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Electrostatic interactions are central to the structure and function of nucleic acids, including their folding, condensation, and interaction with proteins and other charged molecules. These interactions are profoundly affected by ions surrounding nucleic acids, the constituents of the so-called ion atmosphere. Here, we report precise Fourier Transform-Terahertz/Far-Infrared (FT-THz/FIR) measurements in the frequency range 30-500 cm\(^{-1}\) for a 24-bp DNA solvated in a series of alkali halide (NaCl, NaF, KCl, CsCl, and CsF) electrolyte solutions which are sensitive to changes in the ion atmosphere. Cation excess in the ion atmosphere is detected experimentally by observation of cation modes of Na\(^+\), K\(^+\), and Cs\(^+\) in the frequency range between 70-90 cm\(^{-1}\). Based on MD simulations, we propose that the magnitude of cation excess (which is salt specific) depends on the ability of the electrolyte to perturb the water network at the DNA interface: In the NaF atmosphere, the ions reduce the strength of interactions between water and the DNA more than in case of a NaCl electrolyte. Here, we explicitly take into account the solvent contribution to the chemical potential in the ion atmosphere: A decrease in the number of bound water molecules in the hydration layer of DNA is correlated with enhanced density fluctuations, which decrease the free energy cost of ion-hydration, thus promoting further ion accumulation within the DNA atmosphere. We propose that taking into account the local solvation is crucial for understanding the ion atmosphere.

**Introduction**

Nucleic acids are the most highly charged polyelectrolytes in nature that carry one negatively charged phosphate group per nucleotide residue. Their charge provides a substantial energetic barrier in the form of electrostatic repulsion in processes that require nucleic acid folding or compaction.\(^1\), \(^2\) Ions, specifically cations, can reduce the electrostatic repulsion between nucleic acids and mitigate electrostatic attraction with oppositely charged molecules such as proteins and aminoglycosides\(^3\)-\(^6\) in a process known as electrostatic screening.\(^1\), \(^2\), \(^7\), \(^8\) The vast majority of these ions forms a loosely associated sheath, which is referred to as an ion atmosphere.\(^8\)-\(^14\) Since the ion atmosphere around nucleic acids is crucial to biological processes such as packing, folding, molecular recognition, and enzymatic catalysis,\(^1\), \(^2\), \(^15\)-\(^17\) dissecting its properties and energetics on a molecular level is essential to understand nucleic acid biology. Despite decades of research, the microscopic nature of the ion atmosphere around double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) and its hydration dynamics is still poorly understood as the nature of the interaction is dynamic rather than static.

Recently, several experimental techniques have been proposed to study the size\(^12\) and the composition of the ion atmosphere.\(^8\), \(^10\), \(^11\) Experimental techniques like fluorescence, near-infrared, electron paramagnetic resonance, and nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopies probe local electrostatics around biomolecules.\(^18\)-\(^21\) Ion counting based on Buffer Exchange- Atomic Emission Spectroscopy (BE-AES) allowed a precise determination of the number of cations and anions in the ion cloud around dsDNA.\(^11\) Due to charge neutrality, the total charge of the cations and anions is equal in magnitude but opposite to that of the DNA. This implies cation enhancement while anions are depleted. Prior studies found that the accumulation of ions in the atmosphere depends on the salt identity and concentration. Based on these results, ion-pair formation was proposed as the simplest mechanism which would be able to explain the observed anion specificity in cation enrichment.\(^11\), \(^22\)

Elsaesser et al. investigated energy exchange along the hydrated dsDNA via water-phosphate interactions on a fs timescale and pointed out its importance along the DNA backbone.\(^23\)
In addition to experimental approaches, computational methods have been developed to obtain atomic-level descriptions of the ions in the ion atmosphere. This includes intermolecular distances between ions and ions/dsDNA and their hydration status. These simulations proposed the localization of cations in the helical grooves, with a size dependence on this occupancy and greater accumulation of smaller cations around phosphoryl oxygen atoms forming an ion pair. More recently, a combination of ion radius, ion hydration and ion clustering ability was proposed to dictate anion specificity in the cation excess within the DNA atmosphere. These previous studies focused on ion-ion interaction or DNA-ion interaction in the ion atmosphere. However, for an overall assessment of the thermodynamics, the contribution of the solvent to the entropic penalty due to ion enrichment is crucial. In the present paper we identify a specific water-related driving force, which is proposed to be at the origin of the anion specific effects.

Experimentally, the characterization of hydration is still a challenge. In the present investigation, we focus on DNA, cation, and anion hydration by a joint THz spectroscopic/Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulation study. More specifically, we investigate the ion atmosphere around 24-bp DNA for distinct prototype electrolytes by THz absorption spectroscopy. Based on our results, we propose a general model to explain the anion specificity in cation enrichment and propose that the role of the local hydration or local thermodynamics is crucial to explain the previously observed effects. While we find no indication for changes in ion-ion interaction (e.g., ion-pairing), the enhanced cation enrichment in the case of NaF compared to NaCl can be instead explained by the distinct number of bound water molecules that interact with the polar/charged groups of the DNA. We show that local solvent density fluctuations around the DNA and, consequently, the local entropic cost contributing to ion hydration free energy in the ion atmosphere is crucial to understand the ion atmosphere.

