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Abstract

In 2016, the government of Cameroon, a central African country heavily reliant on wood fuel for cooking, published a Masterplan for increasing primary use of LPG from 20% to 58% of households by 2035. Developed via a multi-sectoral committee with support from the Global LPG Partnership, the plan envisages a 400 million Euro investment program to 2030, focused on increasing LPG cylinder numbers, key infrastructure, and enhanced regulation. This case study describes the Masterplan process and investment proposals and draws on community studies and stakeholder interviews to identify factors likely to impact on the planned expansion of LPG use.

Background

Cameroon is one of several Sub-Saharan African countries whose governments have set ambitious goals for scaling-up use of LPG as a cooking fuel (Van Leeuwen, Evans, & Hyseni, 2017). For Cameroon, the target is to take primary LPG use from around 15% in 2014 to 58% by 2035, resulting in 18 million more Cameroonians gaining access (GLPCP, 2016c). Several reasons underlie this decision, including forest protection, health improvement, and economic and energy development in line with regional policy (CEEAC, 2014).

With the support of the Global LPG Partnership (GLPCP – see Box 1), the government had by September 2016 approved a detailed ‘LPG Masterplan’ for investment and regulatory enhancement, designed to meet the target. Implementation of the plan is now underway, led by a multi-sectoral ‘Investment Committee’ established in early 2017.

Contemporaneously with this national level planning, a programme of mixed-methods, community-based research, the ‘LPG Adoption in Cameroon Evaluation’ (LACE) studies, were being conducted in rural and peri-urban areas of the South West region, near Limbe (Pope, 2017a, 2017b). The objectives were to (a) determine enablers and barriers to adoption and greater use of LPG for cooking, (b) test interventions (consumer micro-loans for start-up costs, pressure cookers for saving gas) to mitigate these barriers, and (c) assess health risks through air pollution measurement.

Cameroon is the first Sub-Saharan African country to develop a comprehensive ‘Masterplan’ for rapid and substantial expansion of its LPG market. This case study describes the plan and its investment proposals and compares these with the population’s experiences of adopting and using LPG for cooking from the LACE studies and stakeholder interviews to make recommendations.

Methods, sources and approach

We drew on a range of data sources, including national surveys and energy policy reports, Masterplan documentation, stakeholder interviews and the LACE studies, for which sources and methods are summarised below.
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National and regional reports and secondary data

We obtained information on regional and national assessments of energy use, prospects, and policy, from the following reports in order to understand the context of the Cameroon LPG scale-up (Table 1).

Masterplan documentation

In order to describe the aims, drivers, process, recommendations, and implementation of the masterplan, we referred to the Cameroon LPG Masterplan Executive Summary (GLPGP, 2016a, 2016b), the Masterplan full report (not in the public domain and obtained from the GLPGP), and Investment Committee minutes (MINEE, 2017).

Qualitative interviews with key stakeholders, meetings, and field visits

GLPGP experts in sustainable LPG market development

In order to understand the role of the GLPGP and expertise brought to the Masterplan’s development, we undertook group and individual interviews (via conference calls, telephone, and correspondence) with GLPGP experts in sustainable LPG market development to the Masterplan’s development, we undertook group and individual interviews (via conference calls, telephone, and correspondence) with GLPGP experts in sustainable LPG market development. We also visited the LACE study sites and an LPG filling plant to observe infrastructure relating to oil production, importation, re-distribution, and sustainably to liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) for cooking.

Stakeholders in Cameroon

Qualitative methods were used to understand the processes involved in developing the national LPG Masterplan and key factors likely to influence market growth in Cameroon, including: key informant interviews; informal discussions; observations; and field visits to the LACE research project sites. Field work took place between 28th August and 6th September 2017. Interview participants were purposively recruited from research group contacts within the fields of energy, LPG supply, and public health. We held seven face-to-face, semi-structured interviews with representatives from a government ministry and a public-private partnership involved in LPG scale-up, both sitting on the national Masterplan Committee; financial and microfinance institutions at national and regional levels; and community leaders. Interviews lasted approximately 1 h and were conducted in French or English. We held informal discussions with five other stakeholders involved in LPG scale up; a marketer, a cylinder supplier, and a financial institution and NGO supporting community micro-loans. Discussions centred on household energy and LPG use in Cameroon, and the Masterplan process and plans. We also visited the LACE study sites and an LPG filling plant to observe infrastructure relating to oil production, importation, refinery, bulk storage, and distribution.

