erwinia herbicola*, *Pseudomonas cepacia*, and *Burkholderia cepacia* (Rodriguez and Fraga 1999). Organic phosphorus, which is about 30–80 % of soil phosphorus, plays an important role in the phosphorus cycle of agricultural soils (Tarafdar and Gharu 2006). The predominant forms of organic phosphorus are phytates (inositol hexa- and penta-phosphates), which constitute up to 60 % of soil organic phosphorus. However, phytate cannot be taken up by the plant. It must first be dephosphorylated by phosphatases (phytases and phosphatases), (Gyaneshwar et al. 2002; Singh and Satyanarayana 2011). Acid phosphatases and phytases produced by rhizosphere microorganisms are involved in organic- P solubilization. Gram negative bacteria such as *Pseudomonas*, *Burkholderia*, *Enterobacter*, *Citrobacter*, and *Serratia* have been

reported to produce acid phosphatases (Gügi et al. 1991; Thaller et al. 1995). Organic phosphate in soils is predominantly present as phytate, and production of the enzyme phytase by inoculants including *Pseudomonas* spp. (Richardson et al. 2009) and *Bacillus* spp. (Idriss et al. 2002) has been shown to contribute to plant growth promotion. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) are widespread in the plant kingdom and contribute significantly to plant P nutrition and growth in natural ecosystems (Smith et al. 2011). AMF colonize most agricultural species and have an important role in the P nutrition of many farming systems worldwide, especially in soils with low available P (Thompson 1987). The hyphae of AMF colonizing plants have some of the same functions as root hairs with respect to P acquisition (Jakobsen et al. 2005) and have an especially large influence on P uptake in varieties with short root hairs (Schweiger et al. 1995).

In addition to P, Potassium (K) is another essential plant nutrient that can be solubilized by soil microorganisms and microbial inoculants. K-solubilizing microorganisms solubilize rock K minerals, such as micas, illite, and orthoclases, by excreting organic acids that directly dissolve rock K or chelate silicon ions to solubilize K (Friedrich et al. 1991; Parmar and Sindhu 2013). Application of *Bacillus mucilaginosus* and *B. megaterium* with rock K materials resulted in significant increases in available K in the soil and K uptake by eggplant roots and shoots (Han and Lee 2005). Sheng and He (2006) suggested that enhanced K uptake by inoculation with *Bacillus edaphicus* was due to the production of organic acids (citric, oxalic, tartaric, succinic, and α -ketogluconic) that directly dissolve rock K or chelate silicon ions.

Microbial inoculants have been reported to enhance uptake of other macro and micronutrients, and the mechanisms involved are still being elucidated. Increases in root biomass, root surface area, or root hairs could be indirect mechanisms that enhance the uptake of nutrients. A wide variety of microorganisms such as *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Acinetobacter* spp., *Azospirillum* spp., *Bacillus* spp., and AMF have been reported to increase uptake of Zn (Kohler et al. 2008; Yazdani and Pirdashti 2011), Cu, Mn (Liu et al. 2000), Ca, Mg (Giri and Mukerji 2004; Khan 2005), and S (Banerjee et al. 2006). (Kohler et al. 2008) reported that inoculation with a combination of two different microorganisms, *Pseudomonas mendocina* and the AMF

Glomus intraradices, significantly increased uptake of Fe, Ca, and manganese (Mn) in lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*).

Bacteria have evolved Fe (III) transport systems that enable them to grow in environments containing very low concentrations of iron. Fe-binding chelators, known as siderophores, bind or capture free Fe (III) and transport iron into the cell (Neilands and Nakamura 1991). Many different types of siderophores have been studied and identified in different bacterial genera including *Bacillus* (Park et al. 2005; Temirov et al. 2003; Wilson et al. 2006). The relation between siderophore production, plant growth promotion, and uptake of Fe has also been well documented for a variety of plants and microorganisms. Significant increases in tomato and rice growth parameters were reported using a siderophore-producing strain of *Streptomyces* (Rungin et al. 2012; Verma et al. 2011). (Sharma et al. 2013) reported that inoculation with a *Pseudomonas putida* strain increased iron uptake of rice due to siderophore production and increased the iron content in rice grains which enhanced the nutritional value of the rice.

Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are low molecular-weight compounds, such as alcohols, aldehydes, ketones, and hydrocarbons, that have high enough vapor pressures under normal conditions to vaporize and enter the atmosphere (Ortiz-Castro et al. 2009). VOCs were initially described as contributing to some biocontrol activities of select rhizosphere microorganisms (Fernando et al. 2005; Vespermann et al. 2007). Later, the role of VOCs in plant growth promotion was reported. (Ryu et al. 2003) reported that the VOCs of some PGPR strains contained 2, 3 butanediol and acetoin that were related to growth promotion of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. In the same way, (Zhuang et al. 2007) found that VOCs produced by one of the same PGPR strains used by (Ryu et al. 2003) regulated genes involved in cell wall modifications and auxin production in *A. thaliana*.

Plant growth promotion due to increased production of plant hormones resulting from microbial inoculants

The production of plant growth-regulators by many bacterial species and their effect on plant growth was reported more than 30 years ago (Barea et al. 1976). Microbial inoculants, such as PGPR, can alter root architecture and promote plant development via the production or degradation of the major groups of plant hormones (Bhattacharyya and Jha 2012; Dodd et al.

2010; Idris et al. 2007). Microbial inoculants can also modify plant hormone status (Belimov et al. 2009). Phytohormones, like auxins, cytokinins (CKs), gibberellins (GAs), and ethylene (ET), can be synthesized by beneficial microorganisms. These plant hormones regulate multiple physiological processes, including root initiation, root elongation, and root hair formation. They typically operate in complex networks involving cross-talk and feedback (Dodd et al. 2010; Zahir et al. 2003).

Microbial production of the auxin indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) has been extensively reported (Ali et al. 2009). IAA plays a critical role in plant growth and development by influencing many plant functions, including promotion of cell elongation and cell division, apical dominance, root initiation, differentiation of vascular tissue, ethylene biosynthesis, mediation of tropic responses, and the expression of specific plant genes. Most studies using microorganisms that produce IAA have reported a link between IAA production and root development and morphology (Aloni et al. 2006; Döbbelaere et al. 1999a). Many different bacterial species can produce IAA through various mechanisms. For example, (Spaepen et al. 2008) found that IAA production by *A. brasilense* was regulated by root exudates. When root exudates decrease and become a limiting factor for bacterial growth, bacterial production of IAA increases, thereby triggering lateral root and root hair formation. *A. brasilense* Sp245, which produces IAA, increased leaf length and shoot dry weight of spring wheat compared to a non-inoculated control (Spaepen et al. 2008). *Aeromonas* spp., *A. brasilense*, and *Comamonas acidovorans* are among the many IAA species that promote plant growth in rice (*Oryza sativa*) (Mehnaz et al. 2001), wheat (Kaushik et al. 2000), and lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) (Barazani and Friedman 1999).

Cytokinins are a broad group of plant growth regulators that share the capacity to induce plant cell division in bioassays. After biosynthesis in root tips and developing seeds, cytokinins are transported to the shoot via the xylem where they regulate several processes, such as cell division, leaf expansion, and senescence (Spaepen et al. 2009). The capacity of microorganisms to produce cytokinins as one mechanism of plant growth promotion was confirmed using bacterial mutants (García de Salamone et al. 2001). Inoculation with *Bacillus subtilis* caused increased cytokinin content in shoots and roots of lettuce plants and an increase in plant shoot and root weight of approximately 30 % (Arkhipova et al. 2005).

Application of the cytokinin-producing bacterium *B. licheniformis* resulted in enhanced cell division, higher chlorophyll content, and increased fresh weight and cotyledon size of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) plants (Hussain and Hasnain 2009). The importance of cytokinin-producing bacteria under drought conditions is a topic of renewed interest (Arkhipova et al. 2007).

Gibberellins (GA) are mainly involved in regulating plant cell division and elongation and hence, they influence almost all stages of plant growth, including seed germination, stem and leaf growth, floral induction, and fruit growth (Spaepen et al. 2009). As with auxins and cytokinins, GAs mainly act in combination with other phytohormones. Frankenberger and Arshad (1995) reported that bacteria are able to release GA into the rhizosphere. Several *Azospirillum* species produce different GAs that are responsible for plant growth promotion that occurs upon inoculation onto plants (Piccoli et al. 1997). Apart from *Azospirillum* spp., production of gibberellin-like substances has also been claimed in numerous bacterial genera, including *Acetobacter diazotrophicus*, *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* (Bastián et al. 1998), and *Bacillus* spp. (Gutiérrez-Mañero et al. 2001). The exact mechanism of plant growth promotion by GAs remains unclear, although GA-producing PGPR can act by promoting root growth, more particularly by increasing root hair density in root zones involved in nutrient and water uptake, as shown for *A. lipoferum* inoculation of maize seedlings (Fulchieri et al. 1993). Gibberellin production by *Azospirillum* spp. and *Bacillus* spp. has been implicated in the increased ¹⁵N uptake observed after inoculation of wheat roots (Kucey 1988).

The phytohormone ethylene (ET) is well known as a ripening hormone, but it also has a role in other processes, such as seed germination, cell expansion, and leaf and flower senescence. In addition, ET is produced under conditions of both abiotic and biotic stress and is therefore known as the stress hormone (Spaepen et al. 2009). High ET concentrations have an inhibitory effect on root growth, resulting in reduced overall plant growth (Abeles and Wydoski 1987). ET biosynthesis is highly regulated mostly at the key step catalyzed by the enzyme ACC synthase, and hence, regulation of ACC synthase can lead to regulation of ET (Chae and Kieber 2005). Some PGPR express the enzyme L-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylatedeaminase (ACC deaminase), which can degrade ACC to α -ketobutyrate and ammonia. A wide range of soil microorganisms, including the fungus

Penicillium citrinum (Honma 1993) produce the enzyme ACC deaminase that degrades ACC to α -ketobutyrate and ammonia (Blaha et al. 2006; Chinnadurai et al. 2009). Inoculation of diverse plant species with bacteria expressing ACC deaminase activity stimulates plant growth (Glick et al. 2007; Saleem et al. 2007). (Glick et al. 1998) proposed a model to explain the role of bacterial ACC deaminase in plant growth promotion. As ACC is exuded by plant roots, it is hydrolyzed by bacteria that produce ACC deaminase. ACC concentration outside the roots decreases and more ACC is exuded by the plant. As a result, ACC levels in the plant are lowered and the ET content is reduced (Glick et al. 1998). Once ET is reduced there is an increase in plant biomass (Contesto et al. 2008; Saleem et al. 2007). Bacteria with ACC deaminase activity have been extensively used for alleviating diverse stresses in plants. By reducing the stress hormone ET, these bacteria are able to protect plants from the growth inhibition caused by ET under numerous stress conditions, such as flooding, toxic compounds (both organic compounds and heavy metals), high salt concentrations, drought, and pathogenic attack (Glick et al. 2007; Saleem et al. 2007).

Resistance to drought and salinity stress

Yield losses due to drought and salinity stress are increasing mainly due to climate change and intensive agriculture that leads to soil degradation. It has recently been discovered that some microbial inoculants known to have a positive effect on plant development can also help plants overcome or tolerate abiotic stress conditions, thereby reducing potential yield losses. Increases in soil salinity have become a serious problem for agriculture crops in the last years. Application of some bacteria, such as *Rhizobium* spp. and *Azospirillum* spp., increased plant tolerance to salinity conditions (Cordovilla et al. 1999; Hamaoui et al. 2001). Applications of a strain of *A. lipoferum* to wheat plants reduced the negative effect of saline conditions (Bacilio et al. 2004). Drought stress limits growth and production of crop plants, particularly in arid and semiarid areas (Kramer and Boyer 1997). Greenhouse studies revealed that inoculation of maize seedlings with *A. brasilense* resulted in the mitigation of many negative effects of drought stress (Casanovas et al. 2002). Among the specific effects were increased water content, reduction in the decrease of water potential, increased foliar area

and total plant biomass, and increased accumulation of proline. Proline is an osmoprotectant that regulates and helps protect the plant from drought stress. In other experiments, inoculation of wheat with *A. brasilense* in non-irrigated soil resulted in a yield increase of 12 %. In addition, grains harvested from Azospirillum-inoculated plants under drought conditions had increased Mg, K, and Ca contents compared to non-inoculated plants (Creus et al. 2004). Other bacterial species, such as *Pseudomonas* spp. and *Bacillus* spp., have also been reported to stimulate plant growth under dry conditions. Inoculation under drought conditions increased shoot and root biomass and water content (Marulanda et al. 2009). Increased root elongation and root biomass are common in drought-tolerant species (Sharp et al. 2004). Modifications to root development allow better water and nutrient uptake due to greater root exploration of the soil (Padilla and Pugnaire 2007).

With tolerance to drought, bacterial production of hormone-like compounds has been shown to play a key role in ameliorating effects of drought (Döbbelaere et al. 1999). For example, inoculation of white clover (*Trifolium repens*) with the IAA-producing strains of *P. putida* and *B. megaterium*, resulted in increased shoot and root biomass and water content under drought conditions (Marulanda et al. 2009). In addition to IAA, abscisic acid (ABA) is another plant hormone that plays an important role in the whole plant response to drought stress. (Cohen et al. 2008) reported that plants inoculated with the PGPB *A. brasilense* Sp245 showed more ABA content than non-inoculated plants, and this resulted in enhanced plant drought tolerance. Degradation of the stress hormone ethylene by ACC-deaminase also resulted in greater drought tolerance. Inoculation with *Pseudomonas* spp. containing ACC-deaminase partially eliminated the effects of drought stress on growth, yield, and ripening of pea (*Pisum sativum*) (Arshad et al. 2008). One strain of *Achromobacter piechaudii* ARV8, isolated from an arid desert environment, significantly increased the fresh and dry weights of both tomato and pepper seedlings exposed to water stress. In addition, the bacterium reduced the production of ethylene by tomato seedlings, following water stress (Mayak et al. 2004).

AMF are known to enhance plant establishment and drought tolerance (Querejeta et al. 2003) by various mechanisms including (a) improved water uptake, by which AMF effectively extend plant roots making the uptake of water much more efficient; (b) better mineral

nutrition, especially phosphorus, as a consequence of effectively extending roots; (c) alterations in root architecture; (d) modification of some physiological and enzymatic activities, especially those involved in plant antioxidative responses; and (e) induction of the plant hormone ABA, which can play an important role in mediating some plant responses to different stresses including drought (Gamalero et al. 2009). Many plant species, including corn, soybean (*Glycine max*), wheat, onion (*Allium cepa*), and lettuce, have shown enhanced drought tolerance as a consequence of mycorrhizal symbioses (Augé 2001; Brundrett 1991a, 1991b). Under these conditions, AMF enhanced root surface area and promoted dense root growth, resulting in improved drought tolerance. Moreover, plants colonized by AMF were able to maintain higher water use efficiency, and growth was increased at a faster rate when irrigation was restored. Such adjustment of osmotic potential is one of the most important factors for plant survival under drought conditions. In addition, AMF may affect plant water potential by modification of soil structure. Hyphae of AMF can increase soil structure by binding soil particles and producing glomalin, an insoluble glue-like substance (Augé 2001). AMF may also play a role in the protection of roots from heavy metal toxicity by mediating interactions between metals and plant roots (Leyval et al. 1997).

Co-inoculation of AMF and PGPR is also a promising strategy to increase plant drought tolerance. It was reported that co-inoculation of the AMF *Glomus mosseae* and *G. intraradices* and PGPR *Bacillus* spp. on lettuce increased plant growth, photosynthetic rate, water use efficiency, and stomatal conductance after drought stress. The effect of the co-inoculation was better than inoculation with only AMF or *Bacillus* spp. Furthermore, *Bacillus* spp. inoculation also improved AMF colonization and growth (Vivas et al. 2003).

Fungal-based microbial inoculants have also been reported to induce plant drought tolerance. Previously reported fungi include many genera, such as *Neotyphodium* spp., *Covularia* spp., *Colletotrichum* spp., *Fusarium* spp., *Alternaria* spp., and *Trichoderma* spp. which were reported to elicit drought tolerance in tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*), pepper (*Capsicum annuum*), ryegrass, (*Lolium perenne*) tall fescue (*Festuca arundinacea*), wheat, and barley (*Hordeum vulgare*) (Bae et al. 2009; Singh et al. 2011). Interestingly, in addition to exhibiting increased drought tolerance, the inoculated plants expressed a

range of adaptations to biotic stress and other abiotic stresses including mineral imbalance and salinity (Kim et al. 2012).

Application of microbial inoculants to mitigate salinity stress has been reported. Inoculation with some PGPR, including strains of *B. subtilis*, *Bacillus atrophaeus*, *Bacillus sphaericus*, and *Staphylococcus kloeosii*, increased chlorophyll content, nutrient content, and yield of strawberry (*Fragaria ananassa*) plants growing in high saline soils (Karlidag et al. 2013). In a similar way, under salinity stress inoculation of canola with *A. lipoferum* resulted in significant increases in shoot and root weights. *Azospirillum lipoferum* also increased antioxidant levels in the plants. It was postulated that positive effects were due to bacterial production of ACC-deaminase, which reduced ethylene levels caused by the salinity condition (Baniaghil et al. 2013).

AMF have also been shown to increase tolerance to salt stress. Recent reviews have identified numerous physiological mechanisms by which AMF improve plant salinity tolerance (Evelin et al., 2009; Porcel et al. 2012). Improvement in salt tolerance of maize plants inoculated with AMF (*G. mosseae*) was related to higher accumulation of soluble sugars in the roots, independent of plant nutritional (P) status (Feng et al. 2002). This effect could be responsible for improved plant water status, chlorophyll concentration, and photosynthetic capacity by increasing photochemical efficiency (Sheng et al. 2008). In a manner similar to drought conditions, plant growth under saline stress may be regulated via changes in phytohormone concentrations (Pérez-Alfocea et al. 2010). Hence the capacity of microbial inoculants to induce changes in phytohormones could be one of the mechanisms involved in enhancing plant tolerance to salinity (Dodd and Pérez-Alfocea 2012; Dodd et al. 2010).

Emerging areas related to microbial inoculants

In recent years, research has shown that microbial inoculants can also play an indirect role on soil remediation and soil fertility. Bioremediation is recognized as an important tool to restore contaminated sites, reforest eroded areas, and restore degraded ecosystems. The plant is the major component of the phytoremediation processes, and application of microbial inoculants in bioremediation of contaminated soil and reforestation of degraded lands is a promising research area (de-Bashan et al. 2010a; 2010b; 2012; Grandlic et al.

2008; Kuiper et al. 2004; Ma et al. 2011). Application of *Kluyvera ascorbata* increased several plant parameters and promoted plant growth of tomato, canola (*Brassica napus*), and Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) grown in soils containing high concentrations of zinc and nickel (Burd et al. 2000). In another study, *Pseudomonas* spp. increased growth of canola and common reed (*Phragmites australis*) in the presence of copper or polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (Reed and Glick 2005; Reed et al. 2005). The properties of plants used for phytoremediation could be improved by microbial inoculants, but it is important to choose microorganisms that can survive and succeed when used in phytoremediation practices (De-Bashan et al. 2012).

The examples of microbial inoculants discussed above consist of one or a small number of microbial strains which are fermented or grown separately and are then removed from the fermentation medium, concentrated, and then formulated with some carrier into the final product form. An additional category of inoculants consists of mixed culture fermentation to produce a complex microbial mixture together with fermentation metabolites. One example of this category of inoculant-based biostimulants is the product referred to as EM, for “effective microorganisms.” As described by Hu and Qi (2013), the inoculant called EM was first described in non-refereed presentations in the early 1900s. The contents of EM were subsequently summarized (Hu and Qi 2013) as containing “about 80 species of microorganisms, which included photosynthetic bacteria, lactic acid bacteria, yeasts, actinomycetes, and fermenting fungi like *Aspergillus* and *Penicillium*.” The microbes are fermented together in the presence of organic wastes and molasses (Khaliq et al. 2006). Field experiments with cotton showed that EM increased the efficiency of mineral and organic fertilizers, and the combination of EM with organic matter increased yields 23 % compared to treatment with organic matter alone (Khaliq et al. 2006). In contrast, (Mayer et al. 2010) found that EM did not increase yield or soil quality in trials conducted over 4 years in Central Europe. Results of a 13 years trial on wheat in China (Hu and Qi, 2013) found that long-term applications of EM significantly increased yield of wheat, grain nutrition, and biomass of straw. Another example of a biostimulant based on a mixed culture fermentation resulting in microorganisms and their metabolites are variations of the product SoilBuilder. Treatment of plants with SoilBuilder and a different formulation of the same mixed culture

fermentation product significantly increased weight of squash (*Cucurbita pepo*) seedlings with 0, 50, and 100 mM added NaCl (Yildirim 2007). In the same study, treatment with the biostimulants significantly increased weights of transplanted squash plants at the same NaCl concentrations, and at 50 mM NaCl, there was significantly less Na^+ in leaves of treated plants. In a study aimed at determining effects of inoculants on emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O) in agriculture, application of SoilBuilder significantly decreased the cumulative emissions of nitrous oxide (N_2O) by 80 % in soils fertilized with urea-ammonium nitrate (Calvo et al. 2013). Hence, specific inoculants based on mixed culture fermentation have been shown to have diverse beneficial effects on plants.

Overall, microbial inoculants are gaining more attention due to their potential not only in the agriculture industry but also as tools to solve some environmental problems. In the last years there has been more focus on this potential application as a tool to enhance nutrient uptake in integrated crop management practices. Additional work is needed in order to provide consistent results between laboratory and greenhouse studies and appropriate field applications.