Results

The experimental measurements were carried out using a Vertex 80v Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer from Bruker Co. (USA) with a spectral range of 30–650 cm\(^{-1}\). The THz/FIR absorption coefficients can be described by Lambert-Beer’s Law:

\[ \alpha(\nu)_{\text{sample}} = -\frac{1}{d} \ln \left( \frac{l_{\text{sample}}}{l_{\text{ref}}} \right) + \alpha(\nu)_{\text{ref}} \]  

where \( \alpha(\nu)_{\text{sample}} \) is the absorption coefficient of the sample solution, and \( d \) is the sample thickness. \( l_{\text{sample}} \) and \( l_{\text{ref}} \) are the light intensities transmitted through cells containing the sample and a reference liquid with absorption coefficient \( \alpha(\nu)_{\text{ref}} \), respectively.

To minimize “etalon effects”, i.e., standing waves due to back reflection from the windows, a reference spectrum was recorded with bulk water, since pure water has a similar index of refraction and thus similar etalon effects, and the spectrum of water is well known (see SI for details and references).

We recorded the absorption spectrum of five different electrolytes (CsF, CsCl, KCl, NaF, NaCl) with and without dsDNA.

The dsDNA samples were prepared in 2 mM M-EPPS (M: Na/K/Cs; pH = 7) by titrating MOH with the corresponding sulfonic acid (HEPPS). The equilibration process with the appropriate buffer was carried out using Amicon Ultraclad-10K filters from Merck Millipore (MA, USA). DNA-containing samples (500 µL) were spun down to ~100 µL at 9500 x g in Amicon Ultraclad-10K filters. The sample solutions containing the DNA were taken only after equilibration was fully achieved (after eight rounds of buffer exchange). Only the filtered sample fractions with the DNA and the equilibrated anion/cation concentration were taken into consideration for the spectroscopic experiments on the five electrolyte solutions with DNA. Thus, the total number of ions is not conserved in between the samples with and without DNA, due to cation enrichment and anion depletion in the DNA ion-cloud.

In the case of the bulk electrolyte solutions, the effective absorption change, \( \alpha_{\text{eff}}^{\text{DNA}}(\nu) \), compared to bulk water is given as:

\[ \alpha_{\text{eff}}^{\text{DNA}}(\nu) = \alpha_{\text{be}} - \frac{c_{\text{be}}}{c_{\text{bw}}} \alpha_{\text{bw}} \]  

where \( c_{\text{be}} \) and \( c_{\text{bw}} \) are the water concentrations in bulk water and bulk electrolyte solution, respectively. \( \alpha_{\text{be}} \) and \( \alpha_{\text{bw}} \) are the recorded absorption coefficients of bulk water and the electrolyte, respectively.

In previous publications, we were able to show that \( \alpha_{\text{eff}}^{\text{DNA}}(\nu) \) can be dissected into contributions of the hydrated ions and their hydration shells (see SI for details). Each cation and anion have characteristic spectroscopic fingerprints in the THz range, the so-called rattling modes. These are absorption modes from the hydrated ions. Those of the cations Na\(^+\), K\(^+\) and Cs\(^+\), are found in the low-frequency range (ca. 70–90 cm\(^{-1}\)). For anions, the frequency centers of rattling modes lie in the frequency range 180–290 cm\(^{-1}\).

Assuming additivity, the total absorption coefficient \( \alpha_{\text{DNA}}^{\text{sample}} \) of the filtered fraction with dsDNA can be theoretically dissected into the partial absorption of the solvated dsDNA, the ions in the ion cloud, and of the bulk-electrolyte-like parts. Since we are only interested in the spectroscopic changes upon addition of the dsDNA (at a concentration \( c_{\text{DNA}} \) and a molar volume \( \Phi_{\text{DNA}} \)), we subtracted the partial absorption due to the bulk-like electrolyte. We therefore define:

\[ \alpha_{\text{DNA+cloud}}^{\text{eff}}(\nu) = \alpha_{\text{DNA}}^{\text{sample}} - \left[ 1 - c_{\text{DNA}}\Phi_{\text{DNA}}\alpha_{\text{be}} \right] \]

as the effective absorption coefficient of the solvated DNA and its ion cloud. It is given by the difference between the absorption coefficient of the sample, \( \alpha_{\text{DNA}}^{\text{sample}} \), and that of the bulk electrolyte, \( \alpha_{\text{be}} \), scaled by a correction factor, which takes into account the dilution due to the volume exclusion by the dsDNA.

We want to note, that due to the buffer exchange procedure used to prepare the sample containing the dsDNA, the number of cations/anions in our sample differs from the
number of cations/anions in the bulk electrolyte: Due to the charged dsDNA, we expect that the number of cations will be increased and the number of anions decreased.

The volume exclusion from a single dsDNA molecule (1.47×10^{-26} m^3 or ϕ_{DNA} = 8.85 cm^3/mmol, see SI for details) is estimated using the reference atomic volumes of dsDNA in crystal form as reported in reference. Note that, this volume accounts for 0.86% of V_{total} per mM of dsDNA. Therefore, upon addition of 1 mM of DNA, the salt concentration is effectively increased by 4.3 mM salt for a 0.5 M electrolyte solution.

