Introduction

When a man rises to pray, if he is situated outside the land of Israel he should face toward Israel and direct his thoughts toward Jerusalem, the Temple and the Holy of Holies. If he is situated in the land of Israel, he should face toward Jerusalem and fix his thoughts toward the Temple and the Holy of Holies. If he is situated in Jerusalem, he should face toward the Temple, and direct his thoughts toward the Holy of Holies.¹

At the entrance to the plaza of the Western Wall, the cry of "Hinachta tefillin?—Have you donned phylacteries today?" draws one's focus toward a stall to the left. A young man in bermuda shorts and sunglasses stiffly twists the shiny black leather straps around his left forearm, his eyes intently meeting those of his instructor as he responsively enunciates the guttural intonations of the Hebrew blessing. A few paces further into the plaza, another cry catches the ear:

A few paces further into the plaza, another cry catches the ear: "Minchah! Minchah!" Waving his arm, a man in a business suit, playing the role of ritual traffic cop, steers the incoming flow of men to-

swaying slowly over a large-print edition of the Psalms, her whispers broken only by the cries emanating from the baby carriage she gently black stockings, her hair tucked under a simple kerchief, a woman sits women's—the activity is quieter and more private. In a long dress and the mechitzah—the partition dividing the men's section from the will collectively recite the afternoon service. On the other side of Eastern Europe and of Yemen, of Brooklyn and of Birmingham, they ward a velvet-covered lectern, where, in the tones and accents of

other, coins jangle against one another, vocalizing her silent appeal. cate from the chief rabbinate attesting to her destitution, and in the hands out; in the one, she bears a worn, laminated, Hebrew certifi-An older woman limps her way from person to person with both

Wall simultaneously, and the gush of memorized prayers begins to flow traces the contours of the massive, dressed stones. As the tourist carean open spot next to a soldier in olive drabs, he raises his finger and Jew in black garb rushes up; his lips and swaying ear locks brush the fully eyes a crevice stuffed with small notes of paper, an exuberant issue, gray, cardboard skullcap and approaches the Wall. Taking up Back on the men's side of the mechitzah, a tourist adjusts his public-

complex, destroyed in 70 C.E. derives from its identity as the last remnant of the second Temple culture draws Jews of all backgrounds to stand together before the are drawn inexorably to a common shoreline, the tide of history and Western Wall. They see in it an enduring symbolic strength, which Just as stones and shells of many shapes and colors from a vast sea

lengthy appeal for the reconstruction of the Temple. Over one-third Meals, which is ostensibly a litany of thanks, he offers a digressive and the Temple service be restored. When a Jew recites the Grace after The traditional prayers recited three times a day include petitions that to every Jew—point to the centrality of the Temple in Jewish thought. The liturgy and the Bible—the classical sources that are accessible

> Introduction de l'agretan - Dan Reconstructure - Roman limburhan - land

central theme of the entire Bible. road to construction, destruction, and reconstruction—emerges as a ments relate directly to the Temple and the activities within it. From of the verses of the Torah and over half of the 613 biblical command. the conquest of Joshua until the return of Ezra, the Temple—in its

theologically inconsistent with enlightened man's view of God. Judaism label it and its rites the vestiges of paganism. The concept of from a "bad" reputation. Critics from the more liberal branches of hearts of Jews everywhere, the Temple suffers in contemporary circles "house" for an omnipresent and incorporeal creator is said to be However, for all its centrality in classical sources and within the

envisions the rebuilding of the Temple. gathered into the holy land, and the messiah will cause lion and lamb to dwell in harmony. It is within this apocalyptic frame that the Jew rarefied age in which the dead will be resurrected, all exiles will be ential reference, for he lives in a Temple-less age. Often he will confered in the Temple. In the other image he sighs in anticipation of a miracles documented His existence and power, and sacrifices were of the land, prophets spoke the word of God in absolute authority, days—which, in fact, were few in number—when valorous kings ruled about the Temple, he is forced to abandon his own frame of experijure two complementary images. In the one, he feels nostalgia for the to the present age. When the traditional Jew is summoned to think where God's presence dwells, and even less to say about its relevance but oftentimes for Orthodox Jews as well. Many traditionally minded Jews have little to say about the Temple other than that it is the place The image of the Temple is problematic, not only for liberal Jews,

preindependence that preceded it. Alternatively, a culture that has dence—its citizens will speak of the age of statehood and the era of values. In the life of a nation, time may be oriented around indepenapplies to great periods of time are a telling indicator of his prime in the language of halakhic discourse as well. The labels a person This sense of distance from the reality of the Temple is heightened