Humic acids

Humic substances are end products of microbial decomposition and chemical degradation of dead biota in soils (Asli and Neumann 2010, Schiavon et al. 2010) and are considered to be the most abundant naturally occurring organic molecules on earth (Simpson et al. 2002) and the major components of soil organic matter (Nardi et al. 2002). Interestingly, dissolved humic substances are common in freshwater bodies where they have been shown to interact with freshwater organisms, a topic reviewed by (Steinberg et al. 2008). In soil, humic substances are reported to play key roles in various soil and plant functions (Berbara and García 2014) such as controlling nutrient availability, carbon and oxygen exchange between the soil and the atmosphere, and the transformation and transport of toxic chemicals (Piccolo and Spiteller 2003). In addition, humic substances in soils affect plant physiology and the composition and function of rhizosphere microorganisms (Varanini and Pinton 2001).

The activity of humic substances is related to their structural characteristics (Berbara and García 2014).

The general category of humic substances historically includes i) humic acids, which are soluble in basic media and hence are extracted from soil by dilute alkali and precipitate in acidic media, ii) fulvic acids, which are soluble in both alkali and acid media, and iii) humins, which are not extractable from soil (Stevenson 1994, Berbara and García 2014). Another commonly cited difference between humic and fulvic acids is that humic acids are typically high-molecular-weight, while fulvic acids are low-molecular-weight (Nardi et al. 2009). (Nardi et al. 2009) suggested that humin should be described as a humic-containing substance, rather than a humic substance, because it is an aggregate of humic and nonhumic materials (Rice and MacCarthy 1990). The specific structural characteristics of humic acids and fulvic acids vary according to the source organic material and the time of its transformation (Berbara and García 2014).

The understanding of the chemistry of humic substances has advanced considerably during the past two decades. Historically, humic substances were described as refractory, dark-colored heterogeneous organic compounds produced as byproducts of microbial metabolism (Stevenson 1994). The initially produced humic substances can be modified by polymerization, resulting in a diversity of molecular weights ranging from 500 to 1,000,000 D (Piccolo et al. 2002). In a critical review published in 2001, Piccolo (2001) the traditional view that humic substances are present in soils as polymers was challenged. The alternative presented was that humic substances were supramolecular associations of heterogeneous, relatively small molecules. Magnetic resonance tests confirmed that molecular aggregations of mixtures of variously sized humic substances occur in soils (Simpson et al. 2002). Sutton and Sposito (2005) added the understanding that the molecular aggregations of humic substances include micellar structures, which are arrangements of organic molecules in aqueous solutions that form hydrophilic exteriors while containing hydrophobic interiors. The functional significance of this modified structural understanding of humic substances can contain any molecules that are intimately associated with the micellar structure such that they cannot be separated by physical or chemical means (Sutton and Sposito 2005). Kelleher and Simpson (2006) reported that humic substances extracted from soils contained proteins, carbohydrates, aliphatic biopolymers, and lignin, which represent the principal compound classes in plants and microbes. In accordance

with this theory, previous studies have suggested that humic substances (HS) have only an apparent high molecular size, which can be reversibly disrupted by treating humic solutions with low concentrations of mono, di, and tricarboxylic acids (Nardi et al. 1988; Nardi et al. 1996). More recently, numerous studies have shown that the amphiphilic properties of the organic acids in root exudates can dissociate HS into low molecular size and high molecular size (Nardi et al. 1997, 2000, 2002; Piccolo et al. 2002; Piccolo and Spiteller 2003). This new interpretation support the hypothesis that the conformational behaviour of dissolved humus in the rhizosphere and therefore also the interaction of humic components with plant-root cells, may be controlled by the presence of root-exuded or microbe-released organic acids in the soil solution (Piccolo et al. 2003).

The chemical structure of humic substances affects their function. By the 1980s it was widely accepted that hormone-like activity was exhibited by many fulvic acids and low-molecular-weight humic acids (Albuzio et al. 1989). In a study evaluating five different humic extracts from oxidized coal, a North Dakota Leonardite, and an organic soil, (Piccolo et al. 1992) reported that the smallest molecular size humic fractions showed the most effect on plant uptake of nitrate and hormone-like activity. In addition, the issue of analytical chemistry of humic substances impacts adoption of biostimulants because it is important for the humic products industry (Feller et al. 2010). As recounted by (Lamar et al. 2013), industry representatives and researchers from the International Humic Substances Society (IHSS) met in 2008 to discuss procedures for determining the humic acid and fulvic acid contents of commercial products. The industry representatives later formed the Humic Products Trade Association (HPTA) and agreed on the urgency of developing analytical methods that would detect any adulterants in humic substances products that contained sugars, other carbohydrates, amino acids, or proteinacious materials.

Specific effects of humic acids on plants

Plant growth, yield, and nutrient uptake

Many humic acids and humic substances have been shown to elicit diverse morphological changes in plants (reviewed by Nardi et al. 2009), leading to changes in plant growth (reviewed by Clapp et al. 2001). In this

section, some of the literature published, mainly since 2001, on the benefits of humic acids to plant growth, yield, and nutrition is summarized. Table 1 presents a comprehensive summary of publications, reporting effects of humic substances, both humic acids and fulvic acids, on the growth and physiology of various crops and other plants. Humic acid or humic substances have been reported to enhance some aspect of growth in over 16 species of plants (Table 1) including important agronomic crops such as soybean, wheat, rice, and maize; vegetable crops such as potato, tomato, cucumber, and pepper; fruit crops such as citrus (*Citrus limon*) and grape (*Vitis vinifera*); and miscellaneous other crops including *Arabidopsis*. It is important to note that the majority of the tests have been conducted in growth chamber tests or in hydroponic conditions. Promotion of root system development is the most commonly reported initial effect of humic acids on plant growth. For example, enhancement of lateral roots or general increased seedling root growth has been reported with tomato (Adani et al. 1998; Canellas et al. 2011), *Arabidopsis* (Dobbss et al. 2010; Canellas et al. 2010), wheat (Tahir et al. 2011; Peng et al. 2001), maize (Canellas et al. 2002 and Canellas et al. 2009; Eyheraguibel et al. 2008; Jindo et al. 2012), pepper (Cimrin et al. 2010), and *Lantana camara* (Costa et al. 2008). Shoot growth promotion by humic acids has also been reported with cucumber (Mora et al. 2010), tomato (Adani et al. 1998; Lulakis and Petsas 1995), wheat (Tahir et al. 2011), maize (Eyheraguibel et al. 2008), and pepper (Cimrin et al. 2010). In a study with multiple soil applications of humic acid, inhibition of shoot growth of maize was noted in a growth chamber hydroponic study (Asli and Neumann 2010), suggesting that it is possible, at least in experimental conditions, to over-apply humic acid.

In addition to increasing overall root growth at early stages of plant development, applications of humic acids have also been reported to increase yield or crop quality in some studies involving full-season growth of plants in greenhouses or field studies (Table 1). In trials with okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus*) conducted in a wire house in field soil, significant increases in fruits per plant resulted from three rates of humic acid when the recommended fertility was applied but not when the fertility rate was reduced 50 % (Kim et al. 2010). With grapes, a commercial preparation of humic acids increased the quality of wine grapes by increasing the nitrogen content of grape must which resulted in an enhanced tasting score (Morard et al. 2011). Yield of cucumber was enhanced

Table 1 Summary of reported effects of humic substances on plant growth, nutrient uptake, and plant physiology

Crop	Type of Humic Substance	Reference	Study Conditions	Reported Effects on Growth and Nutrient Uptake	Effects on Plant Physiology
Cucumber (<i>Cucumis sativus</i>)	Humic acid	Aguirre et al. 2009	Growth chamber	No effect on root growth	Increased transcription of genes encoding Fe(III) chelate-reductase (CsFR01) and an Fe(II) root transporter (CsIRT1); increase H ⁺ -ATPase activity
Cucumber	Humic acid	El-Nemr et al. 2012	Field tests in two years with foliar sprays	Increased plant growth and yield; enhanced uptake of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg	
Cucumber	Humic acid	Karakurt et al. 2009	Yield and fruit-quality study in ground in organic production greenhouse conducted in two years	Increased total fruit yield, total soluble sugars, reducing sugars, and chlorophyll b	
Cucumber	Humic acid	Mora et al. 2010	Hydroponic culture in growth chamber	Increased shoot growth; increased NO ₃ in shoots and decreased NO ₃ in roots	Increased H ⁺ -ATPase activity and significant changes in root-to-shoot distribution of NO ₃ , cytokinins, and polyamines.
Cucumber	Fulvic acid	Rauthan and Schnitzler 1981	Growth chamber hydroponic culture	Increased shoot and root dry weight, numbers of flowers per plant, and uptake of N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Cu, Fe, and Zn	
Multiple, including vegetables, tomato, cereals, ornamentals and grape (<i>Vitis vinifera</i>)	Humic substance	Monard et al. 2011	Hydroponic culture and field trial (grape)	With some of the tested plants, increased plant fresh weight, number of flowers, and water use efficiency. With grape, increased N content of must	
Grape (<i>Vitis vinifera</i>)	Humic substance	Sánchez-Sánchez et al. 2006	Field trials over two years testing combination of Fe chelates with humic substances	Increased uptake of P and Fe; decreased uptake of Na	
Micro-Tom tomato (<i>Solanum lycopersicum</i>)	Humic substances	Canellas et al. 2011	Germination paper in growth chamber	Enhanced number of lateral roots	Auxin-like activity detected by activation of the auxin synthetic reporter DR5: GUS
Arabidopsis thaliana, tomato, maize (<i>Zea mays</i>)	Humic substances	Dobbss et al. 2010	Growth chamber	Increased lateral root emergence	Increased H ⁺ -ATPase activity in root vesicles; activated auxin synthetic reporter DR5: GUS
Arabidopsis thaliana, micro-Tom tomato	Fulvic acid	Dobbss et al. 2007	For Arabidopsis, mini-hydroponic system in growth chamber.	Increased lateral root growth in Arabidopsis and wild-type micro-Tom tomato.	No promotion of lateral root emergence with dgt tomato mutant insensitive to IAA
Tomato	Humic acids	Adani et al. 1998	Hydroponic culture	Increased growth of roots and shoots; enhanced uptake of N, P, Fe, and Cu	
Tomato	Humic acid	Yildirim 2007	In-ground greenhouse test for yield conducted during two growing seasons	Increased early and total yield in both years; increased total soluble solids and ascorbic acid content in fruit	
Tomato	Fulvic acid and humic acid	Lulakis and Petsas 1995	Growth chamber tests with seedlings in Petri plates	Enhanced root and shoot growth at 14 days after seeding	
	Fulvic acid and humic acid	Chen et al. 2004			

Table 1 (continued)

Crop	Type of Humic Substance	Reference	Study Conditions	Reported Effects on Growth and Nutrient Uptake	Effects on Plant Physiology
Soybean (<i>Glycine max</i>), melon (<i>Cucumis melo</i>), and ryegrass (<i>Lolium perenne</i>)			Hydroponic culture in growth chambers with differing levels of Fe and Zn	Increased SPAD readings (chlorophyll measure) in all three plant systems with fulvic acid and humic acid	
Basil (<i>Ocimum basilicum</i>)	Humic acid with and without PGPR	Befrozfar et al. 2013	Field tests with seed treatments and foliar sprays	Increased yield of oil with humic acid alone and in combination with PGPR	
Okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i>)	Humic acids	Kirm et al. 2010	In-ground test inside wire house	Increased yield (fruits per plant) at recommended fertility but not at 50 % fertility level	
Potato (<i>Solanum tuberosum</i>)	Humic acid	Selim et al. 2012	Field study with different water regimes; application through fertigation system	Enhanced tuber yield; increased percent protein and ascorbic acid content in tubers; increased SPAD readings (chlorophyll indicator) in leaves	
Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i>)	Humic acid	Tahir et al. 2011	Pot trial with calcareous and non-calcareous field soils with three levels of N, P, and K	Increased plant height and dry weight of roots and shoots; enhanced uptake of N	
Wheat	Fulvic acid	Dunstone et al. 1988	Glasshouse, growth chamber, and field trials with foliar sprays of fulvic acid	Increased plant growth in some studies but not in others. No increases in yield or water use in field tests	Decreased stomatal conductance in many studies but no relation to plant growth response
Wheat	Fulvic acid	Xudan 1986	Pot and field experiments with foliar sprays of fulvic acid	Enhanced chlorophyll content; increased roots uptake of ^{32}P ; partial alleviation of grain yield depression by moderate drought	Decreased stomatal conductance
Wheat	Fulvic acid	Peng et al. 2001	Hydroponics with varying levels of Se as sodium selenite	Enhanced seedling root growth with low levels of Se; reduced symptoms of Se toxicity with high levels of Se	Reduction in Se-induced cell membrane permeability and free-proline content with fulvic acid
Wheat	Fulvic acid	Gu et al. 2001	Hydroponics with 8 concentrations of 3 rare earth elements (La^{3+} , GD^{3+} , and Y^{3+})	Increased bioaccumulation of La^{3+} , GD^{3+} , and Y^{3+} in roots and shoots, resulting in less buildup in soil	Activation of glutamic oxaloacetic transaminase (GOT) enzyme
Maize (<i>Zea mays</i>)	Humic acids	Jindo et al. 2012	Lab assays in minimal liquid medium	Promotion of root growth; increased number of mitotic sites on roots	Increased number of mitotic sites and proton pump activity in roots
Maize	Humic substances	Schiavon et al. 2010	Growth chamber test in hydroponics	Not assessed	Enhancement of phenylpropanoid pathway, decrease in phenylalanine and tyrosine, increase in phenolic compounds and some amino acids
Maize	Humic acids	Canellas et al. 2002	Lab assays in minimal liquid medium	Increased root elongation, proliferation of secondary roots, and root surface area	Simulated H^{+} -ATPase activity of plasma membrane and mitotic sites of lateral root development
Maize	Fulvic acid	Anjum et al. 2011b	Pot trials in net house under drought and no drought conditions	Increased leaf area, plant dry weight, chlorophyll content, and yield under drought stress; increased yield under non-drought conditions	Increased assimilation rate of CO_2 and content of proline
Maize	Fulvic and humic acids	Eyheraguibel et al. 2008			

Table 1 (continued)

Crop	Type of Humic Substance	Reference	Study Conditions	Reported Effects on Growth and Nutrient Uptake	Effects on Plant Physiology
Maize	Humic acids	Canellas et al. 2009	Application to 10-day old seedlings with growth in hydroponic culture until cob filling stage	Increased root length of seedlings; increased total plant biomass at 2 months; enhanced plant development as noted with increased numbers of leaves and flowers per plant; increased lateral root development; increased nutrient uptake	Activated proton pump activation in root plasma membrane vesicles Reduced hydraulic conductivity reduced water transport from root medium to shoot
Maize	Humic acids	Asli and Neumann 2010	Growth chamber study in hydroponic culture and in soil with multiple applications	Inhibition of shoot but not root growth with high concentrations of humic acid; reduced transpiration	Reduced activity of catalase and malondialdehyde
Maize	Fulvic acid and humic acid	Harper et al. 1995	Seedling growth for four days in nutrient solution with and without aluminum	Enhanced root elongation in absence of Al; in presence of Al, alleviated Al toxicity limitation of root elongation	Suggested that supramolecular agglomerates of humic acid limit root water transport, resulting in restricted shoot growth
Pear (<i>Pyrus communis</i>)	Humic acid	Marino et al. 2010	In vitro micropropagation conditions of shoot cultures	Improved acclimatization and micropropagation; increased rooting, plant height, chlorophyll content, and nutrient uptake	Reduced activity of catalase and malondialdehyde
Pepper (<i>Capsicum annuum</i>)	Humic acid	Cimrin et al. 2010	Growth chamber in soil mix	Increased shoot and root weights, also increased N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S, Mn, and Cu under moderate salt stress conditions	Increased activity of catalase and malondialdehyde
Pepper	Humic acids	Karakurt et al. 2009	In-ground greenhouse test for yield conducted during two growing seasons	Increased total yield, early yield, mean fruit weight, total soluble sugars, and chlorophyll b	Increased fruit content of carbohydrate, total phenolics, capsacin, and carotenoids; increased antioxidant activity in fruit
Pepper	Fulvic acid	Aminifard et al. 2012	Field trial with drenches of fulvic acid during vegetative growth	Not recorded	Upregulation of AGAMOUS-like gene (AGL)
Lantana camara	Humic acid and fulvic acid	Costa et al. 2008	Greenhouse test in soilless mix for propagation	Increase biomass of roots and shoots, earlier flowering of rooted cuttings	Upregulation of AGAMOUS-like gene (AGL)
Lemon trees (<i>Citrus limon</i>) on C. macrophila rootstock	Fulvic acid	Sánchez-Sánchez et al. 2002	Field test in orchard with calcareous soil	Increased foliar uptake of Fe and Cu; increased yield (fruit weight), fruit equatorial diameter, juice pH, and vitamin C	Upregulation of AGAMOUS-like gene (AGL)
Wild olive (<i>Olea europaea</i>)	Fulvic acid	Murillo et al. 2005	Field tests in soils polluted by trace elements under semi-arid conditions	Increased N and chlorophyll content in plants without increases to phytotoxic levels of Cd, Cu, Pb, Ti, or Zn	Upregulation of AGAMOUS-like gene (AGL)
Greek fir (<i>Abies cephalonica</i>)	Fulvic acid	Zancani et al. 2011	Study with embryonic cell lines to evaluate the hormone-like effects	Increased N and chlorophyll content in plants without increases to phytotoxic levels of Cd, Cu, Pb, Ti, or Zn	Fulvic acid interacted with the plant hormonal signaling pathway;

Table 1 (continued)

Crop	Type of Humic Substance	Reference	Study Conditions	Reported Effects on Growth and Nutrient Uptake	Effects on Plant Physiology
Beech (<i>Fagus sylvatica</i>)	Fulvic acid	Asp and Berggren 1990	of fulvic acid on stages of somatic embryogenesis Growth chamber and greenhouse tests with seedlings	Increased proliferation rate and percentage of pro-embryonic masses Fulvic acid-complexed Al was not taken up by roots; reduced root uptake of Al and ³² P-phosphate	increased cellular ATP and glucose-6-phosphate
Sunflower (<i>Helianthus annuus</i>)	Fulvic acid	Bocanegra et al. 2006	Growth chamber test with seedlings in Hoagland solution with Fe provided in dialysis bag	Increased release and mobilization of Fe from iron chelates; increased plant uptake of the released Fe; concluded that fulvic acid chelated Fe ³⁺ for plant uptake	
Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>)	Fulvic acid	Pandeya et al. 1998	Growth chamber test with seedlings in calcareous soil with Fe-fulvic acid, FeCl ₃ and ⁵⁹ Fe tracer	Fe uptake was greater with application of Fe-fulvic acid than FeCl ₃	Suggested that fulvic acid could overcome the rate-limiting step of transporting Fe from the soil solution to plant roots by diffusion
Rice	Humic acid	García et al. 2012	Growth chamber test in nutrient solution and water stress via evaporation	Increased plant growth and biomass under water deficit conditions; reduced oxidative stress of plants under water stress	Induced peroxidases leading to reduced H ₂ O ₂ content and maintenance of membrane permeability; increased proline content of plants
Rice	Humic acid	García et al. 2013	Growth chamber test in nutrient solution and water stress induced by polyethylene glycol	Under water stress, humic acid maintained peroxidase activity below levels in plants without humic acid; lipid peroxidation was lower in water-stressed plants with HA than in stressed plants without HA; abscisic acid (ABA) levels were similar in stressed plants with and without HA.	Suggested that protection against water stress resulted from ABA-independent mechanisms involving regulation of tonoplast aquaporin genes (OsTIPs)
Common bean (<i>Phaseolus vulgaris</i>)	Fulvic acid	Poapst and Schnitzer 1971	Test with hypocotyl sections of bean seedlings	Increased number of adventitious roots with fulvic acid with and without IAA	
Common Bean	Humic acid	Aydın et al. 2012	Greenhouse test evaluating humic acid for mitigation of salinity stress	Reduced plant death with humic acid treatments at high doses of NaCl, CaCl ₂ , MgCl ₂ , and KCl; increased plant root and shoot dry weight; increased nitrate content	Under salinity stress, humic acid increased proline and electrolyte leakage of plants
Broad bean (<i>Vicia faba</i>)	Fulvic acid	Shahid et al. 2012	Growth chamber test in modified Hoagland solution	At low concentrations, fulvic acids complexed toxic free Pb ²⁺ and increased Pb uptake without causing Pb toxicity; at high concentrations, FAs reduced Pb uptake and toxicity	Pb without fulvic acid induced H ₂ O ₂ and lipid peroxidation; fulvic acid delayed lipid peroxidation
Chrysanthemum (<i>Chrysanthemum indicum</i>)	Humic acid	Mazhar et al. 2012	In ground greenhouse test conducted over two seasons with salinity stress	Increased vegetative growth, flowering, total carbohydrates, N,	

Table 1 (continued)

Crop	Type of Humic Substance	Reference	Study Conditions	Reported Effects on Growth and Nutrient Uptake	Effects on Plant Physiology
Pistachio (<i>Pistacia vera</i>)	Humic acid	Moghaddam and Soleimani 2012	Test of humic acid for mitigating salinity stress	P, and K with humic acid under salinity stress Increased shoot growth with humic some acid treatments under salinity stress	Decreased levels of abscisic acid and proline with some humic acid treatments

in two years of field tests with humic acid applied as foliar sprays. In field tests with basil (*Ocimum basilicum*), humic acid applied as a soil drench, but not as a foliar spray, significantly increased the yield of oil per hectare (Befrozfar et al. 2013). Interestingly, in the same study, a combination of PGPR and humic acid increased oil yield more than humic acid alone, suggesting that combinations of different types of biostimulants discussed in this review can lead to yield increases. In field tests conducted over two field seasons with cucumber in Egypt, humic acid increased plant growth and fruit yield in both seasons (El-Nemr et al. 2012). Components of yield that were significantly increased by humic acid included number of flowers and fruit per plant, fruit set, mean fruit weight, fruit length and diameter, and yield per plant. Several humic acids were assessed for yield and quality effects of organically grown pepper in in-ground greenhouse tests in Turkey conducted during two growing seasons (Karakurt et al. 2009). Yield was assessed by harvesting 14 times per season at the green-ripening stage. Applications of some of the tested humic acids as foliar or soil treatments significantly increased total yield, early yield, mean fruit weight, total soluble sugars, reducing sugars, and chlorophyll b content. Similar in-ground greenhouse tests in Turkey evaluated effects of humic acids on tomato (Yildirim 2007). Yield was evaluated by harvesting fruit at the fully-red stage over a three-month period each year. In both years, early yield (during the first 30 days of harvest) and total yield were increased with foliar and soil applications of humic acid. Total soluble solids and ascorbic acid content of fruits were also increased by humic acid.

