Glycocalyx Remodeling with Proteoglycan Mimetics Promotes Neural Specification in Embryonic Stem Cells
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**ABSTRACT:** Growth factor (GF) signaling is a key determinant of stem cell fate. Interactions of GFs with their receptors are often mediated by heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs). Here, we report a cell surface engineering strategy that exploits the function of HSPGs to promote differentiation in embryonic stem cells (ESCs). We have generated synthetic neoproteoglycans (neoPGs) with affinity for the fibroblast growth factor 2 (FGF2) and introduced them into plasma membranes of ESCs deficient in HS biosynthesis. There, the neoPGs assumed the function of native HSPGs, rescued FGF2-mediated kinase activity, and promoted neural specification. This glycocalyx remodeling strategy is versatile and may be applicable to other types of differentiation.

**Figure 1.** Glycocalyx remodeling strategy for influencing stem cell specification. HSPGs are required for FGF signaling during development (A). Synthetic neoproteoglycans (neoPGs) are introduced to surfaces of ESCs deficient in HS biosynthesis to rescue FGF signaling and enable differentiation (B). Glycan building blocks derived from native HS serve as growth factor recognition elements for neoPGs (C).

**Herein, we report a cell-surface engineering strategy that targets GAG-mediated growth factor signaling to influence stem cell specification.**

Glycocalyx remodeling has emerged as a powerful strategy for introducing specific glycan epitopes to the cell surface, where they can mediate a range of biological processes. This can be achieved through manipulation of metabolic pathways responsible for glycan biosynthesis, by covalent grafting of glycans to surface proteins, or through passive insertion of lipid-functionalized glycoconjugates into the cell membrane. The latter approach is particularly appealing, as it has minimal impact on existing membrane structures. For instance, elegant studies by Bertozzi and co-workers using synthetic lipid-functionalized glycopolymers have revealed the roles of various glycocalyx components in receptor oligomerization and immunomodulation. Most recently, lipid-terminated chondroitin sulfate GAGs from natural sources were introduced onto rat cortical neurons, where they enhanced nerve growth factor-mediated signaling and promoted neural outgrowth. Unfortunately, native HS is highly structurally heterogeneous and not amenable to the targeting of specific growth factor interactions.

The synthesis of uniform HS polysaccharides poses a significant challenge, whereas shorter HS oligomers that are more synthetically manageable typically exhibit limited biological activity. This shortcoming can be remedied by taking advantage of multivalency effects. Seeberger and co-workers first demonstrated that dendrimers functionalized with...
synthetic HS hexasaccharides were able to potentiate Erk1/2 signaling. A major breakthrough followed when Hsieh-Wilson and her co-workers showed that soluble linear polymers decorated with synthetic GAG disaccharides were able to inhibit neural outgrowth and alter chemokine activity.

Inspired by this minimalistic approach, we designed a strategy for generating mimetics of HSPGs—neoproteoglycans or neoPGs—that completely obviates the need for GAG synthesis (Figure 1C). For the recognition element in our glycopolymers, we chose disaccharides (diGAGs) generated by depolymerization of HS by bacterial heparinases and available in pure form from commercial sources. The diGAG structures were incorporated into a poly(acrylamide) scaffold decorated with pendant N-methylaminooxy groups, which are reactive toward the hemiacetal functionality of the reducing glycans. We developed a microarray platform to identify neoPG candidates with specificity toward FGF2 that can be introduced into the glyocalyx of Ext1−/− mESCs to promote their differentiation into NPCs.

First, we prepared a key polymer intermediate 6 primed for diGAG conjugation and terminated with an azido group for covalent immobilization on cyclooctyne-coated glass via the strain-promoted azide–alkyne cycloaddition (Scheme 1).

RAFT polymerization of Boc-protected N-methylaminooxypropylacrylamide monomer (1) in the presence of an azide-terminated chain transfer agent 2 and a radical initiator produced poly(acrylamide) 4 with good control over molecular weight (DP ~200) and with narrow chain length distribution (PDI = 1.18). The trithiocarbonate end group in 4 was removed by treatment with n-butylamine in THF, and the liberated sulfhydryl group was conjugated to a tetramethylrhodamine (TAMRA) maleimide to provide a fluorescent label for quantification. The side chains in 4 were deprotected using trimethylsilyl chloride and phenol to give the desired intermediate 6.

Conjugation of diGAGs as well as several glucosamine derivatives to polymer 6 proceeded smoothly under acidic conditions (1 M acetate buffer, pH = 4.5) at 50 °C to afford a library of neoPG structures 7A–Q (Figure 2). Using 1H NMR analysis, we established the extent of incorporation for the individual glycans (Table S1). Not surprisingly, we observed a decrease in glycan incorporation with increasing negative charge. Typical ligation efficiencies ranged from ~50%–70% for nonsulfated glycans to ~15% for the trisulfated diGAG, D2S619 (Table S1). Nonetheless, we anticipated that even the lowest ligation efficiency should provide sufficient valency (~30 diGAGs) to support FGF2 binding.

