Haploinsufficiency-based large-scale forward genetic analysis of filamentous growth in the diploid human fungal pathogen C. albicans
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Candida albicans is the most prevalent human fungal pathogen. Here, we take advantage of haploinsufficiency and transposon mutagenesis to perform large-scale loss-of-function genetic screen in this organism. We identified mutations in 146 genes that affect the switch between its single-cell (yeast) form and filamentous forms of growth; this switch appears central to the virulence of C. albicans. The encoded proteins include those involved in nutrient sensing, signal transduction, transcriptional control, cytoskeletal organization and cell wall construction. Approximatley one-third of the genes identified in the screens lack homologs in Saccharomyces cerevisiae and other model organisms and thus constitute candidate antifungal drug targets. These results illustrate the value of performing forward genetic studies in bona fide pathogens.
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Introduction

Candida albicans is a commensal of humans and other warm-blooded animals that can cause mucosal infections in immunocompetent individuals as well as a broad spectrum of symptoms in immunocompromised patients, including serious disseminated infections (for reviews see Odds, 1988; San-Blas et al., 2000; Calderone and Fonzi, 2001; Haynes, 2001). Progress in understanding many aspects of the biology of C. albicans has been hindered by the inability to carry out simple, large-scale genetic screens. Such screens are highly effective ways of gaining access to and ultimately understanding biological problems, as evidenced by their widespread utility in the ‘model’ yeasts Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Schizosaccharomyces pombe. The lack of traditional genetic approaches in C. albicans has been due largely to the absence of a well characterized sexual cycle in this organism. The lack of a well-behaved plasmid system and the fact that C. albicans is diploid have also been impediments to this type of approach.

A critical feature of C. albicans—one that has attracted researchers for decades—is its ability to switch between different morphological forms. Candida albicans can grow as single-celled, budding yeast forms (blastospores) or as filamentous forms (including both pseudohyphae and true hyphae) in which cells remain joined end-to-end following cell division (Odds, 1988). The three primary morphological forms, blastospores, pseudohyphae and hyphae, are all found in infected tissues, and work to date indicates that the transition between these forms is critical for pathogenesis. The transition between the morphological forms can also be manipulated in the laboratory, by altering the growth medium. For example, on rich media [such as yeast extract with peptone (YPE) supplemented with 2% glucose (YPED)] at 30°C, C. albicans grows primarily in the blastospore form; addition of fetal calf serum (FCS) rapidly induces filamentous (pseudohyphal and hyphal) growth of nearly every cell. Other environmental factors, including pH, temperature, oxygen availability, nitrogen availability and carbon source, also affect the distribution of cells among the three primary morphological forms.

To date, molecular genetic studies of the C. albicans morphological transitions have largely relied on S. cerevisiae as an experimental and conceptual model. In response to limitation of specific nutrients, S. cerevisiae undergoes a transition from the single-celled budding form to a pseudohyphal form (Gimeno et al., 1992; for a recent review see Palecek et al., 2002). Based on this overall resemblance to the transition in C. albicans, S. cerevisiae has been used as a recipient to screen gene libraries from C. albicans for their ability to affect pseudohyphal growth (see for example Stoldt et al., 1997; Feng et al., 1999; Kadosh and Johnson, 2001). Alternatively, C. albicans genes with close similarity to S. cerevisiae genes involved in pseudohyphal growth have been identified and disrupted in Candida and the resultant phenotype studied (see for example Liu et al., 1994; Leberer et al., 1996). While these strategies have been successful for identifying certain aspects of filamentous growth regulation in C. albicans, there are important differences between S. cerevisiae and C. albicans with regard to filamentous growth. For example, S. cerevisiae does not exhibit true hyphal growth (in which cells are joined end-to-end with no visible constrictions at cell junctions), whereas this is the predominant morphological form of C. albicans under a number of growth conditions (Odds, 1988). Moreover, certain environmental signals, such as serum, are very strong inducers of filamentous growth in C. albicans but have little or no effect on S. cerevisiae. In addition, a comparison of their genome sequences has revealed that only about two-thirds of Candida genes appear to have clear orthologs in S. cerevisiae. All of these considerations raise the possibility that strategies that rely strictly on
similarity between *S.cerevisiae* and *C.albicans* are likely to miss crucial features of *Candida* biology, especially those specific to its pathogenesis.