Introduction

often made is that between bachelorhood and married life. endured armed conflict will speak of the prewar and postwar periods in its history. In the life of an individual, a chronological orientation

the people. its significance, as he lives in what has been a very protracted zeman devout cannot help but feel a sense of distance from the Temple and sciousness of the radical distinction between the two, even the most of the halakhically sensitive Jew. Because he is infused with a conorientation. There are no similar terms to describe the distinction ha-zeh—a present age in which the Temple plays no role in the life of between an age when the Temple stands and an age when it does not. This phenomenon has a subliminal effect on the time-consciousness qualitative distinction that this Jew makes with regard to history is bizman ha-zeh (the present age) and those that can only be fulfilled the Halakhah and a period when they do not.2 The most significant bizman ha-bayit (in an age when the Temple stands). For those whose between a period when the majority of the Jewish people observe the zeman ha-zeh and the zeman ha-bayit is a pillar of chronological convictions stem from talmudic writings, the distinction between rabbinic scholars was between commandments that are applicable from halakhic writings? A primary distinction made by medieval How is history oriented for the individual whose worldview stems

organ within the body of modern Jewish thought. would be hard-pressed to explain why. It lies dormant as a vestigial Although the Temple takes a central place in our supplications, many to come, the Temple is anticipated but rarely discussed or understood. Nowadays, when prophets no longer speak and the messiah is yet

and political tension. Occasionally these tensions spill over, as they of, and access to, the Temple Mount and the very concept of a third saw the recapture of Jerusalem and of the Temple Mount. Possession suffers attacks from another realm as well. The Six-Day War in 1967 holy to both Judaism and Islam, it is the focal point for much religious Temple have emerged as politically explosive issues. Since the site is While the Temple is assailed by some on theological grounds, it

> did in October 1990, resulting in rioting and bloodshed on and around Introduction XIX

the Temple Mount.

of the Rock were destroyed. To be pro-Temple is to be branded a fundamentalist in an age when fundamentalism is the anathema of the religiously intolerant, for the Temple could only be rebuilt if the Dome any sense of the term is to be antipeace. To be pro-Temple is to be concept of Temple is seen as equally suspect. To be "pro-Temple" in are quickly made. It is not only that the concrete desire to rebuild the Western world. Temple has become taboo, but any positive value attached to the tainted in the eyes of many with more moderate views. Associations has been commandeered by the religious political right, it has become the banner of the third Temple. Because the very concept of Temple It is generally extreme religious right-wing political groups that raise

Wall, it behooves us to have an understanding of the role of the more narrowly on Jerusalem, and most fixedly on the Temple Mount. cal climate likewise focuses our attention, and the world's, on Israel, ing and significance to a modern, Temple-less world. The geopolitiand the Talmud mandates a study that restores the Temple's meanon the Temple. The centrality of the Temple in the Bible, the liturgy, concentrate on Israel, more narrowly on Jerusalem, and most fixedly spective. The talmudic passage cited at the outset calls upon us to lemple within our tradition. If we are to make absolutist claims to Jerusalem and to the Western devoted to the theology of the Temple from a classical Jewish perhard-pressed to find a written overview in either English or Hebrew It is the desire of the author to rebuild the Temple's image. One is

an exploration of its biblical roots. comprehensive overview of the meaning of the Temple and its role study incorporates sources from the entire spectrum of the rabbinic in society. This work hopes to give insight into the Temple through tradition. However, it is the Bible that gives the earliest and most ish literature—biblical, talmudic, kabbalistic, and poetic. The present Sources relating to the Temple can be found in every genre of Jew-

xx Introduction

THE TEMPLE AS SYMBOL

Contrary to the <u>popular misconception</u> that the Temple is solely a sacrificial center, the Temple needs to be construed as part of an organic whole and cannot be studied in isolation. As the center of Israel's national and spiritual life, it relates integrally to many of the institutional pillars of the Jewish faith—the Sabbath, the land of Israel, kingship, and justice, to mention just a few.

