



Maddie Lazarski  
Pro Tempore  
Associated Student Government  
Miami University  
Armstrong Student Center  
Room 2012  
Oxford OH, 45056

Associated Student Government  
Student Senate  
April 12

- I. Call to Order
- II. Two Minutes of Silence
- III. Roll Call
- IV. Announcement and Remarks by the Chair
  - a. Cabinet Elections Postponed: Petitions due this Sunday, April 17, at 5 pm
  - b. Off-Campus and Academic Senator Elections
- V. Consent Calendar
  - a. Approved minutes from meeting on 04/05/2016
- VI. Student Concerns
- VII. Reports
  - a. Chandler Waszak – Director of Recreational Services
    - i. Sophomore, SLAM Major
    - ii. Evaluate current rec situation: space, time locations
    - iii. Club sports funding
    - iv. Liaison between club sports and ASG
      1. Improve contracts between club sports and ASG
      2. Assist in finding funding solutions
    - v. Determine needs and wants of students in relation to rec services

- vi. Hope to:
  - 1. Create a beneficial relationship between ASG and club sports
  - 2. Ensure fair distribution of funding
  - 3. Hear student concerns
- vii. Has already met with Mike Arnos, Doug Curry, and Ron Siliko
- viii. Help with contract negotiations
- ix. Work with Rachel to determine what is needed to make the rec more accommodating
- x. Work with ASG as a whole
- xi. Have a committee with senators and at-large students
- b. Sammi Podolyan – Secretary for On-Campus Affairs
  - i. Meal plan will be revised for the next year!!
    - 1. Will work with ASG on the new plan

## VIII. Old Business

- a. SB021550 – Restructuring Bylaw Amendment: 2
  - i. Compromise based on what funding committee wants and what restructuring has been working on
  - ii. Three positions
    - 1. Secretary of Finance
    - 2. Secretary of Student Orgs
      - a. Advocacy
      - b. Ex-officio member of Funding Committee
    - 3. Director of the Treasury
      - a. Appointed by Student Body President Reilly
      - b. Reports to Secretary, takes some duties away from Secretary of Finance

iii. Funding and Audit Committee merged

iv. Questions:

1. Why director spot?
  - a. Alleviate work
2. What is the thought process behind creating a third position?
  - a. Funding committee asked for three positions, but it is not worth adding another secretary
3. What benefit does this new structure have over the old structure with two people?
  - a. Too much work for two people; this gives an additional person that will deal with the ASG budget specifically
  - b. Specifying the tasks makes it easier to oversee these positions and ensure that things are being done
  - c. Follow-up: the director position seems quite important—why not make it a secretary?
    - i. Any director should be a valuable position
    - ii. Not a secretary position because the three person model was sprung on the committee—this makes it a trial before it is officially made a secretary; ensures that it is valuable
4. How much experience has the committee had with funding issues?

- a. Two members have been on the funding committee
- 5. If you were to turn this director position into a secretary position, would it be elected in the upcoming elections? Would this be viewed as friendly?
  - a. Doing this so last minute seems like we are trying to cover something; it might be possible
- v. Debate:
  - 1. Would like to make a friendly amendment to make this a secretary position to go into effect next year
    - a. Need someone to help the two secretaries
    - b. The work that has been done
    - c. Friendly amendment to make this director position into a secretary position
    - d. Dan: agree with the amendment, bigger team working to accomplish these goals; a lot of work so should be paid
    - e. Maggie: like the idea of a trial before adding a paid position
      - i. Add that funding committee must approve of director appointment
  - 2. A lot of opinions coming from people that have not worked with Restructuring Committee
  - 3. These positions created this year were a trial, we need more people working on this
  - 4. What about shortening the trial period?

