Viewing Nature Scenes Positively Affects Recovery of Autonomic Function Following Acute-Mental Stress
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ABSTRACT: A randomized crossover study explored whether viewing different scenes prior to a stressor altered autonomic function during the recovery from the stressor. The two scenes were (a) nature (composed of trees, grass, fields) or (b) built (composed of man-made, urban scenes lacking natural characteristics) environments. Autonomic function was assessed using noninvasive techniques of heart rate variability; in particular, time domain analyses evaluated parasympathetic activity, using root-mean-square of successive differences (RMSSD). During stress, secondary cardiovascular markers (heart rate, systolic and diastolic blood pressure) showed significant increases from baseline which did not differ between the two viewing conditions. Parasympathetic activity, however, was significantly higher in recovery following the stressor in the viewing scenes of nature condition compared to viewing scenes depicting built environments (RMSSD; 50.0 ± 31.3 vs 34.8 ± 14.8 ms). Thus, viewing nature scenes prior to a stressor alters autonomic activity in the recovery period. The secondary aim was to examine autonomic function during viewing of the two scenes. Standard deviation of R-R intervals (SDRR), as change from baseline, during the first 5 min of viewing nature scenes was greater than during built scenes. Overall, this suggests that nature can elicit improvements in the recovery process following a stressor.

INTRODUCTION

Since the 19th century, the natural environment has been considered important for ensuring a greater level of physical and mental health.1 Theories suggest that, due to our hunter—gatherer past, present day humans have an innate affiliation with nature and living things.2 Consequently, nature is conducive to involuntary attention and does not require our directed attention, allowing recovery from mental fatigue3 and facilitating attention restoration.4 In the past decade, epidemiological studies in The Netherlands have identified a positive correlation between improved health outcomes and amount of surrounding green space.5,6 Subsequently, the diverse health benefits that maybe engendered by nature have become a focal point for research.

Two recent systematic reviews have concluded that exposure to nature is associated with improved mental well-being in comparison to indoor environments7 and synthetic or built environments.8 Further support of these conclusions has been found in single studies where improvements in self-esteem,9,10 positive and negative mood,9,11 anxiety levels,13 and feelings of calmness and comfort14 have been observed. Similar findings have also been observed using simulated environments in controlled laboratory conditions.15 The studies reviewed by Thompson-Coon et al. did not report physiological variables, and Bowler et al. found only limited evidence for physiological changes. Both systematic reviews conclude that investigation regarding physiological changes during experiencing nature is lacking. However, there are individual studies investigating exposure to nature that identify changes in physiological health markers,16—20 including decreased heart rate (HR)17 and decreased systolic (SBP)17,18 and diastolic blood pressure (DBP).17,18 Further, changes in endocrine markers such as reduced adrenaline,17 noradrenaline,18 and cortisol,17,21 as well as enhanced autonomic control (indirectly measured using heart rate variability, HRV)17 have also been reported. These findings have also been observed in a controlled and simulated environment indoors16,19,20 where potential confounding factors such as weather, climate, sounds, and smells are eliminated. The physiological changes that are noted are all suggestive of potential systemic relaxation. There is, however, a lack of rigorous research providing empirical evidence of the physiological mechanisms that exist with exposure to nature.7 Thus, the impact of environment on cardiovascular health needs to be explored further using controlled environments and outcome measures which reflect such physiological changes, e.g., autonomic control.