In this study we will address the symbolism and iconography of the Temple. Symbols are a cornerstone of the collective consciousness of a culture, and it behooves us to mention a few notes about symbolism as a backdrop for this study. Many voices within the rabbinic tradition maintain that belief in God is meant to be practiced and manifested amid the symbolic actions embodied in the mitguot. But why are all these actions necessary? Why is faith alone insufficient? It is through concrete acts of religious observance that religious conviction emerges on the human plane. Symbols provide us a vocabulary with which to perceive metaphysical and divine reality.

Seen in this perspective, the need to understand the symbolism of the Temple is particularly acute. The Temple represents the presence of the infinite, omnipresent, and incorporeal—what the kabbalists called the ein sof—in a limited, physical space: "Make for Me a sanctuary and I shall dwell in their midst" (Exodus 25:8). Man lacks the conceptual framework with which to comprehend God's true essence, let alone its limitation, in some way, to a house of stone. It is when man's analytic capacities fail him that symbols allow him to relate to such phenomena and integrate them into his weltanschauung. Our conception of God and relationship to Him stand to be sharpened through understanding the form and structure of the Temple and its rituals.

Beyond their significance as the embodiment of concepts, symbols also play an important role in the cohesion of a society. Individuals are bonded due to the influence of the symbols upheld by society. This was the opinion of Emile Durkheim, the father of modern sociology, in his 1912 *The Elementary Forms of the Religious Life*. If every symbol

Introduction

XX

contributes to the collective identity of a culture, then within Judaism the symbolic social function of the Temple is of paramount importance, for the Temple is the symbol that lies at the very heart of
the biblical conception of society. In an age of national renewal, an
understanding of this symbolic focal point can only help inform our
reemerging national identity.

A study of the symbolism of the Temple can shed light, not only on our conception of God and on our collective identity, but on other symbols as well. The structuralist school of sociology emphasizes the interconnection of symbols as threads of a tapestry. The synagogue and its appurtenances, such as the Ark, the city of Jerusalem, and the institution of collective prayer, are only a few of the symbols and rituals directly related to the Temple. To understand the Temple is to shed new light on them all.

It is worth noting at the outset, for the sake of precision, that when speaking of the Temple, we need to distinguish between three related, yet distinct, terms. *Tabernacle* will refer to the transient structure that was erected by the Israelites in the wilderness and remained their central site of worship upon entry into the land of Israel. *Temple* will refer to the structure erected in Jerusalem by Solomon, and later again by the returnees from Babylon. *Sanctuary* will be used as a generic term that refers to both, with reference to the elements that are constant between them.

HERMENEUTICS: A MODERN APPROACH TO TRADITIONAL EXEGESIS

This book is an exploration of the concept of Temple in Jewish thought, through its biblical roots. The Bible, however, is read in very different ways by different readers. It is necessary, therefore, at the outset, to delineate the approach to the biblical text that will be employed in this study.

My analysis will address the masoretic text from a conceptual frame- \\ work that is in consonance with the rabbinic tradition. This book employs an exegetical strategy that has gained far wider exposure to a



develop themes and leitmotifs across passages, across entire books ever, we will attempt to draw broad parallels between sections and and, indeed, across the entire Bible. of the text itself of the medieval exegetes. At the same time, howa text to be closely read within its own context. In this book, I atthe biblical text with the precision and commitment to the meaning tempt to combine these two genres. On the one hand, we will read biblical verse as a springboard for broader discussions, rather than as ceptual statements. These midrashim, however, often seem to use the of midrash, on the other hand, is often telescopic in its view, weaving together disparate figures and passages in sweeping thematic and conevolution of broad themes, or motifs, across entire books. The genre commentaries of these exegetes rarely demonstrate a concern for the the notable exception of R. Moses Nachmanides (1194-1270), the concern was to elucidate the local meaning of a word or verse. With and midrashic scope, on the other. The medieval exegetes, by and strategy combines elements of medieval exegesis, on the one hand large, engaged in close readings of the biblical text. Their primary Hebrew readership than it has in the pages of English Judaica. This

For those approaching the work from outside a traditional Jewish framework, this work is one of Orthodox biblical theology and does not relate to the historical development of the concept of Temple in ancient Israel. The exegetical approach is literary, and it has been inspired by the writings of the likes of Benno Jacob, Robert Alter, James Kugel, and Gustav Fokkelman. Through close readings, it offers a distinct emphasis on compositional structure, leitmotif, and language.