Here, we describe a large-scale genetic screen in *C.albicans* designed to identify genes that affect the transition between blastospore and filamentous forms of the yeast. Of particular significance, this strategy makes no prior assumptions about the similarities or differences between *C.albicans* and *S.cerevisiae*. This effort led to the identification of 146 different genes that affect the blastospore–filament transition. Only six of these genes had been identified from previous work; the majority, including 39 genes that lack close relatives in *S.cerevisiae*, were not predicted from previous studies and provide new insights into the mechanism of the blastospore–filament transition. The results of this screen provide a framework for understanding the complex control of this morphological transition.

### Results

Transposon mutagenesis has been used in many bacterial and yeast genetic screens (Berg and Howe, 1989; Cormack et al., 1999; Ross-Macdonald et al., 1999). To carry out a large-scale transposon-based screen in *C.albicans*, we constructed a library of 18 000 strains, each containing an independent Tn7-based transposon insertion. These insertion strains were constructed by first transposing Tn7 into *Candida* genomic DNA *in vitro* and then transforming a large population of *C.albicans* with this DNA (Figure 1). Individual transformants were picked and arranged in microtiter dishes; this library of 18 000 strains represents an insertion, on average, every 2.5 kb per haploid genome. Because *Candida* is diploid, we relied on haploinsufficiency combined with sensitive indicator plates (discussed below) to identify insertion mutants altered in the blastospore–filament transition. Since the transposon introduces unique DNA sequences into the *C.albicans* genome, the sites of insertion of identified mutants could readily be determined by direct DNA sequencing and comparison with the Stanford genome sequence (http://www-sequence.stanford.edu/group/candida). Details of the library construction and screening conditions are given in Materials and methods. Here, we discuss several general issues that pertain to the screen.

First, we consider the use of haploinsufficiency to screen directly for loss-of-function mutations in a diploid organism. Although *C.albicans* is indeed diploid, loss of one functional copy of a gene often produces a noticeable phenotype. For example, haploinsufficiency has been reported for a number of genes, including *CPH1*, *INT1*, *TUP1*, *CLN1*, *STE7* and *STE20*, involved in the regulation of filamentous growth (Liu et al., 1994; Köhler and Fink, 1996; Leberer et al., 1996; Braun and Johnson, 1997; Gale et al., 1998; Loeb et al., 1999). In these studies, one allele of a gene of interest was specifically disrupted by transformation and homologous recombination, and the resultant phenotype was analyzed. In most cases, loss of one allele caused a less severe form of the phenotype produced by disrupting both alleles. To test the feasibility of utilizing such haploinsufficiency for a general genetic screen, we experimented with three *C.albicans* strains hemizygous for disruptions of genes known to be involved in filamentous growth: *TUP1* (a negative regulator of filamentous growth; Braun and Johnson, 1997), *CLN1* (a positive regulator of filamentous growth; Loeb et al., 1999) and *EFG1* (a positive regulator of filamentous growth; Stoldt et al., 1997). Consistent with published reports, the *TUP1/tup1, CLN1/cln1* and *EFG1/efg1* strains each showed a clear difference in colony appearance when compared with the ‘wild-type’ parent strain, CAF2-1 (CAF2-1 is a clinical isolate of *C.albicans* with one copy of *URA3* disrupted; Fonzi and Irwin, 1993). Moreover, microscopic examination of the cells in these colonies confirmed published reports that macroscopic differences in colony morphology could be used to monitor microscopic differences in filamentous growth (see Materials and methods).

We used these three strains to choose two screening conditions, one based on serum induction of filamentous growth and one based on nutrient limitation as the inducer. Serum and starvation are both potent inducers of filamentous growth and appear to represent different but overlapping responses. For example, some gene knockouts affect induction by one environmental condition but not the other, whereas others affect the response to both (for reviews see Brown and Gow, 1999; Ernst, 2000; Whiteway, 2000). Although 10–15% FCS is most commonly utilized for induction of filamentous growth, exposure of the *EFG1/efg1, CLN1/cln1* and *TUP1/tup1* test strains to a range of serum concentrations indicated
that 1% FCS was a more sensitive condition for detecting changes in filamentous growth caused by deletion of a single allele. For nutrient limitation, we used Spider medium, as it revealed clear differences among the three test strains and between each test strain and the parent strain (Liu et al., 1994). Based on these preliminary experiments, the mutant screen was performed twice, once on YEPD + 1% FCS and once on Spider medium.