HRV provides a measure of autonomic nervous system (ANS) functioning22 and can be used to explore physiological changes associated with nature exposure. The ANS is important in controlling many bodily functions, and alterations are prevalent during relaxation and arousal. HRV is an easy to obtain, established noninvasive scientific and clinical measure22 and has prognostic value regarding cardiovascular health.23 It requires the measurement of interbeat differences in HR using either electrocardiogram (ECG) or mobile R-R interval monitors. The analysis of HRV reflects ANS function by assessing the parasympathetic and sympathetic contributions to sino-atrial node regulation of HR.22 A higher HRV suggests an increased adaptability of the ANS and is associated with better health. Previous work that has observed HRV indicates that
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there is a tendency for higher HRV when nature is viewed in situ or simulated using projected images.\textsuperscript{16} The ANS plays a central role in governing the response to stress and how the body recovers following a stressor.\textsuperscript{25} Indeed, Lane and Thayer utilized functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to examine the hypothesized heart–brain connection and found concurrent associations between vagal influenced HRV and changes in blood flow through areas of the brain known to be involved in emotional responses, attention, and working memory.\textsuperscript{25} Additionally, the prefrontal cortex has been observed to play a role in the top-down regulation of HRV, as demonstrated using direct current stimulation of the dorsolateral area of the prefrontal cortex during viewing of negative images compared to neutral images\textsuperscript{26} (images of nature were not used in this study). The relaxation and restorative effect of nature might help combat the rising incidence of psychological stress\textsuperscript{27} by providing a potential resilience tool.\textsuperscript{28} Previous analysis of the restorative effects of nature suggest that participants recover faster from induced stress (in terms of HR) when, during the recovery, they view nature through a window\textsuperscript{29} or view projected scenes of natural environments.\textsuperscript{30} HR recovery in the latter study was purported to occur due to, in part, an enhanced recovery of para-sympathetic activity.\textsuperscript{30} It is, however, unknown whether the benefits of nature on cardiovascular reactivity continue to occur after exposure has ceased.

It is further unknown whether a longer exposure to nature elicits greater responses during the exposure. During nature exposure, the first 5 min elicits the greatest improvements in mood and self-esteem.\textsuperscript{31} Physiological alterations in HRV also occur during the first 5 min of viewing slides simulating nature.\textsuperscript{16} To date, there is no evidence to suggest that longer exposure times elicit better physiological responses and in particular greater changes in HRV during nature exposure. This time course is important to establish; nature could be potentially used prior to a stressor and thus alter physiological outcomes in recovery from a stressor without the need of exposure to nature during the recovery period; i.e., nature could improve cardiovascular reactivity following a stressor by speeding the recovery process.

The primary aim of this study was to investigate the effect that prior viewing of nature scenes had on ANS function during recovery from a stressor. The hypothesis was that viewing nature scenes (composed of trees, grass, fields) prior to a stressor will lead to higher HRV in recovery when compared to viewing scenes of built environments (composed of man-made, urban scenes lacking natural characteristics). A secondary aim was to compare ANS function during the first and second 5 min of a 10 min exposure to nature images to see if HRV changes were sustained.

\section*{Materials and Methods}

\textbf{Participants and Design.} Ethical approval from the University ethics committee was granted, and participants (n = 25, 7 males, 18 females) were recruited from University support staff who were independent from the research group conducting the study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants who ranged in age from 19 to 65 years (mean ± SD, 36.91 ± 11.09 years (mean ± SD), with stature 168.35 ± 7.52 cm (mean ± SD) and mass 70.38 ± 12.42 kg (mean ± SD). The study was a within-subject randomized crossover design with all participants visiting the laboratory on two occasions one week apart. Visits were at the same time of day to eliminate any effect of circadian rhythm on the dependent variables. Participants were free from symptoms of disease and were not using medication that would affect the cardiovascular or ANS.

\textbf{Protocol.} Laboratory environmental conditions were kept consistent throughout both visits. Room temperature was set at 21 °C; artificial lighting was used, and blinds were drawn to avoid direct sunlight entering the room. Noise disturbance was kept to a minimum.

