"A Hard Saying" The Gospel and Culture

Francis J. Moloney, s.D.B.

A Michael Glazier Book

THE LITURGICAL PRESS

Collegeville, Minnesota

www.litpress.org



WHEN IS JOHN TALKING ABOUT SACRAMENTS?¹ Frencus J. Moloney

The Melbourne Scripture Seminar of 1981 was devoted to the theme: "The Sacraments: Celebrating and Creating Life." I had been invited to contribute in the area of Johannine scholarship, and the brochures advertising the Seminar stated: "Johannine literature is perhaps regarded as the most explicitly sacramental of the New Testament collection." This is true. If one were to accept the suggestions of all the scholars who have written on this issue in a positive sense one would finish with the following explicit sacramental teaching in the Fourth Gospel!

Matrimony:

The marriage feast at Cana (2:1-11) *Anointing of the Sick:*

The anointing at Bethany (12:1-8)

¹I have retained this title, despite its difficulties, from the original setting of this paper, given at the Melbourne Scripture Seminar, Newman College, University of Melbourne, 24–31 August 1981. It enabled me to raise the issues of the Johannine community and its experience. See below.

For the following list of possibilities see Raymond E. Brown, "The Johannine Sacramentary," in idem, *New Testament Essays* (London: Cicofficey Chapman, 1967) 75–76. Another good survey of scholarship can be found in Herbert Klos, *Die Sakramente im Johannesevangelium. Vorkommen und Bedeutung vom Luife*, Eucharistie und Busse im 4. Evangelium. SBS 46 (Stuttgart: Katholisches Bibelwerk, 1970).

Reconciliation:

Lazarus (11:1-44)

"Whose sins you shall forgive shall be forgiven, etc." (20:23)

"He who has bathed does not need to wash, except for his feet." $(13:10)^3$

The baptism of Jesus (1:32-33; 3:26)

The marriage feast at Cana (2:1-11)

The "cleansing" of the Temple (2:13-25)

The conversation with Nicodemus (3:1-21)

The conversation with the Samaritan woman (4:1-30)

The healing at Bethsaida (5:1-17)

The walking on the water (6:16-21)

The source of living waters (7:38)

The healing of the man born blind (9:1-38)

The Good Shepherd (10:1-18)

The raising of Lazarus (11:1-44)

The foot washing (13:1-20)

The miraculous draught of fishes (21:1-8)

The marriage feast at Cana (2:1-11)

The "cleansing" of the Temple (2:13-25)

"My food is to do the will of my Father" (4:31-34)

Chapter 6, especially 6:1-15 and 6:51-58

The foot washing (13:1-20)

The vine and the branches (15:1-11)

The meal of bread and fish (21:9-14)

Baptism and Eucharist:

Blood and water from the pierced side of Jesus (19:34)

Water and blood as witnesses (1 John 5:8)

more systematic perspective or reading it in the light of subsequent pacritic it probably comes as something of a surprise that certain events tristic and Church thought and practice. For the contemporary biblical suggestions of scholars, some of them reflecting upon the text from a have been read as containing explicit teaching on the Christian sacra-This list is clearly "maximal." It gathers indiscriminately from the

refer to the fundamental Christian sacrament of baptism? baptismal symbols or rituals (especially water), however subtle, coulc how the cleansing of the Temple, where there is no reference to any Jesus might be linked with the Catholic sacrament of anointing, o ments. One might ask, for example, how the anointing of the feet o

approaching the text. I will limit myself to a few contrasting positions always in approaching New Testament texts, what ultimately determethods" I adopt in my subsequent reading of the Johannine text. are still some introductory remarks that have to be made. Indeed, the in this debate before setting out on my own discussion. However, there ments?" is the set of criteria and methods adopted by each scholar in mines the answer to the question "when is John talking about sacrasitions on the issue. A careful reading of this scholarship shows that, as commentary on the Fourth Gospel, and the useful survey contained in sult the surveys done by Brown in his New Testament Essays⁵ and in his among scholars." Anyone interested in a fuller discussion should confollowing brief discussion is partly indicative of the "criteria and Herbert Klos's book. Thinkers from all schools take up a variety of poscholarship. I have no intention of discussing the details of this long haps on no other point of Johannine thought is there such division and unresolved debate, of which Raymond E. Brown remarked: "Perthe Fourth Gospel is not only found in schools of radical Protestant Similarly, we must note that the rejection of sacramental teaching in sacramental system is not the sole preserve of conservative Catholics who have produced these various claims do not fall into clearly debest. A further point should be raised as we begin this study. Scholars texts cited and the sacraments of the Christian tradition are tenuous a many explicit contacts between the Johannine gospel and the Christian fined confessional groups. It is interesting to note that the defense of These questions could go farther, as contacts between most of the

