



## Community Building



### **ABOUT**

Share Nepal is a Nepalese non-governmental organization (est. 2011) that serves approx. 700 families in an area of rural central Nepal that was heavily damaged during the 7.8M and 7.3M earthquakes of 2015. ParticipAid is a US based research project-in-development with the National University of Natural Medicine and Portland State University in Portland, OR. ParticipAid and Share Nepal worked together to coordinate emergency relief for the 70-family village of Karmidanda immediately following the devastating earthquakes, and continue their recovery work through a pilot “Community Led Rebuilding Program” for the larger area. This is a request for partnership drawn from the outcomes of the assessment and planning portions of the program. It is representative of one of Share Nepal’s 18 recovery plans for their constituency. Share Nepal board members and local facilitators will implement and manage all on the ground activities. ParticipAid will provide guidance and support on administrative, logistic, and project management challenges as needed. Both organizations seek your support in contributing to the activities described below to help this community recover from the disaster in a way that is self-determined, and locally managed.

**Please contact us for more details about partnering in this project!**

**Ram Sharan Acharya – Share Nepal Chairperson** [[acharya.ramsharan@yahoo.com](mailto:acharya.ramsharan@yahoo.com)]

**Erin Moore – ParticipAid Co-founder** [[doctorerin@particip-aid.org](mailto:doctorerin@particip-aid.org)]

**Kamal Phuyal – ParticipAid Co-founder** [[kamalphuyal@gmail.com](mailto:kamalphuyal@gmail.com)]

### **LOCATION**

Nepal, Rasuwa District, Laharepauwa VDC, Wards 6 and 7

### **BACKGROUND**

Before the earthquake, each of Laharepauwa Village’s five wards had a community building – a space to hold meetings and community discussions, settle quarrels, offer workshops and trainings, store goods, and use as a shelter in cases of emergency. During the earthquake, two of these buildings were badly damaged, one beyond repair. In the meantime the people of Ward 6 and Ward 7 use their neighbors buildings if need be. However, the local people believe that each ward should have its own community building in the optimum condition of Laharepauwa Village.

### **BENEFICIARIES**

The 221 families of wards 6 and 7 are primary beneficiaries of this project. These community buildings were severely damaged in the earthquake, and selected because they are either unusable or unsafe for local people.

### **ACTIVITIES**

#### Ward 6 Community Building Repair

[Estimated Budget: \$6,500]

Local management of repairs of earthquake damage in existing community building.

- Contract with technical partners, develop design and implementation strategy, and manage resources (Timeline: 3-4 months).
- Repairs and Reconstruction (Timeline: 6-8 months).



## Community Building

### Ward 7 Community Building Reconstruction

[Estimated Budget: \$9,700]

Local management of reconstruction of a community building that was destroyed in the earthquake.

- Contract with technical partners, develop design and implementation strategy, and manage resources (Timeline: 3-4 months).
- Repairs and Reconstruction (Timeline: 6-12 months).

### **IMPACT**

- All community improvement projects can be easily facilitated.
- Vegetables and local goods can be stored so local people can work with other markets easily.
- The community will increase its collective value.

### **COMMUNITY CONTRIBUTION**

- Labor contribution equivalent to 15% of total budget.
- Regular cleaning and maintenance of building.

### **MONITORING & EVALUATION**

The following are potential M&E indicators identified by Share Nepal members. Final indicators will be developed with funding partner.

<b>Monitoring indicators</b>	<b>Evaluation indicators</b>
1. Progress according to projected timeline and budget.	1. Quality monitoring of overall construction of community building.
2. Quality of materials procured.	2. Access to community building by all community members.
3. Proper utilization of materials procured.	3. Use of community building by all community members.
4. Skill of laborers.	4. Community perception of building and construction process.
5. Percentage of community contribution.	

**BUDGET**

	Budget Head	Unit	Target	Rate	Amount (NRs.)	Amount (USD)
A.1	Construction committee formation					
	Refreshment for meetings with local people each ward (4 meetings)	Participant <sup>1</sup>	30	300	36,000.00	330.09
	Transportation and coordination	Meeting	4	2000	8,000.00	73.35
	Stationaries	Meeting	4	2000	8,000.00	73.35
	Management cost	Meeting	4	5000	20,000.00	183.39
	<b>Total</b>				<b>72,000.00</b>	<b>660.19</b>
A.2	Community hall construction	Hall	2	800000 <sup>2</sup>	1,600,000.00	14,670.82
	Transportation and communication <sup>3</sup>	Ward	2	25000	50,000.00	458.46
	Management and facilitation	Ward	2	25000	50,000.00	458.46
	<b>Total</b>				<b>1,700,000.00</b>	<b>15,587.75</b>
	<b>Grand Total – Both Community Building Projects</b>				<b>1,772,000.00</b>	<b>16,247.94</b>

Note: 45% of this budget is designated for Ward 6, 55% of the budget is designated for Ward 7.

[CLICK HERE TO GET INVOLVED](#)

<sup>1</sup> Meeting includes key farmers, ward citizen forum coordinator and school teachers

<sup>2</sup> Overall total cost for one community hall - and community people will cover the labor contribution and other required local cost

<sup>3</sup> Includes the cost for coordination with district line agencies and other stakeholders