LACE studies

The LACE studies were conducted in peri-urban and rural communities near Limbe, SW Cameroon, January 2016 to October 2017. Full methodologies are available in on-line protocols for LACE-1 (Pope, 2017b) and LACE-2 (Pope, 2017a). A comparison of LACE and national data (MINPROFF, 2012; NIS, 2014, 2015), available on request, showed that the LACE sample had lower poverty levels than in the country overall, but that education, reliance on solid fuel use and access to clean water were similar.

Synthesis

A national LPG market can be viewed as a ‘complex system’ (Jackson, 2003). Logic models offer a way of describing these as part of evaluation, and we have previously described one such model (Rosenthal et al., 2017). We developed this from literature review (Puzzolo, Pope, Stanistreet, Rehfuess, & Bruce, 2016; Rehfuess, Puzzolo, Stanistreet, Pope, & Bruce, 2014) and experience from the GLPGP. For this case study, we used the model to systematically organise information and compare the Masterplan recommendations against the issues, challenges and opportunities identified from the other sources.

Findings

Situated in central Africa, Cameroon has a population of 23.9 million (2016), expected to reach around 31 million by 2030 and 34.5 million by 2035 (MINEE, 2015). Most of the population are Francophone (including the administrative centre, Yaoundé), the rest Anglophone; Douala is the main commercial city and port (Fig. 1).

Table 1

Regional and national reports.

| • Millennium Development Goals (MDG) Reports for Cameroon (2015) (English). These provide a review of progress with the MDGs over varying periods from around 2000 to 2014. (NIS, 2015a, 2015b). |
| • Livre Blanc de la CEEAC et de la CEMAC: Politique régionale pour un accès universel aux services énergétiques modernes et le développement économique et social 2014–2030 (French). (CEEAC, 2014) |
| • Presentation of the First Results of the Fourth Cameroon Household Survey (ECAM 4) of 2014. National Institute of Statistics, Cameroon (English). (NIS, 2014) |
| • Femmes et Hommes au Cameroun (2012); Ministère de la Promotion de la Femme et de la Famille (MINPROFF) (French). (MINPROFF, 2012) |

1 [French version of Executive Summary]: https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5631c4c2e805a5c7831fbb5/t/597a3870d2b857fc8796219f/1501182066543/Cameroun+Executive+Summary+du+Master+Plan+GPL+-+FR.pdf

2 (English version of the Executive Summary): https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B-7990zo-pBiJ1adn1zLNbRHMYVU/view
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Main aims</th>
<th>Components of study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LACE-1</td>
<td>2015–2016 • To describe barriers to LPG adoption and greater use.</td>
<td>• Census and survey-based data covering demographics, primary fuel use and stacking factors influencing use and views about LPG including affordability, health, and burns (n = 1577).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• To describe LPG and other cooking fuel use.  • To measure PM$_{2.5}$ levels and exposure</td>
<td>• In-depth qualitative interviews (n = 30) and FGDs (n = 3) with households from 3 different fuel using groups (LPG primary, biomass exclusive and mixed) to gain further understanding of factors influencing use and views about LPG.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• measured PM$_{2.5}$ (kitchen, women cooks, and children) for users of wood (exclusively) and LPG (exclusive and mixed) (n = 60)</td>
<td>• Use of stoves (including where stacking taking place) using time-activity diaries and stove use monitors (n = 40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Use of stoves (including where stacking taking place) using time-activity diaries and stove use monitors (n = 40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• In-depth interviews (n = 10) with LPG stakeholders in Limbe and Buea areas to gain understanding of the local market.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LACE-2</td>
<td>2016–2017 • To test interventions designed to address major barriers identified in LACE-1</td>
<td>• A 6-month micro loan pilot study (n = 150) was conducted in partnership with GLPGP and the women's community loan organisation (MUFFA*) to assist with the purchase of an LPG start-up kit. Evaluation included loan repayment, LPG use and PM$_{2.5}$ impacts (sub-sample n = 35).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• To further explore experience on LPG adoption and use through photovoice participatory methods</td>
<td>• A 6-month randomised trial (n = 140) of using pressure-cookers for foods requiring extended cooking time was carried out among existing partial users of LPG, with evaluation of acceptability and change in LPG use.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MUFFA: Mutuelle Financière des Femmes Africaine (The African Women's Mutual Finance), is a microfinance institution set up to offer financial services to low income women and women of the informal sector and urban areas.