The structural characteristics of specific humic substances, including their high number of oxygenated functional groups (CO_2H_2 , OH phenols, and $\text{C}=\text{O}$), allow them to interact with metal ions. Some of these interactions include forming complexes of humic substances with metallic elements, thereby affecting plant nutrition (Berbara and García 2014, Schiavon et al. 2010). How humic substances affect plant uptake of ions varies depending on the type and concentration of humic substance, the pH of the growing medium, and the plant species (Muscolo et al. 2007, Nardi et al. 2009). Given the capacities of humic substances to chelate ions and to stimulate root growth, it is not surprising that one of the most reported benefits of these substances on plants is increased plant uptake of nutrients (Table 1). Two humic acid products increased N, P, and especially Fe in tomato plants in hydroponic culture

(Adani et al. 1998). Increased uptake of Fe and P was also reported with the use of a commercial humic acid product on grapes (Sánchez-Sánchez et al. 2006). Increased uptake of N, P, K, Ca, and Mg was found on pepper (Cimrin et al. 2010), pear (Marino et al. 2010) and cucumber (El-Nemr et al. 2012). Increased nitrate was reported when humic acids were used on bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) (Aydin et al. 2012), wheat (Tahir et al. 2011), and cucumber (Mora et al. 2010). Increased nutrient uptake following application of HS has been linked to increased foliar content of some amino acids, including glutamate, aspartate, serine, glycine, and methionine (Schiavon et al. 2010).

Amelioration of abiotic stress

The stimulatory effects of humic acids have been shown in some studies to result in enhanced tolerance to salinity stress (Table 1). In studies with bean plants (Aydin et al. 2012), all control plants grown with eight salt sources were killed at the highest dose of 120 mM, while no plant dies following treatment with various humic acids at 0.05 and 0.1 % w/w, with seven of the salt sources including NaCl. In the same study, treatments with humic acids in saline soils were associated with reduced soil electrical conductivity and reduced leakage of proline from plants, effects which were related to plant tolerance to the saline conditions. Similar results were reported in a study on maize (Mohamed 2012) where humic acids protected against plant death resulting from three forms of calcium salts. Co-applications of humic acids and phosphorus reduced saline stress and increased yields of pepper growing in a moderate salt dose of 8 mM NaCl (Cimrin et al. 2010), and the Na concentration in shoots and roots decreased with increasing doses of humic acid. In a greenhouse test on chrysanthemum (*Chrysanthemum indicum*) grown two seasons in field soils, applications of humic acids ameliorated the negative effects of salinity alone on vegetative growth and flowering (Mazhar et al. 2012). In a field study with pistachio (*Pistacia vera*), humic acid ameliorated negative effects on plant growth resulting from irrigation with low to moderate rates of NaCl, and this effect was related to a reduction in proline accumulation and decreased levels of abscisic acid (ABA) in plants treated with humic acids compared to controls (Moghaddam and Soleimani 2012).

Investigating the potential of humic acids to aid plants in drought tolerance has begun. Humic acid

extracted from vermicompost was evaluated for effects on growth and physiology of rice seedlings grown under water deficient conditions (García et al. 2012). Seedling growth promotion induced by humic acid began at 10 days after germination, which coincided with onset of water stress symptoms. Seedlings treated with humic acid had increased shoot and root dry weights compared to controls under the water-stress conditions. At 25 days after germination under water stress, the levels of chlorophyll, carotenoids, protein, and carbohydrates were greater in treated plants than in controls, indicating that the photosynthetic capacity of water-stressed plants was increased by humic acid. In the same study, effects on plant physiology were evaluated, and these are discussed in the following section.

Plant physiology and metabolism

Humic substances exert beneficial effects on plant physiology by improving soil structure and fertility and by affecting nutrient uptake and root architecture (Trevisan et al. 2010). Fractions of humic acids interact directly with root structures. Studies of humic substances marked with ^{14}C isotopes have shown that these humic fractions are associated in greater quantities with the cell wall within the first few hours of humic substances–root interaction (3 h) and subsequently (18 h) become part of the soluble component of the cells (Berbara and García 2014). Most humic substances bind tightly to plant cell walls and can be absorbed by roots where some of them can transfer to the shoots (Nardi et al. 2009). This direct plant uptake allows the humic substances to exert direct effects on plant metabolism (reviewed in Nardi et al. 2009). The specific physiological effects of humic substances on plants depend on the source, the concentration, and the molecular weight of the humic fractions applied (Nardi et al. 2002). (Trevisan et al. 2010) reviewed the signaling events that affect the physiological effects of humic substances on plant metabolism. As described above, humic acids have auxin-like effects on plants, and this primary effect was cited as the main biological factor accounting for the diverse beneficial effects on plants. For example, enhanced lateral root development by humic substances is related to mechanisms of cell division that are under the control of auxin. In a study on maize, (Canellas et al. 2009) showed that humic acids increased ATPase activity in root cells and caused increases in root area, while others increased root density. These results were interpreted as suggesting that

hydrophobic interactions of humic acids in the rhizosphere may release auxin-like compounds that promote root growth. Support for this suggestion was reported in two independent studies using the auxin-synthesis promoter DR5 fused to a GUS reporter gene in transgenic tomato (Canellas et al. 2011; Dobbss et al. 2010).

The role of H^+ -ATPase activity, also referred to as proton pump activity, in the beneficial effects of humic substances has been reported in several studies. The main function of the plasma membrane H^+ -ATPase is to create a driving force for uptake and efflux of metabolites and ions across the membrane by generating an electrochemical gradient. (Jindo et al. 2012) reported that humic acid enhanced root growth of maize seedlings by release of auxin-like compounds that induce H^+ -ATPase activity in the plasma membrane of root cells, resulting in acidification of the apoplast and leading to loosening of cell walls and elongation of root cells. Increased H^+ -ATPase activity has been associated with humic acid-induced enhanced root elongation and lateral root formation of maize (Canellas et al. 2002; Zandonadi et al. 2007; Canellas et al. 2009). The functionality of H^+ ATPase and the proton pump has also been linked to nutrient uptake. Treatment of oat (*Avena sativa*) seedlings with humic substances enhanced proton extrusion from roots, which related to increased nutrient uptake (Pinton et al. 1997). In another study with maize roots, (Pinton et al. 1999) found enhanced nitrate uptake induced by humic substances was related to enhanced plasma membrane H^+ ATPase activity. However, increased nitrate uptake by plants following treatment with humic substances can also occur by transcriptional activation of specific genes in roots and shoots. In a study on maize, (Quaggiotti et al. 2004) reported that the expression of two putative maize nitrate transporters and a gene encoding an H^+ -ATPase isoform was enhanced by humic substances.

Another area of study for understanding how humic substances affect plant growth and development is the role of reactive oxygen species (ROS), which include 1O_2 , O_2^- , OH, and H_2O_2 . ROS can regulate plant growth through different pathways than those regulated by auxins. ROS signaling is involved in many plant metabolic processes, including regulation and development of plant growth, responses to biotic and abiotic stresses, and cell death (Suzuki et al. 2012). In a study with *Arabidopsis* roots, disruption of the transcriptional factor UPB1, which regulates cell proliferation and differentiation, resulted in changes in the balance of ROS

and a delay in root differentiation (Tsukagoshi et al. 2010). Berbara and García (2014) demonstrated with histochemical staining that ROS was produced in rice plant roots following application of humic acid. In this study, ROS production, especially production of H_2O_2 was dependent on the concentration of humic substances. Following treatment with moderate levels of humic substances, the resulting production of ROS did not cause lipid peroxidation. As a result, growth and lateral root formation were favored. In contrast, treatment with high levels of humic substances elevated levels of ROS, which can lead to lipid peroxidation and negative effects on root growth and development.

Effects of humic substances on plant genes and their metabolites have been further characterized in reports using proteomics, transcriptomics, and microarrays. Proteomic analyses of maize roots following applications of humic substances revealed that a total of 42 proteins were differentially expressed by HS, including proteins related to energy, metabolism, and cellular transport (Carletti et al. 2008). This study concluded that the major pathways in the roots affected by humic substances were sucrose metabolism, malate dehydrogenase, ATPases, and cytoskeletal proteins. Transcriptomic analyses with microarrays of metabolic targets of humic acids were reported on winter oilseed rape (*Brassica napus*), a crop which has low nitrogen use efficiency (Jannin et al. 2012). In plants treated with a humic acid fraction that elicited significant increases in plant dry weight and chlorophyll content 30 days after treatment, genes that were up- or down-regulated were identified. At 1 day after treatment (DAT) with humic acid, no genes were differentially regulated; at 3 DAT, 720 genes in shoots and 366 in roots were significantly affected; and at 30 DAT, no genes in roots and 102 genes in shoots were affected. All the affected genes were involved in plant metabolic pathways, demonstrating that humic acid treatments can result in many potential changes in plant physiology. A transcriptomic approach based on detection of cDNA-AFLP markers was used to study the regulation of *Arabidopsis* plant genes in response to treatment with humic substances (Trevisan et al. 2011). In total, 133 genes were found to respond to humic substances, and real-time PCR analyses confirmed transcription of 32 of these genes. In a study with humic substances on the woody ornamental plant *Lantana camara*, genetic analyses of MADS-box AGAMOUS-like (*AGL*) genes were conducted (Costa et al. 2008). MADS box transcription factors are thought

to have played an important role in the evolutionary development of angiosperms, and one sublineage of MADS box genes, *AGL* genes, is known to have a diverse role in flower and fruit development in many plant species (Pan et al. 2010). Application of humic substances to lantana enhanced activity of *AGL* genes.

Investigations into the effects of humic substances on plant physiology have also been directed to studies of abiotic stress. In a study on rice grown under water stress, (García et al. 2012) reported that humic acids induced peroxidase activity in leaves and roots, which led to reduced hydrogen peroxide content, maintenance of membrane permeability, and increased proline content. Increased proline content was also reported to be associated with humic acid-induced mitigations of salinity stress in bean (Aydin et al. 2012). Proline is an antioxidant amino acid that responds to stress events, functions as an osmolyte in root membrane permeability (Berbara and García 2014), stabilizes proteins, and inhibits lipid peroxidation. Hence, proline can be considered an important indicator of abiotic stress (García et al. 2012). In another study on rice under water-stress conditions, García et al. (2013) reported that humic acid maintained peroxidase activity below levels in plants without humic acid and that lipid peroxidation was lower in water-stressed plants with humic acid than in stressed control plants. However, abscisic acid (ABA) levels were similar in stressed plants with and without humic acid. These results suggested that the protection from water stress resulted from ABA-independent mechanisms. Further, regulation of tonoplast aquaporin genes (OsTIPs) by humic acid was indicated as a possible mechanism.

Fulvic acids

As discussed above in the section on humic acids, humic substances are divided into different categories that include humic acids, fulvic acids, and humins (Berbara and García 2014). The decision to separate humic acid and fulvic acid into two categories for this review was made because many of the experimental and commercial biostimulants currently being developed or marketed are identified specifically as either humic acids or fulvic acids. Similarly, the scientific literature contains reports that specify humic or fulvic acid as well as reports that refer generically to humic substances. In this section, we examine the scientific literature specifically related to

fulvic acids, but the reader is also referred to the previous section on humic acids, which includes reports of studies involving the generic term “humic substances.” Historically, humic acids were considered to be larger molecules with molecular weights ranging to a few thousand Daltons, while fulvic acids are typically only a few hundred Daltons (Varanini and Pinton 2001). As stated above, fulvic acid is considered to be the soil organic fraction that is soluble in both alkali and acid (Stevenson 1994). Fulvic acids have greater total acidity, greater numbers of carboxyl groups, and higher adsorption and cation exchange capacities than humic acid (Bocanegra et al. 2006). Fulvic acids are responsible for chelation and mobilization of metal ions, including Fe and Al (Esteves da Silva et al. 1998; Lobartini et al. 1998). Given their small molecular size, fulvic acids can pass through micropores of biological or artificial membrane systems, while humic acids cannot. The combined capacity of fulvic acids both to chelate nutrients such as Fe and move through membranes has suggested the fulvic acids may play similar roles as natural chelators in the mobilization and transport of Fe and other micronutrients (Bocanegra et al. 2006). It has also been suggested that since they have smaller molecular weights, FAs can remain in soil solution even at high salt concentrations and at a wide range of pH (Zimmerli et al. 2008; Zhuang et al. 2007; Zhang et al. 2010; Zhang & Ervin 2008; Zhang & Ervin 2004; Zhang et al. 2006). Therefore they have long-lasting potential to interact with plant roots (Varanini and Pinton 2001).

Specific effects of fulvic acids on plants

Plant growth, yield, and nutrient uptake

As shown in Table 1, fulvic acids have been reported to enhance some aspect of growth of a similar range of plant species as humic acids, representing over 16 species of plants (Table 1) including agronomic crops such as soybean, wheat, maize, and rice; vegetable crops such as common bean, broad bean (*Vicia faba*), tomato, cucumber, and pepper; tree species, including wild olive (*Olea europaea*), Greek Fir (*Abies cephalonica*), and beech (*Fagus sylvatica*); and miscellaneous other plants, including *Arabidopsis* and the woody ornamental *Lantana camara*. Over half of these studies were conducted in growth chambers or in hydroponic conditions, and the other half were either greenhouse or field studies. Among all of these reports,

the most commonly recorded manifestations of plant growth promotion were measures of root growth. For example, the numbers of root initials on hypocotyl sections of common bean were enhanced 6 days after treatment with fulvic acid (Poapst and Schnitzer 1971). In another study (Dobbss et al. 2007), fulvic acid increased the number and length of lateral roots of *Arabidopsis* and micro-Tom tomato. Significant increases in root elongation of maize were noted in two studies (Lulakis and Petsas 1995; Eyheraguibel et al. 2008). Increases in plant growth above ground have also been noted, including enhanced shoot growth of tomato (Lulakis and Petsas 1995), increased dry weight of shoots of wheat and maize (Anjum et al. 2011b; Eyheraguibel et al. 2008; Dunstone et al. 1988), and more flowers per cucumber plant (Rauthan and Schnitzer 1981).

Assessments of the effects of fulvic acids on yield or fruit quality have been reported in a few tests conducted to maturity under greenhouse or field conditions (Table 1). Foliar sprays of fulvic acid were evaluated in pot experiments and in field trials in northern China for effects on plant growth and yield of wheat under conditions of drought (Xudan 1986). In pot experiments, sprays with fulvic acid at the beginning of a 9-day drought cycle reduced stomatal conductance and resulted in higher relative water content, water potential, and chlorophyll content in treated plants at the end of the drought cycle. Application of drought conditions in pot tests reduced yield per pot by 30 %, and spraying the plants with fulvic acid before application of drought conditions increased yield to 97 % of the irrigated controls. Following the pot trials, field experiments were conducted to determine yield at 25 sites during a field season where rainfall during the growing season was only 11 mm. Grain yield was reported to be increased with fulvic acid treatment under all conditions. It should be noted that the effects on plants reported in this study (Xudan 1986) are given as percentages of controls without statistical analysis. The extensive tests and the severe drought conditions support the premise that fulvic acid can increase yield and reduce drought stress of wheat, but given the lack of statistical analyses of data, it cannot be stated conclusively that the effects are significant. Two years after publication of the work from China, a study in Australia (Dunstone et al. 1988) was published in which fulvic acids from Chinese and Australian sources were applied to wheat in glasshouse and field trials. A series of greenhouse, growth chamber,

and field tests were designed to repeat the results from the tests in China by Xudan (1986). Drought conditions were recreated in the glasshouse, and under these conditions, fulvic acids had no effect on stomatal conductance, transpiration per leaf area, or senescence. In growth chamber tests under well-watered conditions, fulvic acid was effective at reducing stomatal conductance. Fulvic acid was found to significantly increase leaf area and above-ground dry weight of seedlings when applied as a seed treatment in studies conducted in a glasshouse and growth chamber. There were no significant effects of fulvic acid on yield in three field trials. Hence, overall, the results of the study in Australia were not in agreement with the tests in China concerning yield increases with fulvic acid following application as leaf sprays. In a net-house trial on maize, applications of fulvic acid under drought conditions increased yield of maize (Anjum et al. 2011b). A field trial in Iran with pepper was conducted specifically to assess effects of fulvic acid, applied as drenches, on fruit quality, especially antioxidant activity (Aminifard et al. 2012). Although overall yield was not assessed, fulvic acid enhanced multiple parameters of fruit quality, including total soluble solids, antioxidant activity, total phenolics, carbohydrates, capsaicin, and carotenoids. A field study of lemon (*Citrus limon*) trees in Spain, which was primarily designed to evaluate Fe uptake (Sánchez-Sánchez et al. 2002), also reported effects on fruit yield. Soil applications of a “humic substance” that was shown with analysis to be 90.7 % fulvic acid, resulted in increased fruit weight, fruit equatorial diameter, juice pH, and vitamin C content.

The capacity of fulvic acid to enhance uptake of nutrients has been reported in diverse systems. Early work by Rauthan and Schnitzer (1981) recorded enhanced uptake of N, P, K, Ca, Mg, Cu, Fe, and Zn in cucumber plants grown in Hoagland solution. Increased N content in plants was also reported in a study with wild olive (*Olea europaea*) (Murillo et al. 2005) and in a study with maize (Eyheraguibel et al. 2008). Fulvic acids were reported to enhance uptake of ^{32}P phosphate in wheat (Xudan 1986) and beech (Asp and Berggren 1990). Several studies focused on the interaction of fulvic acids with Fe. In a model soil system without plants, fulvic acid was reported to complex Fe^{3+} in soil in a soluble form that could be taken up by plants (Esteves da Silva et al. 1998). A subsequent study on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus*) in Hoagland solution with ^{59}Fe confirmed that fulvic acid chelated Fe^{3+} and

increased iron availability to the plant (Bocanegra et al. 2006). Treatment of rice with fulvic acid in calcareous soils enhanced iron uptake, and the efficiency of Fe-Fulvic acid as a fertilizer was greater than that of FeCl_3 (Pandeya et al. 1998). In the field study of lemon trees in Spain discussed in the section on yield effects above, (Sánchez-Sánchez et al. 2002) reported increased foliar uptake of Fe and Cu following two applications of a humic substance that was 90.7 % fulvic acid.

Amelioration of abiotic stress

In comparison to the literature on humic acids, there are fewer reports documenting a role of fulvic acids in the amelioration of environmental stresses. However, plant stresses induced by harmful elements in soil and by drought have been shown to be affected by fulvic acids. Studies on effects of fulvic acids on yield of wheat under drought-stress conditions were discussed above in the section on yield increases. Fulvic acid effects on selenium stress were also reported. Selenium (Se) is harmful to plants and exists in soils in parts of China in high levels, resulting in reduced plant growth (Peng et al. 2001). Treatment of wheat with fulvic acids in soils with low Se concentration promoted root growth, and in soils with high Se content, fulvic acids reduced plant symptoms of stunting, leaf chlorosis, and wilt (Peng et al. 2001). Aluminum (Al) is another element that reaches levels in soil that restricts plant growth. Asp and Berggren (1990) studied the effects of Al interference on uptake of Ca^{2+} in beech in the presence and absence of fulvic acids. In the presence of fulvic acids and Al, uptake of Ca^{2+} was increased, and the fulvic acid-complexed Al was not available for uptake by roots. In a similar study with maize, (Harper et al. 1995) reported that FA reduced the negative effects of Al on root elongation. In China, FAs have been reported to play a role in reduction of stress from the buildup in soil of three rare earth elements (REEs) (La^{3+} , Gd^{3+} , and Y^3). (Gu et al. 2001) reported that these REEs are widely used as micronutrients in fertilizers of wheat in China and that the resulting increased soil concentrations pose an environmental problem. Foliar sprays of wheat with FAs increased the bioaccumulation of the REEs which could reduce their buildup in soil. As part of a long-term assessment of fast-growing trees for phytoremediation in Spain, treatments of fulvic acid-rich amendment were applied to wild olive trees growing in eroded soils that had been polluted during a chemical spill related to

mining (Murillo et al. 2005). The concentrations of trace elements in leaves of plants treated and not treated with fulvic acids were assessed to determine if fulvic acid would increase uptake of potentially toxic trace elements. The overall results indicated that treatments with fulvic acid stimulated growth and chlorophyll content without excessively enhancing uptake of the trace elements. Effects of fulvic acids on Pb toxicity of plants was investigated using broad bean in growth chamber tests (Shahid et al. 2012). Results showed that fulvic acids at low concentrations complexed toxic free Pb^{2+} and increased Pb uptake without resulting in Pb toxicity. At high concentrations of fulvic acids, Pb uptake and toxicity were reduced, presumably due to the high binding constant of fulvic acid for Pb. Effects of fulvic acid on maize under drought conditions were reported in a net-house trial (Anjum et al. 2011b). In this test, drought was applied at the tassel stage which is also when fulvic acid was applied as a foliar spray. Plants treated with fulvic acid under drought conditions exhibited increased plant growth (plant height, leaf area, shoot dry weight) and yield (grain yield, kernel rows per cob, and 100-kernel weight).

