A multilevel framework to investigate cardiovascular health disparities among South Asian immigrants in the United States
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ABSTRACT
Purpose: Prior studies of cardiovascular health (CVH) disparities among immigrants of South Asian origin in the United States have examined South Asians as one homogenous group, focused primarily on Indian-origin immigrants, and examined risk at the individual level.

Methods: We present current knowledge and evidence gaps about CVH in the three largest South Asian-origin populations in the United States—Bangladeshi, Indian, and Pakistani—and draw on socioecological and lifecourse frameworks to propose a conceptual framework for investigating multilevel risk and protective factors of CVH across these groups.

Results: The central hypothesis is that CVH disparities among South Asian populations exist due to differences in structural and social determinants, including lived experiences like discrimination, and that acculturation strategies and resilience resources (e.g., neighborhood environment, education, religiosity, social support) ameliorate stressors to act as health protective factors.

Results: Conclusions: Our framework advances conceptualization of the heterogeneity and drivers of cardiovascular disparities in diverse South Asian-origin populations. We present specific recommendations to inform the design of future epidemiologic studies on South Asian immigrant health and the development of multilevel interventions to reduce CVH disparities and promote well-being.

© 2023 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

People of South Asian origin (Bangladeshi, Bhutanese, Indian, Maldivian, Nepalese, Pakistani, and Sri Lankan) account for almost a quarter of the world’s population, and the South Asian diaspora is one of the largest and most widespread across the globe [1]. Studies from Europe and North America have consistently shown that immigrants of South Asian origin experience significant atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD) disparities, as evidenced by increased risk factor burden, higher hospitalization, and mortality rates compared with North-American White and European-origin populations [2–5].

Abbreviations and acronyms: ASCVD, Atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease; CVH, Cardiovascular health; CVD, cardiovascular disease; MASALA, Mediators of Atherosclerosis in South Asians Living in America study; SEP, Socioeconomic position; U.S., United States; U.K., United Kingdom
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Both the 2016 European prevention guidelines and 2018 American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology multi-society cholesterol guidelines now include South Asian ethnicity as a risk-enhancer when considering the initiation of statin therapy [6,7]. However, South Asian is a broad label that encompasses the diverse populations of South Asia, and most certainly masks heterogeneity in cardiovascular risk. Moreover, use of this broad label and application in health research does not call attention to the structural and social factors that concentrate exposures and resources underlying cardiovascular disparities in minoritized communities.

The South Asian umbrella term includes people from Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, the Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, and Sri Lanka, and also members of the South Asian diaspora who migrated to other parts of the world, including the Caribbean, South America, Africa, Oceania, and Europe [1]. The people aggregated into the South Asian-origin category have diverse ethnic, linguistic, cultural, and religious backgrounds, as well as varied migration histories globally. Prior to 1947, the Indian subcontinent had been colonized by Britain for almost 200 years. In 1947, the Indian subcontinent was divided into two countries, India (a majority Hindu country) and Pakistan (a majority Muslim country). East Bengal, which was originally included in Pakistan, gained independence in 1971 and became Bangladesh. The division of the Indian subcontinent set off one of the largest forced migrations of people in history, and this event continues to shape the identity of South Asia creating displaced communities within and outside of South Asian nations [8]. Other South Asian countries, including Bhutan, Maldives, Nepal, and Sri Lanka have distinct political and economic histories which have also impacted migration and settlement patterns [9–11].

The South Asian population in the United States grew rapidly over the past decade, largely fueled by immigration (Table 1) [12]. Bangladeshis, Indians, and Pakistanis are the three largest South Asian groups in the United States; however, over the past decade, some of the smaller origin groups—such as Nepalese—experienced the fastest growth rates (Table 1) [13].

Approximately 70% of South Asian-origin people in the United States are first-generation immigrants [12].

### Current knowledge about CVH in immigrants from Bangladesh, India, and Pakistan

The majority of studies about ASCVD among South Asian communities in the United States have included predominantly Indian participants [14,15]. For example, in the Mediators of Atherosclerosis in South Asians Living in America (MASALA) study, the first federally funded longitudinal cohort of South Asians in the United States, 83% of participants are Indian immigrants (n = 965); only 6% are from Pakistan and 0.5% are from Bangladesh (2% were born in the United States, and the remaining 8% were born in other diaspora countries) [15]. The MASALA study has shown that South Asian Americans have a high prevalence of diabetes and hypertension [16], lower levels of physical activity, and more visceral adiposity and hepatic fat at a lower body mass index [17,18], than non-Hispanic White, Black, Hispanic, and Chinese Americans. However, since 2010 when MASALA was established, the Pakistani and Bangladeshi populations have grown substantially in the United States, and comprise 10% and 3% of South Asian immigrants, respectively [19]. Yet little is known about the health and well-being of these South Asian-origin people in the United States, and how their health may differ from Indians.