If we further assume that the molar extinction of the solvated dsDNA is concentration independent, we obtain

$$\alpha_{DNA + cloud}^{eff} = c_{DNA} (\epsilon_{DNA}^{eff} + n_{M}^{cloud} \epsilon_{M}^{cloud, eff} + n_{X}^{cloud} \epsilon_{X}^{cloud, eff}) - \Delta \epsilon_{eff}$$  \tag{4}

Here, $\epsilon_{DNA}^{eff}$ is an effective dsDNA extinction coefficient, that includes the absorption of the solvated DNA, $n_{M}^{cloud}$ and $n_{X}^{cloud}$ are the number of cations, M, and anions, X, in the cloud per dsDNA, and $n_{M/X,Rbe}$ are the numbers of cations and anions in the cloud assuming bulk electrolyte concentration. For simplification, we used effective molar extinction coefficients, $\epsilon_{M,X,be}^{cloud, eff}$, to account for the molar concentration of the solvated cations/anions in the ion atmosphere or the bulk electrolyte, respectively. $\epsilon_{M,X,be}^{cloud, eff}$ can be expressed as the sum of the molar extinction of the effective ion extinction in the bulk electrolyte, $\epsilon_{M,X,be}^{be, eff}$, and the extinction coefficient difference, $\Delta \epsilon_{eff}$, caused by presence of the dsDNA.

$$\epsilon_{M,X,cloud,eff}^{cloud, eff} = \epsilon_{M,X,be}^{cloud, eff} + \Delta \epsilon_{eff}$$  \tag{5}

$\epsilon_{M,X,be}^{be, eff}$ and $\epsilon_{X,be}^{be, eff}$ are molar extinction coefficients of the solvated cation and anion in the bulk electrolyte, respectively. These can be obtained by a dissection of the recorded THz spectra of the bulk solution, see SI for details.

As a result, we obtain:

$$\alpha_{DNA + cloud}^{eff} = c_{DNA} (\epsilon_{DNA}^{eff} + (n_{M}^{cloud} - n_{M,Rbe}^{cloud}) \epsilon_{M,be}^{be, eff} + (n_{X}^{cloud} - n_{X,Rbe}^{cloud}) \epsilon_{X,be}^{be, eff}) - \Delta \epsilon_{eff}$$  \tag{6}

Note that $\alpha_{DNA + cloud}^{eff}$ contains changes in the THz absorption due to the solvated dsDNA, and the cation enrichment and anion depletion in the ion cloud. In the following, the number difference

$$\Gamma^+ = (n_{M}^{cloud} - n_{M,Rbe}^{cloud})$$  \tag{7a}

$$\Gamma^- = (n_{X}^{cloud} - n_{X,Rbe}^{cloud})$$  \tag{7b}

accounts for the enrichment of cations and depletion of anions in the ion cloud.

These numbers are directly related to the $\Gamma^+$ and $\Gamma^-$ values that have been deduced experimentally in previous BE-AES measurements. In addition to the BE-AES measurements, we need to take the DNA-induced dilution effect into account. Since one dsDNA corresponds to an electrolyte volume that contains approximately four anions and cations, respectively, the spectroscopic enrichment factors ($\Gamma^+$, $\Gamma^-$) are related to the previously measured enrichment factors $\Gamma^+$ and $\Gamma^-$ by

$$\Gamma^\pm = \Gamma^\pm + 4$$  \tag{8}

$\Gamma^+$ and $\Gamma^-$ values were taken as reported in previous studies.

Furthermore, we define an extinction difference $\Delta \alpha_{hyd}^{DNA}$

$$\alpha_{DNA + cloud}^{eff} = c_{DNA} (\epsilon_{DNA}^{eff} + \Gamma^+ \epsilon_{M,be}^{be, eff} + \Gamma^- \epsilon_{X,be}^{be, eff} + \Delta \epsilon_{hyd}^{DNA})$$  \tag{9}

If the THz absorption of the solvated cations and anions in the ion atmosphere is unchanged compared to the THz absorption of the solvated cation/anion in the bulk electrolyte, $\alpha_{DNA + cloud}^{eff}$ can be expressed as a sum of 1) the absorption from the hydrated dsDNA itself, $\alpha_{DNA}^{eff} = c_{DNA} \epsilon_{DNA}^{eff}$, 2) an additive component $\Gamma^+ \epsilon_{M,be}^{be, eff}$ taking into account the cation enrichment in the cloud, 3) a subtractive component $\Gamma^- \epsilon_{X,be}^{be, eff}$ (note, that $\Gamma^-$ is negative) describing the anion depletion in the ion atmosphere.

Let us first focus on a solution of dsDNA in NaCl. In Figure 1 we plot

$$\epsilon_{DNA + cloud}^{eff} = \epsilon_{DNA + cloud}^{eff} / c_{DNA}$$  \tag{10}

(see equation (3)) as function of frequency for various dsDNA concentrations.

All spectra look very similar in their spectral line shape. Therefore, we conclude that $\alpha_{DNA + cloud}^{eff}$ scales approximately linearly with DNA concentration. For all measurements plotted in Figure 1, an absorption peak is observed at 90 cm^{-1}, independent of the NaCl concentration. By comparison to the low frequency spectra of the bulk electrolytes this band is expected around 150 cm^{-1} for Na^+ (aq). However, this is mostly compensated by the anion peak of Cl^{-} around 190 cm^{-1},...
Therefore, we attribute the observed general increase in \( \varepsilon_{\text{DNA + cloud}}^{\text{eff}} \) beyond 350 cm\(^{-1}\) to the spectroscopic nature of the hydration water molecules bound to charged and polar groups within the DNA atmosphere.