Plant physiology and metabolism

Several studies have been conducted to help elucidate how fulvic acids affect plant physiology in an effort to explain the observed plant growth enhancement and stress tolerance. The capacity of fulvic acid to enhance development of roots in a mini-hydroponic system with micro-Tom tomatoes was shown to be related to auxin by using the auxin-insensitive mutant *dgt*. This mutant does not respond to exogenous IAA, and it did not respond to treatment with fulvic acid, while the wild-type did (Dobbss et al. 2007). Treatment of maize with fulvic acid increased net photosynthesis, transpiration rate, and the intercellular concentration of CO_2 , effects that were related to plant growth promotion (Anjum et al. 2011b). In the same study, proline accumulation was enhanced by fulvic acid treatment in both water-stressed and well-watered plants. Enhanced proline following treatments with fulvic acid leading to amelioration of abiotic stress was also noted by (Peng et al. 2001) in the studies on selenium stress discussed above. On soybean and ryegrass, increased concentration of chlorophyll was noted following application of fulvic acid (Chen et al. 2004). Fulvic acid applied to cell cultures of Greek fir interacted with the signaling

pathway for plant hormones and increased intercellular levels of ATP and glucose-6-phosphate, physiological effects that were related to growth promotion (Zancani et al. 2011).

Concluding remarks for humic acids and fulvic acids

The examples cited above indicate that there is much evidence that humic and fulvic acids can interact with soil nutrients and elicit physiological responses in plants that lead to increased plant growth and in some cases to amelioration of abiotic stresses. The large number of studies demonstrating the specific physiological responses of plants to humic substances lends credence to their use. Berbara and García (2014) stated that the application of humic substances is becoming routine in agriculture. The increasing number of publications showing that humic and fulvic acids deliver economically important benefits to plants through enhanced yield, quality, or stress tolerance supports the potential for humic substances to become routine inputs in some agriculture. However, to reach this goal, more replicated field studies are needed to understand the specific ways that humic substances can add value to crop production systems.

Protein hydrolysates and amino acids

Plant growth stimulation and enhanced tolerance to biotic and abiotic stresses have been reported following application of a variety of protein-based products. These plant stimulatory effects appear to be distinct from the nutritional effect of an additional nitrogen source (Ertani et al. 2009). Implicit in these studies is the assumption that plants can readily take up amino acids and peptides. Watson and Fowden (1975) and Soldal and Nissen (1978) demonstrated that plant roots could take up radio-labelled amino acids and others have confirmed these studies (reviewed by Miller et al. 2007; Nacry et al. 2013). Foliar uptake of amino acids has also been reported (Furuya and Umehiya 2002; Maini 2006; Stiegler et al. 2013).

Protein-based products can be divided into two major categories: protein hydrolysates consisting of a mixture of peptides and amino acids of animal or plant origin and individual amino acids such as glutamate, glutamine, proline and glycine betaine. Protein hydrolysates are prepared by enzymatic, chemical or thermal

hydrolysis of a variety of animal and plant residues, including animal epithelial or connective tissues (Cavani et al. 2006; Ertani et al. 2009, 2013a; Grabowska et al. 2012; Kauffman et al. 2007; Kunicki et al. 2010; Maini 2006; Morales-Payan and Stall 2003), animal collagen and elastine (Cavani et al. 2006), carob germ protein (Parrado et al. 2008), alfalfa residue (Schiavon et al. 2008; Ertani et al. 2009, 2013b), wheat-condensed distiller solubles (García-Martínez et al. 2010), *Nicotiana* cell wall glycoproteins (Apone et al. 2010), and algal protein (De Lucia and Vecchiatti 2012). Several are available as commercial products: e.g. Siapton^R or Aminoplant by Isagro SpA, Italy; ILSATOP products by ILSA Italy and Macro-Sorb Foliar by Bioiberica Corp. Spain.

Protein/peptide and free amino acid contents of the hydrolysates vary in these preparations in the range of 1–85 % (w/w) and 2–18 % (w/w), respectively. The major amino acids include alanine, arginine, glycine, proline, glutamate, glutamine, valine and leucine (Parrado et al. 2008; Ertani et al. 2009; García-Martínez et al. 2010). Siapton contains a high proportion of proline and glycine while in carob germ hydrolysate glutamine and arginine predominate (Parrado et al. 2008). Other non-protein components present in these hydrolysates may also contribute to the stimulatory effects on plants. For example, in addition to proteins, peptides and free amino acids, carob germ extract hydrolysate contained fats, carbohydrates, macro and micronutrient elements and at least six phytohormones, while an animal-based product, Siapton had a similar profile of proteins, amino acids, fats and macro and micronutrients, but lacked carbohydrates and phytohormones (Parrado et al. 2008). An alfalfa hydrolysate was high in free amino acids (1.9 % w/w) and also contained macro and micronutrient elements and auxin- and gibberellin-like activities based on a bioassay (Schiavon et al. 2008). (Ertani et al. 2013a) reported the presence of triacontanol and IAA, both plant growth regulators, in the same alfalfa hydrolysate product and endogenous IAA in a meat hydrolysate (Ertani et al. 2013b). (Kauffman et al. 2005; 2007), working with Macro-Sorb Foliar, derived from the enzymatic hydrolysis of animal membranes and containing 2 % (w/v) plant available nitrogen, 21.3 % (w/v) free amino acids, peptides, nucleotides and fatty acids and 14.8 % (w/v) unknown organic matter, reported that the lipid soluble fraction of the product produced an auxin-like response in vitro equivalent to 0.07 % (v/v).

The second category of protein-based products is that of individual amino acids. These include the twenty structural amino acids involved in the synthesis of proteins as well as non-protein amino acids which are found abundantly in some plant species (Vranova et al. 2011). There is considerable evidence that exogenous application of a number of structural and non-protein amino acids, including glutamate, histidine, proline, and glycine betaine can provide protection from environmental stresses or are active in metabolic signalling (Sharma and Dietz 2006; Forde and Lea 2007; Vranova et al. 2011; Liang et al. 2013). Several non-protein amino acids have also been shown to have roles in plant defense which is outside the scope of this review (see Huang et al. 2011; Vranova et al. 2011).

Specific effects of protein hydrolysates and amino acids on plants

Plant nutrient uptake and yield

Maini (2006) summarized the early studies with the first commercial protein hydrolysate from animal epithelial tissues, Siapton. It was developed in 1969 in Italy for foliar application and has been used in Europe, Middle and Far East, South and Central America. Positive effects on growth and yield of many crops were reported, but the studies were in internal reports or non-peer reviewed journals, not accessible to the authors. Maini (2006) presented data from Stoyanov (1981) claiming enhanced N, P, K and Mg contents in the grains of maize grown under Mg-deficient conditions and then treated with Siapton and Mg compared to that with Mg alone, but no statistical analysis was provided. Similarly, statistical analysis was also lacking for data presented from an unpublished field trial claiming enhanced yield and reduced nitrate content of spinach grown in the presence of low soil N fertilization and with Siapton foliar spray, compared to reduced N fertilization alone.

More recent studies in the literature have shown mixed results with respect to yield enhancement and nutrient uptake with protein hydrolysates. Tomato plants fertilized with Siapton or with a carob germ hydrolysate showed increased plant height and number of flowers per plant compared to the control while only those fertilized with carob germ hydrolysate showed enhanced numbers of fruit per plant after 18 weeks growth in a greenhouse (Parrado et al. 2008). (Koukounararas et al. 2013) reported recently that application of

Amino16^R, a protein hydrolysate containing 11.3 % L-amino acids, to greenhouse tomatoes under varying fertilization levels increased fruit yield and this was associated with increased fruit number or weight depending on the degree of fertilization. Papaya (*Carica papaya*) yields were increased by 22 % when plants were sprayed at monthly intervals with Siapton (Morales-Payan and Stall 2003). (Kunicki et al. 2010) and Gajc-Wolska et al. (2012) found no effect of Aminoplant (Siapton) on yield of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*) and endive (*Chicorium pumilum*), respectively, in field trials and (Grabowska et al. 2012) showed an effect on carrot (*Daucus carota*) yield only for one variety in one year of three years of field trials and soluble sugars and carotenoids increased and nitrate content decreased in Aminoplant-treated carrot roots relative to the control in one year of two field trials. An alfalfa hydrolysate increased leaf growth, foliar sugar content and decreased nitrate content of hydroponically-grown maize plants (Schiavon et al. 2008) and in more recent studies, enhanced short-term growth of hydroponically-grown maize in the absence and presence of salt stress (NaCl) (Ertani et al. 2013b). It also increased K⁺ content of leaves in the absence of NaCl and in the presence of NaCl, enhanced Na⁺ and decreased K⁺ contents in roots and leaves (Ertani et al. 2013b). Similarly, a meat hydrolysate derived from tanning residues increased short-term growth and micro-element content and decreased nitrate, phosphate and sulfate content of hydroponically grown maize seedlings (Ertani et al. 2013a).

Studies with individual amino acids suggest that they may play a signalling role in regulating nitrogen acquisition by roots. Exogenously applied glutamine, in particular, decreased nitrate and ammonium influx and transporter transcript in barley roots (Fan et al. 2006; Miller et al. 2007).

Plant physiology and metabolism

Protein hydrolysates have been shown to stimulate carbon and nitrogen metabolism and to increase nitrogen assimilation. Maini (2006) summarized the early literature showing enhanced activity of NAD-dependent glutamate dehydrogenase, nitrate reductase and malate dehydrogenase in maize following application of Siapton. These results were expanded upon by (Schiavon et al. 2008) who showed that an alfalfa protein hydrolysate applied to hydroponically-grown maize increased the

activity of three enzymes in the tricarboxylic acid cycle (malate dehydrogenase, isocitrate dehydrogenase and citrate synthase) and five enzymes involved in N reduction and assimilation (nitrate reductase, nitrite reductase, glutamine synthetase, glutamate synthase and aspartate aminotransferase). Increased gene expression of the three TCA cycle enzymes, nitrate reductase and asparagine synthetase was confirmed by RT-PCR in the roots following application of alfalfa hydrolysate. (Ertani et al. 2013b) reported similar effects of a meat hydrolysate. These data suggest that protein hydrolysates may promote nitrogen assimilation in plants via coordinated regulation of C and N metabolism. (Ertani et al. 2009) compared the effects of hydrolysates from alfalfa (*Medicago sativa*) and meat flour on maize seedling growth and showed increased activities of glutamine synthase (GS) as well as nitrate reductase in leaves and roots compared to the control. Up-regulation of isoforms GS1 and especially of GS2, which is responsible for assimilation of ammonia produced by nitrate reduction, was observed, consistent with a stimulatory effect of the hydrolysates on nitrogen assimilation.

Exogenous application of glutamate slowed primary root growth and enhanced root branching behind the root tip of *Arabidopsis*, suggesting a signaling role for glutamate and potentially more precise placement of roots within nutrient-rich patches in soil (Walch-Liu et al. 2006a, 2006b; Forde and Lea 2007).

Stimulation of plant defenses to biotic and abiotic stress

There is considerable evidence that protein hydrolysates and specific amino acids including proline, betaine, their derivatives and precursors can induce plant defense responses and increase plant tolerance to a variety of abiotic stresses, including salinity, drought, temperature and oxidative conditions (Ashraf and Foolad 2007; Chen and Murata 2008; Kauffman et al. 2007; Apone et al. 2010; Ertani et al. 2013a). (Kauffman et al. 2007) showed that application of an animal membrane hydrolysate, Macro-Sorb Foliar to perennial ryegrass prior to exposure to prolonged high air temperature stress increased photochemical efficiency and cell membrane integrity compared to control plants. (Apone et al. 2010) reported that an amino acid/peptide/sugar mixture derived from plant cell walls induced the expression of three stress marker genes and two genes involved in the oxidative stress response in *Arabidopsis* plants and enhanced the tolerance of cucumber plants to

oxidative stress. (Ertani et al. 2013a) showed that an alfalfa hydrolysate applied to maize grown hydroponically under increasing salt stress increased plant biomass, reduced the activity of antioxidant enzymes and the synthesis of phenolics, but increased leaf proline and flavonoid content, phenylalanine ammonia-lyase activity and gene expression relative to salt-stressed controls.

Glycine betaine, the N-methyl-substituted derivative of glycine, and proline act as osmoprotectants or osmolytes, stabilizing proteins, enzymes and membranes from the denaturing effects of high salt concentrations and non-physiological temperatures (reviewed by Ashraf and Foolad 2007; Chen and Murata 2008; 2011; dos Reis et al. 2012; Ahmad et al. 2013). Accumulation of glycine betaine and proline is generally correlated with increased stress tolerance and exogenous application of these compounds has been shown to enhance tolerance to abiotic stresses in a variety of higher plants including maize, barley, soybean, alfalfa and rice (Chen and Murata 2008; dos Reis et al. 2012; Ahmad et al. 2013). In addition to their roles in stabilizing proteins and membranes, glycine betaine and proline have been shown to scavenge reactive oxygen species and induce expression of salt stress responsive genes, and genes involved in transcription factors, membrane trafficking and reactive oxygen species (Kinnersley and Turano 2000; Ashraf and Foolad 2007, Einset et al. 2007; 2008; Anjum et al. 2011a; dos Reis et al. 2012; Liang et al. 2013).

Other amino acids have an effect on tolerance to abiotic stresses. Exogenous application of glutamate and/or ornithine, precursors of proline, can also enhance tolerance to salt stress (Chang et al. 2010; da Rocha et al. 2012). Arginine, which plays an important role in nitrogen storage and transport in plants, has been shown to accumulate under abiotic and biotic stress (Lea et al. 2006). The non-protein amino acids beta-aminobutyric acid (BABA) and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) increase plant resistance to abiotic and biotic stresses and are thought to act as endogenous signal molecules (Shelp et al. 1999; Kinnersley and Turano 2000; Bouché and Fromm 2004; Jakab et al. 2005; Zimmerli et al. 2008). (Shang et al. 2011) showed that exogenous application of GABA reduced postharvest chilling injury in peache (*Prunus persica*) and led to enhanced endogenous accumulation of GABA and proline. L-glutamine inhibited the BABA-induced resistance to heat shock and a bacterial pathogen in *Arabidopsis* (Wu et al. 2010).

Decreased plant toxicity to heavy metals

Amino acids and peptides play a role in the tolerance of plants to a range of heavy metals. Proline accumulation is induced in many plants subjected to heavy metal stress and some metal-tolerant plants exhibit high constitutive proline content even in the absence of excess metal ions (reviewed by Sharma and Dietz 2006). Proline may function in osmoregulation, by offsetting the water deficit that can arise with heavy metal exposure; it may chelate metal ions within plant cells and in the xylem sap; it may act as an antioxidant, scavenging free radicals formed as a result of heavy metal uptake; and it may function as a regulator (reviewed by Sharma and Dietz 2006). Nickel-hyperaccumulating plants also exhibit higher histidine concentrations and histidine appears to be involved in transport of Ni from root to shoot (Krämer et al. 1996; Kerkeb and Krämer 2003). There is evidence that other amino acids, including asparagine, glutamine and cysteine and peptides such as glutathione and the phytochelatin are important in chelation of Zn, Ni, Cu, As and Cd (Sharma and Dietz, 2006 Sytar et al. 2013).

Concluding remarks for protein hydrolysates and amino acids

The application of new analytical and molecular tools and carefully controlled studies are providing further insight into the composition of protein hydrolysates and evidence for their ability to stimulate plant growth and enhance resistance to a variety of abiotic stresses (Schiavon et al. 2008; Ertani et al. 2013a, b; Corte et al. 2014). Studies with individual amino acids found as components of hydrolysate preparations lend further support to these claims and offer insights into their activity as signaling molecules, regulators of C and N metabolism, and inducers of plant defense responses to stress (Sharma and Dietz 2006; Forde and Lea 2007; Ahmad et al. 2013; Liang et al. 2013). Further transcriptional and metabolomic analyses of plants treated with protein hydrolysates and amino acids are needed to further our understanding of the mechanisms of action of these biostimulants at the cellular and gene level.

Protein hydrolysates are formed from animal or plant waste material. The ability to recycle these wastes into useful agricultural products to improve plant growth and resilience is of environmental benefit. While some concern has been expressed about the safety of hydrolysates

derived from animal wastes, a recent report by (Corte et al. 2014) showed that hydrolysates of animal origin prepared by chemical or enzymatic hydrolysis showed no toxic or genotoxic effects on soil microbiota, yeasts, and plant bioassay systems and were considered safe for use in conventional and organic farming.

Seaweed extracts

Seaweed has been used for millennia, either directly or following composting as a soil amendment to enhance soil fertility and crop productivity (reviewed by Khan et al. 2009; Craigie 2011). Following the initial development of a process to produce liquid extracts of seaweed in the 1950s (Milton 1952), a variety of commercial seaweed extract products are now available worldwide for use in agriculture and horticulture (Khan et al. 2009; Craigie 2011). These extracts are reported to act as chelators, improving the utilization of mineral nutrients by plants and improving soil structure and aeration, which may stimulate root growth (Milton 1964). Seaweed extracts also act as biostimulants, enhancing seed germination and establishment, improving plant growth, yield, flower set and fruit production, increasing resistance to biotic and abiotic stresses, and improving postharvest shelf life (Mancuso et al. 2006; Norrie and Keathley 2006; Hong et al. 2007a, 2007b; Rayorath et al. 2008; Khan et al. 2009; Craigie 2011; Mattner et al. 2013). The biostimulant effects often have been attributed to the presence of plant growth hormones and related low molecular weight compounds present in the extracts (Stirk and van Staden 1997; Tarakhovskaya et al. 2007), but other research suggests that larger molecules including unique polysaccharides and polyphenols may also be important as biostimulants, as allelochemicals, and for enhancing resistance to stress (Klarzynski et al. 2003; Zhang et al. 2006; Rioux et al. 2007; González et al. 2013).

Most commercial seaweed extracts are made from brown seaweeds, including *Ascophyllum nodosum*, *Fucus*, *Laminaria*, *Sargassum*, and *Turbinaria* spp. (Hong et al. 2007a, 2007b; Sharma et al. 2012). Commercial extract manufacturing processes are generally proprietary, but may include the use of water, acids, or alkalis as extractants with or without heating, or the physical disruption of seaweed using low temperature milling or high pressure (Herve and Rouillier 1977; Stirk and van Staden 2006; Craigie 2011). The final

product is prepared as a liquid or as a dried formulation (Stephenson 1974) and may be combined with plant fertilizers and micronutrients (Milton 1962; Craigie 2011). Extracts are active as biostimulants at low concentrations (diluted at 1:1,000 or more), suggesting that the effects observed are likely distinct from those associated with a direct nutritional function (Crouch and van Staden 1993, Khan et al. 2009). Seaweed extracts are a complex mixture of components that may vary according to the seaweed source, the season of collection, and the extraction process used (Khan et al. 2009; Rioux et al. 2009; Sharma et al. 2012; Shekhar et al. 2012). They contain a wide range of organic and mineral components including unique and complex polysaccharides not present in terrestrial plants such as laminarin, fucoidan and alginates, and plant hormones (Sivasankari et al. 2006; Rioux et al. 2007; Khan et al. 2009). Recently (Sharma et al. 2012) and (Shekhar et al. 2012) reported on the compositional analysis of five brown seaweed species from Northern Ireland. Carbon, nitrogen, lipid, and ash contents varied significantly among species with time of collection and type of extraction, and ranged between 25 and 39 %, 1 and 3 %, 1 and 4 %, and 18 and 48 %, respectively. IAA concentrations in acid extracts ranged from 3 to 47 ng/g. There were significant differences in the concentrations of the minerals Fe, I, K, Mg and S among the five species (Sharma et al. 2012). Characteristic pyrolysis products of fucoidan, mannitol, laminarin, and alginic acid were identified in all five seaweed species, but amounts varied among species. Further, there were differences in fatty acid profiles (Shekhar et al. 2012). Similar variations in compositional analysis were observed with four commercial formulations and two acidic extracts of *A. nodosum* (Shekhar et al. 2012).

Specific effects of seaweed extracts on plants

Plant nutrient uptake, growth, and yield

Studies have shown that foliar application of seaweed extract leads to enhanced root development in a variety of species, including maize (Jeannin et al. 1991), tomato (Crouch and van Staden 1992), *Arabidopsis* (Rayorath et al. 2008), grape (Mancuso et al. 2006; Mugnai et al. 2008), strawberry (Alam et al. 2013), winter rapeseed (Jannin et al. 2013), Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) (Slávik 2005), and lodgepole pine (*Pinus contorta*) (MacDonald et al. 2012). Increases in lateral root

formation (Vernieri et al. 2005), total root volume (Slávik 2005; Mancuso et al. 2006), and root length (Zodape et al. 2011) have been observed and attributed to the presence of phytohormones such as auxins and cytokinins in seaweed extracts (Khan et al. 2011a, 2011b). Seaweed extract application also stimulated mineral nutrient uptake in plants such as lettuce (Crouch et al. 1990), grape (Mancuso et al. 2006), soybean (Rathore et al. 2009), tomato (Zodape et al. 2011), and winter rapeseed (Jannin et al. 2013) with increased accumulation of both macro- (N, P, K, Ca, S) and micro-nutrients (Mg, Zn, Mn, Fe) reported (Crouch et al. 1990, Mancuso et al. 2006, Rathore et al. 2009; Zodape et al. 2011). Indirect stimulation of root growth may also occur via enhancement of associated soil microorganisms by seaweed extracts. Root colonization and in vitro hyphal growth of AMF were improved in the presence of extracts of brown algae (Kuwada et al. 1999). (Alam et al. 2013) showed that seaweed extract increased microbial diversity and activity in the rhizosphere of strawberry, while (Khan et al. 2012; 2013) reported that seaweed extract stimulated alfalfa growth and root nodulation by improving the attachment of *Sinorhizobium meliloti* to root hairs. Enhancement of root growth and nutrient and water uptake efficiency may also increase aboveground plant growth and yield as well as resistance to abiotic and biotic stresses (Khan et al. 2009).