We next consider the overall number of mutants obtained in the screen. We replica-plated 18,000 independent insertion mutants on each type of medium and examined them over the course of 2 weeks. Colonies that displayed altered filamentous growth (either increased or decreased) were rescreened, and only those with reproducible phenotypes were considered further. A total of 340 (~2%) of the insertion strains exhibited altered patterns of filamentous growth as deduced from changes in the pattern of wrinkling of the central portion of the patch or from differences in the appearance of peripheral hyphae (Figure 2; see also Figure 3). Some mutant strains displayed altered filamentous growth on both types of medium, but many showed differences from the parent strain on only one medium (Table I). Overall, roughly half the mutants showed enhanced filamentous growth on at least one type of medium, and half showed reduced filamentous growth.

After restreaking and verifying the original mutant phenotypes, the transposon insertion points were determined for a subset of the mutants; this analysis revealed 163 unambiguous insertion points (see Materials and methods). Eighty-eight insertion points were within structural genes (within 500 nucleotide pairs of the presumptive translation startpoint) and 28 were downstream of structural genes (within 500 nucleotide pairs of the presumptive stop codon). Ten insertions were in unique sequence but were located >500 nucleotide pairs from the nearest structural gene. We have assigned the transposon insertion point to the nearest open reading frame (ORF), with the understanding that these assignments become less certain the further the insertion point is from the assigned ORF (Supplementary table 1 available at The EMBO Journal Online). When an identified gene had a close relative in S.cerevisiae, we used the same name for the C.albicans gene. The C.albicans genes identified in the screen that did not have close relatives in S.cerevisiae or other model organisms (see Materials and methods) were denoted FGR (filamentous growth regulator) and arbitrarily designated FGR1, FGR2, etc.

A critical issue in the study of filamentous growth in C.albicans concerns position effects on URA3 expression. Diminished URA3 expression (caused by, for example, insertion of URA3 into a transcriptionally ‘cold’ region of the genome) can have a direct effect on filamentous growth, as recently described by Sundstrom et al. (2002) for a URA3 insertion in the HWP1 gene. In this case, the filamentous defect could be reversed by adding uridine to the growth medium. In our library of transposon mutants, the only source of URA3 is the transposon; therefore, it was important to demonstrate that the filamentous growth effects exhibited by the different mutants were not due simply to differences in URA3 expression that might arise from different genomic positions of the transposon. To this end, the entire collection of mutants was re-tested on plates

Fig. 2. Examples of mutants identified in the screen. (A) A portion of a replica plate containing nine independent members of the insertion library grown on Spider medium for 4 days at 30°C. The center patch has a significantly less wrinkled appearance relative to its neighbors and was scored as a mutant reduced for filamentous growth. At this time point, the patches have not yet produced peripheral hyphae. (B) Examples of three other types of mutants obtained from the screen. From left to right, wild-type strain CAF2-1 (URA3::imm434) (Fonzi and Irwin, 1993), a mutant reduced for filamentous growth, a mutant reduced for filamentous growth in the center of the patch but increased for production of peripheral hyphae, and a strongly hyperfilamentous mutant. Strains were grown for 4 days on Spider medium.
containing uridine; all of the mutant phenotypes were reproduced on this medium, and it is therefore highly unlikely that differences in \textit{URA3} expression could account for the mutant phenotypes. In this regard, we note that the \textit{URA3} gene in our transposon is flanked by several kilobases of DNA, and this may serve to buffer \textit{URA3} from position effects.

We next addressed whether the transposon insertions themselves (and not additional, unlinked genetic changes caused by the transformation or other manipulations) were the causes of the phenotypes. This question can be answered easily by simple backcrosses in organisms with well characterized sexual cycles, but is problematic in \textit{Candida}. This issue is particularly worrisome since

