HAL-RAR System
Publications Overview

Roka et al.
“For the first time in a study concerning DG-HAL/RAR, a multi-variate analysis was carried out to demonstrate the statistically significant influence of various factors on parameters of efficacy. The number of PRSs (prolapse-reduction sutures) and in particular the number of ligation were shown to influence the recurrence of symptoms, whereas the only factor affecting the recurrence of prolapse was the grade of disease. It is interesting to note that the only factor significantly influencing patient satisfaction was the number of ligations.”

“...centres contributing to this study have chosen HAL-RAR as their first-line treatment for high-grade haemorrhoids owing to the perioperative benefits, very low number of complications, good symptom control and acceptably low prolapse recurrence rate.”
Eur Surg 2013; DOI 10.1007/s10353-012-0182-8

Zagriadskiy et al.
“Patients undergoing HAL-RAR derive greater short-term benefits, while being subject to less pain and a much lower risk of severe complications. Furthermore, they are hospitalized for a shorter length of time and may return to work earlier.”
Pelviperineology 2011; 30: 107-112

Faucheron et al.
“One of the advantages of the HAL-RAR procedure is that it can be tailored to best treat each individual case, because the number of arterial ligations depends on the blood pulsations detected, and the number of mucopexies depends on the number of prolapses identified. Particularly in this respect, the HAL-RAR technique would appear to be more suitable than many other methods.”
Dis Colon Rectum 2011; 54: 226-231

Satzinger et al.
“The present study confirms that the RAR procedure is a very effective technique for treatment of high-grade hemorrhoids. RAR offers a variety of advantages including improved treatment of symptoms, lower pain levels, shorter hospital stays, less time off work and high patient satisfaction levels.”
Pelviperineology 2009; 28: 37-42

Wilkerson et al.
“Given the low complication rates and therefore the low risk, it may well be reasonable to offer DGHAL as a first line treatment”. “With the advent of HAL-RAR (Recto-Anal Repair), outcomes for prolapse may improve and the place of PPH may also be questioned.”
Colorectal Dis 2009; 11: 394 - 400

Bursics et al.
“In conclusion, both the closed scissors hemorrhoidectomy and the DG-HAL procedure proved effective in treating hemorrhoids in both the short and the long term.”
Int J Colorectal Dis 2004; 19: 176-80
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Author Procedure Date</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Grade I</th>
<th>Grade II</th>
<th>Grade III</th>
<th>Grade IV</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Roka et al. HAL-RAR Published 2013</td>
<td>DG-RAR for the treatment of symptomatic grade III and grade IV haemorrhoids: a 12-month, multi-centre, prospective observational study</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Zagradniy et al. HAL-RAR vs. CH Published 2011</td>
<td>Transanal Doppler-guided Hemorrhoidal Artery Ligation and Recto Anal Repair vs Closed Hemorrhoidectomy for treatment of grade III-IV hemorrhoids. A randomized trial</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>Grades III - V*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>15 months (mean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Faucheron et al. HAL-RAR Published 2011</td>
<td>Doppler-Guided Hemorrhoidal Artery Ligation and Rectoanal Repair (HAL-RAR) for the Treatment of Grade IV Hemorrhoids: Long-Term Results in 100 Consecutive Patients</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>34 months (mean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Forrest et al. HAL-RAR Published 2010</td>
<td>Doppler-guided haemorrhoidal artery ligation with recto anal repair: a new technique for the treatment of symptomatic haemorrhoids</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>55%</td>
<td></td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>13 months (mean)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Theodoropoulos et al. HAL-RAR Published 2010</td>
<td>Doppler-Guided Haemorrhoidal Artery Ligation (DGHAL), Rectoanal Repair (RAR), Sutured Haemorrhoidopexy (SHP) and Minimal Muco-cutaneous Excision (MMCE) for Grade III-IV Haemorrhoids: A Multicenter Prospective Study of Safety and Efficacy</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td></td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>15 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Satzinger et al. HAL-RAR Published 2009</td>
<td>Recto Anal Repair (RAR): a viable new treatment option for high-grade hemorrhoids. One year results of a prospective study.</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Wilkerson et al. HAL Published 2009</td>
<td>Doppler-guided haemorrhoidal artery ligation: long-term outcome and patient satisfaction</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>Grades I - III**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Walesa et al. HAL Published 2008</td>
<td>Two-center experience in the treatment of hemorrhoidal disease using Doppler-guided hemorrhoidal artery ligation: functional results after 1-year follow-up</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Faucheron et al. HAL Published 2008</td>
<td>Doppler-Guided Hemorrhoidal Artery Ligation for the Treatment of Symptomatic Hemorrhoids: Early and Three-Year Follow-up Results in 100 Consecutive Patients</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>3 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Dorn et al. HAL Published 2007</td>
<td>5 Years of HAL: Experience and Long-Term Results. A Prospective Study</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Scheyer et al. HAL Published 2006</td>
<td>Doppler-guided hemorrhoidal artery ligation</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>18 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Bursics et al. HAL Published 2004</td>
<td>Comparison of early and 1-year follow-up results of conventional hemorrhoidectomy and hemorrhoid artery ligation: a randomized study</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* No differentiation made between grades for the purposes of the study
Abstract: Roka et al.