There are numerous reports of beneficial effects of seaweed extracts on shoot growth and crop yield (reviewed by Verkleij 1992; Stirck and van Staden 2006; Khan et al. 2009; Craigie 2011). Recent studies have shown enhanced growth and yield in agricultural and horticultural crops such as wheat (Kumar and Sahoo 2011), winter rapeseed (Jannin et al. 2013), apple (*Malus domestica*) (Basak 2008), strawberry (Alam et al. 2013), tomato (Kumari et al. 2011; Zodape et al. 2011), spinach (Fan et al. 2013), okra (Zodape et al. 2008), olive (*Olea europaea*) (Chouliaras et al. 2009), broccoli (Mattner et al. 2013), and geranium (*Pelargonium* spp) (Krajnc et al. 2012). Root and shoot growth of the model plant *Arabidopsis* was also enhanced by treatment with algal extracts (Rayorath et al. 2008). Leaf chlorophyll content was increased following seaweed extract application in a number of studies (Blunden et al. 1997; Mancuso et al. 2006; Sivasankari et al. 2006; Spinelli et al. 2010; Fan et al. 2013; Jannin et al. 2013). This increase appeared to be associated with a reduction in chlorophyll

degradation (Blunden et al. 1997) and delay in senescence rather than a net increase in photosynthesis rate (Jannin et al. 2013).

Plant metabolism and physiology

Seaweed extracts have a multitude of effects on plant metabolism (reviewed by Khan et al. 2009), and recent gene expression analyses have provided further insight into the pathways involved. (Fan et al. 2013) observed increases in total soluble protein content, antioxidant capacity, phenolics, and flavonoid content in spinach treated with brown algal extracts. These effects were correlated with increases in transcript abundance of key enzymes involved in nitrogen metabolism (cytosolic glutamine synthetase), antioxidative capacity (glutathione reductase), and glycine betaine synthesis (betaine aldehyde dehydrogenase and choline monoxygenase). Chalcone isomerase activity, a key enzyme in the biosynthesis of flavanone precursors and phenylpropanoid plant defense compounds, also increased following treatment with seaweed extract (Fan et al. 2013).

(Jannin et al. 2013) used microarray analysis to assess the effects of a brown algal extract on the expression of 31,561 genes in *Brassica napus*. Sixty percent of these genes were not identified, but, of the remainder, about 1,000 known genes were differentially expressed and grouped into nine clusters representing the major metabolic functions of plants. Of these the most affected by algal extract application were those involved in carbon and photosynthesis, cell metabolism, nitrogen and sulfur metabolism, and responses to stress. Genes involved in carbon fixation, including Rubisco and carbonic anhydrase were upregulated by algal extract, which should lead to enhanced starch synthesis. This hypothesis was supported by the microscopic observation of increased number and size of starch granules following extract treatment. With respect to N and S metabolism, genes coding for proteins involved in uptake and assimilation of N were strongly upregulated, and qPCR analysis showed the induction of nitrate transporters in roots of treated plants. One of the genes coding for nitrate transporters, *NRT1.1*, has been suggested to also have a role in N sensing and in auxin transport (Krouk et al. 2010; Castaings et al. 2011), leading to enhanced lateral root growth. This hypothesis was supported by the increase in root dry weight of extract-treated plants observed in this study (Jannin et al. 2013). Similarly, genes encoding proteins involved

in S uptake, assimilation, and storage were upregulated, which was correlated with the enhanced sulfate uptake and accumulation observed in shoots and roots of extract-treated plants (Jannin et al. 2013).

It is well established that purified seaweed cell wall polysaccharides and derived oligosaccharides can enhance plant growth (reviewed by González et al. 2013). Oligo-alginates derived from brown seaweeds enhanced nitrogen assimilation and basal metabolism in plants (Khan et al. 2011a; Sarfaraz et al. 2011), and oligo-carrageenans derived from red algae enhanced photosynthesis, nitrogen assimilation, basal metabolism, cell division, and protection against viral, fungal, and bacterial infections in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) and chickpea (*Cicer arietinum*) (Bi et al. 2011; Castro et al. 2012; Vera et al. 2012). (González et al. 2013) speculate that oligo-alginates and oligo-carrageenans may interact with plasma membrane receptors that use a co-receptor involved in signal transduction leading to simultaneous activation of plant growth and defense against pathogens as has been observed with brassinosteroid-dependent and microbial-associated molecular patterns (MAMPs)-dependent signaling pathways, which have a common co-receptor (Kemmerling et al. 2011).

Resistance to abiotic stress

Seaweed extracts have been shown to alleviate a variety of abiotic stresses including drought, salinity, and temperature extremes (Nabati et al. 1994; Zhang and Ervin 2004; Mancuso et al. 2006; Khan et al. 2009; Craigie 2011). Our current understanding of how plants respond to environmental stresses has been informed by recent advances in genomics and transcriptomics. Response is mediated via an intricate network of signals that perceive the stress and set in motion molecular, biochemical, and physiological processes that may be unique to each stress (Hirayama and Shinozaki 2010; Krasensky and Jonak 2012; dos Reis et al. 2012). At the molecular level stress-inducible genes are expressed that code for proteins that directly protect against stress, including osmoprotectants, detoxifying enzymes, and transporters and genes that code for proteins that are regulatory in nature such as transcription factors, protein kinases, and phosphatases. Metabolism may be altered by the synthesis of endogenous regulatory molecules, such as salicylic and abscisic acids, and by compatible solutes, such as proline and glycine-betaine that stabilize

proteins and cell structures, maintain cell turgor, and scavenge reactive oxygen species. At the cellular level there may be changes in the plant membrane, cell wall architecture, cell cycle, and cell division. (Krasensky and Jonak 2012; dos Reis et al. 2012).

The mode of action of seaweed extracts in enhancing stress tolerance in plants is not well understood, but the presence of bioactive molecules in the extracts, such as betaines (Blunden et al. 1997) and cytokinins (Zhang and Ervin 2004), may play a role. Seaweed extracts also increase the endogenous concentrations of stress-related molecules, such as cytokinins, proline, antioxidants, and antioxidant enzymes in treated plants (Zhang and Ervin 2004; 2008; Zhang et al. 2010; Aziz et al. 2011; Lola-Luz et al. 2013; Fan et al. 2013). (Rayirath et al. 2009) showed that extracts of *A. nodosum* and its lipophilic fraction increased tolerance of *Arabidopsis* to freezing temperatures and that this was associated with protection of membrane integrity, reduced expression of chlorophyllase genes, and increased expression of three cold tolerance genes. (Nair et al. 2012) determined that the lipophilic components (LPC) of the seaweed extract increased proline content in *Arabidopsis* plants undergoing freezing stress and that this increase was associated with increased expression of proline synthesis genes. In addition, the concentration of total soluble sugars in the cytosol and the proportion of unsaturated fatty acids increased in LPC-treated plants under freezing stress. Using transcriptomic and metabolomic approaches they demonstrated that 1,113 genes were differentially expressed in the LPC-treated *Arabidopsis* undergoing freezing stress and of these 463 were up-regulated, including those associated with stress responses, sugar accumulation, lipid metabolism and response to abscisic acid. In a similar study, (Jithesh et al. 2012) showed that treatment of *Arabidopsis* undergoing salt stress with *A. nodosum* extracts induced many positive regulators of salt tolerance and down-regulated other genes.

Plant hormones

Seaweed extracts contain a variety of plant hormones including cytokinins, auxins, abscisic acid, gibberellic acid and salicylic acid determined indirectly by bioassays (reviewed by Khan et al. 2009 and Craigie 2011; Khan et al. 2011b) and directly by methods such as high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC), gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS), and liquid

chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC-MS) (Stirk et al. 2003; Gupta et al. 2011). Cytokinins and cytokinin-like compounds are the most widely reported in seaweed extracts, followed by auxins and auxin-like compounds (Stirk et al. 2003; Khan et al. 2009; Craigie 2011), and these have often been speculated to be responsible for the plant growth enhancing effects of the extracts (Khan et al. 2009; Craigie 2011). (Wally et al. 2013) determined the phytohormone concentrations in 12 seaweed extracts from different sources by ultraperformance liquid chromatography–electrospray tandem mass spectrometry (UPLC-ESI-MS/MS) and concluded that the phytohormone levels present in seaweed extracts were insufficient to cause significant effects in plants when applied at the recommended rates. However, using phytohormone biosynthetic and insensitive mutants of *Arabidopsis* mutants coupled with transcript analysis they showed that “alteration in plant phenotype following seaweed extract application results from a modulation of biosynthesis, quantity, and ratios of the endogenously produced cytokinins, auxins and abscisic acid metabolites, rather than from the exogenous phytohormones present within the extracts themselves” (Wally et al. 2013). They observed increases in concentrations of cytokinins and abscisic acid and their metabolites in leaf tissue and decreases in auxin concentrations following treatment with seaweed extract. They suggest that this stimulation of endogenous cytokinin and abscisic acid biosynthetic pathways and repression of auxin biosynthesis likely explains the increased vegetative plant growth and enhanced resistance to drought and salinity stress observed by others following seaweed extract application. The bioactive compounds within the seaweed extracts that lead to activation of the plant phytohormone biosynthetic pathways remain to be determined.

Concluding remarks for seaweed extracts

Seaweed extracts, which contain a complex mixture of polysaccharides, micronutrients, and plant growth hormones, have been shown to have a stimulatory effect on plant growth and can enhance plant resistance to abiotic and biotic stresses (Khan et al. 2009; Craigie 2011; González et al. 2013). Their modes of action are not yet well understood, but the application of new analytical and molecular tools is providing new insight into their effects on gene expression, biochemical pathways, and physiological processes (Rayirath

et al. 2009; Nair et al. 2012; Jannin et al. 2013; Wally et al. 2013). Greater understanding of the modes of action of this renewable resource will be helpful in optimizing its use in the sustainable management of agricultural and horticultural systems (Khan et al. 2009; Quilty and Cattle 2011).

Conclusions

The examples presented in this review clearly show that many scientific studies have demonstrated the potential of various categories of biostimulants to improve crop production and to ameliorate abiotic stresses such as drought and soil salinity. From the fundamental research perspective, more studies on the transcriptomic and proteomic effects of humic substances will help clarify how specific humic substances elicit plant growth, nutrient uptake, and stress-tolerance responses. Such studies also offer the potential to find markers for beneficial responses, and such markers could be used during product development of biostimulants. An obvious area for future research and development of biostimulants is the combination of some of the various categories presented in this review. For example, combinations of microbial inoculants with seaweed extracts or humic substances could theoretically deliver more reproducible benefits to crop production. We began this review by stating that the global market for biostimulants is projected to reach to reach \$2.2 billion by 2018 and to have a compound annual growth rate of 12.5 % from 2013 to 2018. Achieving this ambitious application of biostimulants will require ongoing investments in research and part-nerships between public and private sector stakeholders.

Open Access This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits any use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

References

- Abeles FB, Wydoski SG (1987) Inhibitors of ethylene synthesis and action: a comparison of their activities in a lettuce root growth model system. *Ann Soc Entomol Nat* 32:1–12
- Adesemoye AO, Torbert HA, Kloepper JW (1998) The effect of rhizobacteria on tomato plant growth and mineral nutrition. *Plant Nutr* 2: 56–57
- Adesemoye AO, Torbert HA, Kloepper JW (2008) Enhanced plant nutrient use efficiency with PGPR and AMF in an integrated nutrient management system. *Can J Microbiol* 54:876–886
- Adesemoye AO, Torbert HA, Kloepper JW (2010) Increased plant uptake of nitrogen from ^{15}N -depleted fertilizer using plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Appl Soil Ecol* 46:54–58
- Aguirre E, Leménager D, Bacaicoa E et al (2009) The root application of a purified leonardite humic acid modifies the transcriptional regulation of the main physiological root responses to Fe deficiency in Fe-sufficient cucumber plants. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 47:215–223
- Ahmad R, Lim CJ, Kwon S-Y (2013) Glycine betaine: a versatile compound with great potential for gene pyramiding to improve crop plant performance against environmental stresses. *Plant Biotechnol Rep* 7:49–57. doi:10.1007/s11816-012-0266-8
- Alam MZ, Braun G, Norrie J, Hodges DM (2013) Effect of *Ascophyllum* extract application on plant growth, fruit yield and soil microbial communities of strawberry. *Can J Plant Sci* 93:23–36
- Albuzio A, Nardi S, Gulli A (1989) Plant growth regulator activity of small molecular size humic pfractions. *Sci Total Environ* 81(82):671–674
- Ali B, Sabri AN, Ljung K, Hasnain S (2009) Auxin production by plant associated bacteria: impact on endogenous IAA content and growth of *Triticum aestivum* L. *Lett. Appl Microbiol* 48: 542–547. doi:10.1111/j.1472-765X.2009.02565.x
- Aloni R, Aloni E, Langhans M, Ulrich C (2006) Role of cytokinin and auxin in shaping root architecture: regulating vascular differentiation, lateral root initiation, root apical dominance and root gravitropism. *Ann Bot* 97:883–893
- Aminifard MH, Aroiee H, Nemati H, Azizi M, Jaafar HZE (2012) Fulvic acid affects pepper antioxidant activity and fruit quality. *Afr J Biotechnol* 11:13179–13185
- Anjum SA, Farooq M, Wang LC et al (2011a) Gas exchange and chlorophyll synthesis of maize cultivars are enhanced by exogenously-applied glycinebetaine under drought conditions. *Plant Soil Environ* 57:326–331
- Anjum SA, Wang L, Farooq M, Xue L, Ali S (2011b) Fulvic acid application improves the maize performance under well-watered and drought conditions. *J Agron Crop Sci* 197: 409–417
- Anonymous, 2013. Biostimulants market – By Active Ingredients, Applications, Crop Types & Geography — Global Trends & Forecasts to 2018. *Marketsandmarkets*. <http://www.marketsandmarkets.com/Market-Reports/biostimulant-market-1081.html?gclid=CJfhh9TvorgCFcU5QgodkTMApw>
- Antoun H, Kloepper J (2001) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR). In: Brenner S, Miller JH (eds) *Encyclopedia of genetics*. Academic Press, New York, pp 1477–1480
- Apone F, Tito A, Carola A et al (2010) A mixture of peptides and sugars derived from plant cell walls increases plant defense responses to stress and attenuates ageing-associated molecular changes in cultured skin cells. *J Biotechnol* 145:367–376
- Arhipova TN, Prinsen E, Veselov SU et al (2007) Cytokinin producing bacteria enhance plant growth in drying soil. *Plant Soil* 292:305–315. doi:10.1007/s11104-007-9233-5
- Arhipova TN, Veselov SU, Melentiev AI, Martynenko EV, Kudoyarova GR (2005) Ability of bacterium *Bacillus subtilis* to produce cytokinins and to influence the growth and

- endogenous hormone content of lettuce plants. *Plant Soil* 272:201–209. doi:10.1007/s11104-004-5047-x
- Arshad M, Shaharouna B, Mahmood T (2008) Inoculation with *Pseudomonas* spp. containing ACC-deaminase partially eliminates the effects of drought stress on growth, yield, and ripening of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). *Pedosphere* 18: 611–620
- Ashraf M, Foolad MR (2007) Roles of glycine betaine and proline in improving plant abiotic stress resistance. *Environ Exp Bot* 59:206–216
- Asli S, Neumann PM (2010) Rhizosphere humic acid interacts with root cell walls to reduce hydraulic conductivity and plant development. *Plant Soil* 336:313–322
- Asp H, Berggren D (1990) Phosphate and calcium uptake in beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) in the presence of aluminium and natural fulvic acids. *Physiol Plant* 80:307–314
- Augé RM (2001) Water relations, drought and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis. *Mycorrhiza* 11:3–42. doi:10.1007/s005720100097
- Aydin A, Kant C, Turan M (2012) Humic acid application alleviate salinity stress of bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.) plants decreasing membrane leakage. *Afr J Agric Res* 7:1073–1086
- Aziz NGA, Mahgoub MH, Siam HS (2011) Growth, flowering and chemical constituents performance of *Amaranthus tricolor* plants as influenced by seaweed (*Ascophyllum nodosum*) extract application under salt stress conditions. *J Appl Sci Res* 7:1472–1484
- Bacilio M, Rodriguez H, Moreno M, Hernandez JP, Bashan Y (2004) Mitigation of salt stress in wheat seedlings by a gfp-tagged *Azospirillum lipoferum*. *Biol Fert Soils* 40: 188–193
- Bae H, Sicher RC, Kim MS et al (2009) The beneficial endophyte *Trichoderma hamatum* isolate DIS 219b promotes growth and delays the onset of the drought response in *Theobroma cacao*. *J Exp Bot* 60:3279–3295
- Baldani VLD, Baldani JI, Döbereiner J (2001) Inoculation of rice plants with the endophytic diazotrophs *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* and *Burkholderia* spp. *Biol Fert Soils* 30:485–491
- Baldani JI, Caruso L, Baldani VLD, Goi SR, Döbereiner J (1997) Recent advances in BNF with non-legume plants. *Soil Biol Biochem* 29:911–922
- Banerjee MR, Yesmin L, Vessey JK (2006) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers and biopesticide. In: Rai MK (ed) *Handbook of microbial biofertilizers*. Food Products Press, New York, pp 137–181
- Baniaghil N, Arzanesh MH, Ghorbanli M, Shahbazi M (2013) The effect of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria on growth parameters, antioxidant enzymes and microelements of canola under salt stress. *J Appl Environ Biol Sci* 3:17–27
- Barazani O, Friedman J (1999) Is IAA the major root growth factor secreted from plant-growth-mediating bacteria? *J Chem Ecol* 25:2397–2406. doi:10.1023/a:1020890311499
- Barea JM, Navarro E, Montoya E (1976) Production of plant growth regulators by rhizosphere phosphate-solubilizing bacteria. *J Appl Bacteriol* 40:129–134
- Basak A (2008) Effect of preharvest treatment with seaweed products, Kelpak® and Goemar BM86®, on fruit quality in apple. *Int J Fruit Sci* 8:1–14
- Bashan Y, De-Bashan LE (2010) How the plant growth-promoting bacterium *Azospirillum* promotes plant growth—a critical assessment. *Adv Agron* 108:77–136
- Bashan Y, De-Bashan LE, Prabhu SR, Hernandez J-P (2014) Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant technology—formulations and practical perspectives (1998–2013). *Plant Soil*. doi:10.1007/s11104-013-1956-x
- Bashan Y, Holguin G (1998) Proposal for division of plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria into two classifications: biocontrol-PGPB (Plant growth-promoting bacteria) and PGPB. *Soil Biol Biochem* 30:1225–1228
- Bashan Y, Holguin G, de Bashan LE (2004) *Azospirillum*-plant relationship: physiological, molecular, agriculture, and environmental advances (1997–2003). *Can J Microb* 50: 521–577
- Bastián F, Cohen A, Piccoli P et al (1998) Production of indole-3-acetic acid and gibberellins A1 and A3 by *Acetobacter diazotrophicus* and *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* in chemically-defined culture media. *Plant Growth Regul* 24: 7–11
- Befrozfar MR, Habibi D, Asgharzadeh A, Sadeghi-Shoae M, Tookallo MR (2013) Vermicompost, plant growth promoting bacteria and humic acid can affect the growth and essence of basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.). *Ann Biol Res* 4:8–12
- Belimov AA, Dodd IC, Hontzeas N et al (2009) Rhizosphere bacteria containing 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase increase yield of plants grown in drying soil via both local and systemic hormone signalling. *New Phytol* 181: 413–423. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02657.x
- Berbara RLL, García AC (2014) Humic substances and plant defense metabolism. In: Ahmad P, Wani MR (eds) *Physiological mechanisms and adaptation strategies in plants under changing environment: volume 1*. Springer Science+Business Media, New York, pp 297–319
- Berg G (2009) Plant–microbe interactions promoting plant growth and health: perspectives for controlled use of microorganisms in agriculture. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 84:11–18. doi:10.1007/s00253-009-2092-7
- Bhattacharyya PN, Jha DK (2012) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR): emergence in agriculture. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 28:1327–1350
- Bi F, Iqbal S, Arman M, Ali A, M-u H (2011) Carrageenan as an elicitor of induced secondary metabolites and its effects on various growth characters of chickpea and maize plants. *J Saudi Chem Soc* 15:269–273
- Biostimulant Coalition, 2013. What are biostimulants? <http://www.biostimulantcoalition.org/about/>
- Blaha D, Prigent-Combaret C, Mirza MS, Moenne-Loccoz Y (2006) Phylogeny of the 1 aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid deaminase-encoding gene *acdS* in phyto-beneficial and pathogenic proteobacteria and relation with strain biogeography. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 56:455–470
- Blunden G, Jenkins T, Liu Y-W (1997) Enhanced leaf chlorophyll levels in plants treated with seaweed extract. *J Appl Phycol* 8: 535–543
- Bocanegra MP, Lobartini JC, Orioli GA (2006) Plant uptake of iron chelated by humic acids of different molecular weights. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 37:1–2
- Boddey RM, Döbereiner J (1995) Nitrogen fixation associated with grasses and cereals: recent progress and perspectives for the future. *Fert Res* 42:241–250
- Boddey RM, Polidoro JC, Resende AS, Alves BJR, Urquiaga S (2001) Use of the ¹⁵N natural abundance technique for the