Each visit consisted of viewing one of two different groups of slides before being exposed to a mental stressor. Participants were randomly assigned an order to either view the built scenes first or the nature scenes first. On arrival, participants completed a battery of psychological questionnaires (described below). Following this, participants were told to rest for 15 min in the semisupine position, allowing HR and blood pressure (BP) measures to stabilize. The participants remained in this position for the duration of the protocol. Following the period of rest, participants viewed a set of slides (either nature or built environment scenes) for a 10 min period. Participants were then required to partake in a 5 min mental stressor. Immediately poststressor, a further 5 min of physiological data were recorded to capture recovery. After recovery, participants completed the same set of psychological questionnaires. At the next visit, a week later, participants repeated this protocol and viewed the other set of slides.

\textbf{Scenes of Nature and Built Environments.} The slideshows depicting scenes of nature and built environments involved still photographs representing each of the environments. The photographs were chosen from a central pool of photographs used within the research group.\textsuperscript{15,16} The photographs (Figure 1) depicting natural environments included natural elements, e.g., trees, grass, or plant life, and were devoid of any man-made structures, e.g., buildings, vehicles, roads. In contrast, the photographs depicting built environments contained man-made structures, e.g., houses, flats, office

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure1.png}
\caption{Examples of images used in the slideshows to depict scenes of nature environments (A and B) and scenes of built environments (C and D). Copyright Jules Pretty (Photographs A and C).}
\end{figure}
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viewing slides (Slides 1), second 5 min of viewing slides (Slides 2), mental stressor (Stress), and in recovery (Recv).

In the time-domain, HR was calculated as number of beats per minute. Standard deviation of R-R intervals (SDRR) was chosen to reflect overall HRV contributed to by both sympathetic and parasympathetic systems. To reflect parasympathetic system activity alone, the root-mean-square of successive differences (RMSSD) was used.32

**Psychological Questionnaires.** Self-esteem was assessed using Rosenberg’s scale.37 Responses were coded on a 0–3 scale giving a range for total self-esteem score of 0, representing the lowest level of self-esteem, and 30, the highest level of self-esteem. Although designed as a trait measure of self-esteem, Rosenberg’s scale has previously demonstrated changes as a state measure of self-esteem due to exposure to natural environments.15 Mood was assessed using the Positive and Negative Affect Scale (PANAS).38 Mood-related adjectives (e.g., enthusiastic, inspired, hostile, afraid) were rated on a scale of 1 to 5 for how well each adjective described participants’ current mood (1 = very slightly or not at all, 5 = extremely). Both positive and negative scales were analyzed. Self-esteem and mood were measured at the start and end of the protocol immediately after the recovery period.

**Statistical Analysis.** Baseline data were compared using paired student’s t tests. To test the primary hypothesis, a repeated measures ANOVA was used to identify whether recovery relative to baseline differed between conditions for RMSSD, SDRR, HR, SBP and DBP. For the secondary question, changes from baseline values were first calculated to explore if the expected short-term effect during the first 5 min of viewing is repeated in the second 5 min. Data were not normally distributed (assessed by Kolomogorov-Smirnov test for normality); therefore, the Friedman’s test was performed with posthoc Wilcoxon tests to explore significant differences. Repeated measures ANOVA was also used to examine whether the stress response (HR and BP only) compared to baseline differed between conditions. To assess if breathing frequency and depth was kept constant throughout the protocol, repeated measures ANOVA was used.

To identify whether changes in psychological measures changed from baseline to poststress and whether this differed between viewing condition, repeated measures ANOVA was used. Paired t tests were used to identify if performance in the mental stress task differed between condition and visit order. Significance was set at an alpha of 0.05, where appropriate posthoc paired t tests were used to investigate significant effects between conditions with a Bonferroni corrected alpha level. All data are normally distributed except for RMSSD (assessed by Kolomogorov-Smirnov test for normality). RMSSD went through natural log transformation before statistical analysis. Non-significant results for all analyses are not reported. The statistical package PASW SPSSv18 was used for all statistical analysis.