1. "When Is John Talking?"

are we justified in referring to "John talking." The apparently neverending debate over the author of the gospel we call "of John" is well It is not accurate to ask about a single character called "John," nor

cussion of this issue, with bibliographical details, see Francis J. Moloney, The added by a copyist to solve the problem of sinfulness after baptism. For a dis-Johannine Son of Man. BibScRel 14 (2nd ed. Rome: LAS, 1978) 192-93 ³ The words εί μή τοὺς πόδας are textually doubtful, and may have been

York: Doubleday, 1966-70) 1:cxi ⁴ Raymond E. Brown, *The Gospel According to John.* 2 vols. AB 29, 294 (New

⁵ Brown, "The Johannine Sacramentary," 51–56

[&]quot;Brown, John 1:cxi-cxiv.

⁷ Klos, Die Sakramente im Johannesevangelium. See n. 2 above.

a Christian community that eventually established itself somewhere in Asia Minor (probably Ephesus). The development took place over a able in English: Charles Kingsley Barrett, Barnabas Lindars, Rudolf Schnackenburg, and Raymond E. Brown. 8 I have also discussed this question in my own recent commentary in the Sacra Pagina series.9 The evidence of the gospel itself, assuming that the link made between the author of the gospel and the Beloved Disciple in the secondary 21:20-24 is correct, points to an original tradition about Jesus with its source in a disciple of Jesus. This tradition deepened and developed in long period of time, through many trials and tribulations caused by and widely covered in the many fine introductions to this gospel, including those of the great contemporary commentators now all availboth external and internal difficulties.

was most probably an ex-disciple of the Baptist (see 1:35). If this was the case we cannot ask about "John talking." We must look deeper into The Gospel of John, like all the other gospels, is not a single person "talking" to us, but a living community of first-century Christians important figure who had close contact with the historical Jesus and communicating, through their own particular Spirit-filled journey, their dynamic experience of faith that is, especially in the Fourth Gospel, I remain convinced that the community was gathered around an allthe life and experience of faith of a particular Christian community. a christological and an ecclesiological journey. 10

1:1xxxvii-xcviii. It should be noted that Schnackenburg, who hesitatingly opts this paper. See Rudolf Schnackenburg, "On the Origin of the Fourth Gospel," in Pittsburgh Festival on the Gospels 1970, Jesus and Man's Hope (Pittsburgh: Pittsburgh Theological Seminary, 1970) 233-46. Like Schnackenburg, Brown 1978) 100-34; Barnabas Lindars, The Gospel of John. NCB (London: Oliphants, 1972) 28-34; Rudolf Schnackenburg, The Gospel According to St. John. 3 vols. HTCNT IV/1-3 (New York: Crossroad, 1968-82) 1:75-104; Brown, John for John, the son of Zebedee, in the first German volume of his commentary, reproduced in English, has since shifted to a position akin to the one adopted in moved away from the identification of the Beloved Disciple with the son of The Community of the Beloved Disciple. The Life, Loves and Hates of an Individual ⁸ C. K. Barrett, The Gospel According to St John (2nd ed. London: S.P.C.K., Zebedee to a position closer to the one espoused here. See Raymond E. Brown, Church in New Testament Times (New York: Paulist, 1979) 31-34.

⁹ Francis J. Moloney, The Gospel of John. SP 4 (Collegeville: The Liturgical Press,

¹⁰ See on this the contributions of Raymond E. Brown: "'And the Lord Said'? Biblical Reflections on Scripture as the Word of God," TS 42 (1981) 3-19; idem, "The Meaning of the Bible," TD 28 (1980) 305-20.