Background: Ultrasound-guided techniques represent a new treatment option in the treatment of haemorrhoids. Doppler-guided haemorrhoidal artery ligation (DG-HAL) proved efficacious in early haemorrhoidal disease, but lacks efficacy for stages III/IV. For these patients, haemorrhoidal artery ligation (HAL) has been combined with a running suture to reduce prolapsing haemorrhoidal tissue (recto-anal repair (RAR)).

Methods: A prospective observational study was conducted in 184 patients who performed 389 (52%) procedures in seven coloroprotocollogical centres. Primary endpoints were the recurrence of symptoms and need of further treatment (medical or surgical).

Results: Post-operative complications were seen in 8% of patients. After a follow-up of 3 months, 91% of patients were free of symptoms and 91% of patients were satisfied with the result. After a follow-up of 12 months, 89% of patients were free of symptoms and 88% were satisfied with the result. Nineteen per cent of patients received further medical or surgical treatment.

Conclusion: Doppler-guided recto-anal repair (DG-RAR) proves to be an effective treatment option for the treatment of advanced haemorrhoidal disease that shows equal results to other established treatment options.

Abstract: Zagriadszky et al.

Objective: HAL-RAR is a technique whereby Doppler-guided ligation of haemorrhoidal arteries is combined with a mucopexy of the mucosal prolapse, known as Recto Anal Repair (RAR). HAL-RAR is presented here as an alternative to hemorrhoidectomy. Early and 1-year follow-up results of the procedure are presented and compared with those of closed-scissors hemorrhoidectomy (CH) in a prospective randomized study.

Patients and methods: One hundred and thirty-five patients with grade III-IV hemorrhoids were randomized for HAL-RAR (n = 65) or CH (n = 70). All operations were done under general anesthesia and local block as day-case surgery.

Results: Comparing the two groups, there was no significant difference between them in terms of the operating time (36.2±2.3 vs. 75.3±3.1 p<0.05), or when the first post-operative bowel movement occurred. The median pain score was higher for the CH group during the first ten days (p<0.05). The average need for minor analgesics was 32.3±12.6 mg (ketorolac tromethamin) in the HAL-RAR group, and 46.1±17.7 mg in the CH group (p<0.001). Patients in the HAL-RAR group spent 18.3±3.5 hours in the hospital postoperatively, and those in the CH group 60.0±12.4 hours. Patients in the HAL-RAR group returned to normal daily activities after 2.8±0.7 days, and those in the CH group after 21.1±2.7 days (p<0.001). Complications occurred in a total of five patients within 30 days of surgery: three patients from the CH group suffered from urinary retention, one patient from the CH group from bleeding, and one from the HAL-RAR group from a thrombosed hemorrhoid. The appearance of skin tags (HAL-RAR vs. CH 1, p=0.047) significantly differed between the groups. Neither the re-appearance of prolapse (3 HAL-RAR vs. 0 CH patients) nor the recurrence of the symptoms bleeding (HAL-RAR 2 vs. 1 CH patients) or pain (HAL-RAR 0 vs. 1 CH patients) differed significantly between the two groups.