Thus, we can dissect the plotted spectra into the following partial contributions:

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{DNA + cloud}}^{\text{eff}} = \varepsilon_{\text{DNA}}^{\text{eff}} + \Gamma^+ \varepsilon_{M}^{\text{eff}} + \Gamma^- \varepsilon_{X}^{\text{eff}} + \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{hydration}}
\]

with \( \varepsilon_{\text{DNA}}^{\text{eff}} \) describing the intramolecular DNA modes, \( \Gamma^+ \varepsilon_{M}^{\text{eff}} \) the cation excess in the DNA ion atmosphere, \( \Gamma^- \varepsilon_{X}^{\text{eff}} \) the anion depletion, and \( \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{hydration}} \) the changes in the extinction coefficient of water in the vicinity of DNA compared to bulk water.

\( \Gamma \) denotes ion preferential interaction coefficients, i.e. the number of associated ions (either excess or a lack of ions compared to bulk) around 24-bp DNA and is determined according to:

\[
\Gamma_i = \frac{c_{\text{DNA}} - c_{\text{bulk ion}}}{c_{\text{DNA}}}
\]

In previous studies using BEAES, the cation enrichment, \( \Gamma^+ \) and anion depletion, \( \Gamma^- \) numbers around each dsDNA were determined for different electrolytes.\(^{7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14}\) Please note that the expected enrichment/depletion \( \Gamma^+ \) will be larger in our experimental conditions due to volume exclusion, since we replace 4.3 mM ions per mM dsDNA, see SI for details. In Table 1 we summarize the enrichment/depletion numbers, \( \Gamma^+ = \Gamma \) + 4 as expected for our experimental conditions (i.e. for a 4.3 mM per MM dsDNA solution).

In the absence of DNA aggregation, or ion pair formation within the cloud, \( \varepsilon_{\text{DNA + cloud}}^{\text{eff}} \) is expected to be proportional to the DNA concentration. This allows to define the effective extinction coefficient \( \varepsilon_{\text{DNA + cloud}}^{\text{eff}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{DNA}}^{\text{eff}} \rho_{\text{DNA}}}{\rho_{\text{cloud}} + \rho_{\text{DNA}}} \) of hydrated dsDNA including the ion cloud. In the following analysis we wanted to focus explicitly on the DNA hydration. If we assume that the ions in the ion atmosphere are fully solvated and thus have the same THz signatures as in the bulk, we can subtract the scaled hydrated cation and anion extinctions \( \Gamma^+ \varepsilon_{M}^{\text{eff}} + \Gamma^- \varepsilon_{X}^{\text{eff}} \) from \( \varepsilon_{\text{DNA + cloud}}^{\text{eff}} \) yielding:

\[
\Delta \varepsilon_{\text{DNA + cloud}}^{\text{eff}} = \varepsilon_{\text{DNA + cloud}}^{\text{eff}} - \Gamma^+ \varepsilon_{M}^{\text{eff}} - \Gamma^- \varepsilon_{X}^{\text{eff}} = \varepsilon_{\text{DNA}}^{\text{eff}} + \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{hydration}}
\]

\( \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{DNA + cloud}}^{\text{eff}} \) describes the absorption changes of the hydrated DNA. In Figure 3A we display the sum \( \Gamma^+ \varepsilon_{M}^{\text{eff}} + \Gamma^- \varepsilon_{X}^{\text{eff}} \) and \( \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{DNA + cloud}}^{\text{eff}} \) for various electrolytes. As an example, we show in Figure 3B the effect of the subtraction of the ion atmosphere.

**Table 1.** The \( \Gamma \) of cation and anion in the ion cloud corrected by the volume exclusion effect of DNA.\(^{\text{11}}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolytes</th>
<th>CsF</th>
<th>NaCl</th>
<th>NaF</th>
<th>CsCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Gamma^+ )</td>
<td>26 ± 2.0</td>
<td>30 ± 1.0**</td>
<td>37 ± 2.0</td>
<td>38 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Gamma^- )</td>
<td>-20 ± 2.0</td>
<td>-16 ± 1.0</td>
<td>-9 ± 2.0</td>
<td>-8 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The error corresponds to σ.
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contribution from $\varepsilon_{\text{DNA} + \text{cloud}}$ for a solution of 8 mM dsDNA in 0.5 M NaCl.

In order to provide a molecular picture for the experimentally observed changes in the DNA atmosphere, we have carried out classical MD simulations. Instead of 24-bp DNA duplexes we used a DNA dodecamer solvated either in a 0.5 M NaF or in a 0.5 M NaCl aqueous solution to reduce complexity. The simulations qualitatively reproduce the two main experimental findings on the composition of the DNA atmosphere: (i) ions are enriched within the DNA atmosphere for both NaF and NaCl, (ii) the enrichment is larger in case of NaF than in case of NaCl. This is illustrated in Figure 4A, where the average number of ions within the DNA atmosphere (see methods for details) in the MD simulations has been quantified for the two electrolytes.