2. "About Sacraments"

This is the point of greatest difficulty in all discussions of sacraments and sacramentality in the Fourth Gospel. Yet despite the fact that our various ecclesial and theological traditions have wide-reaching differpicked up by the second-century Fathers in their attempts to forge a ences in their understanding of "sacrament," we could all gather around a general definition positing that sacraments are intimately associated with "life" and the communication of the divine life, a participation already "in the triumphant eschatological salvation promised by God through Christ as his Word, and wrought by God through Christ as the incarnate Son and mediator." Obviously this notion is never explicitly spelled out in the New Testament, as a sacramental theology took centuries to evolve and is, of course, still unfolding in our pilgrim Church. The word "sacrament" comes to us from the Pauline word μυστήριον, theology of the sacraments¹² and translated into the Lafin version of the New Testament as sacramentum. 13

symbolic language of the Fourth Gospel, unburdened of our rich tradi. tion of sign and symbol, so closely associated with our sacramental life in the Church. Yet we must be aware from the outset that for the Fourth Gospel all Jesus' activity and preaching, especially the notion of glory and glorification, so important to this gospel (see, for example, 7:39; 8:54; 11:4; 12:23, 28; 13:31-32; 14:13; 15:8; 16:14; 17:1, 4, 5, 10), is the communi-It is difficult for us to see the New Testament, and especially the highly cation of a life-giving power. Sandra Schneiders has written eloquently on this crucial feature of the Fourth Gospel.14 Tracing "sacrament" in the

11 Burkhard Neunheuser, "Sacraments," Sacramentum Mundi. An Encyclopedia of Theology. 6 vols. (New York: Herder & Herder, 1970) 5:378.

¹² For full details see G. W. H. Lampe, ed., A Patristic Greek Lexicon (Oxford; Clarendon Press, 1961) 891-93, especially section F, where reference is made to Cyril, Theodotus, Clement of Alexandria, Dionysius, and Serapion from the early centuries, who used the expression μυστήριον in the sense of sacramentum: "revelation of divine activity."

13 The word sacramentum originally meant an oath, especially a soldier's oath ample, Tertullian, Ad Martyres 3. On this see "Sacrament," in Frank L. Cross of allegiance. This can still be traced in early Christian literature. See, for exand Elizabeth A. Livingstone, eds., The Oxford Dictionary of the Christian Church (2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1974) 1218-19.

14 See Sandra M. Schneiders, "History and Symbolism in the Fourth Gospel," in Martinus de Jonge, ed., L'Evangile de Jean. Sources, redaction, thiologic BETT. 44 (Gembloux: Duculot, 1977) 371-76; eadem, "Symbolism and the Sacramen tal Principle in the Fourth Gospel," in Pius-Ramon Tragan, ed., Signi e Sucra menti nel Vangelo di Giovanni. Studia Anselmiana 66; Sacramentum 3 (Rome

the community as such in some way sacramental?¹⁷ munity as the continuing presence of Jesus' sonship in history made list's seeming conviction that the very existence of the Johannine compractice and theology of a sacramental life, apart from the evange-Jesus, as it is told by the Fourth Evangelist, that clearly indicate the our quest. Are there descriptions of events from the story of the life of ders fully appreciates,16 this argument in no way annuls the validity of return briefly to this issue at the end of my paper, but, as Sandra Schneiin and by her own history to her divine filiation in the Spirit."15 I hope to manifesting himself in the Church, who experiences and bears witness "Ultimately, the sacramental principle in the Fourth Gospel is Jesus, Fourth Gospel in this wider sense does not call for a search for criteria

meal. 18 While there is no command to repeat the action, the setting yet in other ways this tradition preserves the original setting of a have come from liturgical practices: "Do this in remembrance of me," dition (1 Cor 11:24; see also Luke 22:19) carries a command that may their present contexts in the New Testament literature. The Pauline traous Christian communities before they were eventually inserted into night before he died, have had a considerable history in the life of varitions they show that these words of Jesus, supposedly uttered on the of institution, and even though they can be reduced to two basic tradiidentified as sacramental. The synoptic tradition and Paul carry words in the evidence that the early Church had practices that later tradition understanding of sacramental references in the Fourth Gospel is found reply positively to that question. The departure point for a widespread As I have already indicated, there are many scholars who would

Editrice Anselmiana, 1977) 221-35; eadem, "The Foot Washing (John 13:1-20). An Experiment in Hermeneutics," CBQ 43 (1981) 76–92.