Conclusion: HAL-RAR appears to cause less postoperative pain and results in better patient satisfaction in the early postoperative period than closed hemorrhoidectomy. Doppler-guided hemorrhoidal artery ligation fulfills the requirements of minimally invasive surgery and appears to be ideal for 1-day surgery.

Abstract: Faucheron et al.

Objective: The purpose of this study was to describe our experience with the transanal rectal repair [Doppler-guided hemorrhoidal artery ligation (DGHAL)] with transanal rectal repair (mucopexy) in the treatment of grade IV hemorrhoids.

Design: Prospective observational study.

Setting: Outpatient colorectal surgery unit.

Intervention: Hemorrhoidal artery ligation-rectal repair.

Patients: 150 consecutive patients with grade IV hemorrhoids treated from April 2006 to December 2008.

Results: A total of 100 consecutive patients (64 women, 36 men) with grade IV hemorrhoids were included. Preoperative symptoms were bleeding in 80 and pain in 71 patients; 19 patients had undergone previous surgical treatment for the disease. The mean operative time was 35 (range, 17 - 60) minutes, with a mean of 9 (range, 4 - 14) ligations placed per patient. Eighteen patients were discharged on the day of the operation. Nine patients developed early postoperative complications: pain in 6, bleeding in 4, dyschezia in 1, and thrombosis of residual hemorrhoids in 3. Late complications occurred in 4 patients and were managed conservatively. Recurrence was observed in 9 patients (9%), with a mean follow-up of 34 (range, 14 - 42 months).

Limitations: The main weaknesses of the study were the lack of very long-term follow-up and the absence of a comparison with hemorrhoidectomy or hemorrhoidopexy.

Faucheron et al. (cont.)

Conclusion: Doppler-guided hemorrhoidal artery ligation with rectoanal repair is safe, easy to perform, and should be considered as an effective option for the treatment of grade IV hemorrhoids.

Abstract: Forrest et al.

Purpose: Doppler-guided haemorrhoidal artery ligation (DGHAL) is a minimally invasive surgical technique used to treat symptomatic haemorrhoids. In 2005, the DGHAL protocose was redesigned to incorporate a window through the anal canal (RAW), which a rectal ring (RAR) could be formed to improve the outcome in patients with significant prolapse symptoms. The aim of this study was to observe the outcome of a series of consecutive DGHAL-RAR procedures.

Method: Seventy-seven consecutive patients (49 male) underwent DGHAL-RAR for symptomatic haemorrhoids and were reviewed for a minimum of 6 months post-surgery.

Results: Fifty-seven (74%) of patients presented with both prolapse and bleeding symptoms. The median number of DGHALs performed was six, and the median number of RARs was two. Most (96%) patients were discharged the same day. At follow-up, 11 patients complained of recurrent symptoms (five of prolapse, four of bleeding and two of pruritus). Eight patients suffered with post-operative anal fistulas. The procedure is recommended by 84.4% of patients 6 weeks post-surgery.

Conclusion: DGHAL-RAR is safe, effective and well tolerated. It reduces the need for potentially dangerous excisional procedures. The RAR component is an effective addition to DGHAL in the short term for the treatment of prolapse, but longer follow-up will be required to demonstrate durability of the technique.

Abstract: Theodoropoulos et al.

Objective: The isolated use of Doppler-guided haemorrhoidal artery ligation (DGHAL) may fail for advanced haemorrhoids (HR, grades III and IV), Suture haemorrhoidopexy (SHP) and mucopexy by rectoanal repair (RAR) result in haemorrhoidal lifting and fixation. A prospective evaluation was performed to evaluate the results of DGHAL combined with adjunctive procedures.

Method: The study included 147 patients with HR (male patients: 102; grade III: 95, grade IV: 52) presenting with bleeding (73%) and prolapse (62%).