As illustrated in Figure 4A, the simulations predict that the DNA atmosphere contains more cations and less anions as compared to an equivalent volume in the bulk (black dashed line) for both NaF (red) and NaCl (blue). Moreover, more cations as well as more anions are found within the DNA atmosphere in the system with NaF as compared to the system with NaCl. A theoretical ion count, directly comparable to the experimental count, can be calculated from the MD simulations using the two-partition model introduced in refs. 44, 45 (see method section). In a nutshell, the count of cations (\(\Gamma_+\)) and anions (\(\Gamma_-\)) is directly obtained from the average number of ions within the DNA atmosphere (red and blue histograms in Figure 4A) minus the number of ions found in an equivalent volume in the bulk. We obtain values of \(\Gamma_+ = 17.0 \pm 1.1\) and \(\Gamma_- = -5.0 \pm 1.1\) for the atmosphere with 0.5 M NaF and values of \(\Gamma_+ = 13.9 \pm 1.0\) and \(\Gamma_- = -8.1 \pm 1.0\) for the atmosphere with 0.5 M NaCl. The \(\Gamma_+\) and \(\Gamma_-\) values in both NaF and NaCl atmospheres satisfy the condition \(\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_- = [2Z_{\text{DNA}}]\), i.e. the difference between the average number of cations and anions in the DNA atmosphere compensates the negative charge of the DNA dodecamer. In our simulations we model a small DNA dodecamer with \(Z_{\text{DNA}} = -22\), while in the experiments \(Z_{\text{DNA}}\) = -46. For a comparison the theoretical ion counts are further rescaled as follows:

Figure 4. Ionic cloud in the DNA atmosphere. A: number of cations and anions found within the DNA atmosphere, defined as the region within 15 Å from the DNA surface (see methods for more details), from the MD simulations with 0.5 M NaF (red) and 0.5 M NaCl (blue). The horizontal dashed line indicates the number of ions found within an equivalent volume in the bulk region. The corresponding ion counts \(\Gamma_i\), obtained as described in the text, are reported in the table. B: comparison between the ion-water coordination numbers in the DNA atmosphere and in the bulk for the system with 0.5 M NaF. C: same analysis for the system with 0.5 M NaCl.
\[ \Gamma_{\pm} = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{EXP}}}{\Gamma_{\text{DNA}}} \]

The scaled theoretical \( \Gamma_{\pm} \) and \( \Gamma_{\pm} \) values are reported in the \( \text{Table of Figure 4A} \). The predicted values are consistent with the experimental values within error bars, which confirms our model.

**Discussion**

At this point we want to focus on the question why the cation excess \( \Gamma_{+} \) is anion specific. In a previous paper ion pairing/clustering was suggested as the simplest model consistent with the anion-specific cation excess.\(^{11} \) Here, we carried out a joint THz/MD simulation study, that allows to probe the changes in local hydration around the DNA and the ions.

In case of preferred ion pairing, we would expect to see changes in the line shape and/or the center frequencies of the Na\(^+\) cation rattling mode in the ion atmosphere of DNA compared to those of the Na\(^+\) cation rattling mode in the bulk electrolyte. However, we find no indication in the experimental spectra. The measured bulk spectra for each electrolyte are shown in the SI for comparison. Based on a dissection of each spectrum into the anion, cation and hydration contribution, we predict the change in molar extinction due to cation excess and anion depletion as:

\[ \epsilon_{\text{cloud}}^{\text{eff}} = \Gamma_{+}^{\text{eff}} + \epsilon_{\text{water}}^{\text{eff}} \]

As expected, all resonances assigned to intramolecular DNA modes at 260 cm\(^{-1}\)-280 cm\(^{-1}\), 360 cm\(^{-1}\) and 430 cm\(^{-1}\), are still present. For all electrolytes, we observe an increase in absorption beyond 350 cm\(^{-1}\), which is steeper than that of bulk water (see Figure 5). This feature is typical for water molecules bound to charged and polar species. We want to note here that we observed the same increase for hydration water molecules (denoted bound water) in liquid phase separated droplets, and assigned them to water molecules that strongly interact with polar groups of organic molecules.\(^{47} \) Whereas, the characteristic increase observed is independent of the electrolyte, the magnitude of the slope is electrolyte specific. Furthermore, we observe an increase around 150–160 cm\(^{-1}\), most pronounced for NaCl.

In order to unravel the anion specificity in the molecular mechanism of cation enrichment, in Figure 6B/C, we discuss the results obtained from the MD simulations of two prototypes, NaF and NaCl, which both have the same electrostatic interaction with the DNA. We first compare the simulated ion coordination number within the DNA atmosphere and in the bulk. This analysis allows us to focus on the effect of ion-pair interactions in the coordination environment of the ions in the two regions, since any contact ion-pair formation is expected to alter the number of coordinating water molecules. Interestingly, we find - on average - for both systems an equivalent number of water molecules coordinating cations and anions in the bulk and in the DNA atmosphere, i.e. contact ion-pair interactions are similar in the two regions. Thus, in the simulations we find no indication for preferred ion pairing in the DNA atmosphere compared to bulk. Instead, we reveal a similar solvation...
environment for the ions in the DNA atmosphere and in the bulk, for both NaF and NaCl electrolytes. A more detailed analysis of the ion clusters formed around the DNA shows that the ions preferentially form small clusters of hydrated ions, with a most probable total charge of +1, followed by charge values of 0 and +2, see SI for details.

