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When processors asked screw designer, Robert Dray, how to compare different types of barrier screws, he modeled melting capacity based on the biggest single factor, **solids channel area**. It gives a quick way to evaluate barrier screw designs.

Feed screw design, more than any other part of an extrusion or injection molding system, determines the productivity and quality of the extruded product. Screw designers are constantly asked to improve output quantity without sacrificing quality—or to improve both at the same time. Barrier-type feed screws are the most widely used designs in high-output, high-quality extrusion. In applications that also require low melt temperature, such as blown film, they are the standard.

Over the years, basic barrier screw designs have remained fairly constant. For decades there were three **basic** patents and a half dozen major **improvement** patents, all of which have long been in the public domain. A couple of them evolved into mixing sections, but most of these designs are available in more or less their original form from a variety of manufacturers today. Processors, however, have little ability to evaluate and compare their performance, short of trial and error.

Modeling Melting Capacity

A computer simulation was developed to provide such a vehicle for comparison. It’s a straightforward approach that can distinguish easily the melting capabilities of different barrier designs. As melting rate is always a prime factor in throughput capacity, this factor is the one chosen for evaluation.

Melting capacity in a barrier section is determined primarily by the melting area of the solids-bed channel that is in contact with the surface of the barrel. Thus the barrier design with the largest melting capacity is the one with the largest melting-channel area in contact with the barrel wall. Relating melting capacity directly to this surface area is something of an over-simplification since there are other variables involved—channel depth, for example, which would require calculation of the effect of conductive heat transfer from melt to adjacent solids. Barrier sections also typically contribute mixing as well as melting. But melting is the key function.
Melting area is only one ingredient in a successful screw design. Improper feeding and metering section designs can detract from a properly designed barrier section.

Computer simulation can also be used to improve barrier-screw designs by recognizing the importance of the melting area in total screw performance. Our mathematical model for the melting section of a barrier screw is based on calculations developed by Zehevi Tadmor and Imrich Klein in their textbook, Engineering Principles of Plasticating Extrusion (Van Nostrand/Reinhold, 1970). Tadmor and Klein developed formulas for simulating the melting area of a conventional, non-barrier screw. Their work was modified to calculate melting in the primary channel of a barrier design.

To eliminate as many variables as possible in the simulations, each barrier screw is considered to have an entry pressure that assumes a fully compacted solids bed. In the real world, however, the solids bed isn’t fully compacted. Also, all screws were assumed to have a standard diameter of 4.492 in. and an axial barrier length of 45 in. or 10 turns. The screw speed used for the calculations was 100 rpm. Channel width for the unwrapped barrier charts is measured perpendicular to the flight, not circumferentially. Circumferential width—i.e., when the flight is wrapped around the screw—is wider than the perpendicular channel width used in these calculations.

To check the assumptions in the computer simulation, the program was verified with data from experiments run on a 3.5-in. diam., 30:1 L/D lab extruder at R. Dray Mfg. The resin used for both the simulations and lab tests was a 1-MI LDPE.

Basic & Improvement Barrier Patents

The nine historically significant barrier screw designs include three basic patents—Maillefer, Schippers, and Dray—and six improvement patents. Barrier feed screw technology began with Maillefer’s basic Swiss Patent and subsequent U.S. patent #3,358,327, filed in 1960 for a barrier flight that retains unmelted solids in a primary channel while melted resin goes downstream in an auxiliary channel.

Schippers’ basic U.S. patent #3,701,512, filed in April 1971, has two different configurations. The first has parallel channels with the primary or entry channel reducing in depth while the auxiliary or melt channel (on the other side of the barrier flight) increases in depth.
The second configuration adds distributive mixing by transposing the primary and the auxiliary flights. This causes the resin in the melt channel to mix with the resin on the trailing side of the primary channel.

The Dray basic U.S. patent #3,650,652, filed in 1970, increases the melting area with a longer lead at the end of the feed section. The longer lead allows the auxiliary channel to be included, while the width of the solids bed in the primary channel remains unchanged.

**Improvement patents** are patents that have precedent. They are intended to provide new technology that improves the prior art. Six improvement patents add new technology to these three basic designs. The first two basic European design patents were never used commercially in the U.S., but came via improvement patents on them. Geyer's U.S patent #3,375,549, filed in 1961, and Lacher/NRM's U.S. patent #3,271,819, filed in '62, improve on Maillefer. Barr's U.S. patent #3,698,541, filed in 1971, and Chung's U.S. patent #4,000,884, filed in '75, improve on Schippers. Kim's U.S. patent #3,867,079, filed in '72, and Wheeler's U.S. patent #4,341,474, filed in '80, improve on Dray. (However, the Wheeler/DSB-1 barrier from Davis-Standard Corp. is more a hybrid—i.e., combining elements of Maillefer, Schippers, and Dray.)