Studies in South Asia and from several South Asian diaspora communities demonstrate that cardiovascular health (CVH, a combination of behavioral and clinical risk factors: diet, physical activity, tobacco, sleep, obesity, diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia) [20] and ASCVD outcomes vary by country of origin. Among the five South Asian countries studied in the INTERHEART study, the highest prevalence of ASCVD risk factors was in Bangladesh [21,22].

Emerging evidence from diaspora countries also shows worse CVH in Bangladesh and Pakistani people than in Indian people. For example, Pakistani and Bangladeshi immigrants in Canada have a higher age-standardized incidence of a major cardiovascular event compared to Indian immigrants [4]. In both the United Kingdom (U.K.) and in Catalonia, Spain, poor CVH and ASCVD incidence are higher in Bangladesh and Pakistani individuals compared to Indians [2,5]. Preliminary data from the MASALA study showed that the unadjusted diabetes and obesity prevalence was higher in Pakistani participants compared to Indian participants [23]. However, clinical risk factors do not fully explain the elevated ASCVD risk observed among South Asians or the CVH disparities between South Asian subgroups. In the U.K. Biobank study, lower socioeconomic status among Bangladesh and Pakistani participants compared to Indian participants partially explained subgroup differences in CVH among South Asians [5]. While health data on disaggregated South Asian national groups in the United States is sparse, the American Community Survey (Table 2) shows wide gaps in educational attainment, income inequality, and English language proficiency between Bangladesh, Indian, and Pakistani immigrants [13]. Few studies have been designed to look at across-group differences and how multi-level determinants shape CVH exposures and outcomes among these populations. In 2021, the MASALA study received new funding to expand the current cohort to include people of Pakistani and Bangladeshi origin to better define differences in CVH between the three largest South Asian-origin groups, and to determine how biopsychosocial and ecological characteristics may associate and interact with country of origin to influence cardiovascular disparities (Clinical Trial Identifier: NCT01207167).

Although the high prevalence of ASCVD in people of South Asian origin overall may be bolstered in part by genetic variation, the patterns of genetic diversity within South Asians do not follow recently constructed national boundaries [24], and there is little evidence to support genetic heterogeneity as the main driver of differences in ASCVD between South Asian-origin groups [25]. The problem with aggregating all South Asians into a single category for ASCVD risk prediction is that this strategy signals a common genetic underpinning, masks intragroup cardiovascular health disparities, and disregards the social and structural inequities that drive health disparities. Studying the cardiovascular health of individual South Asian immigrant communities in the United States is a key opportunity to dispel the notion that racial or ethnic subgroup categories like “South Asian” are biological categories, and instead examine how heterogeneity in multilevel risk and protective factors underlie ASCVD disparities by country of origin and nativity. Critical knowledge gaps about the health of different South Asian populations limit public health and clinical efforts to achieve health equity in these rapidly growing immigrant populations.

### Conceptual framework

The broad construct of “South Asian” reflects a population with shared geographic, historic, cultural, and religious traditions. Yet this
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**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladeshi</td>
<td>147,000</td>
<td>208,000</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhotenese</td>
<td>19,000</td>
<td>24,000</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>3,183,000</td>
<td>4,686,000</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepalese</td>
<td>59,000</td>
<td>198,000</td>
<td>235%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistani</td>
<td>409,000</td>
<td>554,000</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lankan</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>56,000</td>
<td>24%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,862,000</td>
<td>5,726,000</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are from the Pew Research Center [13].
categorization obscures the dynamic nature of identity, as well as the tremendous heterogeneity in structural, social, and cultural factors that shape the lived experiences and health of the people who are categorized as South Asian. In the context of immigration, the term “South Asian” is not always meaningful because many first-generation immigrants will more strongly identify with their specific homeland or even national or sub-national language or regional group [26]. Given these variations, the term South Asian and what it represents must be clearly defined in epidemiologic research, and it is important to recognize similarities and differences between groups [27]. Attention to this heterogeneity in epidemiologic research requires not just sufficient sample sizes, but also integrating theories and concepts that can help identify root causes of CVH disparities among United States South Asian populations and provide deeper understanding of exposures and resources underlying differences in health outcomes.