- quantification of the contribution of N₂ fixation to sugar cane and other grasses. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 28:889–895
- Boddey RM, Urquiaga S, Reis V, Döbereiner J (1991) Biological nitrogen fixation associated with sugar cane. *Plant Soil* 137: 111–117
- Bouché N, Fromm H (2004) GABA in plants: just a metabolite? *Trends Plant Sci* 9:110–115
- Brundrett MC (1991a) Mycorrhizas in natural ecosystems. In: Macfayden A, Begoon M, Fitter AH (eds) *Advances in ecological research*. Academic Press, London, pp 376–391
- Brundrett MC (1991b) Mycorrhizas in natural ecosystems. In: Macfayden A, Begoon M, Fitter AH (eds) *Advances in ecological research*. Academic Press, London, pp 171–314
- Burd GI, Dixon DG, Glick BR (2000) Plant growth-promoting bacteria that decrease heavy metal toxicity in plants. *Can J Microbiol* 46:237–245
- Calvo P, Watts DB, Ames RN, Klopper JW, Torbert HA (2013) Microbial-based inoculants impact nitrous oxide emissions from an incubated soil medium containing urea fertilizers. *J Environ Qual* 42:704–712. doi:10.2134/jeq2012.0300
- Canbolat M, Bilen S, Çakmakçı R, Şahin F, Aydın A (2006) Effect of plant growth-promoting bacteria and soil compaction on barley seedling growth, nutrient uptake, soil properties and rhizosphere microflora. *Biol Fertil Soils* 42:350–357. doi:10.1007/s00374-005-0034-9
- Canellas LP, Dantas DJ, Aguiar NO et al (2011) Probing the hormonal activity of fractionated molecular humic components in tomato auxin mutants. *Ann Appl Biol* 159:202–211
- Canellas LP, Olivares FL, Okorokaova-Façanha AL, Façanha AR (2002) Humic acids isolated from earthworm compost enhance root elongation, lateral root emergence, and plasma membrane H⁺-ATPase activity in maize roots. *Plant Physiol* 130:1951–1957
- Canellas LP, Piccolo A, Dobbss LB et al (2010) Chemical composition and bioactivity properties of size-fractions separated from a vermicompost humic acid. *Chemosphere* 78: 457–466
- Canellas LP, Spaccini R, Piccolo A et al (2009) Relationships between chemical characteristics and root growth promotion of humic acids isolated from Brazilian oxisols. *Soil Sci* 174: 611–620
- Carletti P, Masi A, Spolaore B et al (2008) Protein expression changes in maize roots in response to humic substances. *J Chem Ecol* 34:804–818
- Casanovas EM, Barassi CA, Sueldo RJ (2002) *Azospirillum* inoculation mitigates water stress effects in maize seedlings. *Cereals Res Commun* 30:343–350
- Castaings L, Marchive C, Meyer C, Krapp A (2011) Nitrogen signalling in *Arabidopsis*: how to obtain insights into a complex signalling network. *J Exp Bot* 62:1391–1397
- Castro J, Vera J, González A, Moenne A (2012) Oligo-Carrageenans stimulate growth by enhancing photosynthesis, basal metabolism, and cell cycle in tobacco plants (var. Burley). *J Plant Growth Regul* 31:173–185
- Cavani L, Halle AT, Richard C, Ciavatta C (2006) Photosensitizing properties of protein hydrolysate-based fertilizers. *J Agric Food Chem* 54:9160–9167
- Chae HS, Kieber JJ (2005) Eto Brute? Role of ACS turnover in regulating ethylene biosynthesis. *Trends Plant Sci* 10:291–296. doi:10.1016/j.tplants2005.04.006
- Chang C, Wang B, Shi L et al (2010) Alleviation of salt stress-induced inhibition of seed germination in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.) by ethylene and glutamate. *J Plant Physiol* 167:1152–1156
- Chang CH, Yang SS (2009) Thermo-tolerant phosphate-solubilizing microbes for multi-functional biofertilizer preparation. *Bioresour Technol* 100:1648–1658
- Chen THH, Murata N (2008) Glycinebetaine: an effective protectant against abiotic stress in plants. *Trends Plant Sci* 13:499–505
- Chen THH, Murata N (2011) Glycinebetaine protects plants against abiotic stress: mechanisms and biotechnological applications. *Plant Cell Environ* 34:1–20
- Chen Y, Clapp CE, Magen H (2004) Mechanisms of plant growth stimulation by humic substances: the role of organo-iron complexes. *Soil Sci Plant Nutr* 50:1089–1095
- Chinnadurai C, Balachandar D, Sundaram SP (2009) Characterization of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase producing methyllobacteria from phyllosphere of rice and their role in ethylene regulation. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 25:1403–1411
- Chouliaras V, Tasioula M, Chatzissavvidis C, Therios I, Tsalolatidou E (2009) The effects of a seaweed extract in addition to nitrogen and boron fertilization on productivity, fruit maturation, leaf nutritional status and oil quality of the olive (*Olea europaea* L.) cultivar Koroneiki. *J Sci Food Agric* 89:984–988
- Cimrin KM, Önder T, Turan M, Burcu T (2010) Phosphorus and humic acid application alleviate salinity stress of pepper seedling. *Afr J Biotechnol* 9:5845–5851
- Clapp CE, Chen Y, Hayes MHB, Cheng HH (2001) Plant growth promoting activity of humic substances. In: Swift RS, Sparks KM (eds) *Understanding and managing organic matter in soils, sediments, and waters*. International Humic Science Society, Madison, pp 243–255
- Cohen A, Bottini R, Piccoli P (2008) *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp 245 produces ABA in chemically-defined culture medium and increases ABA content in arabisopsis plants. *Plant Growth Regul* 54:97–103. doi:10.1007/s10725-007-9232-9
- Contesto C, Desbrosses G, Lefoulon C, Bena G, Borel F, Galland M, Gamet L, Varoquaux F, Touraine B (2008) Effects of rhizobacterial ACC deaminase activity on *Arabidopsis* indicate that ethylene mediates local root responses to plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Plant Sci* 175:178–1
- Cordovilla MP, Berrido SI, Ligerio F, Lluch C (1999) Rhizobium strain effects on the growth and nitrogen assimilation in *Pisum sativum* and *Vicia faba* plant growth under salt stress. *J Plant Physiol* 154:127–131
- Corte L, Dell'Abate MT, Magini A et al (2014) Assessment of safety and efficiency of nitrogen organic fertilizers from animal-based protein hydrolysates – a laboratory multidisciplinary approach. *J Sci Food Agric* 94:235–245
- Costa G, Labrousse P, Bodin C et al (2008) Effects of humic substances on the rooting and development of woody plant cuttings. *Acta Hort* 779:255–261
- Craigie JS (2011) Seaweed extract stimuli in plant science and agriculture. *J Appl Phycol* 23:371–393
- Creus CM, Sueldo RJ, Barassi CA (2004) Water relations and yield in *Azospirillum*-inoculated wheat exposed to drought in the field. *Can J Bot* 82:273–281
- Crouch IJ, Beckett RP, van Staden J (1990) Effect of seaweed concentrate on the growth and mineral nutrition of nutrient-stressed lettuce. *J Appl Phycol* 2:269–272

- Crouch IJ, van Staden J (1992) Effect of seaweed cocentrate on the establishment and yield of greenhouse tomato plants. *J Appl Phycol* 4:291–296
- Crouch IJ, van Staden J (1993) Evidence for the presence of plant growth regulators in commercial seaweed products. *Plant Growth Regul* 13:21–29
- da Rocha IMA, Vitorello VA, Silva JS et al (2012) Exogenous ornithine is an effective precursor and the δ -ornithine amino transferase pathway contributes to proline accumulation under high N recycling in salt-stressed cashew leaves. *J Plant Physiol* 169:41–49
- Dalmastri C, Chiarini L, Cantale C, Bevinino A, Tabacchioni S (1999) Soil type and maize cultivar affect the genetic diversity of maize root-associated *Burkholderia cepacia* populations. *Microb Ecol* 38:273–284
- Dastager SG, Deepa CK, Pandey A (2010) Isolation and characterization of novel plant growth promoting *Micrococcus* sp NII-0909 and its interaction with cowpea. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 48:987–992. doi:10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.09.006
- De-Bashan LE, Hernandez J-P, Bashan Y, Maier RM (2010a) *B. pumilus* ES4: Candidate plant growth-promoting bacterium to enhance establishment of plants in mine tailings. *Environ Exp Bot* 69:343–352
- De-Bashan LE, Hernandez J-P, Nelson KN, Bashan Y, Maier RM (2010b) Growth of quailbush in acidic, metalliferous desert mine tailings: Effect of *A. brasilense* Sp6 on biomass production and rhizosphere community structure. *Microb Ecol* 60:915–927
- De-Bashan LE, Hernandez J-P, Bashan Y (2012) The potential contribution of plant growth-promoting bacteria to reduce environmental degradation – A comprehensive evaluation. *Appl Soil Ecol* 61:71–189. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.09.003
- de Freitas JR, Banerjee MR, Germida JJ (1997) Phosphate-solubilizing rhizobacteria enhance the growth and yield but not phosphorus uptake of canola (*Brassica napus*). *Biol Fertil Soils* 36:842–855
- De Lucia B, Vecchiatti L (2012) Type of bio-stimulant and application method effects on stem quality and root system growth in L.A. Lily. *Eur J Horticult Sci* 77:10–15
- Dobbss LB, Canellas LP, Olivares FL et al (2010) Bioactivity of chemically transformed humic matter from vermicompost on plant root growth. *J Agric Food Chem* 58:3681–3688
- Dobbss LB, Medici LO, Peres LEP et al (2007) Changes in root development of *Arabidopsis* promoted by organic matter from oxisol. *Ann Appl Biol* 151:199–211
- Döbbelaere S, Croonenborghs A, Thys A, Vande Broek A, Vanderleyden J (1999) Phytostimulatory effect of *Azospirillum brasilense* wild type and mutant strains altered in IAA production on wheat. *Plant Soil* 212:153–162. doi:10.1023/a:1004658000815
- Döbereiner J (1997) Biological nitrogen fixation in the tropics: social and economic contributions. *Soil Biol Biochem* 29:771–774
- Dodd IC, Pérez-Alfocea F (2012) Microbial amelioration of crop salinity stress. *J Exp Bot* 63:3415–3428. doi:10.1093/jxb/ers033
- Dodd IC, Ruiz-Lozano JM (2012) Microbial enhancement of crop resource use efficiency. *Curr Opin Biotechnol* 23:236–242. doi:10.1016/j.copbio.2011.09.005
- Dodd IC, Zinovkina NY, Safronova VI, Belimov AA (2010) Rhizobacterial mediation of plant hormone status. *Ann Appl Biol* 157:361–379. doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.2010.00439.x
- dos Reis SP, Lima AM, de Souza CRB (2012) Recent molecular advances on downstream plant responses to abiotic stress. *Int J Mol Sci* 13:8628–8647
- du Jardin, P., 2012. The science of plant biostimulants—a bibliographic analysis. Contract 30-CE0455515/00-96, ad hoc Study on bio-stimulants products. http://ec.europa.eu/enterprise/sectors/chemicals/files/fertilizers/final_report_bio_2012_en.pdf
- Dunstone RL, Richards RA, Rawson HM (1988) Variable responses of stomatal conductance, growth, and yield to fulvic acid applications to wheat. *Aust J Agric Res* 39:547–553
- Egamberdiyeva D, Höflich G (2004) Effect of plant growth-promoting bacteria on growth and nutrient uptake of cotton and pea in a semi-arid region of Uzbekistan. *J Arid Environ* 56:293–301. doi:10.1016/S0140-1963(03)00050-8
- Einset J, Nielson E, Connolly EL et al (2007) Membrane-trafficking RabA4c involved in the effect of glycine betaine on recovery from chilling stress in *Arabidopsis*. *Physiol Plant* 130:511–518
- Einset J, Winge P, Bones AM, Connolly EL (2008) The FRO2 ferric reductase is required for glycine betaine's effect on chilling tolerance in *Arabidopsis* roots. *Physiol Plant* 134:334–341
- El-Nemr MA, El-Desuki M, El-Bassiony AM, Fawzy ZF (2012) Response of growth and yield of cucumber plants (*Cucumis sativus* L.) to different foliar applications of humic acid and bio-stimulators. *Aust J Basic Appl Sci* 6:630–637
- Ertani A, Cavani L, Pizzeghello D et al (2009) Biostimulant activity of two protein hydrolyzates in the growth and nitrogen metabolism of maize seedlings. *J Plant Nutri Soil Sci* 172:237–244
- Ertani A, Pizzeghello D, Altissimo A, Nardi S (2013a) Use of meat hydrolyzate derived from tanning residues as plant biostimulant for hydroponically grown maize. *J Plant Nutr Soil Sci* 176:287–296
- Ertani A, Schiavon M, Muscolo A, Nardi S (2013b) Alfalfa plant-derived biostimulant stimulate short-term growth of salt stressed *Zea mays* L. plants. *Plant Soil* 364:145–158
- Esteves da Silva JCG, Machado AASC, Oliveira CJS (1998) Effect of pH on complexation of Fe(III) with fulvic acids. *Environ Toxicol Chem* 17:1268–1273
- European Biostimulants Industry Council (2013) Economic overview of the biostimulants sector in Europe, 17 April 2013. http://www.biostimulants.eu/wp-content/uploads/2013/04/Biostimulant_economics_17April2013.pdf
- European Biostimulants Industry Council (2012a) EBIC and biostimulants in brief. <http://www.biostimulants.eu/>
- European Biostimulants Industry Council (2012b) What are biostimulants? <http://www.biostimulants.eu/about/what-are-biostimulants/>
- Evelin H, Kapoor R, Giri B (2009) Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in alleviation of salt stress: a review. *Ann Bot* 104:1263–1280
- Eyheraguibel B, Silvestre J, Morard P (2008) Effects of humic substances derived from organic waste enhancement on the growth and mineral nutrition of maize. *Bioresour Technol* 99:4206–4212
- Fan D, Hodges DM, Critchley AT, Prithiviraj B (2013) A commercial extract of Brown Macroagla (*Ascophyllum nodosum*)

- affects yield and the nutritional quality of spinach *in vitro*. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 44:1873–1884
- Fan X, Gordon-Weeks R, Shen Q, Miller AJ (2006) Glutamine transport and feedback regulation of nitrate reductase activity in barley roots leads to changes in cytosolic nitrate pools. *J Exp Bot* 57:1333–1340
- Fayez M, Daw ZY (1987) Effect of inoculation with different strains of *Azospirillum brasilense* on cotton (*Gossypium barbadense*). *Biol Fertil Soils* 4:1–95
- Feller C, Brossard M, Chen Y, Landa ER, Trichet J (2010) Selected pioneering works on humus in soils and sediments during the 20th century: a retrospective look from the International Humic Substances Society view. *Phys Chem Earth* 35:903–912
- Feng G, Zhang F, Li X et al (2002) Improved tolerance of maize plants to salt stress by arbuscular mycorrhiza is related to higher accumulation of soluble sugars in roots. *Mycorrhiza* 12:185–190. doi:10.1007/s00572-002-0170-0
- Fernando WGD, Ramarathnam R, Krishnamoorthy AS, Savchuk SC (2005) Identification and use of potential bacterial organic antifungal volatiles in biocontrol. *Soil Biol Biochem* 37:955–964
- Forde BG, Lea PJ (2007) Glutamate in plants: metabolism, regulation, and signalling. *J Exp Bot* 58:2339–2358
- Frankenberger JWT, Arshad M (1995) *Phytohormones in soils*. Dekker, New York
- Friedrich S, Platonova NP, Karavaiko GI, Stichel E, Glombitza F (1991) Chemical and microbiological solubilization of silicates. *Acta Biotechnol* 11:187–196. doi:10.1002/abio.370110302
- Fulchieri M, Lucangeli C, Bottini R (1993) Inoculation with *Azospirillum lipoferum* affects growth and gibberellin status of corn seedling roots. *Plant Cell Physiol* 34:1305–1309
- Furuya S, Umemiya Y (2002) The influence of chemical forms on foliar-applied nitrogen absorption for peach trees. *Acta Hort* 594:97–103
- Gamalero E, Berta G, Glick B (2009) The use of microorganisms to facilitate the growth of plants in saline soils. In: Khan MS, Zaidi A, Musarrat J (eds) *Microbial strategies for crop improvement*. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, pp 1–22
- Gajcz-Wolska J, Kowalczyk K, Nowecka M, Mazur K, Metera A (2012) Effect of organic-mineral fertilizers on the yield and quality of Endive (*Cichorium endivia* L.). *Acta Sci Pol* 11:189–200
- García de Salamone IEG, Dobereiner J, Urquiaga S, Boddey RM (1996) Biological nitrogen fixation in *Azospirillum* strain-maize genotype associations as evaluated by the ^{15}N isotope dilution technique. *Biol Fertil Soils* 23:249–256
- García de Salamone IE, Hynes RK, Nelson LM (2001) Cytokinin production by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and selected mutants. *Can J Microbiol* 47:404–411
- García AC, Barbara RLL, Farias LP et al (2012) Humic acids of vermicompost as an ecological pathway to increase resistance of rice seedlings to water stress. *Afr J Biotechnol* 11:3125–3134
- García AC, Santos LA, Izquierdo FG et al (2013) Potentialities of vermicompost humic acids to alleviate water stress in rice plants (*Oryza sativa* L.). *J Geochem Explor* 136:48–54
- García-Martínez AM, Díaz A, Tejada M et al (2010) Enzymatic production of an organic soil biostimulant from wheat-condensed distiller solubles: effects on soil biochemistry and biodiversity. *Process Biochem* 45:1127–1133
- Giri B, Mukerji KG (2004) Mycorrhizal inoculant alleviates salt stress in *Sesbania aegyptiaca* and *Sesbania grandiflora* under field conditions: evidence for reduced sodium and improved magnesium uptake. *Mycorrhiza* 14:307–312. doi:10.1007/s00572-003-0274-1
- Glick BR, Penrose DM, Li J (1998) A model for the lowering of plant ethylene concentrations by plant growth-promoting bacteria. *J Theor Biol* 190:63–68
- Glick BR, Todorovic B, Czarny J, Cheng Z, Duan J (2007) Promotion of plant growth by bacterial ACC deaminase. *Crit Rev Plant Sci* 26:227–242
- Goldstein AH (1995) Recent progress in understanding the molecular genetics and biochemistry of calcium phosphate solubilization by Gram negative bacteria. *Biol Agric Hort* 12:185–193
- González A, Castro J, Vera J, Moenne A (2013) Seaweed oligosaccharides stimulate plant growth by enhancing carbon and nitrogen assimilation, basal metabolism, and cell division. *J Plant Growth Regul* 32:443–448
- Grabowska A, Kunicki E, Sekara A, Kalisz A, Wojciechowska R (2012) The effect of cultivar and biostimulant treatment on the carrot yield and its quality. *Veg Crops Res Bull* 77:37–48
- Grandlic CJ, Mendez MO, Chorover J, Machado B, Maier RM (2008) Plant growth-promoting bacteria for phytostabilization of mine tailings. *Environ Sci Technol* 42:2079–2084
- Gügi B, Orange N, Hellio F et al (1991) Effect of growth temperature on several exported enzyme activities in the psychrotropic bacterium *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. *J Bacteriol* 173:3814–3820
- Gu Z, Wang X, Gu X et al (2001) Effects of fulvic acid on the bioavailability of rare earth elements and GOT enzyme activity in wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). *Chemosphere* 44:545–551
- Gupta V, Kumar M, Brahmabhatt H et al (2011) Simultaneous determination of different endogenous plant growth regulators in common green seaweeds using dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction method. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 49:1259–1263
- Gutiérrez-Mañero FJ, Ramos-Solano B, Probanza AN, Mehouchi J, Tadeo FR, Talon M (2001) The plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria *Bacillus pumilus* and *Bacillus licheniformis* produce high amounts of physiologically active gibberellins. *Physiol Plant* 111:206–211. doi:10.1034/j.1399-54.2001.1110211.x
- Gyaneshwar P, Naresh Kumar G, Parekh LJ, Poole PS (2002) Role of soil microorganisms in improving P nutrition of plants. *Plant Soil* 245:83–93. doi:10.1023/a:1020663916259
- Hamaoui B, Abbadi JM, Burdman S et al (2001) Effects of inoculation with *Azospirillum brasilense* on chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum*) and faba beans (*Vicia faba*) under different growth conditions. *Agronomie* 21:553–560
- Han HS, Lee KD (2005) Phosphate and potassium solubilizing bacteria effect on mineral uptake, soil availability and growth of eggplant. *Res J Agric Biol Sci* 1:176–180
- Harper SM, Edwards DG, Kerven GL, Asher CJ (1995) Effects of organic acid fractions extracted from *Eucalyptus camaldulensis* leaves on root elongation of maize (*Zea mays*) in the presence and absence of aluminium. *Plant Soil* 171:189–192
- Hartmann A, Bashan Y (2009) Ecology and application of *Azospirillum* and other plant growth-promoting bacteria (PGPB)—special issue. *Eur J Soil Biol* 45:1–2