| RESULTS |

**Baseline Measurements.** Planned paired t tests on baseline data (Table 1) showed there were no differences between the viewing conditions at baseline for RMSSD, SDRR, HR, SBP, DBP, or breathing frequency or depth (p > 0.05). Therefore, any subsequent differences are likely attributed to interventions and actions within the protocol. In all analyses, there were no order effects of condition on any of the dependent variables.
Table 1. Baseline Measurements in the Nature and Built Conditions (Mean ± SD)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>nature mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>built mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiovascular measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate, bpm</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>117.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>118.3</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure, mmHg</td>
<td>59.8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart rate variability measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSSD, ms²</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDRR, ms²</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>43.8</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological measures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-esteem</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative mood</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive mood</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*RMSSD, root mean square of successive differences; SDRR, standard deviation of R-R intervals; self-esteem quantified using Rosenberg’s self-esteem, low scores = low self-esteem, range 0–30; negative and positive mood taken from the positive and negative affect scale (PANAS), low scores = low negative or positive mood, range 0–40.

Effect of Nature Views on Recovery. For the primary research question, repeated measures ANOVA revealed an interaction effect for RMSSD between the nature condition and the built condition over time ($F_{1, 22} = 8.72$, $p = 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.28$). This demonstrates increased levels above baseline during recovery for the nature condition compared to recovery in the built condition (Figure 2) where levels dropped below baseline. Repeated measures ANOVA on SDRR determined a main effect of view ($F_{1, 22} = 11$, $p = 0.003$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.33$) and time ($F_{1, 22} = 7.7$, $p = 0.011$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.26$), with levels increasing during recovery irrespective of view and higher SDRR in the nature condition irrespective of time.

During Views. For the secondary research question, exposure time was split in half to see if ANS function during the first 5 min differed to the last 5 min according to condition (Figure 3). Friedman’s test for multiple comparisons identified significant differences for SDRR during views ($\chi^2 (3) = 8.06$, $p = 0.045$). Posthoc Wilcoxon tests were performed with a Bonferroni correction factor of 0.013. Change in SDRR from baseline to poststress for the nature condition (Figure 2) where levels dropped below baseline. Repeated measures ANOVA on SDRR determined a main effect of view ($F_{1, 22} = 11$, $p = 0.003$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.33$) and time ($F_{1, 22} = 7.7$, $p = 0.011$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.26$), with levels increasing during recovery irrespective of view and higher SDRR in the nature condition irrespective of time.

During Mental Stress Task. Repeated measures ANOVA revealed a main effect for time on HR ($F_{1, 22} = 27.3$, $p = 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.10$) describing an increase from baseline to stress period ($67.8 ± 1.8$ vs $73.4 ± 1.8$ bpm). There was also a main effect of time on SBP ($F_{1, 22} = 34.1$, $p = 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.61$) and DBP ($F_{1, 22} = 9.7$, $p = 0.005$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.31$) both revealing an increase from baseline to stress period ($117.6 ± 2.6$ vs $128.8 ± 3.1$ mmHg and $60.1 ± 1.4$ vs $63.1 ± 1.5$ mmHg, respectively). Change in these parameters supports the effectiveness of the mental stressor. Repeated measures ANOVA determined that time had an effect on breathing rate ($F_{1, 84} = 6.2$, $p = 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.023$). Pairwise comparisons revealed that only the period after baseline ($18.3 ± 0.7$ vs $14.3 ± 0.7$, $p < 0.0001$). Repeated measures ANOVAs revealed no main effect for condition on HR ($F_{1, 22} = 1.9$, $p = 0.187$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.08$), SBP ($F_{1, 22} = 1.5$, $p = 0.231$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.07$), DBP ($F_{1, 22} = 0.0003$, $p = 0.985$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.00001$), or breathing rate ($F_{1, 21} = 0.9$, $p = 0.356$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.04$) suggesting that viewing condition did not influence the stress responses. A paired $t$ test showed no difference was observed in the scores for the mental task between the conditions. However, a paired $t$ test revealed that the second visit showed a significant improvement in the population mean score from $91.1$ to $97.7$ ($t_{22} = 4.12$, $p = 0.001$) out of a possible 102.