Theoretical and preliminary empiric evidence supports the idea that heterogeneity in multilevel structural, social, cultural (hereafter referred to as sociocultural), and psychological context shape differential risk exposures and resilience resources that produce CVH disparities among and between South Asian immigrants [28]. We conceptualized the structural and sociocultural context as exerting independent effects on CVH, and also shaping the interpersonal and individual level factors that affect ASCVD development and outcomes in South Asian immigrants (Fig. 1). This conceptual framework can guide the study and understanding of CVH among immigrants from Bangladesh, India, and Pakistan that is supported by: a) a biopsychosocial and ecological paradigm; and b) preliminary data from the MASALA study showing that acculturation strategies and resilience resources may be protective against CVD risk for some South Asian immigrants. Few have integrated these constructs into a broader framework that accounts for how biopsychosocial and ecological characteristics may associate and interact with country of origin to influence CVH, thus advancing the science of health disparities research.

**Immigration, socioeconomic position, and neighborhood characteristics have direct and indirect influences on CVH**

First-generation South Asian immigrants undergo environmental, social, cultural, and psychological transitions that affect CVH [19]. They are also emigrating from home countries with substantial variation in socioeconomic indicators and CVD risk (Supplemental Table 1) [29–33]. Structural and sociocultural determinants in the home and receiving country shape the exposures and resources that create CVH disparities, and they affect how immigrant populations experience and adjust in a new country. For example, immigrants from Bangladesh and Pakistan have more recent immigrant histories compared to immigrants from India, with different social networks and access to economic assets and educational resources. Table 2 shows sociodemographic data by South Asian population in the United States from a national survey, with notable differences in time in the United States, economic resources, English language proficiency, educational attainment, and access to health care among subgroups [13,34]. The proportion of immigrants from Bangladesh living in poverty is 19% compared to 6% of immigrants from India. Studies of South Asian people in the U.K. suggest that CVD risk and outcomes are patterned by socioeconomic position (SEP), with
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Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bangladesh</th>
<th>Bhutan</th>
<th>India</th>
<th>Nepal</th>
<th>Pakistan</th>
<th>Sri Lanka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>% who have lived in the United States: 0–10 y</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 y (%)</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median household income</td>
<td>$58,600</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$55,700</td>
<td>$75,500</td>
<td>$84,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Living in poverty</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limited English proficiency, %</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational attainment ≤ high school, %</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No health insurance, %</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Insufficient data to provide a reliable estimate.
socioeconomic adversity being associated with worse CVH [35]. These data support a deeper investigation of how SEP, gender, and language intersect with country of origin to shape CVH among diverse South Asian immigrant populations.

Experiences of discrimination as an underexplored risk factor for CVD

Racism is a determinant of adverse health outcomes, but the contribution of racism and discrimination to CVH disparities among South Asian people has not been well studied [36]. Racism and discrimination against people of South Asian origin have historical roots in the first wave of migration during the 1800s, codification of discriminatory laws prohibiting immigration by Indians in the Immigration Act of 1924, and anti-Indian sentiment and hate crimes during the 1980s [37]. Discrimination further intensified after September 11, 2001, when South Asians, mainly people identified as Muslim and Sikh, were targeted by hate and violence, including an escalation of state violence such as profiling, policing, surveillance, detention, and deportation [38]. In 2012, six worshippers were murdered when a gunman targeted a Sikh gurdwara (place of worship) in Wisconsin. Following the 2016 presidential election and passage of Executive Order 13780, “Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States,” which was felt to disproportionately target Muslim-majority countries, there was an increase in missed primary care appointments and increased emergency department visits among people from Muslim-majority countries living in Minneapolis-St. Paul, Minnesota [39]. In 2017, 50% of people identifying as Muslim reported that they have experienced some form of discrimination in the past 12 months [40]. Individuals from Bangladesh and Pakistan are predominantly Muslim and may have unique experiences with discrimination that impact their health outcomes in addition to being from the South Asian diaspora.

Since the COVID-19 pandemic spread globally, there has been a rise in anti-Asian racism. While much of this racism and violence has specifically targeted East and Southeast Asian people in the United States, an online survey in late 2020 of 1200 Indian Americans found that half reported experiences of discrimination, most often based on skin color. Indian Americans who were U.S.-born were more likely to perceive discrimination, and Muslim Indians reported the highest levels of religious discrimination [36]. Among Indian participants in MASALA, higher levels of self-reported discrimination were associated with greater psychological distress, including symptoms of anxiety and depression [41]. In a separate analysis, anxiety, depression, and chronic stress burden were independently associated with higher subclinical atherosclerosis in MASALA study participants, but it is unclear if these findings apply to different South Asian-origin groups [42].