Markan tradition, repeated by Matthew (Mark 14:22-25; Matt 26:26indicated in the solemn closing words of the Matthean Jesus: 29).19 The practice of baptism in the pre-Johannine churches is clearly within a meal has not been lost in the eucharistic practice behind the

name of the Father and of the Son and of the Holy Spirit" (Matt 28:19).20 "Go therefore and make disciples of all nations, baptizing them in the

early Christian worship, it seems logical that the author of the Fourth words and works of Jesus.21 To affirm this much, it appears to me, is Gospel might also show that these sacraments had their basis in the ity celebrated at least Eucharist and baptism in its various forms of but contentious claims of Oscar Cullmann. But from this starting point correct. Here I am in general agreement with those scholars who see the μυστήριον of God at every turn as the key to an understanding of Cullmann's tracing hidden references to the sacramental revelation of regular references to the sacraments in John, including the celebrated the gospel as a whole is, in my opinion, carrying a basic truth too far.22 If there is sufficient evidence to show that pre-Johannine Christian-

ij

¹⁹ For a lucid presentation of this case see Joachim Jeremias, "The Words of Institution," in Patrick McGoldrick, ed., *Understanding the Eucharist. Papers of* the Maynooth Union Summer School 1968 (Dublin: Gill and Macmillan, 1969) Words of Jesus (London: SCM, 1966) 18–28. Still a classic in all these discussions is the same author's The Eucliaristic

¹⁵ Schneiders, "Symbolism and the Sacramental Principle," 235.

¹⁶ See especially Schneiders, "The Foot Washing (John 13:1-20)," 81-82

cleansing and the sign of the Temple, the dialogue with Nicodemus and John 6 of time past in pure imagination if it did not ceaselessly find its nourishment in the rich soi the mystery which sheds light upon it, but the mystery itself would peter out NTS 27 (1980-81) 439-56. See especially p. 455: "The historical events call forth Xavier Léon-Dufour, "Towards a Symbolic Reading of the Fourth Gospel," present in the Spirit in his community makes the same texts sacramental. See at the level of Jesus in a non-sacramental way, and then argues that the risen Lord understanding for a proper evaluation of the Fourth Gospel. He interprets the 17 Xavier Léon-Dufour has argued for a deeper appreciation of two levels of

in the New Testament. (Revised ed. Peabody, Mass.: Hendrickson, 1997) 165-77 18 See further Francis J. Moloney, A Body Broken for a Broken People. Eucharist

and Dale C. Allison Jr., A Critical and Exegetical Commentary on the Gospel According to Saint Matthew. 3 vols. ICC (Edinburgh: T & T Clark, 1988–97) 3:676–91. Mt. 5,17-48. AnBib 71 (Rome: Biblical Institute Press, 1976) 25-40; W. D. Davies zur Theologie des Matthäus-Evangeliums. SANT 10 (3rd ed. Munich: Kösel, 1964) and its mission. See, for example, Wolfgang Trilling, Das Wahre Israel. Studien 28:16-20 is central to an understanding of the Matthean vision of his church ness, almost a compendium of Matthean theology." Gospel because so full of resonances with earlier passages, is, despite its terso-See p. 687: "The grand denouement, so consonant with the spirit of the whole 21-51; John P. Meier, Law and History in Matthew's Gospel. A Redactional Study of ²⁰ There is universal agreement among contemporary scholars that Matt

Gospel" (n. 17 above). ²¹ On this see Léon-Dufour, "Towards a Symbolic Reading of the Fourth

events, as here presented, contain in themselves, besides what is immediated conclusion on p. 56: "The implicit assumption of this Gospel is that the historical see pp. 38-59 (especially 47-50). His argument swivels around the important which does make some very valid points to which we shall eventually return. his theological and exegetical argument for the second element of his position. ²² Oscar Cullmann, Early Christian Worship. SBT 10 (London: SCM, 1953). For

To cite Brown's comment on Cullmann's position: "In fact, he often seems to fall back on the principle that since a passage could have been understood sacramentally, it was intended sacramentally."33

as I have mentioned, a solidly-based point of departure: the positive Bruce Vawter, Paul Niewalda, Louis Bouyer, David M. Stanley),24 has, indications of pre-Johannine literature that a variety of forms of sacramental life were part of early Christian worship.25 We should notice, however, that the only firmly established evidence we have for this sacra-This position, and the various scholars who follow it (Alf Corell. mental life relates to baptism and Eucharist.