When a Jew prays, he is called upon to direct his thoughts toward the Temple and toward the Holy of Holies. It is my hope that this book will enable the reader to attain a deeper understanding of the Temple, and consequently, a greater place for it in his heart.

Joshua Berman Alon Shevut

What Is Kedushah?

In Hebrew, the term beit ha-mikdash, conventionally rendered as temple, literally means a house of kedushah—of holiness. At the outset, then, it is appropriate to ask, what is kedushah?

NOT "HOLY," NOT "SACRED"

It is of little help to simply translate the term *kedushah* into English. Something *kadosh* is interchangeably said to be either sacred, or holy, or endowed with sanctity. However, because our culture is one in which religion plays only a peripheral role, our sensitivity to the distinctions of religious language has eroded. Seen in their original contexts, these three words are hardly synonymous. *Holy* comes from the German *heilig*, meaning "complete or whole." *Sanctity* stems from the Latin *sanctum*, meaning "walled off." *Sacred*, also Latin in origin, comes from the word *sacrum*, which means "dedicated to the gods." In a predominantly secular society, the words *sanctity* and *sacred* are often

7

The Temple

used in a sense denuded of religious connotation and are taken to mean "inviolate." This is a usage that relates neither to their etymological origins, nor to their later religious connotations. It is in this vein that we speak of the sanctity of marriage. Likewise, when we refuse to deviate from a small detail of etiquette or object to the deletion of an item in an annual budget, we often do so on the grounds that each is sacred. The many translations of kedushah, therefore, allow only a distorted glimpse of the original meaning of the term.

MANY JEWISH MEANINGS

originates—the biblical context. this chapter we will examine the context in which the term kedushah alone, a single definition of the term kedushah seems unavailable.³ In kedushah referred to the experience man feels as he encounters God through the Halakhah. Thus, even when examining Jewish sources scendants, kedushah was a metaphysical property whose theurgic signi-Vital, in his Sha'ar Kedushah, kedushah referred to a person's characficance is discerned in the heavenly realms. For R. Joseph Soloveitchik, with earthly pleasures, even when these are permitted within the literal Nachmanides, in his commentary to Leviticus 19:2, understood that ter and his traits. Within this conception, a person achieves a state of ent contexts throughout the ages. For the Italian poet and ethicist can only conclude that kedushah has meant different things in differletter of the Halakhah. For the kabbalists and their philosophical dekedushah when he reaches a degree of moral and spiritual perfection. Mesilat Yesharim, and for the late-sixteenth-century kabbalist R. Chaim R. Moshe Chaim Luzzatto (1707-1746), in the last chapter of his However, when the Jew examines the spectrum of his tradition, he kedushah that is independent of the terminology of other cultures. examine Jewish sources alone and deduce an understanding of the call to kedushah was a call to asceticism, to limit one's engagement The temptation, then, is to try to define kedushah from within—to

w

_

mos 42 Osweas by his his his here

What Is Kedushah?

A BIBLICAL DEFINITION

The list of entities described as *kadosh* in the Bible is lengthy and varied. On the one hand, *kedushah* describes God's essence. "Who is like You, majestic in holiness" (Exodus 15:11), declared the Children of Israel at the crossing of the Red Sea. "My Lord God swears by His holiness" (Amos 4:2), proclaims the prophet Amos.⁴

However, the term *kedushah* has broad application with regard to mundane entities as well. It can describe groups of people, such as the priests and the nation of Israel; periods of time, such as the Sabbath and festivals; objects, such as first fruits, tithes, and sacrificial animals; places, like Jerusalem and the Temple—all are described as being *kadosh*.

For one familiar with the Bible, or with halakhic practice, the notion that God is *kadosh*, or that the Sabbath, the priests, the Temple, et. al. are *kadosh*, is commonplace, even if it is somewhat unclear exactly what is meant when it is said that these entities are *kadosh*.