Because the improvement patents have melting-channel configurations similar to those of the basic patents, only the basic designs need to be simulated. An exception is the Wheeler patent, which combines elements of all three basic patents in a melt channel with three different lead dimensions. Therefore, four simulations were performed: the three basic designs and the Wheeler hybrid. These four barrier sections were "unwrapped." The geometry of the barrier section with primary and auxiliary channels was simulated in a flat plane, as if it had been unwound from the screw and flattened out. The surface area of the melt channel was then calculated.

This method can be used to determine the melting capacity of any barrier screw design. Total melting area is calculated as the surface area of the primary channel where solids contact the barrel wall and are melted mainly through surface friction. The size of this area determines melting capacity. This contact area in in² is converted into lb/hr of melting capacity using a simulation model for heat transfer.

As a control, a conventional non-barrier screw design with a square pitch (general purpose screw) was also evaluated for melting capacity. This channel section was unwrapped, and heat transfer between the melt pool and the solids bed was calculated.

**GP Screw Simulation Result:** The conventional non-barrier screw control shows a melting capability of 482 lb/hr.
Maillefer Barrier Family

Tadmor and Klein’s original computer simulations modeled the break-up of the solids bed. In a conventional non-barrier screw, when the internal melt pressure is great enough, it penetrates the solids bed and disperses the remaining solids randomly into the metering section. This phenomenon mixes melted and unmelted plastic, reducing melt quality.

The Maillefer barrier, patented here in 1967, was the first barrier or melt-separation device used in a feed screw. It increased melting area relative to a conventional non-barrier design and eliminated the break-up of the solids bed, thus improving melt quality. The Maillefer design elongates the lead that starts on the pushing side of the primary flight and ends on the trailing flight. This flight becomes the barrier separating the solids bed from the melt and preventing solids from dispersing into the metering section.

The Maillefer barrier, patented in 1967, was the first melt separation device. It retains solids in the primary channel, eliminating the break-up of the solids bed and improving melting capacity and melt quality.

The unwrapped channel section of the Maillefer barrier shows a flight with increased clearance that is initiated on the downstream side of the primary flight and has a lead longer than the primary-flight lead. After a number of turns, the barrier flight intersects the upstream side of the primary flight. It increases melting capacity without sacrificing extrudate quality. As melting progresses, melt is carried downstream in the auxiliary channel.

A pure Maillefer barrier screw was never available in the U.S. However, improvements on Maillefer, patented by Geyer and Lacher, are commonly used here. Geyer’s patent, assigned to Uniroyal, and the Lacher patent, assigned to NRM Corp., vied with Maillefer for the U.S. barrier-patent rights. (Lacher was a patent attorney, not the designer of the screw.) The Geyer/Uniroyal claim won, and the Geyer patent became the first and dominant barrier design in the U.S. Uniroyal, however, made little use of it for plastics, but used it extensively for rubber extrusion. The NRM/Lacher version was named the "Plasticscrew" and was used as a downstream dispersive mixing section rather than a melt-separation device.

---

**Un-Wrapped Maillefer Barrier Screw**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screw Ø</td>
<td>4.492 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Lead</td>
<td>4.500 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier Lead</td>
<td>4.9038 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Barrier Length</td>
<td>45 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helical Length</td>
<td>148.75 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Solids Channel Width</td>
<td>1.9247 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting Area</td>
<td>1.9247 X 148.73 = 286.27 in²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Melting Capacity (LDPE) = 539 lb/hr**
Deep vs. Shallow Channels

Schippers' barrier patent, assigned to Barmag Barmer Maschinenfabrik in Germany, was the first melt-separation design in which the primary channel gets shallower as the auxiliary channel gets deeper. Like Maillefer, it was also never marketed or widely used in the U.S. This design is known here primarily through Robert Barr's improvement patent on the Schippers design. Barr's design, assigned to Midland-Ross Corp., is used in many applications here.

Decreasing depth in the primary channel and increasing depth in the auxiliary channel—rather than a barrier flight that crosses the channel—allow for a greater melting area and therefore increase melting capacity over the Maillefer barrier.

The Schippers/Barr primary melting channel gets shallower as the auxiliary channel deepens. A straight primary flight increases melting area in contact with the barrel wall, relative to Maillefer's design.

Barr's is the first U.S. patent on a parallel-barrier screw. Based on the issue dates, it would be the basic patent and Schippers the improvement patent. However, Schippers' patent was filed first on April 7, 1971, while Barr filed Aug. 11, 1971. The issue dates were two weeks apart: Barr's on Oct. 17, 1972 and Schippers' on Oct. 31. Filing dates are normally accepted for precedence, as the issue date can be influenced by the examiner's schedule and communication between the examiner and patent attorneys.