Self-Esteem and Mood. A repeated measures ANOVA determined an interaction effect on self-esteem ($F_{1, 21} = 5.4$, $p = 0.03$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.21$) describing an enhanced self-esteem from baseline to poststress for the nature condition (Table 2). Conversely, the built condition showed deterioration in self-esteem from baseline to poststress values. Repeated measures ANOVA revealed a main effect of time on negative mood scores ($F_{1, 21} = 7.3$, $p = 0.013$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.26$) describing a decrease in negative mood from baseline to poststress (Table 2). There was no detectable effect on positive mood.

**Discussion.** The majority of research exploring the impact of nature on ANS mechanisms has included an exercise component which limits the conclusions drawn about the contribution of the “nature” component.15,17,39 As exercise has such positive effects on health parameters, it is often hard to isolate the effects solely from the nature component. Therefore, this study looks at the...
The main finding of this study was that HRV as a marker of ANS function increased during stress recovery, if nature scenes were viewed prior to a stressor, compared to built scenes. This is the first study to suggest that simply viewing scenes of nature prior to a stressor enhances recovery of ANS function poststressor.

The interaction of nature and recovery from stress has been studied previously.³⁰,³² However, previous research has assessed the restorative effects of viewing or interacting with nature during the actual recovery period following a stressor.²⁹,³⁰,³² For example, viewing video footage of nature scenes for 10 min directly after being exposed to a film of stressful images increased heart period (i.e., decreased HR), suggested to be due to enhanced parasympathetic system activity.³⁰ Viewing nature through a window during a 5 min rest period following cognitive tasks was also more effective at reducing HR.²⁹ Both authors postulate that these observations are a consequence of cognitive recovery or attention restoration occurring while nature scenes are viewed. The results of the present study suggest greater HRV during viewing nature scenes as contributed to by both sympathetic and parasympathetic systems. It is only during the recovery period that parasympathetic activity alone is greater in the nature views condition. Therefore, viewing nature scenes may encourage future healthy stress responses and recovery patterns and could act as a vital tool in preventive health.

The secondary research question relates to the duration of the nature dose. Research shows that a 5 min dose of nature offers the greatest increases in self-esteem and mood.³¹ Physiologically, 5 min of viewing images of nature is known to increase HRV.¹⁶ However, it is unknown whether an additional 5 min would enhance the initial changes that occur in the first 5 min dose. Within a laboratory setting, it appears that the second 5 min of exposure is less effective in inducing HRV changes. The strength of the current study is the use of 5 min segments for HRV analysis which is recommended in short-term analysis, i.e., less than 24 h.²² Furthermore, the division of exposure to nature images into 5 min segments enabled the observation of increased HRV as anticipated in an initial 5 min dose, thus supporting previous work.¹⁶ Comparisons in the current study suggest an additional 5 min of exposure to nature does not enhance the greater HRV seen during the initial 5 min dose.

In agreement with previous research,¹⁰,³¹ the current study observed improvements in self-esteem with exposure to nature. This finding suggests enhanced self-esteem associated with viewing nature shows robustness against exposure to a mild stress. In the current study, there was no change in mood associated with condition although this has been shown in previous studies.¹⁰,³¹,³⁹ The changes in mood observed in these studies were measured using the profile of mood states (POMS).¹⁰,³¹,³⁹ POMS contains 5 subscales associated with negative mood and 1 subscale for positive mood. This restricts POMS to predominantly reflect changes of negative mood rather than positive mood. In the current study, negative affect, measured using PANAS, showed negative mood improved irrespective of condition, therefore not replicating previous observations using POMS. The use of positive affect scores from PANAS was to ascertain if changes in positive mood occur that may not be so clearly identified using POMS. Positive mood did not differ between conditions nor did it change over time. In contrast, a meta-analysis of five studies did observe improvements in positive affect⁴ suggesting the manipulation in the current study was not strong enough to elicit positive