mand to baptize in this gospel. He has a wide following among fellow There is an important difference between polemics and absence. For Bultmann it would be foreign to John's theological vision to present a Christ. The Fourth Evangelist was only interested in a personal union with Jesus through a commitment based purely on a loss of self (and ment of faith to the fact that God is made known in the scandal of the The most serious opposition has come from one of the outstanding Bultmann's central argument is that the Fourth Gospel was originally written as an anti-sacramental document, and he can immediately point to the complete absence of words of institution and of any com-German scholars (for example, Eduard Schweizer, Helmut Koester, and Eduard Lohse) and also, in subsequent years, among North American scholars. Only a minority would claim that the Fourth Gospel is "anti"human "cultic place" where one could have some sort of union with thus the gaining of authenticity) that comes about in a radical commit-Word (the daß of the proclamation, and not the was).26 Even Bultmann, Of course the pro-sacramentalists have not had it all their own way. New Testament scholars of the twentieth century, Rudolf Bultmann. sacramental, but some would suggest that it was non-sacramental.

however, would admit that there are three places in the gospel where he sacraments of baptism and Eucharist are explicitly mentioned: John 3:5: "no one can enter the kingdom of God without being born of water and Spirit."

John 6:51c-58: There are apparent eucharistic possibilities in almost every verse. The main ones are:

- v. 51c: "the bread that I will give for the life of the world is my flesh."
- v. 53: "unless you eat ($\phi \alpha \gamma \epsilon \tilde{\iota} v$ is used) the flesh of the Son of Man and drink his blood, you have no life in you.
- v. 54: "Those who eat (τρώγειν is used)²⁷ my flesh and drink my blood have eternal life."
- v. 56: "Those who eat (τρώγειν is used) my flesh and drink my blood abide in me, and I in them."

John 19:34: The blood and water flowing from the pierced side of the crucified Christ, especially in light of 1 John 5:7-8: "There are three that testify: the Spirit and the water and the blood, and these three

added by what Bultmann calls an "ecclesiastical redactor." In simple terms, he argues that these clearly sacramental passages have been added to an anti-sacramental gospel at a later stage in order to make it gospel as the evangelist originally compiled it. They have all been But for Bultmann not one of these passages belongs to the original conform to the life and practice of the "greater Church."

of the word in v. 63: "It is the spirit that gives life; the flesh is uscless." nal difficulties and tensions within the Fourth Gospel that need explanation. In John 6 there appears to be a contradiction in the positive use of the word "flesh" in vv. 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, and 56 and the negative use to the conservative hand of an ecclesiastical redactor may not, in the We must be careful not to ridicule these suggestions. There are inter-Bultmann's source theory and his allocation of all sacramental material

perceptible, references to further facts of salvation with which these once-for-all key events are bound up."

²³ Brown, "The Johannine Sacramentary," 55.

²⁴ See the references in nn. 4 and 5 above for further discussion and details.

²⁵ See Cullmann, Early Christian Worship 7-36 for his analysis of what he calls the "basic characteristics" of that worship. He is over-optimistic, and many scholars would argue against some of his firm historical conclusions because they seem to be based on fragile evidence. For a better assessment see Ferdinand Hahn, The Worship of the Early Church. Translated by David E. Green (Philadelphia: Fortress, 1973).

²⁶ See Rudolf Bultmann, Theology of the New Testament. 2 vols. (London: SCM,

²⁷ There is a commonly used verb available to speak of the human process of contexts where stress is given to the physical "munching" or "crunching" of food. It is more commonly used of animal feeding than of human. On this see eating; $\dot{\epsilon}$ obleet and its aorist form $\dot{\epsilon}$ and $\dot{\epsilon}$. The verb $\dot{\epsilon}$ owlet is normally used in Ceslas Spicq, "TPΩΓEIN est-il synonyme de ΦΑΓΕΙΝ et de EΣΘΙΕΊΝ dans le Nouveau Testament?" NTS 26 (1979-80) 414-19.