Fig. 3. Comparison of phenotypes of original transposon insertion mutants with those of reconstructed hemizygous deletion mutants. In each case, the deletion mutant was constructed in a fresh strain (RM1000) by deleting one copy of the indicated gene. These genes were identified by the site of transposon insertion in the original mutants. Colonies were grown on the indicated media for the indicated length of time and photographed at 2.5× magnification. Note the complex and individualistic colony morphologies, particularly those of the \textit{ZAP1}/\textit{zap1} and \textit{FGR22}/\textit{fgr22} mutants.
C. albicans is known to undergo spontaneous chromosomal rearrangements at relatively high frequencies. Although we cannot yet be certain for every one of the insertion mutants, we believe that the transposon insertion is nearly always the direct cause of the mutant phenotype. This belief is supported by several lines of evidence. First, six genes previously known to affect filamentous growth in C. albicans were identified in the screen (Table II), and the phenotypes of the newly isolated insertion mutations matched those described in the published studies. Secondly, genes closely related to those that affect filamentous growth in other organisms [for example, kin1 in Ustilago maydis (Lehmler et al., 1997) and PDE2 in S. cerevisiae (Lorenz and Heitman, 1997)] were identified in the screen. Thirdly, for 18 genes identified in the screen, we isolated at least two independent mutants; we know they are independent because the transposon insertion points within the ORF are different. In all 18 cases, the phenotypes produced by independent insertions in the same coding region were identical. Considering that 98% of the transposon insertion mutations did not show any filamentous phenotype, the isolation of independent insertion mutations in the same gene that cause the same coding region were identical. Considering that 98% of the transposon insertion mutations did not show any filamentous phenotype, the isolation of independent insertion mutations in the same gene that cause the same phenotype argues very strongly that the screen is converging on a specific set of genes, and that the phenotypes are caused directly by the insertions.

Finally, we performed a direct test of the idea that the transposon insertions were the cause of the mutant phenotypes. For this analysis, we chose 18 genes representing a variety of different phenotypes observed among the original insertion mutants. Using a PCR-based knockout strategy (Wilson et al., 2000), we directly inactivated one copy of each of the 18 genes in a wild-type strain and compared them, on several types of media, with the originally transposon insertion strains. Of the 18 genes tested, disruption of 16 exactly reproduced the phenotype of the original transposon insertion, despite the fact that the phenotypes were often complex and highly specific to each mutant (Figure 3; Table III). Although this correlation is very strong, it is instructive to consider the two exceptions. A knockout of one allele of FGR32 gave a similar but more severe phenotype (hyperfilamentous) than that displayed by the original transposon insertion. Because the original transposon insertion is located in the C-terminal third of the predicted ORF, the transposon insertion may have generated an allele with a partial function. In the case of ERG5, the recreated deletion strain had a wild-type appearance. The transposon insertion in this mutant was ~400 bases downstream of the ORF, and may affect ERG5 expression or localization or even influence a neighboring gene. The overall analysis indicates there is a very high probability that the insertion is the cause of the phenotype, and suggests that the majority of the phenotypes observed in the original mutants are due to the loss of function of one allele caused by the transposon insertion.

Discussion

We identified 146 genes that affect the blastospore–filament transition in C. albicans. As discussed in the Introduction, this transition is believed to be central to the virulence of this human fungal pathogen.

General features of the blastospore–filament transition

In this section, we discuss several broad generalizations based on the results of the screen. First, 40% of the genes identified do not have close relatives in S. cerevisiae and other well-studied organisms (Figure 4A). Because they lack close relatives in S. cerevisiae, it seems likely that these genes control aspects of filamentous growth that are specific to the ability of C. albicans to colonize and proliferate in warm-blooded animals, the only known hosts for this organism. Because these genes also lack close relatives in humans, they may identify useful targets for antifungal drugs. Some of these genes are members of much larger gene families in the Candida genome: for example, the FGR6 family has ~10 members, and the FGR33/FGR38 family has >20 members. If the C. albicans genome as a whole is considered, approximately one-third of all C. albicans genes do not have close relatives in S. cerevisiae (Figure 4B). Thus the filamentous growth program in C. albicans is made up of both conserved and

| Table I. Categories of mutants obtained from the screen |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Category       | Description of mutant | Total obtained |
| I              | Less filamentous only on Spider | 117 |
| II             | Less filamentous only on serum | 1 |
| III            | Less filamentous on both media | 12 |
| IV             | More filamentous only on Spider | 57 |
| V              | More filamentous only on serum | 44 |
| VI             | More filamentous on both media | 49 |
| VII            | Hyperfilamentous with growth defect | 45 |

Seven general categories are defined, based on the phenotypes on Spider medium (Liu et al., 1994) and YEPD (yeast extract with peptone and 2% glucose) with 1% FCS.

| Table II. Known regulators of filamentous growth identified by the screen |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Name           | Nearest homolog | Protein function     | Organism    | Reference        |
| TUP1           | TUP1           | General repressor of transcription | S. cerevisiae | Braun and Johnson (1997) |
| PDE2           | PDE2           | cAMP phosphodiesterase    | S. cerevisiae | Lorenz and Heitman (1997) |
| CBK1           | cot-1          | Ser/thr kinase       | N. crassa   | Yarden et al. (1992) |
| NRG1           | NRG1           | DNA-binding protein  | S. cerevisiae | Braun et al. (2001); Murad et al. (2001) |
| RFG1           | ROX1           | DNA-binding protein  | S. cerevisiae | Kadosh and Johnson (2001) |
| CZF1           | DNA-binding protein |                | C. albicans | Brown et al. (1999) |