Fig. 1. Map of Cameroon showing location in central Africa and coastal border with the ports of Douala and Limbe, and the capital city of Yaoundé. Source: https://alexincameroon.files.wordpress.com/2016/09/political-map-of-cameroon.gif?w=1412.
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Cooking fuel and household energy

Reliance on solid fuels for cooking is high in Cameroon, although fell from 82.4% in 2001 to 65.0% in 2014, but remains very high in rural areas (87.5%) compared to urban areas (36.8%) (NIS, 2015a). In 2015, the Ministry of Energy and Water Resources (MINEE) reported that 25.1% of the population ‘had access to’ (this phrase is not further defined) LPG in 2014, Table 3 (MINEE, 2015).

The Demographic and Health Survey (DHS) reported that ‘primary use’ of LPG for cooking was 17.5% in 2011 (DHS, 2012). Data on exclusive LPG use is not yet available from national population surveys, but local (Limbe area) data on mixed and exclusive LPG use in peri-urban and rural households from the LACE studies is reported below.

Government aim for national LPG scale up

The aim of the LPG scale-up in Cameroon is stated as follows:

‘Within the framework of aspiring to become an emerging nation by the year 2035, the government of Cameroon intends to extend the availability, access, and use of Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) made up of gas and/or propane for cooking and other uses to 57.8% of the population on an economically sustainable basis.’

[Source: LPG Masterplan Executive Summary]

The drivers are ‘to increase access to clean energy resources, improve public health, reduce deforestation and the adverse effects of climate change caused by deforestation, while increasing economic development’. The choice of LPG for achieving these goals, if accessible and affordable to a majority of the population, is supported by a range of evidence. Laboratory testing by the USEPA reported that LPG meets (or exceeds) ISO Tier 4 of the ISO International Workshop Agreement on stove standards (GACC, 2012; ISO, 2012) for emissions of PM2.5 and CO (Shen et al., 2017). Use of LPG can also reduce climate warming emissions compared with traditional and many improved biomass stoves (especially where a substantial proportion of biomass fuel is harvested non-renewably), and protect forests (Bruce, Aunan, & Rehfueß, 2017).

Masterplan market assessment and investment proposals

During Masterplan development, GLPGP assessed the Cameroon LPG market. Several strengths were noted, including the cylinder re-circulation model (cylinders are owned by marketers who are responsible for checking and replacement), and relatively good regulation. One weakness, however, is the dominance of cylinder wholesalers not loyal to a specific marketer’s brand, thereby disrupting the process by which an empty cylinder, when exchanged for a refill, should always go back to the marketer for safety checking. Other factors impacting prospects for market expansion are reported in Box 3.

The main ‘instrument’ for development of the Masterplan was a multi-sectoral Committee lead by Ministry of Energy and Water Resources (MINEE) and facilitated and coordinated by GLPGP, with funding from the EU Infrastructure Fund and the German Development Bank, KfW. This had broad representation, as listed in Box 4.

Four committee sub-groups addressed: pricing and transport; supply chain and filling; distribution and licensing; and safety and norms. Another sub-group liaised with the private sector, dealing with finance and communications.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2007</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>25.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Work was initiated in April 2015, and the plan was officially announced in December 2016. The first meeting of the Investment Committee, responsible for implementation, took place in May 2017. The Masterplan recommendations are summarised in Table 4 (GLPGP, 2016b). Central to these proposals is the need to markedly increase the number of LPG cylinders, as illustrated in Fig. 2. To achieve the planned LPG market expansion, investment of around 400 million Euros was estimated by GLPGP, Table 5.