The picture emerging from the MD simulations and THz experiments is that while -as expected- cation enrichment within the DNA atmosphere is driven by electrostatics, the mechanism for the anion specificity is not directly related to contact ion-pairing. In order to further investigate other possibilities, we now adopt the decomposition from ref. 46, and divide the process of solvating an ion within the DNA atmosphere into two steps illustrated in Figure 6A. The first step consists in creating a cavity within the DNA atmosphere that is large enough to accommodate the ion. This step contributes to the thermodynamics of ion solvation as an energetic penalty, given by the cavitation free energy cost to distort the water H-Bond network and to create a cavity (Δµcavity).49 The second step is the insertion of the ion, that is accompanied by a free energy gain due to the interaction of the ion with its coordination environment (including free energy contributions from e.g. solvent reorganization and polarization effects). Despite this second step being the largest when dealing with the hydration of (hydrophilic) ionic species, its contribution to the insertion of an ion from the bulk into the DNA atmosphere is dictated by the difference between the free energy values in the two regions. This difference can be small in cases where a similar coordination environment is achieved by the ions in the two regions, as suggested by our results.

Therefore, we hereafter search for an alternative driving force for explaining the distinct NaCl vs. NaF accumulation within the DNA atmosphere in the Δµcavity term. To this end, we compare in Figure 6B the Δµcavity values as a function of the distance from the DNA in presence of the NaF and NaCl atmospheres. The Δµcavity values are deduced from the simulations by monitoring the fluctuations in the number density of the liquid in a spherical observation volume at various distances from the DNA (see methods section). A spherical observation volume of 3.5 Å radius is adopted, large enough to represent the cavity formed in water by the ions considered in this work. Large/small water density fluctuations result in small/large Δµcavity values.

For both NaF and NaCl systems, the Δµcavity profiles show a well-defined minimum at distances of 5-14 Å, i.e. within the DNA atmosphere. Since Δµcavity is defined as zero in the bulk electrolyte (see caption of Figure 6B), negative values of Δµcavity imply that it is easier to create a cavity that accommodates the ions within the DNA atmosphere than in the bulk. For small cavities, such as the ones considered here, the free energy cost of cavity formation is well described by the volume-dominated regime of the Lums-Weeks theory and it is known to be mostly entropic.49

The predicted minima of Δµcavity within the DNA atmosphere obtained for both NaF and NaCl systems indicate a water-related entropic driving force for ionic excess within the DNA atmosphere. This driving force is unrelated to specific ion-ion configurations and arises from the ability of the ions to enhance density fluctuations within the DNA atmosphere as compared to the bulk. To understand the microscopic mechanism leading to such enhancement, it is important to consider that density fluctuations of water in contact with a surface are larger than in bulk water if water-surface interactions are weak (as in case of hydrophobic interfaces), while they are suppressed by strong water-surface interactions.50, 51 Any ion induced enhancement of density fluctuations can be therefore ascribed to the ions affecting the capability of water molecules to interact with the polar sites of the DNA. Such an effect has been extensively investigated in previous studies (see e.g. refs. 52, 53), showing how the cations compete with water not only for the favourable interaction sites close to the phosphate groups, but also for the interaction sites inside the minor and major groves of the DNA.

In this context it is also relevant to consider that halide anions like to be surface species in aqueous systems. However, their speciation at the interface differs due to differences in their polarizability, in the size of their hydration shell and in the anion-water binding energy (see SI Figure S4 for more analyses of size and binding energy for F− and Cl−).53-55 In particular, when an aqueous NaX (X = halide) solution is in contact with hydrophobic surfaces (e.g. air) the anions surface propensity was shown to scale in the order F<Cl<I<Br<Cl−<F−.55, 56 While the order is reversed at hydrophilic surfaces, e.g. Silica and Alumina surfaces, where the ions can interact with the polar groups of the surface.57, 58 Therefore, the Cl− and F− anions
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*Figure 6.* Contribution from cavitation free energies to the formation of the DNA atmosphere. A: The solvation of ions within the DNA atmosphere is decomposed into two steps: (i) creation of a cavity within the DNA atmosphere, with associated cavitation free energy cost Δµcavity; (ii) insertion of the ion at the center of the cavity. B: Δµcavity as a function of the distance from the DNA for the system with 0.5 M NaF (red), and with 0.5 M NaCl (blue), calculated for a cavity of 3.5 Å radius, i.e. large enough to contain the ions considered in this work. The reported Δµcavity values are differences with respect to the value in the bulk (i.e. at distances >15 Å from the DNA). C: number of bound water molecules interacting with the polar groups of the DNA in the system with 0.5 M NaF and with 0.5 M NaCl.
present in the DNA atmosphere likely populate different areas, with Cl\(^-\) preferring more hydrophobic surface patches and F\(^-\) preferring more hydrophilic surface patches. Based on this consideration, one would expect F\(^-\) to have the largest impact on water density fluctuations, since it can more efficiently influence the amount of bound water molecules interacting with the polar groups of the DNA.