Names of genes are indicated, along with their closest homolog as defined by BLAST searches (Altschul et al., 1997).
Table III. Recreation of phenotypes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Tn location</th>
<th>Transposon phenotype</th>
<th>Recreated phenotype</th>
<th>Phenotype match</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FGR3</td>
<td>???</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIP2-3</td>
<td>Lipase</td>
<td>Upstream</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDE2</td>
<td>cAMP response</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBK1</td>
<td>Ser/thr kinase</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGR13</td>
<td>???</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>Variable morphology</td>
<td>Variable morphology</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERG5</td>
<td>Lipid synthesis</td>
<td>Downstream</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGR5</td>
<td>???</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAP1</td>
<td>DNA binding</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGR32</td>
<td>Transport</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>HF serum</td>
<td>HF Spider/LF serum</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESC4</td>
<td>Silencing</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGR22</td>
<td>???</td>
<td>Downstream</td>
<td>HF Spider/LF serum</td>
<td>HF Spider/LF serum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGR17</td>
<td>DNA binding</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>LF Spider</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHE3</td>
<td>DNA binding</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGR27</td>
<td>DNA binding</td>
<td>Upstream</td>
<td>HF Spider</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDI1</td>
<td>Cell polarity</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUP1</td>
<td>Repression</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRG2</td>
<td>DNA binding</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFG1</td>
<td>DNA binding</td>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>HF Spider/serum</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phenotypes are shown for transposon insertions and the recreated strains containing a deletion of the respective ORF. The location of the transposon insertion (upstream of an ORF, in an ORF and downstream of an ORF) is shown, as well as the phenotypes on Spider medium and YEPD with 1% FCS (HF, hyperfilamentous; LF, less filamentous). Of the 18 genes tested, 16 of the deletion strains matched the original transposon mutant phenotype.

Fig. 4. Similarity of C. albicans genes that affect filamentous growth to genes in S. cerevisiae. (A) Roughly two-thirds of the C. albicans genes identified in the screen for filamentous growth mutants have closely related genes in S. cerevisiae. Nearly 30% lack significant similarity (as indicated by a BLAST score less than −15) to genes in any available databases. (B) The overall distribution of C. albicans genes relative to the S. cerevisiae genome. (C) Putative functions for the C. albicans genes that affect filamentous growth and have a close relative in S. cerevisiae. Each of these C. albicans genes was assigned a function based on the S. cerevisiae counterpart (see Supplementary table 1 for individual assignments). Categories are derived from the Saccharomyces Genome Database (http://genome-www.stanford.edu/Saccharomyces/).
non-conserved genes in approximately the same proportion as those of the genome as a whole.

Approximately 60% of the 146 genes identified in the screen have close relatives in *S. cerevisiae*, and most of these can be assigned a general function (see Figure 4C; Tables II and IV) The largest class of these genes is involved with transcription and its regulation, indicating that the blastospore–filament transition is based on a large transcriptional program. In this class are 11 putative regulatory proteins involved with transcription and its regulation, indicating that these can be assigned a general function (see Figure 4C; Table IV). The next largest class of conserved genes identified in the screen are involved with lipid and cell wall biosynthesis. Again, this finding makes intuitive sense, as it is known that the *C. albicans* plasma membrane and cell wall are remodeled extensively during the transition from the blastospore to filamentous forms of growth. The genetic screen identifies specific enzymes and pathways that probably function in this remodeling. These include several genes related to the *ECM* genes of *S. cerevisiae* (identified in that organism by a screen for sensitivity to calciofluor white) (Lussier et al., 1997), genes involved in chitin synthesis (*CSI2; Roncerol et al., 1988*) and genes required for synthesis of membrane lipids or cell wall components (*CDS1, CHO1, FAS1 and ERG5; Daum et al., 1998*).

Other classes of genes identified in the screen include those encoding cell polarity and cytoskeletal components (13 genes) and those involved in protein synthesis and modification (10 genes). Again, all these findings make conceptual sense, as blastospore and filamentous cells differ dramatically in both size and shape.