Stakeholder and LACE study findings

In this section, we report findings from the expert and stakeholder interviews, and the LACE studies carried out in peri-urban and rural communities near Limbe, with implications for scaling up LPG for cooking.

Box 4

Ministries and partners represented on the Cameroon LPG Masterplan Committee.

- Ministry of Energy and Water (MINEE)
- Ministry of Industry, Mines and Technological Development (MINIDT)
- Ministry of Environment, Nature Protection and Sustainable Development (MINEDEP)
- Ministry of Public Health (MINSANTE)
- Ministry of Commerce (MINCOMMERCE)
- Ministry for promotion of women and families (MINPROFF)
- National Oil Refining Society (SONARA)
- SCDP (Société Camerounaise des Dépôts Pétroliers)
- GNP (Groupement Professionnel du Petrole), a syndicate bringing together professionals in the downstream sector.
- National Hydrocarbon Society (SNH)
- CSPH (Caisse de Stabilisation des Prix des Hydrocarbures)
- Sustainable Energy for All (SEforAll)
- Global LPG Partnership (GLPGP)

Box 3

GLPGP/Masterplan assessment of LPG market in Cameroon.

Source: [edited from] GLPGP/Cameroon LPG Masterplan Executive Summary

‘The GLPGP market evaluation found that Cameroon, when compared with the characteristics of developed LPG markets, has weakness in the ‘offer’ of LPG cylinders and the distribution network geared at resupplying filled cylinders. The growth in the number of cylinders in circulation in Cameroon barely increased faster than the population. If such a trend continues, considering the expected growth of the population, it would take over 50 years to achieve the government’s objectives. Looking at the breakdown by region, Douala and Yaoundé regions accounted for around 87–88% of consumption over the last 15 years. The other regions represent about 13% of consumption and grew at the same rate, thereby demonstrating the ability of stakeholders to develop use of LPG, despite the difficulties involved. The LPG offer equally includes logistics capacity (filling plant and bulk LPG transportation) which has reached saturation in some places (Douala and Yaoundé) but less so in areas lacking cylinders and a network of sales outlets with reliable distributors.’
Summary of Cameroon LPG Masterplan recommendations.

1. Main actions: the major changes proposed a substantial increase in LPG cylinders (from 2.3 million to 9 million – see Fig. 2), and associated strengthening of storage, filling, distribution and transport, and retail outlets. The target is to increase LPG consumption from the current value of around 4 kg/person/year to between 12 and 15 kg/person/year, a level consistent with substantial use of the fuel (the ideal would be closer to 20 kg/person/year, which is typical of developed LPG markets). For access, an increase is sought in sales outlets to at least one per 3000 persons, with a goal of cylinders being available within 5 minute walk from the home.

2. Regulation: building on existing regulation (which is extensive), proposals were made for cylinders, particularly in respect of ensuring safety; obligations of marketers; defining the role and operation of Distributors (aiming to secure a shift to brand-exclusive distributorships); addressing the status of sales outlets, and re-enforcing the regulation on cylinder filling.

3. Role of Société Camerounaise des Dépôts Pétroliers (SCDP): SCDP is a 51% state-owned entity, responsible for storing petroleum products, for holding reserve stock, and for serving the whole country with its network of depots. It was recommended that SCDP should create an internal (within SCDP) organisation dedicated to LPG, to manage and monitor the process of filling (including training of operators); road and rail transport, and avoiding shortages. In addition, a proposal is made to create an LPG import terminal at Kribi (located in the South West), which has deeper water than the existing main terminal at Bonaberi (near Douala).

4. LPG pricing structure: a number of recommendations were made, while recognising that pricing of cylinders (including the deposit paid by new consumers) would evolve as investments were made to rapidly expand the market (see also section 4 on Programme Implementation). One key proposal is to harmonise the price across the country as currently this is higher in rural and more remote areas away from depots, placing poorer consumers at a disadvantage.

5. Taxation: a recommendation was made to reduce the taxation on cylinder importation, accessories, and gas cookers as much as possible.