In order to quantify the electrolyte specific change of the DNA hydration motif, we show in Figure 6C the average number of bound water molecules that interact with DNA in the NaF and NaCl atmosphere as deduced from the MD simulations. Bound water molecules are defined as the water molecules H-bonded to the polar groups of the DNA (see methods for the adopted H-bond definition). As a result, we predict that the number of bound waters is on average smaller for NaF than for NaCl, explaining why larger fluctuations of the water density are recorded in the former compared to the latter case. Ion accumulation within the DNA atmosphere is proposed to be promoted by the capability of the ions to decrease the amount of bound water molecules H-bonded to favourable interaction sites around the DNA, reducing cavitation free energies. As a result, we predict an increased value of \(\Gamma^+\) in a NaF atmosphere compared to a NaCl ion atmosphere, in agreement with previous experiments.\(^{11}\)

In order to further test our hypothesis and provide a quantitative experimental measure for the number of bound water molecules interacting with the DNA, we use the steepness of the increase in molar extinction as a measure for the shift in population of water molecules directly bound to the charged/polar groups of the DNA. Thus, we have fitted a slope to the observed \(\varepsilon_{DNA+cloud}^{eff}\) for NaCl and NaF in the frequency range between 380 and 480 cm\(^{-1}\), a frequency range where anion or prominent intramolecular modes are absent. This yields a slope of \((0.031 \pm 0.002)\ dm^3/mmol\) and \((0.050 \pm 0.001)\ dm^3/mmol\) for NaF and NaCl, respectively. Thus, we find a more rapid increase for NaCl compared to NaF, which we correlate with a higher number of bound water molecules for NaCl compared to NaF. This supports the results of our simulation, which proposes that the number of bound water molecules is increased for NaCl (239) compared to NaF (227).

While we have no simulations for CsF or CsCl, and no ion counting measurements are available for KCl, we applied still the same fit for the other electrolytes. For CsCl, the fit of \(\varepsilon_{DNA+cloud}^{eff}\) in the frequency range between 380 and 480 cm\(^{-1}\) yields a slope of \((0.029 \pm 0.001)\ dm^3/mmol\). Thus, by analogy we would expect a similar number of bound water for CsCl as for NaF. Both show a similar cation excess, i.e. \(\Gamma^+ = 38 \pm 1\) and \(\Gamma^- = 37 \pm 2\) for CsCl and NaCl, respectively.

For CsF we observe a steep increase starting from 200 cm\(^{-1}\), which becomes flatter beyond 350 cm\(^{-1}\). Based on the steep increase for frequencies up to 350 cm\(^{-1}\), we would expect a high number of bound water molecules, i.e. a small cation excess, based on the higher frequency part, the slope would be smaller than, and similar to that of CsCl where we propose a small number of bound water, i.e. a larger cation excess. Thus, our qualitative analysis might be restricted due to the large negative partial contribution of the electrolyte in this frequency range or a different molecular mechanism applies.

In summary, while contact ion-pairing is the simplest model to explain the experimentally observed increased cation excess of NaF compared to NaCl, we find here that an extended model is needed, which explicitly includes the free energy contribution of local solvation. We show that the magnitude of cation excess depends on the ability of the electrolyte to perturb the water network at the DNA interface. The cation excess in the ion atmosphere is governed by a competition between cations and the negative charges of the DNA to H-bond surrounding water molecules. Thus, the previously stated correlation with activity can also be explained: While we support the statement that the ion atmosphere composition correlates with the activity, we see this as a consequence of the very same competition between cation-negative charge, cation-water and water-negative charge interaction, but not as a cause. Instead of enhanced contact ion-pairs in the ion atmosphere, we propose that the local changes of DNA hydration, i.e. the number of bound water molecules, are decisive for the observed cation excess and anion depletion. Our results show that ions induced changes in the number of bound water molecules result in enhanced water density fluctuations in the DNA atmosphere, providing an anion-specific free energy stabilization for ions in the surrounding of the DNA, and creating a driving force for ion accumulation.

**Materials and Methods**

**Sample Preparation**

**Preparation of ds-DNA**

24-bp DNA duplexes were assembled from chemically synthesized oligonucleotides (Integrated DNA Technologies, USA) with the following sequences: S1: 5′ GGT GAC GAG TGA GCT ACT GGG CGG 3′ and S2: 5′ CCG CCC AGT AGC TCA CTC GTC ACC3′. The net charge of the double-stranded helix is -46e. Before assembly, oligonucleotides were purified by reverse-phase HPLC (Xbridge Oligonucleotide BEH C18; Waters, MA) and were desalted using centrifugal Amicon Ultra-3K filters. Equimolar complementary strands (1-2.0 mM) were annealed in 20 mM Na-EPPS (sodium 4-[(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazin-1-)-propyl sulfonic acid], pH 8.4: samples were incubated at 70 °C for five minutes and were gradually cooled down to ambient temperature for one hour. Non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) (DNA stained by Stains-All) showed no detectable single-stranded DNA in samples, corresponding to >90% duplex. The DNA concentration was varied between 1 and 8 mM.

**Preparation of electrolyte**

In this work, dry NaCl (99.5 %) and KCl (99.8%) were purchased from Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany) and VWR Chemical Co. Ltd (USA), respectively. NaF (99.99%), CsCl (99.9%) and CsF (99.9%) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Corporation (USA). The aqueous solutions were prepared in...
ultapure water without further purification. To monitor the apparent molar volume changes of different solutes and to calculate the salt and water concentrations in the electrolyte solutions, the densities of the solutions were determined with a DMA 58 density meter from Anton Paar GmbH (Graz, Austria). The concentration of the electrolytes prepared was 0.5 M and the electrolytes were used directly in subsequent buffer equilibration.