**Specific insights into the regulation of filamentous growth in *C. albicans***

The previous discussion outlines generalizations and broad trends amongst the mutations that affect the control of filamentous growth in *C. albicans*. However, each individual mutant typically suggests a specific model or reveals a previously unrecognized feature of filamentous growth. Obviously, space does not permit a discussion for all 146 mutants, but two examples will be given where a mutant or group of mutants suggests a specific idea.

Analysis of the *C. albicans* ZAP1 mutant (which encodes a Zn-finger transcriptional regulator) illustrates the idea that filamentous growth is not simply an on–off switch, but can result from distinct transcriptional pathways. On Spider (nutrient poor) medium, colonies of wild-type *C. albicans* strains (CAF2-1) exhibit two types of filamentous growth: a wrinkling of the central colony (indicative of a high proportion of hyphae and peripheral hyphae) and peripheral hyphae that emanate from the colony (see Figure 3, third row). Analysis of the *zap1* mutant clearly shows that these two types of filamentous growth are induced by distinct pathways: the *ZAP1/zap1* mutant exhibits a smooth central colony (indicative of blastospores), but normal numbers of peripheral hyphae (see Figure 3, third row). This observation also shows that Zap1 is required for the formation of...
filaments in the central portion of colonies but is relatively unimportant for peripheral hyphae. Environmental conditions in the center of a colony differ dramatically from those on the periphery, and the analysis of this mutant reveals that *C. albicans* recognizes and responds to this difference. *Candida albicans* Zap1 is very closely related to *S.cerevisiae* ZAP1, which regulates zinc homeostasis (Zhao and Eide, 1997). In *C. albicans*, it is likely that Zap1 activates a specialized program of filamentous growth that is specific to the environmental conditions (perhaps severe deprivation of one or more critical nutrients, maybe even zinc) present at the center of the colony but not at the colony periphery. Consistent with this idea, Bedell and Soll (1979) showed that zinc levels in the media can affect filamentous growth in *C. albicans*. In any case, analysis of the ZAP1/zap1 mutant clearly distinguishes peripheral hyphae from those at the colony center and has thus revealed a new aspect of filamentous growth and suggests a specific set of models that can be tested experimentally.

As a second example of a specific insight arising from the screen, STE13 and STE23 were identified as mutants reduced in filamentous growth. In *S.cerevisiae*, the products of these genes are proteases involved in processing of the mating pheromones α-factor (STE13) and a-factor (STE23). Mating pheromones are involved in filamentous growth of other fungi, including *Cryptococcus neoformans*, *Ustilago maydis* and, under special circumstances, *S.cerevisiae* (Spellig et al., 1994; Wang and Heitman, 1999; Yue et al., 1999; Erdman and Snyder, 2001). Additionally, *C. albicans* mutants lacking Kex2, another protease necessary for processing of the α-factor precursor in *S.cerevisiae*, are deficient in filamentous growth and mating of α-mating type (Newport and Agabian, 1997; Magee et al., 2002). These results taken together suggest that mating factors (or other peptides that are similarly processed) may be required for filamentous growth.

**The utility of haploinsufficiency and its comparison with other genetic screening strategies in *C. albicans***

Based on the number of genes (18) for which we obtained multiple insertions, we estimate from the Poisson distribution that we have identified ~30% of the genes that would, in principle, come from this screen if it were carried out on a significantly larger scale. In addition, we do not know whether our reliance on haploinsufficiency or whether any details of the transposon mutagenesis scheme systematically excluded additional genes important for the blastospore–filament transition. However, since we did obtain a relatively large number of mutants, it is perhaps worth considering why the reliance on haploinsufficiency worked as well as it did. Three possibilities could explain why haploinsufficiency seems so prevalent in *C. albicans*:

(i) Since *C. albicans* exists almost exclusively in the diploid state, gene dosage may have become so finely tuned that the organism is highly sensitive to a 50% loss of a gene product. (ii) A transversion effect could be responsible for depressing gene expression from the intact copy of the gene when the other copy is deleted or has suffered a transposon insertion. (iii) The two alleles of a given gene could have differentiated, encoding proteins that have subtly different functions. Inactivating one allele might thereby be expected to produce a phenotype. We believe the first idea is generally correct: an examination of five different hemizygous knockout strains revealed that the transcriptional level of the remaining gene—as determined by northern analysis—is at least 50% of the level of that of the parent strain (see Supplementary data). This observation rules out transvection effects as an overall explanation for haploinsufficiency and suggests that gene dosage can account for it in many cases. Consistent with this idea is the observation reported by many laboratories that the phenotype of a hemizygous deletion strain is simply a less severe version of that seen in the homozygous mutant (see Results). This idea was tested explicitly for eight genes identified in our screen: FGR17, YKR029C, ZAP1, YBR239C, TUP1, NRG1, RFG1, CZF1 and CBK1. For all cases except ZAP1, the homozygous deletion mutants showed a more severe phenotype than did the hemizygous mutants; for ZAP1, the homozygous and hemizygous mutants were identical in phenotype, as determined by colony morphology on a variety of media (M.A.Uhl and A.D.Johnson, unpublished observations). Thus, although the general trend holds for our mutants, there is at least one exception. For some specific instances of haploinsufficiency (perhaps that of ZAP1), allele diversification could be pertinent. Allele differences in *C. albicans* range from the extreme case of the MTL (Hull and Johnson, 1999) to more limited examples where the products of the two alleles differ by several amino acid substitutions (see Yesland and Fonzi, 2000). It is therefore possible that for some of our insertion mutations, haploinsufficiency is caused by the inactivation of the more active of a pair of alleles, or of an allele that has diversified from its partner.

Two previous genetic screens have been carried out directly in *C. albicans*, and both relied on dominant mutations rather than haploinsufficiency. In one, a collection of strains was constructed by the restriction enzyme-mediated integration of a gene library under the control of the *C. albicans* MAL2 promoter. This collection was then screened for maltose-dependent filamentous growth on medium that does not ordinarily promote filamentous growth; the strategy thus relied on a phenotype dependent on overexpression of a gene. Of 2000 integrants screened, a single gene (CZF1) was identified that is an activator of filamentous growth (Brown et al., 1999); an insertion in CZF1 was also identified in our screen as being reduced in filamentous growth (Table II). A second *C. albicans* screen was based on inhibitory RNA. Here a cDNA library in reverse orientation from a galactose-inducible promoter was integrated in the genome and 2000 transformants were screened for galactose-inducible growth defects. Eighty-six genes (whose antisense transcription caused growth defects) were identified by this screen, including a number whose orthologs are known to be essential for growth in *S.cerevisiae*. Phenotypes of six of these genes were tested by making a deletion of one copy of the gene and scoring for growth defects; four of the six heterozygous strains had growth defects similar to the original (De Backer et al., 2001). Given the relatively non-specific nature of the phenotype tested, it is not yet known how many of the antisense constructs produced their phenotypes by specifically inactivating the homologous gene.
The results from our transposon mutagenesis indicate that a forward screen utilizing haploinsufficiency is feasible for identifying genes with recessive phenotypes in *C. albicans*. As described, we screened 18,000 insertion mutants and uncovered 146 genes involved in filamentous growth, only six of which had been identified previously as having a role in this process. This same approach should also be readily adaptable to other genetic screens in *C. albicans*, provided that sensitive laboratory conditions are available to monitor the phenotype in question. Haploinsufficiency can also be used to identify targets for drugs, as mutations in one copy of the target gene can render a diploid more sensitive to the drug; this strategy was used recently in *S. cerevisiae* (Giaever et al., 1999). Finally, haploinsufficiency has also been noted for genes required for virulence in systemic models of candidosis (e.g. Lo et al., 1997; Gale et al., 1998; Braun et al., 2000), suggesting that our library can also be utilized for general screens *in vivo* for virulence factors in *C. albicans*.

Materials and methods

**Strains and media**

*Candida albicans* CA4 (ura3/ura3) was used as the parental strain for transposon mutagenesis (Fonzi and Irwin, 1993). RM1000 (Negredo et al., 1997) (ura3/ura3 his1/his1) was used for PCR-based disruption of genes analyzed in the experiment in Figure 3. For routine growth of *C. albicans*, YEPD was used. URA+ transformants were selected on media lacking uridine. Screens of the transposon mutants were carried out on Spider medium and YEPD + 1% FCS.

**Transposon mutagenesis**

Large-scale transposon mutagenesis of *C. albicans* was carried out as schematized in Figure 1. Details of the procedure are described in the Supplementary data.