The Chung patent is an improvement on the Barr barrier. Chung transposes the primary and barrier flights at the end of the barrier section for enhanced mixing. Because the Schippers, Barr, and Chung designs are all similar in the area of the primary melting channel, only the channel section of a Schippers barrier was unwrapped and analyzed for melting capacity.

Simulation result: The Schippers/Barr use of parallel channels increases the melting area over that of the tapered Maillefer barrier, achieving higher melting capacity of 697 lb/hr.

Un-Wrapped Schippers/Barr Barrier Screw

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Screw Ø</td>
<td>4.492 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Lead</td>
<td>4.500 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrier Lead</td>
<td>4.500 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial Barrier Length</td>
<td>45 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helical Length</td>
<td>148.10 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary Channel Width</td>
<td>2.500 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt Channel Width</td>
<td>1.149 in.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting Area</td>
<td>2.500 X 148.10 = 370.25 in²</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Melting Capacity (LDPE) = 697 lb/hr
More Melting Capacity

The R. Dray barrier, assigned to Feed Screws Inc. and Owens-Brockway, was the first basic barrier patent developed in the U.S. It uses a longer lead at the end of the feed section to form the auxiliary channel for conveying the melt. That allows for the normal width of the primary channel containing the solids bed to remain the same after the lead change. The unwrapped channel section of the Dray barrier shows the separation of solids from melt with a long lead of unchanged width in the melting area.

The Kim barrier, assigned to B.F. Goodrich, improves on the Dray patent with a variable lead in the primary flight that continually widens the auxiliary channel. Like the Dray patent, the Kim design maintains a uniform primary melting-channel width. Unlike Dray, the primary channel is closed, which makes it a full, long-barrier design.

**Simulation result:** The R. Dray barrier’s longer parallel lead increases the potential melting area, so melting capacity is also higher at 798 lb/hr.

Un-Wrapped R. Dray Barrier Screw

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Screw } \varnothing &= 4.492 \text{ in.} \\
\text{Primary Lead} &= 6.750 \text{ in.} \\
\text{Barrier Lead} &= 6.750 \text{ in.} \\
\text{Axial Barrier Length} &= 45 \text{ in.} \\
\text{Helical Length} &= 104.29 \text{ in.} \\
\text{Primary Channel Width} &= 4.0883 \text{ in.} \\
\text{Melting Area} &= 4.0883 \times 104.29 = 426.37 \text{ in}^2 \\
\text{Melting Capacity (LDPE)} &= 798 \text{ lb/hr}
\end{align*}
\]
The Wheeler barrier, also known as the Davis-Standard DSB-1 barrier screw, combines aspects of all three basic patents (Maillefer, Schippers, and Dray). The design, built by Davis-Standard Corp. of Pawcatuck, Conn., actually has three discernible leads in the barrier flight. These lead changes are the only difference between the Wheeler and the Maillefer barrier designs. The unwrapped channel section shows the initial lead change as in the Dray barrier. After approximately five turns, the lead then reduces until the last turn, where it elongates to close off the barrier section. The primary channel reduces in depth and the auxiliary channel increases in depth, as does the Schippers/Barr barrier design.

**Simulation result:** By reducing the widths of the melting channel, Wheeler's DSB-1 barrier actually reduces melting capability below that of either Schippers/Barr or Dray/Kim to 617 lb/hr.

---

**Un-Wrapped Wheeler (DSB-1) Barrier Screw**

- Screw Ø = 4.492 in.
- Primary Lead = 6.300 in.
- Barrier Lead = Varies
- Axial Barrier Length = 45 in.
- Helical Length = 107.42 in.
- Average Channel Width = 3.053 in.
- Melting Area = 3.053 X 107.42 = 328 in²

**Melting Capacity (LDPE) = 617 lb/hr**
Conclusion

The loss of exposed melting area by geometry leads to lower rates of melting due to reduced heating by conduction and more importantly, less heating by viscous dissipation. Barrier screws that offer larger melting areas provide the potential for higher throughput, lower melt temperatures, and greater pressure stability. Many aftermarket screw manufacturers tout that they are the leaders in performance and innovation. In most cases the technology offered are expired or licensed patents that are being marketed as their own. Many of these older designs are very successful and widely used, but it’s valuable to know the origin of the technology. Offering a truly custom designed, high performance screw is only possible with unique industry advancing technology. Relying on true innovators and originators of technology gives you the best opportunity to outperform your competitors, whether injection molding or extrusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum Melt Capacity (lb/hr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. Dray Barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barr Barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheeler Barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maillefer Barrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional Screw</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ø = 4.5” LDPE

Contact R. Dray Mfg. to learn how we can improve your process.

We guarantee to outperform any competitor’s design.
We have offered this guarantee for over 50 years!

WWW.RDRAY.COM