6. LPG regulatory entity at national level: it was recommended that there should be a regulatory entity with responsibility for coordinating inter-ministerial actions on LPG on a wide range of issues, including on LPG supply, standards, safety, pricing, distribution, and retail, etc. It is also proposed to establish a website, accessible to the public, as a resource for data on pricing, authorised distributors, sales outlets, etc.

7. Consumers: although not extensive or detailed, a number of important recommendations were made in respect of consumer needs. These include promotional campaigns on benefits of LPG, and on convenient and safe use, development of sales plans with marketers (linked to safety training) in areas where distribution is currently poor, and the development of microfinance offers to assist with the initial purchase of LPG cylinders and cookers.

Use of LPG in homes and extent of stacking

Among 1334 peri-urban homes, the LACE census survey found solid fuel (almost exclusively wood) was the primary fuel for 38.5%, and LPG the primary fuel for 57.6%. Only 9.5% did all cooking on LPG, 68.7% did some (i.e. they ‘stacked’) and 21.8% used no LPG.

Among the 243 rural homes, solid fuel (mostly wood) was the primary fuel for 81.1%, and LPG was the primary fuel for 15.6%; very few (1.2%) did all cooking on LPG, 28.8% did some, and 70% used no LPG. So, even in an area that has lower levels of poverty than nationally, stacking was observed for most LPG users, including peri-urban.

Most households reporting any LPG use obtained one 12.5 kg refill every 1–2 months, with <10% using more. Exclusive users obtained a mean of 7.6 refills/year, corresponding to 21 kg per capita/year allowing for household size and consistent with consumption for near exclusive use in other countries. Primary LPG users obtained a mean of 6.7 refills per year (14.7 kg per capita/year), and mixed LPG/biomass users 5.5 refills per year (12.2 kg per capita/year), insufficient for exclusive LPG use for cooking.

About 20% of participants kept more than one LPG cylinder from different marketers (i.e. different brands) in their home, a strategy for making it easier to obtain a refill when supplies from one marketer became unavailable.

Aspirations to use LPG and affordability

Among non-users, 75% of rural and 89% of peri-urban respondents said they would like to use LPG in the future. Their main barriers were the initial cost (50.3% rural, 54.6% peri-urban) and safety concerns (14.2% rural, 23.6% urban), while reasons for wanting to switch to LPG were ease of cooking (22.1%) and convenience and pleasure (31.2%). Some 74% of peri-urban LACE respondents thought that the refill cost was expensive or very expensive, and slightly more (82%) among rural respondents.

Options for financial support
Two approaches are available for helping consumers with LPG costs, namely fuel subsidies and loans. Cameroon subsidises LPG for all users.
to maintain a steady price, with a 12.5 kg refill costing 6500 CFA (US$ 11.50) or US$ 0.94/Kg as of December 2017. This subsidy is expected to continue, but with more frequent adjustments reflecting changes in international prices. A subsidy targeted at poorer households is not proposed (see below), although the recommendation to harmonise prices nationally would assist rural areas, who currently pay more than users closer to depots.

A microloan pilot project, jointly managed by GLPGP and MUFFA, offered LPG start-up kits (12.5 kg full cylinder, cooker, hose, and regulator) through a loan of approximately US$ 94 (including a US$ 14 security deposit) repayable over six-months, to 150 self-selected non-LPG using homes in the LACE study area. For this initial pilot, interest was not charged. Evaluation, a component of the LACE-2 study, found that by November 2017, 88.7% of loans had been repaid in full (corresponding to 94.3% of loaned capital), although analysis of cooking patterns is awaited. The scheme was well-accepted and has already been taken up by another local community.

Stakeholder interviews found considerable interest in consumer finance, with microloan schemes offered by the women’s cooperative to purchase LPG equipment being seen as having benefits for women and their children:

‘So, the children aspect is a consequence of the empowerment of the women. […] If the woman has gas at home, she has more time to spend with the children. She has more time to do her business […] instead of going out to look for energy […] Those are some of the social benefits.’

[[National Bank]]

LPG access and supply

LACE data showed a relationship between distance travelled to obtain LPG refills and LPG use, and the actual distances were perhaps surprising. Around one-quarter (26.5%) of exclusive LPG users travelled from 1 to >5 km to obtain refills (16.3% > 5 km). This percentage rose to 33.4% in primary LPG users, and 39.1% in mixed LPG/biomass users (one-quarter > 5 km).