---

Table 2. Psychological Measures at the Beginning and End of the Protocol for Both Nature and Built Conditions (Mean ± SD)¹⁰

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>nature</th>
<th>built</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>pre</td>
<td>post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>self-esteem</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>positive mood</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>negative mood</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“Self-esteem, quantified using Rosenberg’s self-esteem, low scores = low self-esteem, range 0–30; both positive and negative mood quantified using positive and negative affect scale (PANAS), low scores = low positive or negative mood, range 10–50. "Significant interaction effect (p < 0.05). "Significant main effect for time, pre to post (p < 0.05).”

---

Figure 3. Heart rate and heart rate variability means ± SD as change from baseline for the first 5 min and last 5 min of viewing: *, significant difference between conditions.
changes. The use of PANAS in laboratory research of this nature might not be appropriate.

Previous literature lacks discussion as to the potential mechanisms behind observed changes in physiological function while viewing nature. One potential mechanism to explain alterations in physiological measures could be attributable to the restorative properties of the nature scenes. The concept of attention restoration occurring after exposure to nature has previously been demonstrated by way of improved performance in attention related cognitive tasks. Viewing scenes of nature for 10 min, following a period of mentally fatiguing tasks, improved performance in a backward digit-span memory task. In the current study, there were no such observations of altered cognitive ability, i.e., performance in the mental task, with different viewing conditions. This may be attributable to the lack of a mentally fatiguing task prior to the intervention, but the nature scenes acted as an effective buffer to ANS function during recovery.

To date, there are only a handful of studies that have measured or inferred changes in the ANS associated with nature. We suggest that the different components of the environmental stimulus, e.g., visual, cognitive, emotional, and restorative properties, induce changes in the regulation of different areas throughout the brain thus altering ANS function. The findings of this study, alongside previous studies, suggest a top-down mechanism originating in higher centers of the brain. Evidence for this was in part obtained from a study which utilized fMRI while viewing urban scenes. The urban scenes caused increased activity in the amygdala compared to viewing nature scenes. This action is likely to cause alterations in ANS control such as those seen in the current study, through changes in parasympathetic and sympathetic outputs. Inhibition of the parasympathetic nervous system arises from the frontal cortex, and the pathways pass through the amygdala and then to the nucleus tractus solitarii and nucleus ambiguus. The prefrontal cortex is prominent in threat-avoidance situations, causing inhibition on HR via the vagus nerve. Therefore, during periods of threat, parasympathetic activity is decreased (increasing HR). The results of the current study suggest the absence of threat during nature viewing, without decreases in parasympathetic activity, while during built views overall variability decreased in the first 5 min. This interaction may be primarily due to alterations in both the frontal cortex and the amygdala.

Visual properties of an image may also play a role, as the composition of a picture can alter activity in the visual cortex. Images of nature are less aversive and uncomfortable when examining their spectral properties compared to built images. Indeed, recent research suggests that the primitive characteristic of color, in particular the “greenness”, of a nature image is associated with improved mood. Through color perception and reduced impact on the visual system, images of nature may evoke lower activity in the amygdala and visual cortex, culminating in increased parasympathetic activity as seen in the current study.

It is unknown how long the physiological changes that nature evokes are maintained, but it will be vital to explore this further, especially if nature is to be considered as a therapy. In the current study, unlike previous studies, nature exposure was experienced 10 min before the stressor, not during the stressor or immediately following the stressor. The evidence from this study suggests that there does indeed appear to be a buffering effect of nature. A stronger stimulus, i.e., within the environ-
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ABBREVIATIONS
ANS autonomic nervous system
HR heart rate
SBP systolic blood pressure
DBP diastolic blood pressure
HRV heart rate variability
SDRR standard deviation of R-R intervals
RMSSD root-mean-square of successive differences
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