^{324-25; 325-28; 677-78.} For an explanation of Bultmann's source theory see 28 See Bultmann, Theology 2:3–14; idem, The Gospel of John: A Commentary. Translated by G. R. Beasley-Murray (Oxford: Blackwells, 1971) 138--40; 300; Moloney, The Gospel of John 85-86.

early, but I see no need to omit them from an authentic interpretation sions that abound in this gospel,29 but they do take us back to a point summarized my position well when he wrote: of the Johannine gospel as we now have it. Raymond E. Brown again 6:51c-58, and 19:34 came into the Johannine tradition late rather than munity. I am prepared to accept that the sacramental passages in 3:5, tory within the life and faith experience of a concrete Christian commade earlier: this gospel was not written overnight. It had a long hisend, provide a satisfactory solution to the literary and theological ten-

of seeing different degrees of sacramentality in the work of the evangelist original Gospel was non-Sacramental or anti-Sacramental. It is a question the final redaction does not mean any acceptance of the theory that the and that of the final redactor.³⁰ The recognition that some of the explicit Sacramental references belong to

is often a subtle use of that life story from the past to root community methods of approach and different criteria. From Cullmann we must also learn that the gospel may reflect a long and perhaps troubled sepractice of the present in his life. From Bultmann, however, we must learn that the gospel as a whole is the life story of Jesus, and that there The two opposing positions I have just outlined show different

standing the Fourth Gospel (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1991), and Paul N of John 6. WUNT 2nd ser. 78 (Tübingen: Mohr; Valley Forge, Pa.: Trinity Press in the gospel. In the end each of these scholars parts ways with Bultmann, but in an appreciation of Bultmann's approach to literary and theological tensions Anderson, The Christology of the Fourth Gospel: Its Unity and Disunity in the Light going significance to New Testament scholarship. See John Ashton, Underthis contemporary recognition of his asking the right questions tells of his on-International, 1996) ²⁹ Two important recent books on the Fourth Gospel have their starting point

stages of development! For the citation see Brown, John 1:cxii. It is here that I tary and the almost twenty years since I first wrote this study both of us became a growing text. In the more than thirty years since Brown wrote his commenthe Johannine community I would argue for a growing awareness, reflected in more contemporary understanding of the developing theological awareness of awareness within the Johannine community. See pp. 449-54. In the light of a text itself (e.g., introduction of "water" into 3.5) to show a growing sacramental sense to a Jewish audience as they stand, and that there are no indications in the journey of faith, he insists, for example, that 3:5 and 6:53-58 would make perfect ing." Ignoring all the recent work done on the Johannine community and its would differ from the suggestions of Léon-Dufour, "Towards a Symbolic Read less certain that we could distinguish between the evangelist and the redactor! 30 And, I would add, the Spirit-filled journey of a community behind all the

> of its close contacts with that minimum. a firmly based "minimum," more material might come to light because way to start an investigation, since it is possible that, once we establish tant material will escape them. However, I believe that this is a sounder nal" to the material. They attempt to provide some reliable "rule of ria are well-established and widely used. They are somewhat "extersacramental teaching in the Fourth Gospel. The first two of these criteto steer a middle course, offering four criteria for the discovery of nary christological and ecclesiological complexity. I would like, now ries of internal and external conflicts, producing a gospel of extraordi-"negative" criteria, and I suppose there is a danger that some importhumb" by which the exegete may work. In many ways these are rather

which the evangelist then further explains as meaning "Sent" (v. 7) the miracle is effected by contact with water at the pool of Siloam, blind in John 9, a story in many ways parallel to the cure in 5:1-8. Here comes particularly clear when one looks to the curing of the man born makes a baptismal understanding of John 5 most unlikely.³¹ This beextraordinarily obtuse. This lack of elements within the text itself understanding or life of faith in the cured man; in fact, he appears to be water would heal (see v. 7). Jesus transcends that tradition and heals take your mat and walk" (v. 8). The tradition at the pool was that the water, but independently of it, simply at the word of Jesus: "Stand up, tion of the man (a positive element in itself) is not effected through example, of the curing of the paralytic in John 5:1-8. In fact, the restora-3:3 about "seeing the kingdom of God." The same cannot be said, for "entering the kingdom of God," expanding on the earlier statement in erence to a "rebirth," the use of the word "water," and the idea of journey of confessions of faith:32 light as the series of interrogations of the man leads him through a by the power of his word. The sequel to the miracle shows no further tal ritual and symbol. For example, in John 3:5 there is the explicit refitself indicating that the author is referring to some form of sacramen-The cure is followed by a gradual movement to theological sight and The first criterion must be a rigorous search for elements in the text

- To his friends he says: "The man called Jesus" worked a miracle
- To the Pharisees he says: "He is a prophet" (v. 17

³¹ For a study of John 5, with detailed bibliography, see Moloncy, Son of Man

³² For further detail see ibid. 142–59