LPG safety practices and perceptions

One striking LACE finding was that many peri-urban (73%) and rural (80%) households when asked about safety perceptions thought LPG fuel was ‘dangerous’ or ‘very dangerous’. There was a strong inverse relationship between the degree to which users felt LPG was dangerous and LPG use, i.e. whether LPG was the primary or secondary fuel, or not used. Stakeholders recognised that ensuring public safety and reassuring the public about safe LPG use was vital for LPG market expansion.

‘The key issues […] are […] manipulation of the cylinders themselves and the hoses. […] Users don’t connect the hose tightly to the regulator, so you may have some leakages.’ [GLPGP Cameroon p.8]

This interviewee suggested it was important to visit households to check safe cylinder use, to repeat safety information and to offer advice, particularly among households with poor literacy levels, although a mechanism for providing this support remains to be identified.

Awareness of LPG benefits to health

It was reported that health professionals did not routinely ask patients with respiratory and other HAP-related diseases, about the

### Table 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Specific requirement</th>
<th>Million</th>
<th>Euro</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cylinders</td>
<td>Additional 7 million 12.5 kg cylinders</td>
<td>243.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistics</td>
<td>Additional filling and storage capacity of filling plants</td>
<td>85.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cylinder re-testing, certification, and painting in filling plants</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Additional capacity of the Kribi import terminal</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Road and rail transport tankers</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>402.8</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

3. There were no recorded cylinder thefts form the LACE-2 evaluated pilot scheme near Limbe, and this comment likely refers to the respondent’s own experience and concerns.


5. MFI: Micro-finance institution

6. LPG subsidy ‘Give it up’ campaign in India [http://www.givitup.in/about.html](http://www.givitup.in/about.html)
fuel they used for cooking. There is currently no public education programme on the health and safety risks from cooking on open fires, although there are now government plans to raise public awareness of the health benefits of using clean cooking fuels and provide guidelines to regional public health departments. Provision of health education and training on safe use of LPG cylinders for cooking were felt to be a shared responsibility:

"I think that is the responsibility of several partners [including] the marketers. When a marketer sells their product, they should pass on instructions at that point to the consumer. And [it is the responsibility] of the government to educate the public about the risks."

[(Government Ministry)]

Convenience and meeting cooking needs

Several stakeholders thought local food preferences, including for smoked and chargrilled meat and fish over an open fire, would preclude exclusive cooking with LPG in Cameroon. Around 80% of LACE households across peri-urban and rural settings believed that cooking with LPG was fast or very fast, and around half overall (45% rural, 59% peri-urban) thought that LPG was "OK or easy to use" for cooking most foods. The open fire was used to cook "hard" traditional foods like 'fufu', beans and corn-chaff, though the main reason was the large amount of gas needed (the rationale for the pressure-cooker intervention study in LACE-2). Over 90% of households in both settings thought LPG was a clean fuel, was convenient because it could be used inside the home and freed up time to do other activities.

Impact of LPG use on health risks

Data from the LACE-1 study on PM$_{2.5}$ kitchen concentrations and exposure for women (cook) and child (<5 years of age), comparing exclusive LPG users and exclusive biomass users are shown in Table 6.

There were large, statistically significant differences in kitchen concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ between primary LPG and exclusive biomass use. In addition, exposures in women and young children were significantly lower in households using LPG, implying lower health risks. The relatively low levels of PM$_{2.5}$ exposure in the biomass users is likely to result from women and children spending only a part of their time in the kitchens when fires are lit, especially as data were collected in the dry season, when people spend more time outdoors.

There was weak (marginally non-significant) evidence from the LACE household survey responses of a relationship between LPG use and some respiratory symptoms (wheezing, morning phlegm), stronger evidence of a link with eye irritation, but not with headaches. There was weak evidence of fewer burns in women in the exclusive LPG group (compared to the others), although not for children.