Buffer equilibration

To ensure chemical equilibrium was reached, we employed the same buffer equilibration protocol as in previous studies by centrifugation with a size-selective membrane.11 Lyophilized DNA samples were prepared in 500 µL of 2 mM M-HEPSS (M: Na/K/Cs; pH = 7) by titrating MOH with the corresponding sulfonic acid (HEPPS). The DNA solution was pre-concentrated into ~100 µL by centrifuging with Amicon Ultracel-10K filters from Merck Millipore (MA, USA) as previously described (Figure 7).11 The subsequent equilibration processes with the appropriate electrolyte were carried out with the same protocol by adding the mass-equivalent pure electrolyte comparing to the flow through (~400 µL) after each round of buffer exchange. To minimize solvent evaporation, centrifugation was conducted at 4 °C. Equilibration between ions associated with DNA and the bulk ions has been reported to be completed after eight rounds of buffer exchange.11, 22

After the buffer exchange, the concentration of 24-bp DNA was determined with a Nanodrop 2000 UV-Vis spectrometer from Thermo Fischer and Scientific (USA) and the pH value of the electrolyte containing dsDNA is 7". For further spectroscopic experiments only the sample fraction with the DNA and the equilibrated anion/cation concentration was taken into consideration. Thus, the total number of ions is not conserved in the sample during the preparation process, however the total number of ions is conserved if we would count the number of anions and cations in the sample plus the number of cations and anions in the filtered buffer solution, which was not further used for analysis.

Computational Methods

Classical MD simulations were carried out using the GROMACS package.59 The SPC/E model60 was chosen for water, while ion parameters that were optimized to reproduce solvation energies were taken from Joung and Cheatham.61 The all-atom ff10 force field was adopted for the DNA.62 This simulation set-up was shown in ref.53 to provide a realistic description of the ion-counts around the DNA atmosphere in a 0.2–0.7 M concentration range, i.e. at the ion concentration of interest in this work. Electrostatic interactions were computed using a 3D Ewald summation method, with a cut-off of 12 Å for the short-range part of the Coulomb interactions. Lorentz–Berthelot mixing rules were used to model the interactions between all atoms. The DNA dodecamer initial structure for the MD simulations was taken from ref.64. The DNA was immersed in a pre-equilibrated water cubic box of 130 Å length. The ionic atmosphere consisted either of Na+ and Clions or in Na+ and F− ions, that were added at random positions at least 5.0 Å away from any DNA atom to neutralize the system and reach a desired concentration of ~0.5 M. A first equilibration run in the NPT ensemble was followed by a second run of 60 ns in the NVT ensemble, with target pressure and temperature of 1atm and 298K. After equilibration, NVT simulations runs of 60 ns were performed and used for the analysis. The equations of motions were solved with a time-step of 2 fs (1 fs during the first equilibration run) and stretching motions involving H-atoms were constrained.

The theoretical ion-counting is performed from the MD simulations by using the two-partition (domain) approach, introduced by Anderson and Record in a general context,64, 45 and successfully employed in ref.63 for ion-counts in the DNA atmosphere. Within the two-partition formalism, the simulation box is divided in two regions: (i) the DNA atmosphere region accounting for the volume where the ions distribution is affected by the DNA and is non-bulk-like; (ii) the bulk region containing all the volume in the simulation box at a sufficiently large distance from the DNA to recover a bulk-like ions distribution.

The theoretical ion-counts (Γ +/− ) are obtained from the number of cations and anions within the DNA atmosphere region (N +/− as reported by the histograms in Figure 4A):

$$\Gamma_{\pm} = N_{\pm} - \frac{\rho_{\text{ion}}}{\rho_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}} N_{\text{H}_2\text{O}};$$

with

$$\Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-} = |Z_{\text{DNA}}|$$

where \( \rho_{\text{ion}} \) and \( \rho_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) are the ion and water density in the system, \( N_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) is the number of water molecules within the DNA atmosphere, and \( Z_{\text{DNA}} \) is the charge of the DNA dodecamer (= -22).

A crucial aspect of this method is that the DNA atmosphere region has to be sufficiently large so that the DNA charge is fully compensated by the number of ions within the atmosphere and the ion distributions in the bulk are not affected by the DNA. This condition is verified in the present model starting from a distance of 15 Å from the DNA surface, as demonstrated by the ion counts in Figure 4A, that satisfy the condition \( \Gamma_{+} - \Gamma_{-} = |Z_{\text{DNA}}| \), and by the cavitation free energy profiles in Figure 6, that show a bulk-like behaviour for distances from the DNA > 14 Å.

The ions coordination numbers reported in Figure 4 have been obtained with ion-oxygen distance cut-off values of 3.0, 3.2 and 3.8 Å for F−, Na+ and Cl− respectively. The dependence of the results on the chosen cut-off value has been tested to ensure the robustness of the resulting trends in ions coordination.

The free energy cost to form a cavity as a function of the distance from the DNA, \( \Delta F_{\text{cavity}} \) (shown in Figure 6B), is calculated from the MD simulations by monitoring the probability \( P_{\text{v}}(0) \) to find empty volumes, \( v \), within the liquid:45, 51, 65

$$P_{\text{v}}(0) = e^{-\beta \Delta F_{\text{cavity}}}$$

(16)
where $\beta = 1/k_B T$, with $k_B$ being the Boltzmann constant and $T$ the temperature ($T = 298\, K$). A spherical probing volume of 3.5 Å radius has been adopted.

For the analysis of the bound water molecules, the H-bonds are defined using the standard distance and angle criterion from Luzar and Chandler, with O-X distance cut-off of 3.5 Å and H-O--X angle in the 0-30° range. Different criteria have been tested with H-O--X angle in the 0-40° to ensure that our results are not biased by the chosen criterion.
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