Research in South Asia shows links between caste discrimination and mental health and access to healthcare [43–45], but there is little or no exploration of how caste discrimination may operate to influence health among immigrant South Asians. Thus, the intersection of religion with country of origin and immigrant generation is important consideration when studying links between discrimination and health of South Asian-origin groups.

The acculturation process may vary across South Asian-origin groups and link to CVH

In addition to changes in social, economic, and structural context, immigration spurs acculturation, a process by which immigrants retain their cultural heritage and/or adopt the new receiving culture. [46] Many studies have found that acculturation is associated with health outcomes and behaviors in Hispanic and Asian-origin groups. In the MASALA cohort, different proxies for and measures of acculturation (i.e., years in the United States, cultural beliefs) [47] were independently associated with diet, cardiovascular risk factors, and atherosclerosis [48–50]. For example, living in the United States for more years was associated with higher coronary artery calcium, and having a medium level of traditional beliefs, compared to high or low, was associated with less carotid atherosclerosis [48]. The acculturation process can also be understood as coping strategies that immigrants and other ethnic and racial groups use in order to adapt to their contextual circumstances in the United States [51]. Using this concept and latent class analysis, we previously identified three acculturation strategies (separation, integration, and assimilation) used by South Asian immigrants in the MASALA study [52]. We found that individuals using a separation strategy (preference for South Asian over U.S. culture) had more depressive symptoms than those who used an integration strategy (similar preference for both cultures) (Fig. 2) [53]. Those who used an assimilation strategy (U.S. culture preference) had lower obesity, 2-hour glucose, visceral fat area, and higher HDL-cholesterol than those who used the separation strategy [50]. Hence, assimilation may be beneficial rather than harmful among some South Asian immigrant communities—a clear contrast from findings in other immigrant groups [54–57].

Patterns of intermarriage may also shape acculturation and health outcomes. A study using 2008–2012 ACS data found that among foreign-born Asians, 8% of Sri Lankans, 7.7% of Pakistanis, and 5.4% of Asian Indians were in an interracial marriage [58]. Intermarriage patterns, which vary by country of origin, gender, socioeconomic status, and immigrant generation, could be another factor contributing to heterogeneity in CVH risk via sociocultural and behavioral mechanisms. The inconsistent association between acculturation and CVH in South Asian immigrants is not simply a measurement issue. Rather, the process and consequences of acculturation are confounded or moderated by other contextual factors, such as SEP, marriage and family, neighborhood race and ethnic composition, experiences of discrimination, and immigration policies [51]. Because there is variation in experiences of discrimination and the sociocultural context of Bangladeshi, Indian, and Pakistani individuals in the United States, there may be differences in the process and health consequences of acculturation. Many questions remain about heterogeneity in the process of acculturation, the context in which it occurs, and the potential CVH implications in diverse South Asian-origin groups.

Resilience resources as protective factors

While ethnicity, immigration factors, low SEP, and discrimination are recognized as risk-enhancing factors, the factors that promote CVH, or resilience to CVD, among South Asians, have been under-explored. In its broadest conceptualization, resilience is defined as better than expected outcomes in the presence of exposure to risk [59]. Determinants of resilience include a host of environmental, interpersonal, and individual-level factors (Fig. 1) that interact with one another to determine how one responds to stressful

![Fig. 2. Acculturation strategies derived in the MASALA study.](image-url)
experiences. In the MASALA study cohort, perceptions of neighborhood social cohesion and social network size were associated with better CVH, including hypertension and subclinical atherosclerosis [60,61]. In Indian individuals, having stronger traditional cultural beliefs and an active coping style attenuated the association of discrimination with worse mental health [41].

Several studies also show the protective effects of religiosity and spirituality in South Asian populations [62–64]. Questions related to religion tend to focus more on organizational aspects, while questions on spirituality tend to be about personal experiences outside the confines of institutional religion. In the MASALA study, which included mostly individuals who self-identified as Hindu, followed by Muslim, Jain, and Sikh, group prayer outside of religious services was associated with better self-rated health and mental health, along with lower anxiety and anger [65]. In addition, both theistic (closeness to God) and non-theistic religious and spiritual experiences (feeling connected to all of life), were also associated with better self-rated health and mental health outcomes [65]. Religious involvement may affect health through social mechanisms, including social networks, social capital, and cohesion, as well as through coping, values, beliefs, and norms [66]. It is unknown if the protective effects of religiosity are similar in different South Asian-origin groups, by religious denomination, type of congregant setting, gender, SEP, and immigrant generation. The potential of religious and spiritual practices and structures as a health-protective factor remains underexplored in diverse South Asian groups.