LACE qualitative interviews found that health problems like headaches, chest pain and breathing problems while cooking were overwhelmingly reported by women who cooked solely with biomass; mixed users perceived that their health problems were only caused when cooking with a three-stone fire. Health problems among biomass users were discussed by the women as justification for switching to LPG stoves. These are important findings as they show that women do not recognise health problems associated with the use of traditional biomass fuels.

Discussion

This case study presents a ‘baseline’ description of the Cameroon LPG Masterplan, the first of its type in Africa, and a response to the government’s policy goal of scaling up LPG access from ~20% to almost 60% of the population by 2035. The plan is based on an assessment of the current market, and experience from other developing country settings of what is needed in terms of investment and regulation. A 400 million Euro investment programme to 2030 is proposed, with a major focus on increasing the number of cylinders to meet expanded demand, as well as infrastructure, transport and retail enhancement, and improved market regulation.

A priority for implementation has been to develop suitable mechanisms to secure and manage this new investment. For cylinders, a goal is to reduce the price to both marketers (who need to purchase large numbers of new cylinders), and to end-users (benefitting from a lower deposit price). As of May 2018, options are under discussion between government, marketers, national LPG market agencies, and the GLPGP, designed to ensure returns on investments, with guarantees where required.

The Masterplan proposals would, on the face of it and over time, appear to address the major supply-side challenges and barriers to adoption and more exclusive use reported by LACE study participants and stakeholders. Cylinder deposit prices have already been reduced, and refill costs would be harmonised across the country helping rural areas, although not actually reducing fuel costs overall. Safety is highly dependent on market regulation and use of the cylinder recirculation model with branded cylinders (already in place), and safety can be expected to improve further if the proportion of distribution that is brand-loyal is increased thereby ensuring cylinders are returned to the marketer for checking.

Some key demand-side factors would appear to need further attention. The affordability of LPG, particular for the start-up costs, was an important concern in the LACE studies. The GLPGP/MUFFA ‘Bottled gas for better life’ microloan pilot has been viewed positively by the community, loan organisations, the national bank with oversight responsibilities for community loans in Cameroon, and recently also the national government. This experience has informed an expanded scheme being prepared for implemented across five regions of the country. There is thus optimism about prospects for scaling-up loans, so long as these are managed through membership of community banks and realistic interest is charged to cover servicing costs. Measures to address the widespread public perceptions (from the LACE study) that LPG is not safe are not directly addressed in the masterplan proposal, although this may be an important factor in limiting demand in Cameroon together with lack of sufficient cylinder inventory. The role of health sector in increasing demand for LPG is not prominent in the Masterplan, and this should be enhanced, for example by in-service training (continuing medical education).

Because the LPG market is a complex system (Rosenthal et al., 2017), and the regulatory changes and investments recommended by the Masterplan will impact on many critical components, determining the extent and timing of impacts of these proposals is not straightforward. For example, the planned improvements in supply, distribution, and retail access of LPG, are likely to have far-reaching effects on how people across the country view this fuel in the coming years and the desirability of adopting this fuel, or using it more, but the extent and speed of this change in perceptions and hence demand is hard to gauge.

Contextual factors in Cameroon, including population growth (to reach around 34.5 million by 2035), widening poverty gaps, below-target per capita GDP growth and a ‘hesitant’ commercial sector (NIS, 2014), may present challenges for LPG market expansion. Additional
uncertainties may arise from forthcoming presidential elections in 2018, and ongoing tension between the Francophone and Anglophone regions. On the other hand, the existing cylinder recirculation model and good regulation for an early-stage market, are very important, positive features on which to build.

LPG in Cameroon is an ‘early-stage’ market (4.0 kg/capita in 2016) that has been growing slowly but steadily. The new strategy is just starting implementation, so monitoring and evaluation will be important for the next 5–10 years and will provide a very valuable opportunity to assess how effective the specific proposals are in expanding adoption and use of LPG for cooking. The Masterplan includes a number of ‘key performance indicators’ (e.g. number of cylinders in circulation; LPG consumption in kg per capita, etc.), and a new cross-ministry entity consolidating oversight of the LPG sector, including monitoring progress on Masterplan implementation, is being considered. Further independent evaluation work would provide valuable learning for the international community.
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