are called by many names—tzadik (righteous), chasid (pious), yashar of the righteous individual's relationship with God. Its protagonists scribed by God as avdi-My servant (Numbers 14:24). Samuel is decalled ish Elokim—a man of God (Deuteronomy 33:1). Caleb is decall a righteous person, one who is saintly and pious, a "holy" person. sive indeed when we note two ways in which it is strikingly absent (straight in the path of God), ohev Torah (a lover of the Torah)—to however, are called kadosh. The Book of Psalms may be seen as a record scribed as ne'eman—faithful or loyal to God (1 Samuel 3:20). None, Bible uses to describe its heroes, we arrive at a surprising conclusion. The Bible is replete with characters who would seem apt for the appelkedushah with reference to individuals. In our culture, we are apt to from the biblical record. The first concerns the use of the term Noah is termed ish tzadik—a righteous man (Genesis 6:9). Moses is lation kadosh. However, when we examine the nomenclature that the However, the precise meaning of the term kedushah becomes elu-

mention several, but none are called kadosh. It would seem, then, that

the Bible does not characterize a righteous individual as kadosh. the term kadosh cannot be used to describe an individual's character, but merely by a minor character within the story, serves only to highneither by God, nor by a prophet, nor even by the biblical narrator, comes this way regularly" (2 Kings 4:9). The fact that this term is used Elisha, "I am sure that it is a holy man of God (ish Elokim kadosh) who no matter how "holy" he may be. 5 In fact, throughout the entire Bible light the exceptional nature of this usage. The general rule remains: there is but a single occasion where an individual is described as kadosh. he wealthy woman of Shunem says, in reserence to the prophet

question more pertinent, however, than with regard to the site of the of God and portal to the heavens (Genesis 28:17). Nowhere is this concerns its absence from the patriarchal record of Genesis. In light binding of Isaac. Mount Moriah emerges later in the Bible as the site to Jacob in a dream, and which Jacob concluded was the very house self to Jacob. The same could be said for Beth-El, where God appeared River (Genesis 32:24) to become kadosh once the angel revealed him-Moses and of Joshua, we might have expected the banks of the Jabbok Book of Genesis likewise declared holy? In light of the experiences of revelation become holy, why are none of the sites of revelation in the feet, "for the place where you stand is hely" (Joshua 5:15). If sites of the angel of God appeared to him and commanded him to bare his distance because he was treading on admat kodesh tholy ground. In ing the careers of the patriarchs. By contrast, when God appeared to not a single entity is described as kadosh in the entire narrative covershould serve as an exception. What is astonishing, however, is that of our discussion concerning the use of the term kadosh to describe like fashion, we find that as Joshua prepared for the capture of Jericho, Moses at the burning bush (Exodus(3:5), Moses was told to hold his described as kadosh, there is no reason why the heroes of Genesis called kadosh. If, as a rule, throughout the Bible, individuals are not righteous individuals, it is no surprise that none of the patriarchs is A second peculiar aspect of the biblical use of the term kedushah

of kedushah! Why did sites of revelation assume kedushah when God spoke to Moses and Joshua but not when He communicated with the spacial realm. Nonetheless, Abraham is not told that the spot is one of the Temple itself (2 Chronicles 3:1)—the apex of kedushah in the

patriarchs unapprised of this destiny? enter a special relationship with God as His people (Genesis 17:8). emerge from their midst (Genesis 17:6, 35:11), and that they would esis 12:2)—a blessed people (Genesis 22:18)—that kings would were told that their descendants would become a great nation (Genpatriarchs concerning the future of the Jewish people. The patriarchs kadosh dozens of times throughout the Bible. Why, then, were the an am kadosh—a holy people. The Jewish people are called an am Never were they told, however, that their descendants would become becomes even more striking when we examine the promises to the The omission of the term kedushah from the patriarchal annals

teous individuals never termed kadosh? the term nearly absent from the Book of Genesis, and why are righthen, does it mean when the Bible labels something kadosh? Why is ation which He had done" (2:3): The Sabbath seems never to have declared it holy, because on it God ceased from all the work of crementioned precisely once: "And God blessed the seventh day and Israel following the splitting of the Red Sea (Exodus 16:23). What, been revealed to the patriarchs, and is only related to the Children of A review of the entire Book of Genesis reveals that kedushah is

overtones, many have noted that the root k.d.sh. means "set aside" or On the basis of these occurrences, which have absolutely no sacral there is nothing holy about a prostitute or the destroyers of Judeal kadosh (ve-kidashti) destroyers against you" (Jeremiah 22:7). Certainly, ens the king of Judah for fraudulent behavior, He says, "I will make can be sharpened by examining how the root k.d.sh. is biblically applied kedeshah (Genesis 38:21-22; Deuteronomy 23:18). When God threatin nonsacral contexts. A prostitute is sometimes referred to as a Our understanding of kedushah in the sense that we call holiness