Finally, psychological factors, such as positive coping and the psychological construct of “shift and persist” have been associated with inflammatory pathways and health outcomes in children and adults [67]. In MASALA, positive coping appeared to partially mitigate the effects of discrimination on health [41]. The shift-and-persist construct entails a combination of reframing stressors more positively while also enduring adversity by finding meaning in life and maintaining optimism. The shift-and-persist approach for dealing with adversity has not been examined among South Asian immigrants and could help identify psychological mechanisms that mitigate stressors associated with immigration and development of CVD. Thus, there is a need to study broader resilience factors in diverse South Asian populations, and if the types of resilience factors and health effects vary by country of origin, historical context, and reasons for immigration. Incorporating resilience factors moves beyond a risk-based approach and recognizes the strengths and assets of immigrant communities as health protective factors.

Conclusions

There is a key knowledge gap regarding the heterogeneity of CVH among U.S. South Asian immigrants. Traditional clinical risk factors, like diabetes, hypertension, abdominal obesity, and physical inactivity contribute significantly to ASCVD risk in South Asian immigrants [5,68]. Yet these established risk factors do not fully explain the higher CVD risk of South Asian immigrants compared to people of non-Hispanic White or East Asian background, and there is a need for research on the biopsychosocial and ecological determinants of South Asian health. The conceptual framework proposed in this paper has informed the design of the MASALA expansion study to sequentially collect quantitative and qualitative data to investigate the heterogeneity of cardiovascular risk and resilience between and within Bangladeshi, Indian, and Pakistani immigrants in the United States. This mixed-methods approach [69], where qualitative data are used to understand the lived experiences of South Asian immi-grantants and illuminate the complex determinants of CVH offers promise for the development of more effective interpersonal and community-level interventions to improve health.

Recommendations

Key gaps remain in knowledge about the multilevel risks that cause CVH disparities, as well as protective factors that may mitigate them [70,71]. The framework presented here expands current concepts of CVH disparities in U.S. South Asian immigrant populations and the role of structural, social, cultural, and psychological drivers as key mechanisms underlying differences among and between South Asian-origin subgroups.

Futures studies should

1. Provide clarity on which South Asian groups are represented, how the term South Asian is being defined (for example, one or a mix of ancestry, geographical origin, birthplace, language, religion, migration history, name, or self-identity [72], and how this classification is operating to influence a health outcome;
2. Reconsider the use of “South Asian” ethnicity as a risk factor in health research and clinical care, and instead focus on how structural and social factors pattern risk exposures and resilience resources by national origin subgroup;
3. Include multilevel measures of resilience to identify components for resilience-enhancing interventions that can promote cardiovascular health and wellness;
4. Recognize how social categories such as socioeconomic position, gender identity, and immigrant generation intersect with country of origin, and design studies with sufficient sample sizes to represent groups with intersectional identities in research;
5. Include the lived experience of South Asian Americans as empirical knowledge to be integrated with quantitative data. Mixed methods research that collects, analyzes, and interprets quantitative and qualitative data within one study can provide a diversity of perspectives, as well as insights into how people interpret complex phenomena, including the social and cultural context in which health is embedded [73].

The conceptual framework and recommendations we present can inform the design of future epidemiologic studies on South Asian immigrant health and the development of multilevel interventions to reduce CVH disparities and promote well-being.
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**Supplemental Table 1**
Socioeconomic and Health Characteristics of South Asians in South Asia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Population (in million)a</th>
<th>Median Age (Years)</th>
<th>Per Capita Gross Domestic Product</th>
<th>Poverty headcount ratio at $2.15 a day (% of population)</th>
<th>Literacy rate, adult total (% of people ages 15 and above)</th>
<th>Fertility Rate</th>
<th>Age-adjusted Prevalence of Diabetes</th>
<th>Cardiovascular death rate per 100,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>172.3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2,457</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>203.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhutan</td>
<td>782K</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3,286</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>160.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>1.4B</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2,236</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>185.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maldives</td>
<td>498·4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10,366</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>103.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1,208</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>152.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>224.1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1,505</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>152.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>4,014</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>213.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table was created using multiple data sources [26-30].

a Except Bhutan (thousand) and India (billion).