Azido-terminated neoPGs 7A–Q were microarrayed on cyclooctyne-functionalized glass and evaluated for binding of FGF2. Only a subset of our neoPG structures effectively engaged FGF2 (Figure 2). We observed that 2-O-sulfation on the uronic acid residue was required for FGF2 binding with additional affinity derived from 6-O-sulfation of the glucosamine unit, consistent with known FGF2 specificities for GAG motifs. Neither neoPG 7A carrying the nonsulfated diGAG (D0A0) nor neoPG 7N decorated with N-acetylglucosamine-6-O-sulfate (GlCNac-6S) showed any appreciable binding of the growth factor, indicating a requirement for both the disaccharide motif and a specific sulfation pattern for recognition (Figure 2). FGF2 binding across the entire library was abolished in the presence of soluble heparin (1 μg/mL), further confirming that FGF2 binding to neoPGs was glycan-specific (Figure S39). Normalizing the fluorescence intensities of Alexa Fluor 647 used for the detection of FGF2 in the microarray to the TAMRA signal associated with the underlying glycopolymers provided a semiquantitative means to rank the neoPGs according to their relative affinity for FGF2 (Figure S39). The best FGF2 binder that emerged from our screen was neoPG 7D carrying the 2,6-O-disulfated diGAG, D2A6 (Figure 2).

To evaluate whether the ability of neoPGs to bind FGF2 established in our microarray screen can be recapitulated on the surface of mESCs, we synthesized analogs of neoPGs 7A, D, and N functionalized with a phospholipid tail for membrane insertion and an Alexa Fluor 488 (AF488) tag for imaging (neoPGs 11, Scheme 1). Incubation of Ext1−/− mESCs in solutions of neoPGs 11 in base media at 37 °C for 1 h led to a successful introduction of the polymers to the cell surface (Figure 3A). The amount of neoPG delivered to the cell surface

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Neoproteoglycans

![Scheme 1](image_url)
is proportional to the polymer concentration in the media and the incubation time, offering control over the extent of cell-surface remodeling (for optimization of these variables for neoPG 11N, see Figure S40). The degree of remodeling by the different neoPGs was assessed by fluorescence microscopy (Figure 3A). Interestingly, the nonsulfated neoPG 11A exhibited higher levels of membrane incorporation relative to 11D and 11N, presumably due to its lower overall negative charge. To sustain differentiation, the neoPGs need to remain active on the cell surface for a period of several hours. To establish the membrane residence time for our polymers, we cultured Ext1−/− mESCs remodeled with neoPG 11D and monitored its clearance from the cell surface using an anti-AF488 antibody. Satisfyingly, >50% of the neoPG still remained localized to the cell surface after 8 h (Figure S42).

In agreement with our microarray data, we observed enhanced FGF2 binding to Ext1−/− mESCs remodeled with neoPG 11D carrying the sulfated diGAG, D2A6, while 11A and N, which have undetectable affinity for FGF2, failed to recruit the growth factor to the cell surface (Figure 3A). To determine whether enhanced FGF2 binding also translated into induction of Erk1/2 phosphorylation required to initiate differentiation, we conducted a growth factor stimulation assay. Ext1−/− mESCs remodeled with neoPGs 11 were stimulated with exogenous FGF2 for 15 min. Changes in phosphorylation were assessed by Western blot analysis of protein isolated from cell lysates. As expected, induction of Erk1/2 signaling was observed only for neoPG 11D (Figure 3B) or in the presence of soluble heparin as reported previously.5

To assess whether neoPGs can induce neural specification in Ext1−/− mESCs, we performed differentiation in monolayer culture.20 Concordant with previous work, Ext1−/− mESCs failed to undergo neural specification after 6 days, as evidenced by expression of the pluripotency marker, Oct4.24 Gratifyingly, mESCs remodeled with neoPG 11D, which promotes FGF2 recruitment to the cells’ surface and stimulates the associated Erk1/2 signaling, successfully exited from their pluripotent state and formed characteristic nestin-positive neural rosettes with decreased Oct4 expression (Figure 4A).21 In comparison, neoPGs 11A and N, which do not engage FGF2, had no effect on differentiation and colonies expressing high levels of Oct4 similar to those in untreated Ext1−/− mESCs remained abundant (Figure 4B–D).

A dose-dependent reduction in the number of neural rosettes was observable upon decreasing the surface density of 11D (Figure 4F), which correlated with increased Oct4 expression as well as attenuated Erk1/2 phosphorylation in our stimulation assay (Figure S49). It should be noted that addition of soluble heparin at 5 μg/mL to the culture medium also rescued neural differentiation in Ext1−/− mESCs (Figure 4E). However, titers of heparin need to be established to prevent sequestering of FGF2 away from the cell surface and introduced continuously over a period of at least 4 days.4d This contrasts with the ability of neoPG 11D to sustain neural specification in Ext1−/− mESCs after only one initial treatment prior to differentiation. These results demonstrate the power of glyocalyx engineering as a strategy to influence cellular responses that ultimately determine the outcome of stem cell differentiation. We anticipate that this technology, powered by the ease of neoPG synthesis and the versatility of the microarray platform, can be rapidly extended to differentiation of other cell types, including therapeutically useful human pluripotent cells.
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