- a. New funding cycle is a trial, a lot more work;  
need more people
5. Barely able to get things done this year, this adds more responsibility; make student orgs a director instead
6. Changing this is not throwing away progress; this gives them the three positions that they wanted, just not the exact title; though Dan and Connor's opinions are valid, this is senate's decision
7. Not favorable to add a position now; trust the cabinet to make sure that things function efficiently next year; should trust ourselves to make positions
8. Focusing on titles and pay is not a good idea; we are here because we want to be
9. Agree with the addition of a third position
10. Everything we do is a trial period and that is the whole point of having a student government
11. Difficult to add a new position a week before the election
12. No one is arguing against a third position; titles don't matter – administrators will meet with you regardless; very difficult to add a position a week before it is elected
13. Don't think student orgs should be a secretary position – should be a director – not as many responsibilities; important to understand what duties are being put where; don't think we need three secretaries

14. Amendment to establish three secretaries:

- i. Finance: funding and audit, business services for ASG and allocate university dollars
- ii. Student Orgs: advocacy for student orgs and liaison to student orgs, meet with leaders on regular basis
- iii. Treasury: paying cabinet and others; additional title of treasurer, assist in funding

b. Debate:

- i. Split into two distinct positions
- ii. Look at this from a long-term perspective
- iii. We have enough room in the budget to pay for another secretary
- iv. This year was a trial; these two positions are the way they need to be
  1. Need an extra person to reach out to student orgs
- v. Oversight is separate
- vi. We put a lot of trust in people that do funding, their opinion should carry more weight
- vii. Adding a secretary a week before elections is inappropriate
  1. Everyone agrees that we need 3 positions, this bill has that

- 2. Someone not doing the job  
because of title or pay is not okay
      - 3. Trial period is essential
    - viii. Echo the previous statement
    - ix. Respect the work that funding has done  
in the past four years—but is anyone  
ready to take on this new responsibility
      - 1. We also need more than a week  
to advertise new position
    - x. Could potentially add a stipend for the  
director
  - c. Amendment viewed as not friendly by a vote of  
18 in favor, 23 against, and 2 abstentions
15. Amendment: Director of treasury becomes secretary,  
secretary for student orgs becomes director; Finance:  
funding and audit, represent students in funding  
decisions, allocate money; Treasury: ASG funds;  
Director of Student orgs- Maggie elects
  - a. Debate:
    - i. This is getting ridiculous, better to pass  
this than nothing; please compromise
    - ii. Other than the name changes, we don't  
need to do anything else
    - iii. Director for student orgs is a liaison,  
perfect; regardless of what we change, it  
will effect elections

- iv. Other director positions are focused on advocacy, student orgs should be director
- v. Need a third person, something has to be passed
- vi. Silly that liaison denotes director – it is in the bylaws for almost every cabinet position
- vii. If student orgs is only a liaison, it should be a director
- viii. Shouldn't change secretary to director before elections; already demoted it last year; secretary is responding to emails and more
- ix. Need a third person to deal with emails and student concerns
- x. Fine with two secretaries and a director if that's what'll pass

b. Amendment introduced by a vote of 38 for, 2 against, 3 abstentions

16. Changes have been made to the bill to reflect discussion during debate

vi. Passes with 39 in favor and 2 abstentions

b. SR021552 – A Bill to Require Roster Updates for Student Organizations

- i. Student orgs have to submit a roster on the Hub before getting funding
- ii. More streamlined and efficient

iii. Helps funding to have the rights numbers

iv. Questions:

1. Is this just submitting the listserv?

a. Yes

b. To ensure that they know who has left and who is still in the organization

v. Debate:

1. Really like this idea

2. Holds orgs accountable to keep records and stay in touch with alums

3. Great for funding for actual hearings and waived hearings

4. This involved student orgs with ASG; the Hub is very out of date for many orgs

vi. Passes by unanimous consent

IX. New Business

X. Special Business of the Day

a. Angela Sargent – Secretary of Governmental Affairs

XI. General Announcements

a. New “Love and Honor” video, talk to Oaks

b. New Panhel initiative to deal with mental illness; talk to Broda

c. Sign up for It’s On Us

d. Office duty: Ryan Snyder and Robinson

e. Don’t stack trash

XII. Adjournment