- Hayat R, Ali S, Amara U, Khalid R, Ahmed I (2010) Soil beneficial bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion: a review. *Ann Microbiol* 60:579–598
- Herve RA, Rouillier DL (1977) Method and apparatus for communiting (sic) marine algae and the resulting product United States Patent 4,023,734
- Hirayama T, Shinozaki K (2010) Research on plant abiotic stress responses in the post-genome era: past, present and future. *Plant J* 61:1041–1052
- Hong DD, Hien HM, Son PN (2007a) Seaweeds from Vietnam used for functional food, medicine and biofertilizer. *J Appl Phycol* 19:817–826
- Honma M (1993) Stereospecific reaction of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate deaminase. In: Pech JC, Latche A, Balague C (eds) Cellular and molecular aspects of the plant hormone ethylene. Kluwer, Dordrecht, pp 111–116
- Hong DD, Hien HM, Son PN (2007b) Seaweeds from Vietnam used for functional food, medicine and biofertilizer. *J Appl Phycol* 19:817–826
- Hu C, Qi YC (2013) Long-term effective microorganisms application promote growth and increase yields and nutrition of wheat in China. *Eur J Agron* 46:63–67
- Huang T, Jander G, de Vos M (2011) Non-protein amino acids in plant defense against insect herbivores: representative cases and opportunities for further functional analysis. *Phytochemistry* 72:1531–1537
- Hurek TB, Reinhold-Hurek B, Montagu MB, Kellenberger E (1994) Root colonization and systematic spreading of *Azoarcus* sp strain BH72 in grasses. *J Bacteriol* 176:1913–1923
- Hussain A, Hasnain S (2009) Cytokinin production by some bacteria: Its impact on cell division in cucumber cotyledons. *Afr J Microbiol Res* 3:704–712
- Idris EE, Iglesias EJ, Talon M, Borriss R (2007) Tryptophan-dependent production of indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) affects level of plant growth promotion by *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB42. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* 20:619–626
- Idriss EE, Makarewicz O, Farouk A et al (2002) Extracellular phytase activity of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* FZB45 contributes to its plant-growth-promoting effect. *Microbiology* 148:2097–2109
- Jakab G, Ton J, Flors V et al (2005) Enhancing *Arabidopsis* salt and drought stress tolerance by chemical priming for its abscisic acid responses. *Plant Physiol* 139:267–274
- Jakobsen I, Chen BD, Munkvold L, Lundsgaard T, Zhu YG (2005) Contrasting phosphate acquisition of mycorrhizal fungi with that of root hairs using the root hairless barley mutant. *Plant Cell Environ* 28:928–938
- Jannin L, Arkoun M, Etienne P et al (2013) Brassica napus growth is promoted by *Ascophyllum nodosum* (L.) Le Jol. seaweed extract: microarray analysis and physiological characterization of N, C, and S metabolisms. *J Plant Growth Regul* 32:31–52
- Jannin L, Arkoun M, Ourry A et al (2012) Microarray analysis of humic acid effects on Brassica napus growth: involvement of N, C and S metabolisms. *Plant Soil* 359:297–319
- Jeannin I, Lescure JC, Morot-Gaudry JF (1991) The effects of aqueous seaweed sprays on the growth of maize. *Bot Mar* 334:469–473
- Jindo K, Martim SA, Navarro EC et al (2012) Root growth promotion by humic acids from composted and non-composted urban organic wastes. *Plant Soil* 353:209–220
- Jithesh MN, Wally OSD, Manfield I et al (2012) Analysis of seaweed extract-induced transcriptome leads to identification of a negative regulator of salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. *Hortscience* 47:704–709
- Kannapiran E, Ramkuma VS (2011) Isolation of phosphate solubilizing bacteria from sediments of Thondi coast, Palk Strait, Southeast Coast India. *Ann Biol Res* 2:157–163
- Karakurt Y, Unlu H, Unlu H, Padem H (2009) The influence of foliar and soil fertilization of humic acid on yield and quality of pepper. *Acta Agric Scand Sect B* 59:233–237
- Karlidag H, Turan M, Pehlivan M, Donmez F (2013) Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria mitigate deleterious effects of salt stress on strawberry plants (*Fragaria×ananassa*). *Hort Science* 48:563–567
- Kauffman GL III, Kneival DP, Watschke TL (2005) Growth regulator activity of Macro-Sorb® Foliar in vitro. *PGRSA Q* 33:134–141
- Kauffman GL III, Kneival DP, Watschke TL (2007) Effects of biostimulant on the heat tolerance associated with photosynthetic capacity, membrane thermostability, and polyphenol production of perennial ryegrass. *Crop Sci* 47:261–267
- Kaushik R, Saxena AK, Tilak KVBR (2000) Selection of Tn5::lacZ mutants isogenic to wild type *Azospirillum brasilense* strains capable of growing at sub-optimal temperature. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 16:567–570. doi:10.1023/a:1008901331991
- Kelleher BP, Simpson AJ (2006) Humic substances in soils: are they really chemically distinct? *Environ Sci Technol* 40:4605–4611
- Kemmerling B, Halter T, Mazzotta S, Mosher S, Nürnberger T (2011) A genome-wide survey for *Arabidopsis* leucine-rich repeat receptor kinases implicated in plant immunity. *Front Plant Sci* 2:1–6
- Kerkebe L, Krämer U (2003) The role of free histidine in xylem loading of nickel in *Alyssum lesbiacum* and *Brassica juncea*. *Plant Physiol* 131:716–724
- Khalid A, Arshad M, Kahir ZA (2004) Screening plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for improving growth and yield of wheat. *Appl Soil Ecol* 96:473–480
- Khalid A, Abbasi MK, Hussain T (2006) Effect of integrated use of organic and inorganic nutrient sources with effective microorganisms (EM) on seed cotton yield in Pakistan. *Bioresour Technol* 97:967–972
- Khan AG (2005) Role of soil microbes in the rhizospheres of plants growing on trace metal contaminated soils in phytoremediation. *J Trace Elements Med Biol* 18:355–364
- Khan W, Hiltz D, Critchley AT, Prithiviraj B (2011a) Bioassay to detect *Ascophyllum nodosum* extract-induced cytokinin-like activity in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Appl Phycol* 23:409–414
- Khan ZH, Kahn MA, Aftab T, Idrees M, Naeem M (2011b) Influence of alginate oligosaccharides on growth, yield and alkaloid production of opium poppy (*Papaver somniferum* L.). *Front Agric China* 5:122–127
- Khan W, Palanisamy R, Critchley AT, Smith DL, Papadopoulos Y (2013) Prithiviraj AT (2013) *Ascophyllum nodosum* extract and its organic fractions stimulate rhizobium root nodulation and growth of *Medicago sativa* (Alfalfa). *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 44:900–908

- Khan W, Rayirath UP, Subramanian S et al (2009) Seaweed extracts as biostimulants of plant growth and development. *J Plant Growth Regul* 28:386–399
- Khan W, Zhai R, Souleimanov A et al (2012) Commercial extract of *Ascophyllum nodosum* improves root colonization of alfalfa by its bacterial symbiont *Sinorhizobium meliloti*. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 43:2425–2436
- Kim Y-C, Glick B, Bashan Y, Ryu C-M (2012) Enhancement of plant drought tolerance by microbes. In: Aroca R (ed) *Plant responses to drought stress*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, pp 383–413
- Kinnersley AM, Turano FJ (2000) Gamma Aminobutyric Acid (GABA) and plant responses to stress. *Crit Rev Plant Sci* 19: 479–509
- Kirn A, Kashif SR, Yaseen M (2010) Using indigenous humic acid from lignite to increase growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentum* L.). *Soil Environ* 29:187–191
- Klarzynski O, Descamps V, Plesse B et al (2003) Sulfated fucan oligosaccharides elicit defense responses in tobacco and local and systemic resistance against Tobacco Mosaic Virus. *Mol Plant-Microbe Interact* 16:115–122
- Kloepper JW, Lifshitz R, Zablutowicz RM (1989) Free living bacterial inocula for enhancing crop productivity. *Trends Biotechnol* 7:39–44
- Kohler J, Hernandez JA, Caravaca F, Roldan A (2008) Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi modify alleviation biochemical mechanisms in water-stressed plants. *Funct Plant Biol* 35:141–151
- Koukounararas A, Tsouvaltzis P, Siomos AS (2013) Effect of root and foliar application of amino acids on the growth and yield of greenhouse tomato in different fertilization levels. *J Food, Agric Environ* 11:644–648
- Kpombekou K, Tabatabai MA (1994) Effect of organic acids on release of phosphorus from phosphate rocks. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 58:442–453
- Kramer PJ, Boyer JS (1997) *Water relations of plants and soils*. Elsevier, San Diego
- Krajnc AU, Ivanuš A, Kristl J, Šušek A (2012) Seaweed extract elicits the metabolic responses in leaves and enhances growth of *Pelargonium* cuttings. *Europ J Hort Sci* 77: 170–181
- Krämer U, Cotter-Howells JD, Charnock JM, Baker AJM, Smith JAC (1996) Free histidine as a metal chelator in plants that accumulate nickel. *Nature* 379:635–638
- Krasensky J, Jonak C (2012) Drought, salt, and temperature stress-induced metabolic rearrangements and regulatory networks. *J Exp Bot* 63:1593–1608
- Krouk G, Lacombe B, Bielach A et al (2010) Nitrate-regulated auxin transport by NRT1.1 defines a mechanism for nutrient sensing in plants. *Dev Cell* 18:927–937
- Kucey RMN (1988) Plant growth-altering effects of *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Bacillus C-11-25* on two wheat cultivars. *J Appl Bacteriol* 64:187–196
- Kuiper I, Lagendijk EL, Bloemberg GV, Lugtenberg BJJ (2004) Rhizoremediation: a beneficial plant-microbe interaction. *Mol Plant Microbe Interact* 17:6–15
- Kumar G, Sahoo D (2011) Effect of seaweed liquid extract on growth and yield of *Triticum aestivum* var. Pusa Gold *J Appl Phycol* 23:251–255
- Kumari R, Kaur I, Bhatnagar AK (2011) Effect of aqueous extract of *Sargassum johnstonii* Setchell & Gardner on growth, yield and quality of *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill. *J Appl Phycol* 23:623–633
- Kunicki E, Grabowska A, Sękara A, Wojciechowska R (2010) The effect of cultivar type, time of cultivation, and biostimulant treatment on the yield of spinach (*Spinacia oleracea* L.). *Folia Hort* 22:9–13
- Kuwada K, Ishii T, Matsushita I, Matsumoto I, Kadoya K (1999) Effect of seaweed extracts on hyphal growth of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and their infectivity on trifoliolate orange roots. *J Japan Soc Hort Sci* 68:321–326
- Lamar R, Olk DC, Mayhew L, Bloom PR (2013) Evaluation of a proposed standardized analytical method for the determination of humic and fulvic acids in commercial products. In: Xu J, Wu J, He Y (eds) *Functions of natural organic matter in changing environment*. Zhejiang University Press and Springer Science+Business Media, Dordrecht, pp 1071–1073
- Lea PJ, Sodek L, Parry MAJ, Shewry PR, Halford NG (2006) Asparagine in plants. *Ann Appl Biol* 150:1–26
- Leyval C, Turnau K, Haselwandter K (1997) Effect of heavy metal pollution on mycorrhizal colonization and function: physiological, ecological and applied aspects. *Mycorrhiza* 7: 139–153
- Liang XW, Zhang L, Natarajan SK, Beckker DF (2013) Proline Mechanisms of stress survival. *Antioxid Redox Signaling* 19: 998–1011
- Lobartini JC, Tan KH, Pape C (1998) Dissolution of aluminum and iron phosphate by humic acids. *Commun Soil Sci Plant Anal* 29:535–544
- Lola-Luz T, Hennequart F, Gaffney M (2013) Enhancement of phenolic and flavonoid compounds in cabbage (*Brassica oleracea*) following application of commercial seaweed extracts of the brown seaweed (*Ascophyllum nodosum*). *Agric Food Sci* 22:288–295
- Liu A, Hamel C, Hamilton RI, Ma BL, Smith DL (2000) Acquisition of Cu, Zn, Mn and Fe by mycorrhizal maize (*Zea mays* L.) grown in soil at different P and micronutrient levels. *Mycorrhiza* 9:331–336. doi:10.1007/s005720050277
- Lulakis MD, Petsas SI (1995) Effect of humic substances from vine-canes mature compost on tomato seedling growth. *Bioresour Technol* 54:179–182
- Ma Y, Prasad MNV, Rajkumar M, Freitas H (2011) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria and endophytes accelerate phytoremediation of metalliferous soils. *Biotechnol Adv* 29: 248–258
- Ma Y, Rajkumar M, Freitas H (2009) Inoculation of plant growth promoting bacterium *Achromobacter xylosoxidans* strain Ax10 for the improvement of copper phytoextraction by *Brassica juncea*. *J Environ Manage* 90:831–837. doi:10.1016/j.jvman.2008.01.014
- MacDonald JE, Hacking J, Weng Y, Norrie J (2012) Root growth of containerized lodgepole pine seedlings in response to *Ascophyllum nodosum* extract application during nursery culture. *Can J Plant Sci* 92:1207–1212
- Maini P (2006) The experience of the first biostimulant, based on amino acids and peptides: a short retrospective review on the laboratory researches and the practical results. *Fertilitas Agrorum* 1:29–43
- Malboobi MA, Behbahani M, Madani H et al (2009) Performance evaluation of potent phosphate solubilizing bacteria in potato rhizosphere. *World J Microbiol Biotechnol* 25:1479–1484

- Malik KA, Mirza MS, Hassan U, Mehnaz S, Rasul G, Haurat J, Baury R, Normanel P (2002) The role of plant associated beneficial bacteria in rice-wheat cropping system. In: Kennedy IR, Chaudhry A (eds) Biofertilisers in action. Rural Industries Research and Development Corporation, Canberra, pp 73–83
- Mancuso S, Azzarello E, Mugnai S, Briand X (2006) Marine bioactive substances (IPA extract) improve foliar ion uptake and water stress tolerance in potted *Vitis vinifera* plants. *Adv Hortic Sci* 20:156–161
- Marino G, Cellini A, Masia A et al (2010) In vitro treatment with a low molecular weight humic acid can improve growth and mineral uptake of pear plantlets during acclimatization. *Acta Hortic* 884:565–572
- Marulanda A, Barea J-M, Azcón R (2009) Stimulation of plant growth and drought tolerance by native microorganisms (AM fungi and bacteria) from dry environments: mechanisms related to bacterial effectiveness. *J Plant Growth Regul* 28:115–124. doi:10.1007/s00344-009-9079-6
- Mattner SW, Wite D, Riches DA, Porter IJ, Arioli T (2013) The effect of kelp extract on seedling establishment of broccoli on contrasting soil types in southern Victoria, Australia. *Biol Agric Hortic* 29:258–270
- Mayak S, Tirosh T, Glick BR (2004) Plant growth-promoting bacteria that confer resistance to water stress in tomatoes and peppers. *Plant Sci* 166:525–530. doi:10.1016/j.plantsci.2003.10.025
- Mayer J, Scheid S, Widmer F, Fließbach A, Oberholzer H-R (2010) How effective are ‘effective microorganisms® (EM)’? Results from a field study in temperate climate. *Appl Soil Ecol* 46:230–239
- Mazhar AAM, Shedeed SI, Abdel-Aziz NG, Mahgoub MH (2012) Growth, flowering and chemical constituents of *Chrysanthemum indicum* L. plant in response to different levels of humic acid and salinity. *J Appl Sci Res* 8:3697–3706
- Mehnaz S, Mirza MS, Haurat J et al (2001) Isolation and 16S rRNA sequence analysis of the beneficial bacteria from the rhizosphere of rice. *Can J Microbiol* 472:110–117
- Miller AJ, Fan X, Shen Q, Smith SJ (2007) Amino acids and nitrate as signals for the regulation of nitrogen acquisition. *J Exp Bot* 59:11–119
- Milton RF (1952) Improvements in or relating to horticultural and agricultural fertilizers. The Patent Office London, no. 663, 989. 2 pp
- Milton RF (1962) The production of compounds of heavy metals with organic residues. British Patent no. 902,563. 3 pp
- Milton RF (1964) Liquid seaweed as a fertilizer. *Proc Int Seaweed Symp* 4:428–431
- Moghaddam ARL, Soleimani A (2012) Compensatory effects of humic acid on physiological characteristics of pistachio seedlings under salinity stress. *Acta Hortic* 940:252–255
- Mohamed WH (2012) Effects of humic acid and calcium forms on dry weight and nutrient uptake of maize plant under saline condition. *Aust J Basic Appl Sci* 6:597–604
- Mora V, Bacaicoa E, Zamarreño A-M et al (2010) Action of humic acid on promotion of cucumber shoot growth involves nitrate-related changes associated with the root-to-shoot distribution of cytokinins, polyamines and mineral nutrients. *J Plant Physiol* 167:633–642
- Morales-Payan JP, Stall WM (2003) Papaya (*Carica papaya*) response to foliar treatments with organic complexes of peptides and amino acids. *Proc Fla State Hortic Soc* 116:30–32
- Morard P, Eyheraguibel B, Morard M, Silvestre J (2011) Direct effects of humic-like substance on growth, water, and mineral nutrition of various species. *J of Plant Nutr* 34:46–59
- Mrkovacki N, Milic V (2001) Use of *Azotobacter chroococcum* as potentially useful in agricultural application. *Ann Microbiol* 51:145–158
- Mugnai S, Azzarello E, Pandolfi C et al (2008) Enhancement of ammonium and potassium root influxes by the application of marine bioactive substances positively affects *Vitis vinifera* plant growth. *J Appl Phycol* 20:177–182
- Murillo JM, Madejón E, Madejón P, Cabrera F (2005) The response of wild olive to the addition of a fulvic acid-rich amendment to soils polluted by trace elements (SW Spain). *J Arid Environ* 63:284–303
- Muscolo A, Sidari M, Francioso O, Tugnoli V, Nardi S (2007) The auxin-like activity of humic substances is related to membrane interactions in carrot cell cultures. *J Chem Ecol* 33:115–129
- Nabati DA, Schmidt RE, Parrish DJ (1994) Alleviation of salinity stress in Kentucky Bluegrass by plant growth regulators and iron. *Crop Sci* 34:198–202
- Nacry P, Bouguyon E, Gojon A (2013) Nitrogen acquisition by roots: physiological and developmental mechanisms ensuring plant adaptation to a fluctuating resource. *Plant Soil* 370:1–29
- Nair P, Kandasamy S, Zhang J et al (2012) Transcriptional and metabolomics analysis of *Ascophyllum nodosum* mediated freezing tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *BMC Genomics* 13:643. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-13-643
- Nardi S, Arnoldi G, Dell’Agnola G (1988) Release of hormone-like activities from *Allolobophora rosea* (Sav.) and *Allolobophora caliginosa* (Sav.) feces. *Can J Soil Sci* 68:563–567
- Nardi S, Carletti P, Pizzeghello D, Muscolo A (2009) Biological activities of humic substances. In: Senesi N, Xing B, Huang PM (eds) Biophysico-chemical processes involving natural nonliving organic matter in environmental systems. Wiley, Hoboken, pp 305–339
- Nardi S, Concheri G, Dell’Agnola G (1996) Biological activity of humus. In: Piccolo A (ed) Humic substances in terrestrial ecosystems. Elsevier, Amsterdam, pp 361–406
- Nardi S, Pizzeghello D, Muscolo A, Vianello A (2002) Physiological effects of humic substances on higher plants. *Soil Biol Biochem* 34:1527–1536
- Nardi S, Pizzeghello D, Reniero F, Rascio N (2000) Chemical and biochemical properties of humic substances isolated from forest and plant growth. *Soil Soc Am J* 64:639–645
- Nardi S, Reniero F, Concheri G (1997) Soil organic matter mobilization by root exudates of three maize hybrids. *Chemosphere* 35:2237–2244
- Neilands JB, Nakamura K (1991) Detection, determination, isolation, characterization and regulation of microbial iron chelates. In: Winkelman G (ed) CRC Handbook of microbial iron chelates. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp 1–14
- Norrie J, Keathley JP (2006) Benefits of *Ascophyllum nodosum* marine-plant extract applications to “Thompson seedless” grape production. *Acta Hortic* 727:243–247
- Omar MNA, Mahrous NM, Hamouda AM (1996) Evaluating the efficiency of inoculating some diazotrophs on yield and protein content of 3 wheat cultivars under graded levels of nitrogen fertilization. *Ann Agric Sci* 41:579–590