Results: More ligations were required for grade IV than grade III HR (10.7±2.8 vs. 8.6±2.2, P<0.001). SHP (28 patients) and RAR (18 patients) at 1-4 positions were deemed necessary in 46 (31%) patients. Minimal (muco-)cutaneous excision (MMCE) was added in 23 patients. SHP/ RAR was applied more frequently in grade IV HR (60%±16%, P<0.001). In patients not having MMCE, SHP/RAR was added in 57% of grade IV cases (P<0.001). Complications included residual prolapse (10; second surgery), bleeding (15; second DGHAL), thrombosis (four), faeces (three) and fistula (one). Analgesics was required not at all, up to 1-3 days, 4-7 days, and >7 days by 30%, 31%, 16% and 14% of the patients, respectively. SHP/RAR was associated with greater discomfort (17%±6%, P<0.001). No differences were found between SHP and RAR. At an average follow-up of 15 months, 96% of patients were asymptomatic and 95% were satisfied.

Conclusions: DGHAL with the selective application of SHP/RAR is a safe and effective technique for advanced grade HR.

Abstract: Bursics et al.

Background and aims: Doppler-guided hemorrhoidal artery ligation is a new approach for treating hemorrhoids. Early and 1-year follow-up results of the procedure are presented and compared with those of closed scissors hemorrhoidectomy in a prospective randomized study.

Patients and methods: Fifty consecutive patients were randomized into two groups: group A (n=30) was treated with standardized closed scissors hemorrhoidectomy and group B (n=30) with Doppler-guided hemorrhoidal artery ligation. The follow-up period was 11.7±4.6 months.

Results: The average need for minor analgesics was 11.7±12.6 doses in group A and 2.9±7.7 in group B. Patients in group A spent 62.9±29.0 hours in hospital postoperatively and those in group B 19.8±11.8 hours. Return to normal daily activities took 24.9±24.5 days in group A and 3.9±5.3 days in group B. Neither the appearance (26 vs. 25 patients) nor the recurrence of preoperative symptoms (5 vs. 6 patients) differed significantly between the two groups.

Conclusion: Both procedures were effective in treating hemorrhoids. The 1-year results of Doppler-guided hemorrhoidal artery ligation do not differ from those of closed scissors hemorrhoidectomy. Doppler-guided hemorrhoidal artery ligation seems to be ideal for 1-day surgery, and it fulfills the requirements of minimally invasive surgery.
The HAL-RAR system is a complete solution for treatment of haemorrhoids, comprising an electronic unit with special probes designed for both artery ligation and mucopexy of prolapsing mucosa in one sitting.

HAL (Haemorrhoidal Artery Ligation) involves the use of Doppler ultrasound technology to allow the precise detection of haemorrhoidal arteries in each individual patient. This method is extremely effective in addressing the symptoms of haemorrhoidal disease, and can be used alone to treat low or medium grade haemorrhoids, or in conjunction with the mucopexy for higher grades. The ligations serve to reduce the arterial blood supply, causing the haemorrhoidal cushions to shrink back to normal size. As a rule, between five and seven arteries will be found during the procedure, however this number can vary from patient to patient and may also depend on the severity of the haemorrhoids in each case.

The RAR (Recto Anal Repair) method is used to treat the prolapsing haemorrhoids that occur during more advanced stages of the disease. Once the arteries have been ligated, one or more mucopexies can be made in various positions using the same probe. After an initial stitch has been made as far proximal as possible, the special ligation window is slowly opened by turning the handle, gradually releasing more and more prolapsing mucosa. This is gathered up by a running suture from proximal to distal, which ends just above the dentate line. This suture is then knotted to the initial stitch, lifting the prolapsing tissue back up into position.

Advantages of HAL and RAR

Since the introduction of these minimally-invasive methods, many tens of thousands of patients have been treated with them and excellent results achieved in terms of effectiveness, patient-friendliness and safety.

Effective
- Treatment of the three main symptoms - bleeding, itching and pain - with HAL
- Treatment of the prolapse with RAR

Patient-friendly
- Minimal pain
- Quick recovery

Safety
- Fewer intra-operative complications
- Fewer post-operative complications

The operation can be tailored to suit each individual patient!