**Choice of media for haploinsufficiency screens**

As described above, Spider medium (a nutrient-poor medium; Liu et al., 1994) could be used to distinguish known hemizygous deletion strains. For example, when grown for 4 days on Spider medium (Liu et al., 1994), colonies of the *CLN1/cbl1* and *EPG1/egf1* were significantly less wrinkled and had fewer peripheral hyphae penetrating the agar when compared with the parent strain. Microscopic examination of cells isolated from the wrinkled portions of the colonies revealed a significantly lower fraction of filamentous cells in the two mutant strains than in the parent strain. These observations are consistent with prior studies implicating *CLN1* and *EPG1* as activators of filamentous growth (Stoldt et al., 1997; Loeb et al., 1999). In contrast, TUP1/tup1 colonies were more wrinkled than those of FGR22, and the colonies had pronounced ‘domes’. Examination of these cells showed a much higher proportion of filamentous cells in the TUP1/tup1 strain, verifying previous reports that TUP1 is formally a negative regulator of filamentous growth (Braun and Johnson, 1997). These results support the idea that differences in colony appearance on Spider medium could be used to monitor differences in filamentous growth and that a genetic screen based on haploinsufficiency might be feasible. Similar observations revealed that YPD + 1% FCS could also be used to distinguish the colony morphologies of these three strains easily.

**Library screens**

Plasmids containing the transposon insertions were digested with *EcoG*I, which should recreate the original linear genomic DNA fragment. Linear DNA was transformed into *C. albicans* by the Fast-Track method (Geno Technology, St Louis, MO). Colonies were patched onto medium without uridine and then replicated onto Spider medium and YEP with 1% FCS. Plates were incubated at 30°C and colonies were observed every day over the course of 2 weeks. Colonies were scored for appearance of wrinkles and peripheral hyphae; any colony that demonstrated a difference compared with CAF2-1 (wild-type control) was rescreened on Spider and YEP with 1% FCS.

Identification of transposon junctions

Following confirmation of mutant phenotypes, the transposon insertion points were determined for 228 mutant strains using a nested vectorette PCR methodology (Vectorette system; Sigma/Genosys, The Woodlands, TX). Details are described in the Supplementary data. Roughly 20% (45/228) of the insertions were found to occur in the major repeat sequence of *C. albicans*, RPS1, which comprises ~10% of the Candida genome and occurs in all but one of the chromosomes. Seventy-three percent of the 45 RPS1 insertions obtained in the screen gave a hyperfilamentous phenotype. No discernible ORFs lie near the RPS1 sequences, and the basis of the phenotype arising from these insertions currently is under investigation. One possibility, suggested by the work of Barton and Scherer (1994), is that insertion into RPS1 sequences causes chromosomal rearrangements, and that those subsequent rearrangements are the cause of the mutant phenotypes. CHEF gel analysis was performed on 10 RPS1 insertion mutants that displayed a variety of phenotypes. No gross chromosomal rearrangements were detected, suggesting either that rearrangements occurred and were too small to detect by this method or that the phenotypes were due to some other effect of insertion at the RPS1 locus. In any case, all the RPS1 insertions were not studied further and are not included in the analysis presented herein.

**BLAST searches**

*Candida albicans* gene sequences were conceptually translated into protein and compared with the *S. cerevisiae* genome using BLAST (Altschul et al., 1997). Predicted protein sequences with a BLAST score of less than E-15 were assigned the name of the corresponding *S. cerevisiae* gene. Genes whose predicted products fell under that cut-off were assigned an FGR name. In one instance, a gene was assigned an FGR name (FGR22), although it had high similarity to a predicted phospholipase C from *Bacillus subtilis*. In this case, the name from *B. subtilis* did not match the naming conventions from *C. albicans*.

**Disruption of genes**

The *C. albicans* strain RM1000 (ura3/ura3 his1/his1) (Negredo et al., 1997) was used for gene knockouts. Although the strain background for transposon mutagenesis (strain CA4) and reconstruction of the disruptions (strain RM1000) differed slightly, there was no apparent difference in filamentous growth between RM1000 and CA4 or URA+ derivatives of the two strains on Spider medium or YEP with 1% FCS (data not shown). Genes were disrupted using a PCR-based strategy (Wilson et al., 1999, 2000) by a lithium acetate transformation protocol (Gietz et al., 1995). Transformants were selected on media lacking uridine, and disruptions were confirmed by PCR utilizing a primer hybridizing upstream of the disrupted gene and a primer hybridizing to URA3 (Braun and Johnson, 2000).

**Supplementary data**

Supplementary data are available at The EMBO Journal Online.
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Note added in proof

Recently Davis et al. (2002) developed a different type of transposon-based mutagenesis scheme for Candida. Using this methodology, they screened 253 Candida strains (each of which had both copies of a known gene disrupted) and found three genes that affected pH-dependent filamentous growth.