- Ortiz-Castro R, Contreras-Cornejo HA, Macías-Rodríguez L, López-Bucio J (2009) The role of microbial signals in plant growth and development. *Plant Signaling Behav* 4:701–712
- Padilla FM, Pugnaire FI (2007) Rooting depth and soil moisture control Mediterranean woody seedling survival during drought. *Funct Ecol* 21:489–495. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01267.x
- Pan IL, McQuinn R, Giovannoni JJ, Irish VF (2010) Functional diversification of AGAMOUS lineage genes in regulating tomato flower and fruit development. *J Exp Bot* 61:1795–1806
- Pandeya SB, Singh AK, Dhar P (1998) Influence of fulvic acid on transport of iron in soils and uptake by paddy seedlings. *Plant Soil* 198:117–125
- Park KH, Lee CY, Son HJ (2009) Mechanism of insoluble phosphate solubilization by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* RAF15 isolated from ginseng rhizosphere and its plant growth-promoting activities. *Lett Appl Microbiol* 49:222–228
- Park M, Kim C, Yang J et al (2005) Isolation and characterization of diazotrophic growth promoting bacteria from rhizosphere of agricultural crops of Korea. *Microbiol Res* 160:127–133
- Parmar P, Sindhu SS (2013) Potassium solubilization by rhizosphere bacteria: influence of nutritional and environmental conditions. *J Microbiol Res* 3:25–31
- Parrado J, Bautista J, Romero EF et al (2008) Production of a carob enzymatic extract: Potential use as a biofertilizer. *Bioresour Technol* 99:2312–2318
- Peng A, Xu Y, Wang ZJ (2001) The effect of fulvic acid on the dose effect of selenite on the growth of wheat. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 83:275–279
- Pérez-Alfocea F, Albacete A, Ghanem ME, Dodd IC (2010) Hormonal regulation of source–sink relations to maintain crop productivity under salinity: A case study of root-to-shoot signalling in tomato. *Funct Plant Biol* 37:592–603
- Piccoli P, Lucangeli D, Schneider G, Bottini R (1997) Hydrolysis of [17,17-2H2]Gibberellin A20-Glucoside and [17,17-2H2]Gibberellin A20-glucosyl ester by *Azospirillum lipoferum* cultured in a nitrogen-free biotin-based chemically-defined medium. *Plant Growth Regul* 23:179–182
- Piccolo A (2001) The supramolecular structure of humic substances. *Soil Sci* 166:810–832
- Piccolo A, Conte P, Spaccini R, Chiarella M (2003) Effects of some dicarboxylic acids on the association of dissolved humic substances. *Biol Fertil Soils* 37:255–259
- Piccolo A, Conte P, Trivellone E, Van Lagen B, Buurman P (2002) Reduced heterogeneity of a lignite humic acid by preparative HPSEC following interaction with an organic acid. Characterization of size-separates by Pyr-GC-MS and H-NMR spectroscopy. *Environ Sci Technol* 36:76–84
- Piccolo A, Nardi S, Concheri G (1992) Structural characteristics of humic substances as related to nitrate uptake and growth regulation in plant systems. *Soil Biol Biochem* 24:373–380
- Piccolo A, Spiteller M (2003) Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry of terrestrial humic substances and their size fractions. *Anal Bioanal Chem* 377:1047–1059
- Pimentel JP, Olivares F, Pitard RM et al (1991) Dinitrogen fixation and infection of grass leaves by *Pseudomonas rubrisubalbicans* and *Herbaspirillum seropedicae*. *Dev Plant Soil Sci* 48:225–229
- Pinton R, Cesco S, Iacoletti G, Astolfi S, Varanini Z (1999) Modulation of NO₃⁻ uptake by water-extractable humic substances: involvement of root plasma membrane H⁺ ATPase. *Plant Soil* 215:155–161
- Pinton R, Cesco S, Santi S, Varanini Z (1997) Effect of humic substances stimulate proton release by intact oat seedling roots. *J Plant Nutr* 20:857–869
- Poapst PA, Schnitzer M (1971) Fulvic acid and adventitious root formation. *Soil Biol Biochem* 3:215–219
- Porcel R, Aroca R, Ruiz-Lozano JM (2012) Salinity stress alleviation using arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *Agron Sustainable Dev* 32:181–200
- Quaggiotti S, Rupertri B, Pizzeghello D et al (2004) Effect of low molecular size humic substances on the expression of genes involved in nitrate transport and reduction in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *J Exp Bot* 55:803–813
- Querejeta JI, Barea JM, Allen MF, Caravaca F, Roldan A (2003) Differential response of δ¹³C and water use efficiency to arbuscular mycorrhizal infection in two arid land woody plant species. *Oecologia* 135:510–515
- Quilty JR, Cattle SR (2011) Use and understanding of organic amendments in Australian agriculture: a review. *Soil Res* 49:1–26
- Rathore SS, Chaudhary DR, Boricha GN et al (2009) Effect of seaweed extract on the growth, yield and nutrient uptake of soybean (*Glycine max*) under rainfed conditions. *S Afr J Bot* 75:351–355
- Rauthan BS, Schnitzer M (1981) Effects of a soil fulvic acid on the growth and nutrient content of cucumber (*Cucumis sativus*) plants. *Plant Soil* 63:491–495
- Rayirath P, Benkel B, Hodges DM et al (2009) Lipophilic components of the brown seaweed, *Ascophyllum nodosum*, enhance freezing tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Planta* 230:135–147
- Rayorath P, Jithesh MN, Farid A, Khan W, Palanisamy R, Hankins SD, Critchley AT, Prithiviraj B (2008) Rapid bioassays to evaluate the plant growth promoting activity of *Ascophyllum nodosum* (L.) Le Jol. using a model plant, *Arabidopsis thaliana* (L.) Heynh. *J Appl Phycol* 20:423–429
- Reed MLE, Glick BR (2005) Growth of canola (*Brassica napus*) in the presence of plant growth-promoting bacteria and either copper or polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Can J Microbiol* 51:1061–1069
- Reed MLE, Warner BG, Glick BR (2005) Plant growth-promoting bacteria facilitate the growth of the common reed *Phragmites australis* in the presence of copper or polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. *Curr Microbiol* 51:425–429
- Remans S, Blair MW, Manrique G et al (2008) Physiological and genetic analysis of root responsiveness to auxin-producing plant growth-promoting bacteria in common bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). *Plant Soil* 302:149–161
- Rice JA, MacCarthy P (1990) A model of humin. *Environ Sci Technol* 24:1875–1877
- Richardson A, Barea J-M, McNeill A, Prigent-Combaret C (2009) Acquisition of phosphorus and nitrogen in the rhizosphere and plant growth promotion by microorganisms. *Plant Soil* 321:305–339. doi:10.1007/s11104-009-9895-2
- Riggs PJ, Cheliu MK, Iniguez AL, Kaeppler SM, Triplett EW (2001) Enhanced maize productivity by inoculation with diazotrophic bacteria. *Aust J Plant Physiol* 28:829–836

- Rioux L-E, Turgeon SL, Beaulieu M (2007) Characterization of polysaccharides extracted from brown seaweeds. *Carbohydr Polym* 69:530–537
- Rioux L-E, Turgeon SL, Beaulieu M (2009) Effect of season on the composition of bioactive polysaccharides from brown seaweed *Saccharina longicruris*. *Phytochemistry* 70:1069–1075
- Rodríguez H, Fraga R (1999) Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion. *Biotechnol Adv* 17: 319–339. doi:10.1016/S0734-9750(99)00014-2
- Rodríguez H, Fraga R, Gonzalez T, Bashan Y (2006) Genetics of phosphate solubilization and its potential applications for improving plant growth-promoting bacteria. *Plant Soil* 287: 15–21
- Rodríguez H, Gonzalez T, Goire I, Bashan Y (2004) Gluconic acid production and phosphate solubilization by the plant growth-promoting bacterium *Azospirillum* spp. *Naturwissenschaften* 91:552–555
- Rodríguez H, Gonzalez T, Selman G (2001) Expression of a mineral phosphate solubilizing gene from *Erwinia herbicola* in two rhizobacterial strains. *J Biotechnol* 84:155–161
- Rungin S, Indananda C, Suttiviriya P et al (2012) Plant growth enhancing effects by a siderophore-producing endophytic streptomycete isolated from a Thai jasmine rice plant (*Oryza sativa* L. cv. KDML105). *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 102:463–472. doi:10.1007/s10482-012-9778-z
- Ryu C-M, Farag MA, Hu C-H et al (2003) Bacterial volatiles promote growth in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100:4927–4932. doi:10.1073/pnas.0730845 100
- Sahin F, Cakmakci R, Kantar F (2004) Sugar beet and barley yields in relation to inoculation with N₂-fixing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria. *Plant Soil* 265:123–129
- Saleem M, Arshad M, Hussain S, Bhatti A (2007) Perspective of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) containing ACC deaminase in stress agriculture. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol* 34:635–648. doi:10.1007/s10295-007-0240-6
- Sánchez-Sánchez A, Sánchez-Andreu J, Juárez M, Jordá J, Bermúdez D (2002) Humic substances and amino acids improve effectiveness of chelate FeEDDHA in lemon trees. *J Plant Nutr* 25:2433–2442
- Sánchez-Sánchez A, Sánchez-Andreu J, Juárez M, Jordá J, Bermúdez D (2006) Improvement of iron uptake in table grape by addition of humic substances. *J Plant Nutr* 29: 259–272
- Sarfaz A, Naeem M, Nasir S et al (2011) An evaluation of the effects of irradiated sodium alginate on the growth, physiological activities and essential oil production of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgare* Mill.). *J Med Plants Res* 5:15–21
- Saubidet MI, Fatta N, Barneix AJ (2000) The effects of inoculation with *Azospirillumbrasilense* on growth and nitrogen utilization by wheat plants. *Plant Soil* 245:215–222
- Schiavon M, Ertani A, Nardi S (2008) Effects of an alfalfa protein hydrolysate on the gene expression and activity of enzymes of the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle and nitrogen metabolism in *Zea mays* L. *J Agric Food Chem* 56:11800–11808
- Schiavon M, Pizzeghello D, Muscolo A, Vaccoro S, Francioso O, Nardi S (2010) High molecular size humic substances enhance phytylpropanoid metabolism in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *J Chem Ecol* 36:662–669
- Schweiger PF, Robson AD, Barrow NJ (1995) Root hair length determines beneficial effect of a *Glomus* species on shoot growth of some pasture species. *New Phytol* 131:247–254
- Selim EM, Shaymaa IS, Asaad FF, El-Neklawy AS (2012) Interactive effects of humic acid and water stress on chlorophyll and mineral nutrient contents of potato plants. *J Appl Sci Res* 8:531–537
- Shaharouna B, Naveed M, Arshad M, Zahir Z (2008) Fertilizer-dependent efficiency of *Pseudomonads* for improving growth, yield, and nutrient use efficiency of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 79:147–155. doi:10.1007/s00253-008-1419-0
- Shahid M, Dumat C, Silvestre J, Pinelli E (2012) Effect of fulvic acids on lead-induced oxidative stress to metal sensitive *Vicia faba* L. plant. *Biol Fertil Soils* 48:689–697
- Shang H, Shifeng C, Yang Z, Cai Y, Zheng Y (2011) Effect of exogenous γ -aminobutyric acid treatment on proline accumulation and chilling injury in peach fruit after long-term cold storage. *J Agric Food Chem* 59:1264–1268
- Sharma A, Shankhdar D, Shankhdhar SC (2013) Enhancing grain iron content of rice by the application of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria. *Plant Soil Environ* 59: 89–94
- Sharma SHS, Lyons G, McRoberts C et al (2012) Biostimulant activity of brown seaweed species from Strangford Lough: compositional analyses of polysaccharides and bioassay of extracts using mung bean (*Vigna mungo* L.) and pak choi (*Brassica rapa chinensis* L.). *J Appl Phycol* 24:1081–1091
- Sharma SS, Dietz K-J (2006) The significance of amino acids and amino acid-derived molecules in plant responses and adaptation to heavy metal stress. *J Exp Bot* 57:711–726
- Sharp RE, Poroyko V, Hejlek LG et al (2004) Root growth maintenance during water deficits: physiology to functional genomics. *J Exp Bot* 55:2343–2351. doi:10.1093/jxb/erh276
- Shekhar SHS, Lyons G, McRoberts C et al (2012) Brown seaweed species from Strangford Lough: compositional analyses of seaweed species and biostimulant formulations by rapid instrumental methods. *J Appl Phycol* 24:1141–1157
- Shelp BJ, Bown AW, McLean MD (1999) Metabolism and functions of gamma-aminobutyric acid. *Trends Plant Sci* 4:446–452
- Sheng M, Tang M, Chen H et al (2008) Influence of arbuscular mycorrhizae on photosynthesis and water status of maize plants under salt stress. *Mycorrhiza* 18:287–296
- Sheng XF, He LY (2006) Solubilization of potassium-bearing minerals by a wild-type strain of *Bacillus edaphicus* and its mutants and increased potassium uptake by wheat. *Can J Microbiol* 52:66–72
- Simpson AJ, Kingery WL, Hayes MHB et al (2002) Molecular structures and associations of humic substances in the terrestrial environment. *Naturwissenschaften* 89:84–88
- Singh B, Satyanarayana T (2011) Microbial phytases in phosphorus acquisition and plant growth promotion. *Physiol Mol Biol Plants* 17:93–103
- Singh LP, Gill SS, Tuteja N (2011) Unraveling the role of fungal symbionts in plant abiotic stress tolerance. *Plant Signaling Behav* 6:175–191

- Sivasankari S, Venkatesalu V, Anantharaj M, Chandrasekaran M (2006) Effect of seaweed extracts on the growth and biochemical constituents of *Vigna sinensis*. *Bioresour Technol* 97:1745–1751
- Slávik M (2005) Production of Norway spruce (*Picea abies* [L.] Karst.) seedlings on substrate mixes using growth stimulants. *J For Sci* 51:15–23
- Smith SE, Jakobsen I, Grønlund M, Smith FA (2011) Roles of arbuscular mycorrhizas in plant phosphorus nutrition: interactions between pathways of phosphorus uptake in arbuscular mycorrhizal roots have important implications for understanding and manipulating plant phosphorus acquisition. *Plant Physiol* 156:1050–1057
- Soldal T, Nissen P (1978) Multiphasic uptake of amino acids by barley roots. *Physiol Plant* 43:181–188
- Spaepen S, Dobbelaere S, Croonenborghs A, Vanderleyden J (2008) Effects of *Azospirillum brasilense* indole-3-acetic acid production on inoculated wheat plants. *Plant Soil* 312: 15–23. doi:10.1007/s11104-008-9560-1
- Spaepen S, Vanderleyden J, Okon Y (2009) Plant growth-promoting actions of rhizobacteria. *Advances Bot Res* 51: 283–320
- Spinelli F, Fiori G, Noferini M, Sprocati M, Costa G (2010) A novel type of seaweed extract as a natural alternative to the use of iron chelates in strawberry production. *Sci Hortic* 125: 263–269
- Steinberg CEW, Meinelt T, Timofeyev MA, Bittner M, Menzel R (2008) Subject Area 2.1: Behavior of chemicals in water and their interactions with organisms. *Environ Sci Pollut Res* 15: 128–135
- Stephenson WA (1974) Seaweed in agriculture & horticulture, 3rd edition. B and G Rateaver (eds), Pauma Valley, CA
- Stevenson FJ (1994) Humus chemistry: genesis, composition, reactions. Wiley, New York
- Stiegler JC, Richardson MD, Karcher DE, Roberts TL, Norman RJ (2013) Foliar absorption of various inorganic and organic nitrogen sources by creeping bentgrass. *Crop Sci* 52:1148–1152
- Stirk WA, Novák O, Strnad M, van Staden J (2003) Cytokinins in macroalgae. *Plant Growth Regul* 41:13–24
- Stirk WA, van Staden J (1997) Comparison of cytokinin- and auxin-like activity in some commercially used seaweed extracts. *J Appl Phycol*
- Stirk WA, van Staden J (2006) Seaweed products as biostimulants in agriculture. In Critchley AT, Ohno M, Largo DB (eds) World seaweed resources [DVD-ROM]: ETI Information Services Lts, Univ. Amsterdam. ISBN: 9075000 80–4
- Stoyanov I (1981) Restoration of maize plants after Magnesium starvation with the help of Magnesium and Siapton. Proc. 3rd Int. Symp. Plant Growth Regulators, Varna, Bulgaria (B.A.S., Sofia ed, publ. 1985), pp. 602–606.
- Sutton R, Sposito G (2005) Molecular Structure in soil humic substances: the new view. *Environ Sci Technol* 39:9009–9015
- Suzuki N, Koussevitzky S, Mittler R, Miller G (2012) ROS and redox signaling in the response of plants to abiotic stress. *Plant Cell Environ* 35:259–270
- Sytar O, Kumar A, Latowski D et al (2013) Heavy metal-induced oxidative damage, defense reactions, and detoxification mechanisms in plants. *Acta Physiol Plant* 35:985–999
- Tahir MM, Khurshid M, Khan MZ, Abbasi MK, Hazmi MH (2011) Lignite-derived humic acid effect on growth of wheat plants in different soils. *Pedosphere* 2:124–131
- Tao GC, Tian SJ, Cai MY, Xie GH (2008) Phosphate-solubilizing and –mineralizing abilities of bacteria isolated from soils. *Pedosphere* 18:515–523
- Tarafdar JC, Gharu A (2006) Mobilization of organic and poorly soluble phosphates by *Chaetomium globosum*. *Appl Soil Ecol* 32:273–283. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2005.08.005
- Tarakhovskaya ER, Maslov YI, Shishova MF (2007) Phytohormones in algae. *Russ J Plant Physiol* 54:163–170
- Temirov YV, Esikova TZ, Kashparov IA et al (2003) A catecholic siderophore produced by the thermoresistant *Bacillus licheniformis* VK21 Strain. *Russ J Bioorg Chem* 29:542–549. doi:10.1023/B:RUBI.0000008894.80972.2e
- Thaller MC, Berlutti F, Schippa S et al (1995) Heterogeneous patterns of acid phosphatases containing low-molecular-mass polypeptides in members of the family Enterobacteriaceae. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 4:255–261
- Thompson JP (1987) Decline of vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizae in long fallow disorder of field crops and its expression in phosphorus deficiency of sunflower. *Aust J Agric Res* 38: 847–867
- Trevisan S, Botton A, Vaccaro S et al (2011) Humic substances affect *Arabidopsis* physiology by altering the expression of genes involved in primary metabolism, growth and development. *Environ Exp Bot* 74:45–55
- Trevisan S, Francioso O, Quaggiotti S, Nardi S (2010) Humic substances biological activity at the plant-soil interface. *Plant Signaling Behav* 5:635–643
- Tsukagoshi H, Busch W, Benfey P (2010) Transcriptional regulation of ROS controls transition from proliferation to differentiation in the root. *Cell* 143:606–616
- Varanini Z, Pinton R (2001) Direct versus indirect effects of soil humic substances on plant growth and nutrition. In: Pinton R, Varanini Z, Nannipieri P (eds) The rhizosphere. Marcel Dekker, Basel, pp 141–158
- Verma VC, Singh SK, Prakash S (2011) Bio-control and plant growth promotion potential of siderophore producing endophytic *Streptomyces* from *Azadirachta indica* A. *Juss J Basic Microbiol* 51:550–556. doi:10.1002/jobm.201000155
- Vera J, Castro J, Contreras R, González A, Moenne A (2012) Oligo-carrageenans induce a long-term and broad-range protection against pathogens in tobacco plants (var. Xanthi). *Physiol Mol Plant Pathol* 79:31–39
- Verkleij FN (1992) Seaweed extracts in agriculture and horticulture: a review. *Biol Agric Hortic* 8:309–324
- Vermieri P, Borghesi E, Ferrante A, Magnani G (2005) Application of biostimulants in floating system for improving rocket quality. *J Food Agric Environ* 3:86–88
- Vespermann A, Kai M, Piechulla B (2007) Rhizobacterial volatiles affect the growth of fungi and *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 73:5639–5641
- Vessey JK (2003) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. *Plant Soil* 255:571–586. doi:10.1023/a:1026037216893
- Vivas A, Marulanda A, Ruiz-Lozano J, Barea J, Azcón R (2003) Influence of a *Bacillus* sp. on physiological activities of two arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and on plant responses to PEG-induced drought stress. *Mycorrhiza* 13:249–256. doi:10.1007/s00572-003-0223-z
- Vranova V, Rejsek K, Skene KR, Formanek P (2011) Non-protein amino acids: plant, soil and ecosystem interactions. *Plant Soil* 342:31–48

- Walch-Liu P, Ivanov II, Filleur S et al (2006a) Nitrogen regulation of root branching. *Ann Bot* 97:875–881
- Walch-Liu P, Liu L-H, Remans T, Tester M, Forde BG (2006b) Evidence that γ -Glutamate can act as an exogenous signal to modulate root growth and branching in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Cell Physiol* 47:1045–1057
- Wally OSD, Critchley AT, Hiltz D et al (2013) Regulations of phytohormone biosynthesis and accumulation in *Arabidopsis* following treatment with commercial extract from the marine macroalga *Ascophyllum nodosum*. *J Plant Regul* 32:324–339
- Watson R, Fowden L (1975) The uptake of phenylalanine and tyrosine by seedling root tips. *Phytochemistry* 14:1181–1186
- Wilson MK, Abergel RJ, Raymond KN, Arceneaux JEL, Byers BR (2006) Siderophores of *Bacillus anthracis*, *Bacillus cereus*, and *Bacillus thuringiensis*. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 348:320–325
- Wu C-C, Singh P, Chen M-C, Zimmerli L (2010) L-Glutamine inhibits beta-aminobutyric acid-induced stress resistance and priming in *Arabidopsis*. *J Exp Bot* 61:995–1002
- Wu SC, Caob ZH, Lib ZG, Cheunga KC, Wonga MH (2005) Effects of biofertilizer containing N-fixers, P and K solubilizers and AM fungi on maize growth: a greenhouse trial. *Geoderma* 125:155–166
- Xudan X (1986) The effect of foliar application of fulvic acid on water use, nutrient uptake and yield in wheat. *Aust J Agric Res* 37:343–350
- Yazdani M, Pirdashti H (2011) Efficiency of co-inoculation phosphate solubilizer microorganisms (psm) and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) on micronutrients uptake in corn (*Zea mays* L.). *Int Res J Appl Basic Sci* 2:28–34
- Yildirim E (2007) Foliar and soil fertilization of humic acid affect productivity and quality of tomato. *Acta Agric Scand* 57: 182–186, Sect. B
- Zahir ZA, Arshad M, Frankenberger WT Jr (2003) Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: applications and perspectives in agriculture. *Adv Agron* 81:97–168
- Zaidi S, Usmani S, Singh BR, Musarrat J (2006) Significance of *Bacillus subtilis* strain SJ-101 as a bioinoculant for concurrent plant growth promotion and nickel accumulation in *Brassica juncea*. *Chemosphere* 64:991–997. doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2005.12.057
- Zancani M, Bertolini A, Petrusa E, Krajiňáková J, Piccolo A (2011) Fulvic acid affects proliferation and maturation phases in *Abies cephalonica* embryogenic cells. *J Plant Physiol* 168: 1226–1233
- Zandonadi DB, Canellas LP, Façanha R (2007) Indolacetic and humic acids induce lateral root development through a concerted plasmalemma and tonoplast H^+ pumps activation. *Planta* 225:1583–1595
- Zhang Q, Zhang J, Shen J, Silva A, Dennis DA (2006) A simple 96-well microplate method for estimation of total polyphenol content in seaweeds. *J Appl Phycol* 18:445–450
- Zhang X, Ervin EH (2004) Cytokinin-containing seaweed and humic acid extracts associated with creeping bentgrass leaf cytokinins and drought resistance. *Crop Sci* 44:1737–1745
- Zhang X, Ervin EH (2008) Impact of seaweed extract-based cytokinins and zeatin riboside on creeping bentgrass heat tolerance. *Crop Sci* 48:364–370
- Zhang X, Wang K, Ervin EH (2010) Optimizing dosages of seaweed extract-based cytokinins and zeatin bioside for improving creeping bentgrass heat tolerance. *Crop Sci* 50:316–320
- Zhuang X, Chen J, Shim H, Bai Z (2007) New advances in plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria for bioremediation. *Environ Int* 33:406–413. doi:10.1016/j.envint.2006.12.005
- Zimmerli L, Hou B-H, Tsai C-H et al (2008) The xenobiotic beta-aminobutyric acid enhances *Arabidopsis* thermotolerance. *Plant J* 53:144–156
- Zodape ST, Gupta A, Bhandari SC et al (2011) Foliar application of seaweed sap as biostimulant for enhancement of yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *J Sci Ind Res* 70:215–219
- Zodape ST, Kawarkhe VJ, Patolia JS, Warade AD (2008) Effect of liquid seaweed fertilizer on yield and quality of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L.). *J Sci Ind Res* 67:1115–1117